## Uldaho Law **Digital Commons** @ **Uldaho Law**

United States v. Anderson (Spokane Tribe)

Hedden-Nicely

6-16-1978

## Proposed Final Decree by State of Washington, Department of Natural Resources

Theodore O. Torve Assistant Attorney General, Attorneys for State of Washington Department of Natural Resources

Slade Gorton Attorney General

Follow this and additional works at: https://digitalcommons.law.uidaho.edu/anderson

## Recommended Citation

Torve, Theodore O. and Gorton, Slade, "Proposed Final Decree by State of Washington, Department of Natural Resources" (1978). *United States v. Anderson (Spokane Tribe)*. 34.

https://digitalcommons.law.uidaho.edu/anderson/34

This Brief is brought to you for free and open access by the Hedden-Nicely at Digital Commons @ UIdaho Law. It has been accepted for inclusion in United States v. Anderson (Spokane Tribe) by an authorized administrator of Digital Commons @ UIdaho Law. For more information, please contact annablaine@uidaho.edu.

SLADE GORTON
Attorney General
THEODORE O. TORVE
Assistant Attorney General
310 Public Lands Building
Olympia, Washington 98504
Telephone: (206) 753-5318

FILED IN THE
U. S. DISTRICT COURT,
Eastern District of Washington

JUN 1 6 1978

L. R. FALLOUIST, Clerk
Deputy

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON AT SPOKANE

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff,

V.

BARBARA J. ANDERSON, et al.,

Defendants.

Defendants.

CIVIL NO. 3643

PROPOSED FINAL DECREE
BY STATE OF WASHINGTON,
DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES

Defendant, State of Washington, Department of Natural Resources, through its attorneys, hereby submits the following proposed final decree.

This cause having been heretofore heard by the Court, and the Court having considered the arguments of counsel, and the evidence, and having made its findings of fact and conclusions of law, now, therefore, in accordance therewith.

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED as follows:

- 1. The United States of America and the Spokane Tribe of Indians have no reserved water rights and have the right to beneficially use waters present within the boundaries of the Indian Reservation as may be allowed under State law and with priorities under State law.
- 2. The State of Washington has full jurisdiction to regulate all water rights of plaintiff.

The following paragraphs 3 - 9 are in the alternative:

3. The rights of the United States of America and Spokane Tribe of Indians. For the benefit of the Spokane Indian Reservation, have a reserve right to use the waters of Chamokane Creek as it flows through the Indian Reservation and its tributaries thereon and from the ground water basin lying within the Indian Reservation for the

following purposes:

- a. For domestic purposes
- b. For timber management
- c. For irrigation on lands allotted prior to January 1, 1909 and not subsequently alienated from trust status.
- 4. The priority dates of such lands are as follows: January 18, 1881, as to the lands not classified as agricultural lands under the Act of May 29, 1908, lands previously allotted and alienated from trust status and repurchased or returned to private ownership and all Sections 16s and 36s.

As to lands not having the priority date of January 18, 1881, they shall have the priority date as the date they were repurchased by the Tribe, returned to Tribal ownership and the date of the clear list as to Sections 16s and 36s lying within the Spokane Indian Reservation.

- 5. No reserve right is granted to the plaintiffs for the purpose of ceremonial, religious, aesthetic, or fishery purposes.
- 6. No reserve right for irrigation purposes is granted to any lands classified as timber under the Act of May 29, 1908.
- 7. The amount of water that can be applied to irrigated lands cannot exceed 3.0 acre feet per acre per year.
- 8. The only lands on which water can be used for irrigation purposes are lands allotted to individual Indians prior to January 1, 1909 and contained within the 1880 acres of bottom land and 6580 acres of bench land. No evidence has been introduced as to the precise location of such lands. Therefore, use of water for irrigation purposes out of the Chamokane Creek or the ground water basin within the Reservation will be allowed subject to further court order and establishment of the precise location of the lands authorized herein.
- 9. Plaintiffs are not entitled to an injunction against any defendant for the use of waters. The Court may, however, have the authority to appoint a water master to carry out and enforce the provisions of the further orders of the court in the event there is

1 inte
2 wate
3 proh
4 Spok
5 trus
6 to r
7 arie
8 hibi
9 Cham

10 11

13

14

12

15

17

16

18 19

20 21

22

24

23

2526

2728

29

30

31

33

32

interference with the rights of the parties in the actual use of water for irrigation, timber or domestic use. Nothing herein shall prohibit the State of Washington to regulate water rights within the Spokane Indian Reservation on lands that have been alienated from trust status. Nothing herein shall prohibit the State of Washington to regulate water rights held by any person lying outside the boundaries of the Spokane Indian Reservation. Nothing herein shall prohibit the State of Washington to set minimum flow standards on Chamokane Creek in accordance with State law.

- 10. The State of Washington, Department of Natural Resources is entitled to the use of waters of Chamokane Creek, its tributaries and ground waters for the purpose of livestock grazing, timber manage ment, and domestic use in the amount of 1,905,018.40 gallons annually on the lands shown on Exhibit 23 and Exhibit 24.
- 11. The priority of the rights confirmed to the State of Washington, Department of Natural Resources are as contained in Ex. 67, with the exception that all indemnity lands have a priority of statehood, November 11, 1889.
- 12. Nothing in this decree shall prohibit plaintiffs nor the defendants to use the waters of Chamokane Creek, its tributaries, or ground water for fire protection and fire fighting purposes.
- 13. The several parties to this suit shall pay and bear their own costs.

Respectfully submitted,

SLADE GORTON Attorney, General

THEODORE O. TORVE

Assistant Attorney General Attorneys for Defendant State of Washington,

Department of Natural Resources