Uldaho Law **Digital Commons @ Uldaho Law**

Bighorn Hedden-Nicely

5-7-1981

Trial Transcript, Vol. 54, Afternoon Session

Frontier Reporting Service

Follow this and additional works at: https://digitalcommons.law.uidaho.edu/bighorn

Recommended Citation

Frontier Reporting Service, "Trial Transcript, Vol. 54, Afternoon Session" (1981). *Bighorn.* 84. https://digitalcommons.law.uidaho.edu/bighorn/84

This Transcript is brought to you for free and open access by the Hedden-Nicely at Digital Commons @ Uldaho Law. It has been accepted for inclusion in Bighorn by an authorized administrator of Digital Commons @ Uldaho Law. For more information, please contact annablaine@uidaho.edu.

1 20K //

case # 4993

File # 161

The state of the s

1	IN THE DISTRICT COURT FOR THE FIFTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT
2	WASHAKIE COUNTY, STATE OF WYOMING
3	
4	IN RE:)
5	THE GENERAL ADJUDICATION) OF RIGHTS TO USE WATER)
6	IN THE BIG HORN RIVER) Civil No. 4993 SYSTEM AND ALL OTHER)
7	SOURCES, STATE OF) WYOMING.)
8	
9	5/20 198/
10	Margaret V. Haugtone CLERK
11	DEPUTY
12	
13	
14	
15	VOLUME 54
16	Afternoon Session
17	Thursday, May 7, 1981
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	ORIGINAL

407 WEST 24TH 5TREET CHENENAL, WY 82001 (307) 635 8280 PRONTIER REPORTING SERVICE

201 MIDWEST BUILDING CASPER, WY 82601 (307) 237 1493 THE SPECIAL MASTER: Okay, we'll please come to order.

Would you like me to swear in this witness,
Mr. Echohawk?

MR. ECHOHAWK: Yes, Your Honor.

THE SPECIAL MASTER: Before I do, I think it's appropriate for me to call on Mr. Merrill for a little bit of the civilities of our profession and of the joy we can share with some of our colleagues. Would you like to make a statement, Mr. Merrill?

MR. MERRILL: Absolutely, Your Honor. I think it's only appropriate since Mr. Perry is going to be with us a short time longer before he departs with his new wife for Europe, that we all congratulate him on his forth-coming marriage.

THE SPECIAL MASTER: Very fine, we're happy to do that and that's official.

MR. PERRY: Thank you.

THE SPECIAL MASTER: I hope you have a very happy life.

All right, Mr. Dorbusch, please stand up and raise your right hand.

25

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

DAVID M. DORNBUSCH

being first duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows, to wit:

DIRECT EXAMINATION

BY MR. ECHOHAWK:

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- Q Please state your name for the record.
- 7 A My name is David Dornbusch.

MR. ECHOHAWK: Your Honor, at this time, before Mr. Dornbusch begins his testimony, I'd again like to set the stage for where we're at in the Government's case. So far we've had testimony on the arable land base for both the future and historic, specifically the future. We've had Dr. Mesghinna just conclude his testimony as to engineering designs and associated costs to serve a certain portion of those arable lands, and some design projects.

Testimony presented by Mr. Dornbusch will determine whether or not the project, as designed by Dr. Mesghinna and his associates are economically feasible, and as such that will conclude the Government's case on the future portions of the future lands, as to the practicably irrigable acres or economically

dornbusch-direct-echohawk

1		feasible acres.
2		THE SPECIAL MASTER: Very good.
3	Q	(By Mr. Echohawk) Mr. Dornbusch, where do
4		you reside?
5	 A	I live at 5837 St. Paul Court in Oakland,
6		California.
7	Q	What is your occupation?
8	A	I'm a consultant economist.
9	Q	And Mr. Dornbusch, where are you employed at? I'm employed at David M. Dornbusch and Company
10	A	I'm employed at David M. Dornbusch and Company
11		in San Francisco.
12	Q	Does Dornbusch and Company have just one office
13		in San Francisco?
14	A	We have two; one in San Francisco and one in
15		Portland, Oregon.
16	Q	And what is your position at Dronbusch and
17		Company?
18	A	I'm the president of the firm.
19	Q	And how long have you been president of that
20		firm?
21	A	Since 1971 when I founded the firm.
22	Q	Just for a little brief background on your
23		firm, how many professional people are
24		employed in your company?
25	dorn	busch-direct-echohawk

1	A	Presently we have seven.
	_	
2	Q	And what would be the specialized capabilities
3		of those people employed by you?
4	A	It's a fairly wide range of capabilities,
5		primarily orientated around the skills of
6		economics, ranging from agricultural, industrial,
7		commercial economics and natural resources
8		economics to urban and regional development
9		economics, econometrics, which is really a
10		blend of economics and statistics, model
11		building.
12		We also do some social and environmental
13		analyses related primarily to economic
14		development projects, and we have skills in
15		those fields.
16		Urban and regional planning, natural
17		resources planning, I think that about covers
18		the range.
19	Q	What are your duties as president of Dornbusch
20		and Company?
21	A	Oh, I administer all of the contracts that
22		the firm has, I'm responsible, primarily
23		responsible for marketing of new projects.
24		I'm also responsible for particularly directing
25	dorr	busch-direct-echohawk

!		
1		a number of the firm's projects, and I also
2		get directly in performing analyses myself
3		in some of those projects.
4	Q	Would you describe for us your educational
5		background.
6	A	Yes. I received a Bachelor's of Civil Engineering
7		from Cornell University in 1964 that was with
8		distinction.
9		I received a Master's of Business
10		Administration from Harvard University with
11		distinction in 1966.
12	Q	What did you do after you graduated from
13		Harvard?
14	A	I joined a consulting firm by the name of
15		Lewis Berger Incorporated. It's an international
16		consulting firm.
17	Q	And what were your duties with Lewis Berger
18		Incorporated?
19	A	I was an economist with them, and as such,
20		I was responsible for economic analyses and
21		worked together with engineers, planners and
22		architects in the firm.
23	Q	Your work with Lewis Berger Incorporated, was
24		that primarily in the United States or outside?
25	dori	nbusch-direct-echohawk

1	A	In the beginning it was primarily outside
2		the United States and later it was in the
3		United States.
4	Ω	How many years did you spend outside the United
5		States?
6	A	Approximately three years outside of the U.S.
7	Q	What type of clients did you have in that work?
8	A	Our clients were primarily the international
9		financial agencies such as the World Bank,
10		the Asian Development Bank, the United Nations,
11		Interdevelopment American Bank. We also did
12		work directly for national governments on
13		similar projects.
14	Q	Would you give us an example or two of the
15		type of work that you did during your period
16		of association with Lewis Berger?
17	A	Yes. I guess a good example would be the
18		first project I did for them overseas where I
19		was the general economist on a project in
20		Thailand. There was a large area southeast
21		of Bangkok that's called the Sattahip.
22	Q	Could you spell that?
23	A	Sattahip, S-a-t-t-a-h-i-p. And the situation
24		there was that both U.S. and Thai military
25	dor	nbusch-direct-echohawk

1		bases had been developed in that region.
2		The Thai government was very concerned about
3		the shift away from the natural resources
4		base of economy to the military base, and it
5		was our job to develop a plan for the region
6		which would orient the economy back to that
7		natural resource base. And project I was
8		there for about nine months of the project.
9		My responsibilities were to work with a team.
10		of engineers and others, and look at what the
11		potentials were for development, and I was
12		focusing primarily on agriculture, which was
13		in that region essentially one crop. It was
14		called mandioc or we know it as tapioca,
15		grown for animal food.
16	Q	Could you spell mandioc?
17	A	M-a-n-d-i-o-c.
18		
19		
20		
21		* * * *
22		
23		
24		

dornbusch-direct-echohawk

25

24

25

1		and I guess to sum up, one of my conclusions
2		was that if they were able to obtain access
3		to a port that the Thai government would not
4		have to spend a great deal of money on, they
5		would be able to market their products outside
6		of the region, which they hadn't been very
7		successful in doing before outside of the
8		country. In fact, I have learned that about -
9		I guess it's over a year now, maybe two years
10		ago, my recommendations were accepted in that
11		the U.S. government would turn over well,
12		originally my recommendation was that in the
13		development of the military port at Sattahip,
14		which was to supply the air base, that part
15		of that port be dedicated to the domestic Thai
16		civil products, and that ultimately if they
17		could succeed in negotiating a transfer of
18		the entire military port later, to have the
19	 	U.S. military help convert that military part
20		to civilian purposes, and I understand about
21		a year or over a year ago that occurred.
22	Q	Would you give us another example of your
23		projects you worked on overseas?

dornbusch-direct-echohawk

Immediately after the Thai project, I went over

to Tran, and this was an irrigated agricultural development project in Jiroft Minab Valley south and somewhat east of Tehran, somewhat just south and east of the Persian Gulf.

THE SPECIAL MASTER: Was this in '70?

THE WITNESS: That was in '67. And I

was part of a team composed of agronomists,

water resource engineers, farm management

specialists, transportation engineers. It's

somewhat of an interesting story, so give me
a minute.

That valley about 2,000 years ago was in heavy irrigated agricultural use, and the way they used to do that is they would bring water from the mountains drowning the valley in a system of underground tunnels that were hand dug tunnels by a group of people that they maintained with special privileges and food, and it was really dependent upon the monarchy to do that, and they had, as I said, a successful irrigation project there. Well, after the monarchy, the ancient monarchy went out of existence, the tunnel collapsed and the valley went back to dry farming as best as they could dornbusch-direct-echohawk

40) 4(\$1 24)4 2(6); (H(*) (4) 4 2(6); (30) (4) 82*) PRONTIER REPORTING SERVICE

2.35 M PWEST BUILD NO CASELLE WY 82601 1307) 237 1433

	maintain it. The engineers that I was
	working for had found through drilling deep
	wells, they found very high-quality water.
	In fact, it was artesian water, and as a
	result of the finding of that water, the
;	Iranian government then hired us to perform
	feasibility studies to determine what crops
	could be grown there, what the costs of
	growing those crops would be, costs of trans-
	porting them to markets, what markets, and
	the returns that could be obtained in those
:	markets. I was responsible for working with
	the agronomists and the farm management
	specialists to put together their cost, analyze.
	the transportation costs myself, and the
i	returns that could be obtained in the markets.
	And if you know anything about Iran, the
	marketing is very complex and not all that
	well understood by anybody but the people in
	it, and it entailed a great amount of inter-
	viewing and gathering of data. In many respects
	it entailed some of the a lot of the same
	kind of functions I performed here on this
	project.

1	Q	Would you give us real briefly, kind of hit
2		a couple other real high points of the
3		feasibility analysis you performed also with
4		Louis Berger real shortly, if possible?
5	A	Okay. Yes, there were a number of other
6		studies overseas. One was I was an economist
7		on a Brazilian master transportation study
8		for the World Bank, and did some other studies
9		in Paraguay, and that one was feasibility
10		of either rehabilitating the National Rail-
11		road or converting to a highway system to
12		transport their agricultural, commercial and
13		industrial products. There were a number of
14		other feasibility studies, also economic
15		impact studies, and as I said, all in all I
16		spent about three years overseas.
17	Q	What did you do upon your return to the United
18		States?
19	A	I became the director of domestic economic
20		planning for Louis Berger, and as such headed,
21		directed and also worked on a number of
22		economic feasibility and impact studies in
23		the United States.
24	Q	How long did you stay in that position?
25	dor	nbusch-direct-echohawk

20 1 Marko (1960) 1960 Abd B. Marke, C. (1970) 2007 (1970) 177 (1970)

The second of th

1		
1	A	That was about a year.
2	Q	What did you do after that?
3	A	The firm acquired an engineering firm in
4		San Francisco who in many respects was similar
5		to Louis Berger, they were an international
6		engineering consultant, but they had no
7		economic activities and I was transferred to
8		San Francisco to that firm. The name is
9		McCreary-Koretsky International, and my
10		responsibility as vice president of economic
11		and financial planning was, one, to help
12		integrate the firms by creating an economic
13		division with McCreary-Koretsky, and then to
14		market and run studies there.
15	Q	How long were you with McCreary-Koretsky?
16	A	About a year.
17	Q	What did you do after leaving there?
18	A	Then I formed my own firm.
19	Q	That was what year?
20	A	1971, ten years ago.
21	Q	Could you give us a general description of the
22		overall type of strike that, I've already
23		covered that once.
24		At Dornbusch and Company would you give us
25	dor	nbusch-direct-echohawk

1		a description of the agricultural-related
2		work, a little more detailed description
3		of agricultural-related work by you and your
4		firm? Specifically, if possible, highlight
5		your involvement in each project.
6	A	All right. Our agricultural work has focused
7		primarily on the feasibility of developing
8		irrigated agricultural projects. These
9		projects are located primarily in the western
10		United States well, exclusively in the
11		western United States.
12	Q	All right. Do those deal with Indian tribes?
13	A	Yes, they do.
14	Ω	What Reservations are involved?
15	A	Would you like me to list them?
16	Ω	Yes.
17	A	Okay. The first study involved the Fort
18		Berthold Reservation and the Rose; Bud Sioux
19		Reservation. The second one was for the
20		Coleville Indian Reservation.
21		THE SPECIAL MASTER: Coleville in Utah
22		or Coleville in Oregon?
23		THE WITNESS: No, Coleville in Montana
24		excuse me, in Washington. After that, and the
25	dorr	nbusch-direct-echohawk

対域はAに対象できた。 ASELの表示をよって 337、またり16

1		study that is going on now is for the Northern
2		Cheyenne. We finished or I finished the
3		study for five mission bands near San Diego;
4		the Pala, Pauma, Rincon, San Pasqual and
5		LaJolla Bands. It's a group of Reservations
6		clustered near one another. Another study
7		that's going on now that's not an
8		agricultural study, excuse me, and a study
9		that is going on is for the San Carlos Apache
10		in New Mexico Arizona, excuse me.
11	Q	A description of your development in Fort
12		Berthold and Rose Bud Sioux, what did you do?
13	A	I was the principal investigator, that was
14		my title. I oversaw the project, I directed
15		the project.
16	Q	You said you oversaw and directed. Did you
17		do any of the actual data gathering for review?
18	A	I did not do the field data gathering, that
19		was the responsibility of Jim Merchant who
20		testified here earlier, but I directed the
21		structure of the study, what methods we were
22		going to use, how we were going to go about
23		the study; then I oversaw the gathering of the
24		information and reviewed the analysis.
25	dori	nbusch-direct-echohawk

1	Q	What specifically was the study about on those
2		Reservations?
3	A	Okay, that was an analysis of the importance
4		of water to the economic development of the
5		Reservations, essentially looking at what the
6		limitation of water supply would mean to in-
7		hibiting economies of the Reservation.
8	Q	Now about on the Coleville Reservation?
9	A	That was part of a litigation, water rights
10		litigation, and I was responsible for
11		developing an analysis of the cropping pattern
12		of budgets and returns available.
13	Q	Did you have an occasion on that project to
14		determine crop yields?
15	A	determine crop yields? Yes, I did.
16	Q	Did you also on that determine ' cropping
17		patterns?
18	A	Yes, I did.
19	Q	Okay. Could you just will you please
20		skip through Northern Cheyenne and also what
21		your involvement was there?
22	A	Okay. This is again a water rights litigation.
23		We are doing both the agricultural and the
24		non-agricultural water development analysis.
25	dori	nbusch-direct-echohawk

CALPER ATTACK

We are, incidentially, working with Stetson Engineers and HKM, as well, on that study. It's going on presently, and we are involved now, and I am presently developing crop budgets and yields and analyzing the terms. The mission bands study was an analysis 6 of the damages. This is an Indians claims case. It's an analysis of the damages that occurred to those Reservations as a result of their deprivation of water historically. It's 10 not a water rights claim, but a damages claim. 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22

DORNBUSCH_DIRECT_ECHOHAWK

23

24

dornbusch-direct-echohawk

وا مارس مارس

The state of the s

1	:	production facilities and trans-shipment points.
2		Also looking at things like an impact on recreation
3		of those kinds of developments. We've done things,
4		urban studies such as the impact of high rise
5		building developments in urban areas, intensive
6		development impact of the Bart System in the
7		San Francisco Bay area on the economy, and land
8 -		use of the region.
9		I did a large study in the Tahoe Basin on
10		which we looked not only into the economic develop-
11		ment potentials but what the impact would be of
12		those developments, and the implications for
13		social and environmental conditions in the basin.
14	Q	All in all, Mr. Dornbusch, how many years exper-
15		ience do you have as an economist?
16	A	Since the beginning from the time I graduated,
17		15 years approximately.
18	Q	Mr. Dornbusch, I hand you what has been marked
19		as United States' Exhibit WRIR-C-266. Would you
20		please identify this exhibit for us.
21	A	This is a copy of my current resume.
22	Q	Mr. Dornbusch, is there a correction to be made
23		regarding your education?
24	A	Yes.
25	dorn	busch-direct-echohawk

1.7-3		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	4908
,		, , 	
	1	Q	Could you just go ahead and write that in on the
·	2		original exhibit and tell us what correction
- •	3		you're making.
•	4	A	Under education it's Cornell University, B.S.
y	5		and this is a typo, it should read B.C.E.
y' .	6	Q	To the best of your knowledge, Mr. Dornbusch,
,	7		is your resume accurate?
.	8	A	I believe it is without exception.
•	9		MR. ECHOHAWK: Your Honor, at this time I
7 5	10		would offer Mr. David Dornbusch as an expert
)	11		in economics and economic feasibility analysis.
3	12		THE SPECIAL MASTER: Is there a desire to
3	13		voir dire either the witness or the resume?
	14		MR. MERRILL: Your Honor, I don't believe
)	15		the resume's been offered, but I would like to
•	16		voir dire the witness.
			THE SPECIAL MASTER: All right.
	17		VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION
•	18	BY M	R. MERRILL:
•	19		
•	20	Q	Mr. Dornbusch, did you take any economics courses
•	21		at Cornell as part of your undergraduate studies?
9	22	A	One.
	23	Ω	What course was that?
	24	A	It was an introductory economics course.
	25		busch-direct-echohawk
		dorn	busch-voir dire-merrill

こうしょう 食の性質者 えんな装備を行為者所有のなか 神行とし アイン・ディー かいしょうというしょ

Misself to the Control of the second second

		The state of the s
1	Q	Did you take any other economics courses at Cornell?
2	A	There were one, perhaps two. I've forgotten
3	<u>.</u>	exactly. It was in the engineering school, it's
4		called engineering economics, I believe.
5	Q	It was taught by the engineering school?
6	A	It was taught in the engineering school. Does that
7		answer your question?
8	Q	Yes. Thank you.
9		Isn't it true that as part of your studies
10		at Harvard for your Master's in Business Adminis-
11		tration, you focused on financial analysis as
12		opposed to economic analysis?
13	A	Oh, I wouldn't say there's a real distinction
14		there, I don't think the business school would
15		identify an area called economic analysis.
16		Financial analysis included economic analysis.
17	Q	Would you say that your studies focused on
18		economic analysis?
19	A	Well, they focused on studies dealing with both
20		economics and finance, in that we were, I think
21		it was primarily focused on things that dealt
22		with the kinds of things that are considered in
23		both economic and financial analysis, yes.
24	Q	Mr. Dornbusch, what are the major differences
25		between an economic analysis and a financial
	dor	nbusch-voir dire-merrill

Action to the second se

analysis?

3

10

11

- A Essentially the differences that a financial analysis deals with the actual dollars that exchange through hands and an economic analysis deals with the same kinds of principles, but instead of dealing with the precise dollars, will seek to evaluate the resources that are used in the project and developed in a project in terms of what we call their true values and not necessarily what their dollar cost is in the market.
 - Q What do you mean by "true values"?
- The values in terms of its value to the society A 12 in which it's being consumed or generated, and 13 their techniques for doing that and, for example, 14 the World Bank, the United Nations, all the 15 national development banks, when they develop 16 a project it's in economic terms and they use 17 techniques which seek, if the dollar value in . 18 the market is not representative of the true 19 value, will get rid of those effects and seek the 20 true value in order to develop the analysis. 21
 - Q Are you saying then that an economic analysis is more of a societal prospective on the costs?
 - A Yes, I think that would be a fair expression of it. dornbusch-voir dire-merrill

22

23

1		THE SPECIAL MASTER: Which one is prone to
2		give some attention to impact, financial or
3	•	economic?
4		THE WITNESS: They both give attention to
5		impacts. It's a matter of the point of view.
6	Q	(By Mr. Merrill) Mr. Dornbusch, do you consider
7	:	yourself to be knowledgeable as a theoretic
8		economist?
9	A	In some areas I think so, yes.
10	Q	Do you consider yourself to be knowledgeable
11		concerning the theories of benefit cost analysis.
12	A	Yes, I do.
13	Q	Would you please tell the Court what is an in-
14		commensurable benefit?
15	A	I think I would have trouble doing that.
16	Q	Can you tell the Court what the difference is
17		between an incommensurable benefit and an intangible
18		benefit?
19	A	I think I'd have trouble doing that.
20	Q	Okay. Can you tell the Court or define for the
21		Court the term consumer surplus?
22		MR. ECHOHAWK: Objection, Your Honor, this
23		isn't a quiz.
24		MR. MERRILL: That's absolutely what it is,
25	dor	nbusch-voir dire-merrill

Your Honor, he's being offered as an expert witness, and --

THE SPECIAL MASTER: Voir dire is an exercise long devoted to allowing questions testing the man's qualifications as an expert and somewhat his experience and education. It goes into it, and I am not sure that I think that failure to answer necessarily reflects on the witness, but I'm not sure that I can quarrel with the question either. Go ahead.

- Q (By Mr. Merrill) Would you like me to repeat the question?
- A Yes.

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

A

- Q Would you please define consumer surplus: for the Court.
 - I think going back to your earlier question, you asked me to distinguish true benefits, I think

 I can deal with intangible benefits. An intangible benefit is one that isn't necessarily possible to measure in dollar terms, but is a benefit nevertheless. I think an example might be free time, the quality of a resource that might not be easily expressed in dollar terms or available in that kind of measure.

dornbusch-voir dire-merrill

24

25

The second secon

Q Okay.

> THE SPECIAL MASTER: Can you define consumer surplus, was the question?

THE WITNESS: Yes. Consumer surplus is essentially that amount of value in something which you buy, for example, which is over and above what you have to pay for it. In other words, if you would actually be willing to pay more than you have to pay in the market, the difference what you'd be willing to pay and what you actually do pay is your consumer surplus.

- (By Mr. Merrill) Would you describe for the Court the relationship between consumer surplus and benefit cost analysis?
- Well, first of all, a benefit cost analysis can take a number of forms, and as I said before, it depends on the point of view. The benefit cost analysis could be in strictly financial terms, it could be in terms of the point of view, say, of the national economy where you're seeking to evaluate the benefits and the cost in the terms of their value as a social cost or benefit. And in that respect you'd be trying dornbusch-voir dire-merrill

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Q

A

403 WEST 24TH STREET

CHEATUME MA 85001

(307) 635 8280

2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

A

to seek the true values to the national economy.

- Why don't we limit the question now to benefit Q cost analysis in the economic sense.
- Okay. If you're seeking to measure the benefits Α and you're seeking to measure benefits that determine the value to society and you feel that it's appropriate to include the consumer surplus, you might very well be justified in including consumer surplus in the benefits.
 - Do you feel that in performing an economic bene-Q fit cost analysis it is appropriate to include consumer surplus?
 - Well, consumer surplus is a very difficult thing to measure, and the problem is that as soon as you try you begin to get into a world which becomes very debatable. And for example, one way to try to measure consumer surplus is to take a survey and ask people regardless of what you have to pay for something, what would you be willing to pay for it if you -- if it was priced at any level, how far would you go. Well, the problem with that is that it's not clear that what somebody says he's willing to pay is a true reflection of what he actually would pay, and the dornbusch-voir dire-merrill

FBONTIER REPORTING SERVICE

4

problem you run into is very clearly that if you're talking to a conservationist and a member of the Sierra Club and ask him or her what he'd be willing to pay for a recreation resource, since he or she doesn't actually have to pay that, they can say anything they want, recognizing that somebody's going to use that value and work it into an analysis and come out with these very high values. On the other hand if that person, say is a member of an oil company and you're. asking him or her what they'd be willing to pay for recreation at the Santa Barbara Channel at the beach and they recognize somebody maybe evaluating they're benefits in terms of their answer, that might penalize development of oil.

THE SPECIAL MASTER: Especially if they're associated with Poly Partners or Husky Oil.

THE WITNESS: They might very well give a low answer, so you run into those kinds of

There are proxy measures, there are other ways of trying to get at what the consumer surplus is in other ways, but because they're open to questions, because they're debatable, dornbusch-voir dire-merrill

1307) 635 8280

24

3

•

J

•

R

9

10

11

13

12

14

15

16

18

17

19

20

22

21

23

24

25

THE SPECIAL MASTER: To complete your answer to Mr. Merrill's question, if you were to include consumer surplus in the benefit cost analysis, at least would you identify and give those subjective analyses you just made?

THE WITNESS: If it's possible. If the consumer surplus seems to be large, for example, over and above what the market was valuing the resource, if there seemed to be a lot of consumer surplus and if you ignored it, if you would be wundervaluing the benefits, yes, I think it might be important to try, and at least try to attach some value to that or atileast say there is a large consumer surplus involved, like a recreational development project. There would be a good example. However, on the other hand, where there is a free market operating, where you have a very competitive free market, there tends to be little, if any, consumer surplus because people are able to bid the price if it's actually free to the level that there is virtually no consumer surplus. Like an auction; if you auction off a product and you allow people to bid freely, the theory goes that they will bid up to the point that they are willing to pay, and no more for it, and you won't have much, dornbusch-voir dire - merrill

201 MOARCHELLOS

कुछ प्रमुख्य ३४मम ९११स्टर जनस्य क्षेत्र एक ४३ फर्ट

4307) 635 BZBS

1		if any, consumer surplus.
2	Q.	(By Mr. Merrill) Do you believe that the consumer
3		surplus notion is relevant at all to the cost side
4		of an economic benefit costs analysis?
5	A.	Well, yes.
6	Q.	Will you please define an externality for the Court?
7		THE SPECIAL MASTER: An externality?
8		MRR.MERRILL: An externality, Your Honor.
9		THE SPECIAL MASTER: An externality?
10		MR. MERRILL: Yes, Your Honor.
11		MR. ECHOHAWK: Same objection, Your Honor.
12		THE SPECIAL MASTER: Well, before I can rule on
13		it, I have to know how to spell it.
14		MR. MERRILL: E-x-t-e-r-n-a-1-i-t-y, Your
15		Honor.
16		THE SPECIAL MASTER: Extranality?
17		MR. MERRILL: I believe it is pronounced
18		externality, Your Honor.
19		THE SPECIAL MASTER: E-x-t-e-r?
20		MR. MERRILL: Yes.
21		THE SPECIAL MASTER: Now, the objection?
22		MR. ECHOHAWK: Same objection. This isn't a
23		course in economics, it is to test the qualifications
24		as to whether the man is experienced enough to make
25	dor	nbusch-voir dire- merrill

201 Million Carlos California (California) (

-2

Z

3

.

_

10

11

13

12

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

a judgment to render an opinion in this case, not whether he remembers textbook definitions Mr. Merrill has dug out from who knows where.

MR. MERRILL: Your Honor, these are not textbook definitions, these are terms that are going to relate very directly to the economic analysis Mr. Dornbusch will testify about on his direct examination. He is being offered as an expert in economics and economic analysis.

THE SPECIAL MASTER: I will overrule the objection, but after about seven more definitions of terms, I might sustain the objection on the basis, you know, of redundancy or saturation.

MR. MERRILL: I think I have five or six more, Your Honor.

THE SPECIAL MASTER: Let's go ahead with the definition of externality. I want to know what it means,

THE WITNESS: Yes. An externality is, as the word implies, something that seems to occur external to the immediate affect that you see. For example, if you were to develop a water resource project like a, oh, let's say a recreation project on a lake, there may be benefits that come external to the actual dornbusch-voir dire-merill

water resource development projection that lake.

You may affect the air quality, you may affect -you may generate noise in the region. These have
economic implications, but they are external to the
immediate -- to the immediate project.

And anticipating Mr. Merrill's next question, depending upon your point of view, they may be very important and, in fact, in some cases they are considered the mostimportant part of the project.

- Q. (By Mr. Merrill) How do you determine whether factors such as noise and other impacts you described are, indeed, external to a given situation?
- A. It requires isolation; but you must determine that the noise or noise external factors you are looking at, are, indeed, associated with that project and not associated with others.

THE SPECIAL MASTER: They may be external to the project, but they have to be a root to the project, is that right, a creation?

THE WITNESS: That's right.

- Q. (By Mr. Merrill) Would you describe the difference between a pecuniary externality and technological externality?
- A. I'm not sure what pecuniary means.

 dornbusch-voir dire- merrill

14 15

16

17

18

20

19

21

22

23

24

25

3 M 3 M 166 15.

A A STOR GARAGE

The first the second of the se

1	Q.	A pecuniary from a
2		MR. MERRILL: From a technological.
3		THE WITNESS: No, I don't have a ready definition
4		for you.
5	Q.	(By Mr. Merrill) Mr. Dornbusch, are you aware of a
6		concept called the fundamental
7	A.	But I could define a kind of technological externality.
8	Q.	Please go ahead. I didn't mean to cut you off.
9	A.	The space program has generated a great number of
10		technological externalities, essentially benefits
11		from the space program that were not a focus
12		originally of the space program, they're kind of
13	£,3	offshoots from it.
14	Q.	Are you familiar with the concept that sometimes is
15		known as the fundamental rule of benefit costs
16		analysis?
17	A.	Say that again.
18	Q.	Are you familiar with a concept that is sometimes
19		known as the fundament rule of benefit costs analysis?
20		MR. ECHOHAWK: Objection, Your Honor.
21		Mr. Merrill says "sometimes known." Sometimes known
22		to whom, and in what context are we speaking?
23		MR. MERRILL: To economists, Your Honor.
24		THE SPECIAL MASTER: You are getting close,
25	dor	nbusch-voir dire - merrill

,我们就是我们的一个人,我们就是一个人的,我们就是我们的一个人的,我们就是我们的一个人的,我们就是我们的一个人的,我们也是我们的一个人的一个人的一个人的一个人 第一章

17 - D

Mr. Echohawk. One or two more times. When we can hook these things to the BC cost factor, they become a little more relevant because this is a field that 3 the BIA has spent four generations in since 1912 --4 since 1901 beginning in Shoshone, Wyoming, with what is a benefit cost ratio, what is it, what is 1.51, 6 what does -- it almost hurts in your head on what is relevant and what isn't. MR. ECHOHAWK: My point is, Your Honor, perhaps these types of questions would be more appropriate 10 during cross-examination once we have a little more 11 meat to deal with. 12 THE SPECIAL MASTER: You have a point. There may 13 be some examples where they are thought to be 14 applied and they might affect his testimony, but 15 I would imagine a few more questions and we may be 16 passing judgment on whether he can continue with 17 this or not. 18 You may answer, Mr. Dornbusch, if you can. 19 I can't define the fundamental rule THE WITNESS: 20 for it. 21 (By Mr. Merrill) Are you familiar with the concept Q. 22 of shadow pricing? 23 Yes. 24 dornbusch-voir dire - merrill 25

> 40) WEST 24TH STREET - CHEYENNE, YEY BYDD 1 - 307) 635 8280

PRONTIER REPORTING SERVICE

1994年 - 17代の教授 1997年 - 東海の政府 25年である。 - 1877年2月1日 14年8

1		Q.
2		
3		A.
4		Q.
5		Α.
6		
7		
8	ļ	
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		13
14		
15		~ •3
16		
17		
18		Q.
19		

20

21

22

23

24

25

Q.	Do you	u know	what	assumpt	enoi	must be	made	by economist	:
	when	using	shadow	price	in a	benefit	cost	analysis?	

- A. Well, let me tell you what a shadow price is.
- Q. Okay.
- A. A shadow price is the same thing as the opportunity cost, it is an assignment of a value which seeks to reflect what its true value is in terms of, say, a national perspective, and in this case it's a relevant question. Just because you pay X number of dollars for something doesn't mean it's value to the nation is that amount of dollars. In fact, the value-might be quite different. And what the value to the nation is is called the shadow price or its opportunity cost. The way you measure it, and I suspect it is what Mr. Merrill is getting at, is that you seek to determine what the value of that resource is in terms of its next best use.
 - What assumptions must be made when you use shadow pricing in those benefit costs analyses?

MR. ECHOHAWK: Objection, Your Honor, assumptions as to what?

THE SPECIAL MASTER: Since it failed to be shown it is used in benefit cost analysis, you might check on what assumptions did he use. But do you have very dornbusch - voir dire - merrill

A Peter San April 2

many more of these, four or five more? MR. MERRILL: I have two or three, Your Honor, specific economic terms. I have other voir dire. 4 THE SPECIAL MASTER: If you know of any specifics 5 that you must put before you crank in a shadow price 6 of BC ratio, state it, if you know of any. THE WITNESS: Well, the assumption is that the 8 value that is expressed in the market is not the true value to the nation. 10 THE SPECIAL MASTER: Which puts a stronger test, a more harsh test, on coming up with an acceptable 11 BC ratio, does it not, or more realistic one? 12 THE WITNESS: Well, it would be from the point of 13 14 view of the nation, it would give you a more realistic 15 value, yes. (By Mr. Merrill) Mr. Dornbusch, when you are designing 16 Q. a project and evaluating its feasibility, what is meant 17 by the internal rate of return for a project? 18 Okay. When you evaluate a project and you seek to Α, 19 lay out the costs and the returns, you will find 20 generally that not all the costs and returns occur 21 right at the same time, that they will occur at 22 different points in time. Incorder to relate them 23 together, you have to recognize that there is a value 24 dornbusch - voir dire - merrill 25

3

2.33

in that time difference. What I am saying is this: That if I were to promise to give you a dollar today or promise to give you a dollar in tenyyears and ask you which one you want, I think you would say you want a dollar today because that has some time value to it. Well, it's the same principle here. Costs that occur today of the same magnitude of the costs that occur in the future are of a higher value; same thing with benefits. Okay. So in order to relate the costs and the benefits that occur through time to each other, you do an analysis which will determine how they relate to one another, and you can do that in terms of -- in two ways; you can develop a benefit cost rationwhich uses a discount rate or something just like the internal rate, or you can express it another Instead of describing it as a benefit cost ratio, you could lay out these costs and returns and express the returns in an internal rate return, so you could say either thepproject is feasible as it has a benefit cost ratio of, say, 1.2, benefits to cost, or it has an internal rate of return of, let's say, 7 percent, positive rate of return 7 percent, or either way you would show it being feasible. The two methods are not -- they are dornbusch - voir dire - merrill

equivalent in terms of expressing that feasibility, you could do it either way. It is a matter of preference.

...

ZU

1	Q.	(By Mr. Merrill) Is there a difference between the
2		internal rate of return of a project and the net
3		present value of a project?
4	A.	Oh, yes. The internal rate of return is a rate, a
5		percentage. The net present value is simply the
6		benefits minus the costs, the dollars.
7	Q.	Is there any relationship between the two?
8	A.	Well, yes. If you were to take a stream of bene-
9		fits and costs, you could either discount that
10		stream back tothe present, using a discount rate
11		and coming up with a net benefit or you could ex-
12		press that stream in terms of an internal rate of
13		return.
14	Q.	Are you familiar with the concept known as compen-
15		sating variation?
16	A.	Compensating variation, I could not define that for
17		you.
18	Q.	Mr. Dornbusch, under what dircumstances is it ap-
19		propriate to use benefit cost analysis as a public
20		decision-making tool?
21	A.	It's appropriate whenever the public decision
22		makers feel that they want to make a decision
23		based upon economic values or financial values,
24		in terms of dollars or an expression of returns
25	dor	nbusch - voir dire - merrill

•		
1		to dollars.
2	Q.	You testified on your direct examination that you
3		did some evaluation of irrigated agriculture for the
4		Coalville Reservation. Is that true?
5	Α.	That's true.
6	Q.	Did you testify as a result of that work?
7	A.	I did not.
8	Q.	Did you write a report as a result of that work?
9	A.	I developed a series of exhibits, yes, I'd say you'd
10		call it a report.
11	Q.	Were those exhibits admitted into evidence by Judge
12		Neal?
13	A.	Were they, excuse me?
14	Q.	Admitted into evidence.
15	A.	They were not presented.
16	Q.	You stated on your direct testimony that you've
17		worked as a consulting economist for fifteen years;
18		is that correct?
19	A.	That's correct.
20	Ω.	And during that time you have never testified as an
21		expert; is that correct?
22	A.	I have never testified in a water rights case as an
23		expert witness like in the situation like this, that's
24	٠٠,,	correct. But I have testified as to my findings
	dor	nbush - voir dire - merrill

before groups in the International Financial
Institution, various people who are interested in the
results of the studies that are done.

MR. MERRILL: Your Honor, I have no further questions on voir dire, and the State of Wyoming would object to the qualification of Mr. Dornbusch in economics and economic analysis on the grounds that number one, goes to --

THE SPECIAL MASTER: I presume Mr. Echohawk will move to its admission and you're objecting?

MR. MERRILL: I think Mr. Echohawk proffered Mr. Dornbusch as an expert.

MR. ECHOHAWK: That's right, Your Honor.

THE SPECIAL MASTER: I beg your pardon.

MR. MERRILL: And if I'm not mistaken, in the field of economy and economic analysis.

MR. ECHOHAWK: Economic feasibility analysis.

MR. MERRILL: Economic feasibility analysis.

The field of economics as you're well aware, and as

Mr. Dornbusch testified, in the work of his own firm,

is very broad, and I don't believe that it's proper

to qualify someone over such a broadly-dispirit

field as economics, per se, since there are many types

of economics involved here.

dornbasch -voir dire-merrill

CM CASE A

A comment of

^

2

4

J

__

8

10

12

11

13

14

15

16

17

19

18

20

21

22

23

24

25

Secondly, I don't believe that Mr. Dornbusch can qualify on economic feasibility analysis because he was unfamiliar with some of the fundamental terms that are used to define economic feasibility analysis and its application to certain situations.

Third, Mr. Dornbusch has never been qualified as an expert economist in the water rights proceeding, and in a case of this magnitude, I don't think it's appropriate to do so for the first time.

THE SPECIAL MASTER: I'd like to respond to a few of those observations, Mr. Merrill. They're probably allatrue and with merit, but I think I must, in a matter of eminent fairness, overrule them and admit him as an expert because one, there has never been a water case in America quite like this one; we're setting a national precedent, not a world-wide precedent, saying here is the way this dispute will be settled and there will not be an Indian Claims Commission adjudication, there will not be a big massive public works project where you can go in and claim BC rates in order to accept it publicly, you know, a political benefit to all people of a given It's a new whole ballgame and we're treading new fields, and we have to accept and work with what dornbusch-voir dire - merrill

4307) 6 15 6259

we can.

Secondly, I think his qualifications are adequate to qualify him as an expert witness, and like the rest of us in life he has to begin somewhere, so this is my first case as a Special Master, it's his first water case as an expert to testify, and I think I'll admit him on the basis of what I feel is a competent-cy to proceed as an expert in his special field in the economic feasibility of what we're talking about in the Wind River Indian Reservation.

MR. MERRILL: Thank you, Your Honor.

DIRECT EXAMINATION (Continued)

BY MR. ECHOHAWK:

Q. Mr. Dornbusch, what was your responsibility --

THE SPECIAL MASTER: Do any of you want to take a five-minute break, I'll give it to you?

MR. ECHOHAWK: Maybe it's just as good a time. We're getting ready to plunge into it so it may be a good time.

THE SPECIAL MASTER: All right, let's take a five-minute break.

22

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

23

24

1		THE SPECIAL MASTER: Let's resume, please.
2		Mr. Echohawk?
3	Q.	(By Mr. Echohawk) Mr. Dornbusch, please explain for
4		us what your responsibility was with regards to the
5		future lands portion of the United States' case.
6	A.	Yes. My responsibility was to determine the feasibility
7		of the development of those futuresländs. The development-
8		THE SPECIAL MASTER: All five units?
9		THE WITNESS: All five units, correct.
10	Q.	(By Mr. Echohawk) When you say the future lands
11		or the five units, do you know specifically the names
12		of those units that we are sure we are talking about
13		the same thing?
14	Α.	Yes. North Crowheart, South Crowheart, Riverton East,
15		Arapahoe Flats and Big Horn I'm sorry, Arapahoe and
16		Big Horn Flats.
17	Q.	You did a feasibility analysis. Will you please
18		explain for us a little more what that is, what kind
19		of feasibility analysis?
20	A.	Okay. Perhaps to illustrate, we performed an
21		economic feasibility analysis. It's the feasibility
22		analysis using the techniques and approaches that
23		I feel are most appropriate for evaluating the
24		feasibility of these projects for a water rights
	dor	nbusch - direct - echohawk

claim.

- Q. You had just a little discussion with Mr. Merrill as to the difference between economic analysis and financial analysis. Is there anything else you would like to add to that discussion, what the difference is between those two?
- A. Well, perhaps to restate it, some of the things -perhaps I have left out some. The economic evaluation
 seeks to determine true value of the resources
 consumed and the resources developed in the project.
 When I say "true value," the value from the prospective
 of the people most concerned with the use and
 consumption of those resources. I feel in this
 case the national prospective is the most appropriate
 one, and I used an approach, techniques that are
 used by and recommended by the World Bank, the United
 Nations, our own Water Resources Council. It's a
 technique that seeks to evaluate the project on an
 equal level with all other projects of its kind
 that may be proposed anywhere in the United States.

For example, the techniques we used would evaluate an irrigated agricultural development project on the Wind River Reservation on the same basis we would a similar project right next door to dornbusch - direct - echohawk

CAMPBELL CO.

2367 237 11

And our analysis would show relative benefits and costs without special considerations for either one.

And let me be more specific. In our analysis we eliminate such things as subsidies, and we would eliminate other values that are really not an expression of the creation or the consumption of resources. I guess a telling way to show you to demonstrate the difference between the financial and economic, and in my case I'm choosing the economic, is that in the case of the Indian tribes they have a very unique subsidy available to them in the Leavitt Act that would permit them to borrow money to finance the construction of really the main cost components of the system.

THE SPECIAL MASTER: In putting together your costs -- in putting together your economic feasibility analysis, did you crank in a factor for cost of land?

THE SPECIAL MASTER: You did?

THE WITNESS: And I'll get to this,

I did.

THE SPECIAL MASTER: I don't want to anticipate your case.

dornbusch - direct- echohawk

THE WITNESS:

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

439 WEST 241H STREET THE REAGE, AN 82601 3337-835 9283 PRONTIER REPORTING SERVICE

CARLONE SALATER SALATERS

1		
1		MR. MERRILL: Excuse me for interrupting.
2		I move to strike that portion of Mr. Dornbush's
3		anwser concerning the Leavitt Act and whatever
4		he said about it, permitting Indian Tribes
5		to borrow money. That's an illegal conclusion
6		to the legal effect of the Leavitt Act. He's
7		not a lawyer, it's for this Court to decide
8		whether the Leavitt Act applies and secondly,
9		in what way it applies and what effects it has.
10		MR. ECHOHAWK: Your Honor, we've not
11		applied the
12		THE SPECIAL MASTER: If it was germaine,
13		I would sustain the objection. I think I'll
14		let it stay in the record for whatever it may
15	!	be worth, it's advantages or disadvantages,
16		if any, and what you're concerned with right
17		now
18		MR. ECHOHAWK: Let me clear that up with
19		Mr. Dornbusch.
20	Q	(By Mr. Echohawk) Mr. Dornbusch, in your
21		analysis, economic analysis, that you're
22		applying in this case, are you using the Leavitt
23		Act?
24	!	No, I did not.
25	dor	nbusch-direct-echohawk

A

4

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

23

24

25

In your reference to Leavitt Act, is that

in reference to what thing you could consider

in a financial analysis?

That's right. I was citing an example of why I think -- a telling example of why a financial analysis would not be appropriate in this case, And to go on, you were to analyze the effects of the Leavitt Act, you'd find that the Indians would be able to borrow a great deal of money to finance the construction of the project, they would not have to repay it for a great number of years, if at all, and the net effect in terms of the present value, which I talked a little bit about and I'll talk some more about it later, would be to cost the -- some of the largest development costs at zero or virtually zero. In effect, you'd come up with a very low cost for that development for the Indians, whereas non-Indians who may be trying to develop a similar project right next door who have those costs included and it would be clearly feasible for the Indians to develop the project and not necessarily feasible for someone else, the

dornbusch-direct-echohawk

TA OH GO S

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Q

A

evaluation would not be comparable at all, and it's a telling weakness in the financial approach.

However, in the economic evaluation, you would be evaluating them on balanced terms. and we actually do include all of those costs. We do not defer those costs for any consideration of the Leavitt Act. When I get into my analysis you'll see how I handle it further. What other specialized considerations would be found in a financial analysis that are not included in an economic analysis? Oh, such things as other types of subsidies such as artifically raising the prices of commodities that are sold which do not represent their true value. Transfers within the economy that really don't represent a consumption of goods or services but just a movement of funds which, for example, in the Indians' case, the Indians don't pay taxes that nonIndians would, and if you had to include those taxes, it would again unfavorably,

dornbusch-direct-echohawk

it would show the project, the nonIndian

unfavorably relative to the Indians. So we

are seeking to evaluate, as I said, using the true value of resources the same way the WorldBank' would evaluate the project, the same way the United Nations would, and using essentially the principles that are recommended and perscribed by the Water Resources Council. MR. ECHOHAWK: Excuse me, Your Honor, can I have one minute? (Brief pause. 10 THE SPECIAL MASTER: Sooner or later --11 I'll wait for Mr. Echohawk to get through 12 with his exhibits over there. But I think 13 that sooner or later someone's going to direct 14 a question to you -- I'll just wait and see 15 if they do, if they don't then I will. 16 (By Mr. Echohawk) Mr. Dornbusch, I show you 17 what has been marked as United States Exhibit 18 WRIR C-267. Would you please identify what 19 267 is. 20 Would you like me to just identify it? Yes, just identify it for now. 22 It's a diagram which represents the process 23 we used in performing our economic feasibility 24

dornbusch-direct-echohawk

25

深為 短年 塔工业人

33 74 35 144

- 1		
1		analysis.
2	Q	Was this diagram prepared by you or under
3		your direction?
4	A	It was I prepared the flow diagram and it
5		was prepared by a graphic artist under my
6		direction, and perhaps I should explain. It's
7		specifically related to the analysis we did
8		for irrigated argriculture on the Wind River
9		Indian Reservation.
10	Q	Mr. Dornbusch, if you could step to the to
11		Exhibit 267 and give us a brief overview
12		of the process by which you made your economic
13		feasibility analysis.
14	P.	Yes. Beginning at the end, I'd just like to
15		show that where we're headed is the expression
16		of a benefit cost ratio, which expresses the
17		feasibility of each project area, each of the
18		five project areas that I named. The process
19		for determining that benefit cost ratio is
20		described here, and it essentially puts
21	1	together the components of the analysis that
22		we performed and shows how the components of
23		the analysis that the engineers performed
24		come in and integrate to complete the process
25	dor	nbusch-direct-echohawk

TO THE SECRETARY AND A SECRETARY RESERVED.

1		to arrive at those benefit cost ratios.
2	Q	Would you give us just a brief run through
3		of the items that are considered in what you
4		did?
5	A	Okay. The analysis begins with a selection
6		of crops and then an identification, a
7		determination of the crop yield which can be
8		expected, and it's done and I'll describe
9		in more detail later. According to two
10		different regions of the Reservation, high-
11		land or lowland, there is a distinction we make
12		because of difference in growing season and
13		suitability for crops.
14		Multiplying those by crop prices gives
15		us gross return, still by lowland and high-
16		land region. Subtracting the production
17		costs, which we determine, we get the net
18		returns for highland and lowland. Then from
19		a determination of the crop percent distribution
20		by highland and lowland area, we average to
21		determine the weighted average net return,
22		still by highland and lowland area.
23		Then recognizing the percentage of highland
24		and lowland area within each project area, we

the Bright

and the state of t

1		average again to determine the net returns for
2		each project area. Here we subtract out the
3		on-farm irrigation costs to determine the net
4		benefits by project area, and the major componen
5		then is the benefit cost ratio which has been
6		determined, and the benefits are here and we
7		bring then the irrigation system cost. Dividing
8		the net benefit irrigation cost gives us the
9		benefit cost ratio given by each project area.
10	Q	Okay. You can return to your seat.
11		Mr. Dornbusch, I hand you what has been
12		marked as United States Exhibit WRIR C-268.
13	:	Mr. Dornbusch, would you please identify
14		Exhibit C-268.
15	A	Yes. It begins with a brief statement which
16		is roughly what I just gave you orally,
17		describing the process by which we perform
18		the feasibility analysis, and that is followed
19		by a series of tables which present the values
20		of the various quantities that comprise the
21		analysis, and are presented, the tables are
22		presented in a series according to that flow
23		diagram which I described. It also includes
24		some detail of some of our analyses and

dornbusch-direct-echohawk

conclusions, again presented in the tables. And the final table, concluding as the flow diagram does with the benefit cost ratios for each project area. 4 Mr. Dornbusch, was Exhibit C-268 prepared by you or under your direction? Yes, it was. Mr. Dornbusch, in your brief description regarding Exhibit C-267, you mentioned the first thing you needed was crops. How did you 10 determine what crops were to be used in this 11 case or in your analysis? 12 Okay. Based on information from a number of 13 A sources, primarily a report that was prepared 14 by Douglas Agee, Extension Farm Management 15 specialist and associate professor at the 16 University of Wyoming, at least at that time 17 he was an associate professor, based upon 18 19 interviews with farmers, based upon interviews 20 with other knowlegeable people on and near the Reservation, such as other agricultural 21 extension agents, we sought to determine which 22 crops were most suited to that area based upon 23 agrinomic constraints, climatic soils, etcetera. 24

dornbusch-direct-echohawk

一个是我们是不能的人,我们还是我们的人们的人们

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

21

24

25

The control of the control of the control of the state of the control of the state of the state of the control of the control

Also which crops seemed to be raised in the area, therefore, show the most experience and the proof of the pudding, in that they actually not only could be raised but were being raised in the area. And then we selected crops which would

give us good returns which were going to give us good income which would tend to reduce risk in terms of both disease and pests, and also reduce risk of fluctuation in prices. And also to recognize the fact that the main agricultural activity on the Reservation is livestock, primarily cattle, and would provide the market nedessary to consume the products that we were seeking to grow on the Reservation.

- Mr. Dornbusch, what crops did you choose to Q use in your economic feasibility analysis?
- The crops werselected are the following: Alfalfa, A 19 malt barley, and then corn for both silage 20 and grain.
 - Mr. Dornbusch, I ask you to turn to, in Exhibit C-268, turn to Page 4, Table 1.
- Okay. 23 A
 - I noticed in the column there, first column dornbusch-direct-echohawk

}		
1		there is a couple items, bale straw and
2		aftermath. Are those considerations in
3		are those derivations of your crop considerations?
4	A	What's the question again?
5	Q	Bale straw and aftermath.
6	A	Yes.
7	Ω	Are those also part of your crop consideration?
8		MR. MERRILL: I object to the question.
9		The witness is now testifying to an exhibit
10		which is not yet in evidence.
11		MR. ECHOHAWK: Your Honor, the exhibit
12		is just merely being used as a point of
13		reference to following along, that there will
14		be no testimony to the figures derived in
15		this exhibit until it is offered.
16		MR. MERRILL: But the reference in the
17		question was to a certain page of the exhibit
18		itself.
19		MR. ECHOHAWK: It's merely for convenience.
20		I can ask him without the table. It's so every-
21		one can follow along.
22		THE SPECIAL MASTER: All right, objection
23		overruled.
24		THE WITNESS: Yes. On that exhibit there
25	dor	nbusch-direct-echohawk

1		are product crops that result after the
2		harvest of the main crops, and there are
3		returns to those, aftermath and baling of
4		the straw remaining after the barley harvest
5		and they're shown in my crop selection as
6		well.
7	Q	(By Mr. Echohawk) Are these crops that you
8		mentioned, the malt barley, corn for grain
9		and corn for silage, are those the same
10	:	crops described in Dr. Mesghinna's testimony?
11	A	Yes, they are.
12	Q	In your economic feasibility analysis on the
13		Wind River Reservation, did you use this
14		Did you use all these crops, the barley, the
15		alfalfa and the corn on all elevations of the
16		Reservation?
17		MR. MERRILL: Your Honor, I think we
18		moved on the preliminary phase of direct
19		examination and it's no longer appropriate
20		for Mr. Echohawk to use leading questions
21		to interrogate the witness.
22		THE SPECIAL MASTER: Well, he may ask
23		him what his authority was for using, for
	1	

25

selecting these crops.

JOTALOWEST BOOK NO. 100 CASCER MARKET BOOK CROSSESSORS TO FRE · 在一个人,不是一个人的人,这个人的人的人,他们就是一个人的人。

Ļ	
1	MR. MERRILL: That would be fine, but
2	questions are not containing all the facts
3	and the witness simply has to say yes, I did.
4	I think that's inappropriate.
5	THE SPECIAL MASTER: You may ask him
6	what authority he used to select the crops
7	he did.
8	MR. ECHOHAWK: Okay. My question, Your
9	Honor, went to the question of whether the
10	four crops that he described were used in the
11	analysis on all elevations of the Reservation.
12	THE SPECIAL MASTER: I thought he said
13	"Yes" to that. Then your next question had to
14	do with whether they were the same that Dr.
15	Mesghinna just testified to.
16	Would you go back to the question before
17	last, Merissa?
18	(Thereupon the following
19	(question was read back (as follows: "Q Are
20	(these crops that you (mentioned, the malt
21	(barley, corn for grain (and corn for sileage,
22	(are those the same crops (described in Dr.
23	(Mesghinna's testimony?"
24	THE SPECIAL MASTER: You may answer that.
	1

dornbusch-direct-echohawk

:	
1	THE WITNESS: No Ti did not. When we
2	divided the Reservation into two zones, I
3	determined that it was less likely to be able
4	to grow corn in the higher zone effectively,
5	and therefore, omitted corn, both corn silage:
6	and corn grain from the crops in the higher
7	elevation. So the lower elevation crop mix
8	includes the malt barley, the alfalfa and the
9	corn sileage and corn grain, but the higher
10	elevation only includes malt barley and alfalfa.
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	* * *
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
-	

25

23

21

dornbusch-direct-echohawk

2	

~

F

Q.	(By Mr. Echohawk) You have been mentioning high
	land and low land areas. WWhy do you make a breakdow
	between high land and low land?

As a result of our interviews, as a result of some of the reports that we have read, we found that there was a fair amount of opinion that in the higher elevations of the reservation, because of the shorter growing season, it might be difficult perhaps impossible to grow corn.

THE SPECIAL MASTER: Was it your judgment, therefore, to exclude or was it the recommendation of those experts whose business it is to make that determination to make the judgment call?

THE WITNESS: Okay. There was mixed opinion, and I'll tell you what the opinion was. In the report by the Bureau of Indian Affairs, I think it's called the Completion Report, the Bureau through their investigations determined that the logical split in the reservation would occur at the elevation 5900 feet.

Okay. I discussed this level and split with people from the Agricultural Extension Service and got a range of opinions that essentially said, well, yes, there seems to be a shorter growing season at the dornbusch - direct- echohawk

higher elevations, which may preclude the growing of corn above 5900 feet. However, in my discussions with farmers, some of them atdvery high elevations, including farmers at the 6500-foot level in the Crowheart area, I got farmers telling me that they had successfully grown corn at that elevation, that they had done it with what they felt good yields, that they saw no problems of growing corn at the higher elevations; in fact, the only reason they weren't still doing it was they were having difficulty in 10 finding laborers to harvest the corn and had 11 discontinued it, but had grown it well and stated the 12 opinion that they could grow it again and other farms 13 could grow it at that elevation. I felt that although 14 it was possible that farmers could grow it, I, on 15 the basis of the mixed divided opinion, I felt that 16 the conservative approach, that is the approach which 17 certainly would not overstate the situation, I felt 18 that it might be desirable to leave corn out of the 19 crop mix in the higher elevations and, therefore, 20 21

- Q. (By Mr. Echohawk) So what breakdown did you use, what elevation did you finally use?
- A. I used the 5900-foot elevation as the split behind the dornbusch direct- echohawk

22

23

Α.

10

12

11

13

14

15

16

17

19

18

20

21

22

23

24

25

high land and the low land area. I don't recall, Mr. Dornbusch, whether you mentioned what information you used in determining the crop selection, what crops you used. Could you; please, restate that?

I began by using a study that was prepared Okay. by Doug Agee, A-g-e-e, and his was asstudy that was based on an analysis of interviews of farmers in the Midvale Irrigation District, and I supported the conclusions of hist study with other interviews. As to the specific selection of crops, I had a numberato chose from which included all of the crops in here. I selected as the basis for my -as one of the main crops alfalfa for the reason I stated before, that it is an animal food, that it had the best return of all of the crops that I studied, 1111 it's highly marketable both on and off the reservation. The selection of malt barley was on the basis of the fact it has the second highest return of the crops that I looked at. It is an excellent crop in that area. It is sold to the beer brewing industry, and as I said, it has the second best return,

And the selection of corn was, as you will see later, part of the rotation of the alfalfa as is malting barley. I selected it because corn silage is a good dornbusch - direct- echohawk

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

23

24

25

market. The prices now are somewhat lower for corn
grain but there have been times in the past when the
net returns for corn grain have been even higher than
alfalfa and I felt that it would be wise to include
it in as a reduction of rrisks for the time in the
future when it's possible the prices again may shift
to such a point that corn grain may yield a higher
return, and I included it in. I believe that covers—
that covers the crops.

- Q. In reference to Exhibit C267, I believe you said the first step was to determine the crop, and we have got the crops selection now. What was the next step in your analysis after you determined what crops to use?
- A. Okay. The next step was to determine what crop yields we might expect at the high land and low land elevations.
- Q. Just to point out, Mr. Dornbusch, I reference you to what has been marked for identification as United States Exhibit WRIR C269. Would you just for the record identify that exhibit and explain why certain boxes on that exhibit are blanked out?
- A. Yes. As you can see, the structure of the exhibit dornbusch direct- echohawk

13071 635 8289

5

6

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

resembles exactly the structure of the first exhibit
we have been referring to, the flow diagram. However,
some boxes are blank and some are filled in. The
reason is that the second exhibit -- is that 269?

Q. Two hundred sixty-nine.

A. The purpose of that exhibit is to illustrate
specifically in a series of five tables in the
Exhibit 268 that I'm looking at now, and it helps you
focus on a particular section of the flow process
that is referred to specifically in those tables.

So as we go through the tables, you can see where
we are in the flow process, which is the elements of

So the next step was to determine the crops yields, both high land and low land.

only to help you focus on the location of the process

it I'm talking about. And as you can infer, the

following five tables under 269, look like it and

take you stepwise through the process. It's me

Q. How did you do that?

we are talking about.

A. Here again, I began by referring to the Agee report
that I mentioned earlier, and on the basis of the
fact that he concluded that the yields in his report
were attainable by the progressive farmers in the
dornbush - direct - echohawk

Midvale District and my judgment in connection with others that these yields would be attainable on our new project areas, I used his yields, and as I said also, in connection of interviews with farmers and agricultural extension people, with the exception of the barley, the malt barley, which apparently was considerably lower than was being attained currently in the region. His study was published in 1977, and I suppose part of the reason is that it's a number of years later the trend in yields has been almost continuously up, and I found farmers even at higher elevation with very significantly higher yields of malt barley than what Agee shows, and as a result I used yields based primarily on the farmer interviews I was able to obtain, I and my staff.

- Q. In using the Agee report, yields determined by Agee, did you make an attempt to confirm the yields depicted by Mr. Agee?
- A. Yes. That was through the interviews that I mentioned earlier.
- Q. This would be interviews with whom?
- A. With farmers and agricultural extension people.
- Q. Previous testimony we have had in this case with witnesses both from HKM, and I believe a little bit Dornbusch direct- echohawk

25

24

19

20

22

法裁判权 流红光红点

44 7 347 1347

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

22

23

24

25

1		
		
1		from Dr. Mesghinna, there has been some discussion
2		as to land classification, land classes. How did you
3		take into account various land classes as assigned to
4		soils by HKM?
5	A.	In consultation with those people from HKM and
6		Stetson Engineers, and in questioning people who were
7		familiar with the products and lands on the Midvale
8		Irrigation District, I found that the lands, the
9		class of lands in our project areas were, for the most
10		part, better than the mix of lands that were being
11		farmed in the Midvale Irrigation District. In
12		questioning Doug Agee, I was told by him that the

In at the farmers he interviewed had lands that were representative of all of the lands in the Midvale Irrigation District. And in examining the relative quality of those lands to the quality of the lands on our new project areas, it was apparent that based only on the classification of lands that the yields attainable in our new project areas could be equal to or better than those in the Agee report, and this was corroborated by my farmers interviews;

THE SPECIAL MASTER: To what do they contribute that?

To what do who contribute? THE WITNESS: Dornbusch - direct - echohawk

الكيسيسون

THE SPECIAL MASTER: The farmers or your interviewing by Agee, did he ask why or why it is the Riverton East farmers were not yielding as well in comparable soils?

The second of th

THE WITNESS: No, I wouldn't say they weren't yielding as well, I'm saying that was a reasonable guide for me to use since the soils on our new project areas were evidently somewhat better; that we could expect at least the yields that were being attained in the Midvale Irrigation District for the low land areas, not necessarily for the high land areas, but for the low land area to be somewhat similar to the Midvale Irrigation District.

- Q. (By Mr. Echohawk) So did you use the yields for Midvale?
- A. I did with the exception of the malt barley, as I mentioned before.
- Q. Were the yields for Midvale broken down into various categories such as above average, average, below average or --
- A. No.

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

- Q. Or were they depicted in one group?
- A. No, these were stated as the representative yields

 available for the full range of farmers that Doug

 Dornbusch direct echohawk

可能要被收收的收益的表现,他们是要发现的现在,就是是对此的关系,这是是这个人的,我们就是一个人的,他们就是一个人的,他们就是一个人的。 他们就是一个人的一个人的

arg Valst 24TH (TBEFT Collecture Mar 620 (F TBO 6 Cab 6280) PRONTIER REPORTING SERVICE

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

22

23

24

25

- Agee had interviewed in Midvale. I shouldn't say

 "full range," I think he feels they were essentially

 the progress of the farmers in Midvale.
 - Q. Your yields, how did you account for change in elevation between your high land and low land areas that you described earlier?
 - There is very little information in the immediate A. vicinity of the reservation on -- published information on the yields available at the higher elevation. The reason is there are very few farmers above I interviewed farmers above 5900 feet 5900 feet. and was surprised to find for the most part their fields were not lower than thellow land areas. However, I interviewed some people at agricultural extension service who told me that well, in the high land areas, because of shorter growing season, you might expect yields to be in the range of ten to fifteen percent lower. I had to look at that information in the face of the information from actual farmers who were obtaining high yields, even higher than some of the yields shown by Agee in the low land Again, to be conservative, I felt I could certainly justify the yields -- the ten percent as opposed to the fifteen percent on the basis of the

Dornbusch - direct - echohawk

the second of th

1	a	(By Mr. Echohawk) When you determined your yield, did			
2		you have any specific type of farmer in mind or farm			
3		expertise, degree of extertise?			
4	A.	Yes. I had in mind the better than average farmer,			
5		what Doug Agee calls progressive farmer, and I set up,			
6		later you will see that I set up my budgets to reflect			
7	: :	progressive farming techniques, in that I've used a			
8		decent portion of the budget for management, more, in			
9		fact, than many other agriculture extension people use.			
10		And built into the cost, as I'll get into that discus-			
11		sion are costs for managing a farm and operating a farm			
12		that could be expected to obtain these yields. And I			
13		am assuming that we would have better than average			
14		farmers on the new project areas, yes.			
15	Q	So the sources that you obtained your yield informa-			
16		tion from are the type of sources that would normally			
17		be relied upon by economists such as yourself?			
18	A.	Yes, they would.			
19	Q.	What would be the next item in your analysis after you			
20		determined the crops and the crop yields?			
21	A.	The next portion of my analysis was to focus on crop			
22	 	prices and			
23	Q	How did you determine the crop prices?			
24	A.	Okay. Here I have to describe the fact that we used			
25	40	rnhugch - direct - echohawk			

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

a technique called normalization. A normalization
simply means that the prices for anything, particularly
farm products, do fluctuate, do go in cycles, and that
the particular price that you may obtain or be able to
obtain in a market in any given day or year may be
higher or lower than what you really might consider to
be the representative price at that time because there
are cycles and fluctuations.

So to obtain a better measure of that price, you seek to get out the noise, to get out the fluctuations, to get out the cycles. And the way you do this, as prescribed by the Water Resources Council and others, is to normalize, and that technique essentially says you should recognize that the latest price is probably the most representative. And, as you go back in time, the historic prices are less and less representative as you go back in time.

And they develop a system for using weights that go back through the years that you apply to historic prices in order to determine what the current normalized price ought to be. The current normalized price may be somewhat lower, in fact, than the current price and, in fact, generally is.

THE SPECIAL MASTER: How does it adjust to those

dornbusch - direct - echohawk

409 VeST 241H STREET CHEYENNE VYY 82001 13071 635 8280 PRONTIEB REPORTING SERVICE

2 d Michelston (E.S.) CAMPER do az el PROTEZAZ (A.)

times when you do find a yo-yo effect in the price or rather abrupt descending prices with rather abrupt returns of high prices, say, like of the cattle market over the last 20 years?

THE WITNESS: Right.

THE SPECIAL MASTER: How does that crank into your analysis?

THE WITNESS: The cattle prices, I think, Mr. Merchant testified to, it's desirable to go back longer than just the full five years that might be better representative of other crop prices, and to get out those fluctuations, I believe he testified he went back 10 years for cattle prices.

THE SPECIAL MASTER: Your price per unit for these crops were only a four to five-year history and you normalized that?

THE WITNESS: That's correct.

THE SPECIAL MASTER: And do you recall that each year's price was a little higher than the year before?

THE WITNESS: For some I can -- Let me explain it this way. That I was saved the task of having to go back historically for two products, corn grain and alfalfa, by the Water Resources Council. They performed an analysis, they determined what the normalized

dornbusch - direct - echohawk

23

price is that should be used in analyses like this, and they published these prices, and I used the price that's published by the Water Resources Council for the State of Wyoming directly for alfalfa and corn grain.

TO THE LOCAL PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY WITH THE SECOND SHOP TO THE SECOND SHOP TO SECOND SHOP TO

It's not that simple for some of the other products, however, and to give you an example, for malt barley, the way I determined the price there was to use the weights that are prescribed for grains by the Water Resources Council for the years '74 through '78, and then I used the prices in the Riverton area for malt and barley in each of those years and weighted them by the appropriate weights. And, in fact, I found that the price for malt and barley has gone down from 1974 to 1978, and, therefore, the weighted price is weighted more heavily by the latest price which is lower than the earlier year's price.

THE SPECIAL MASTER: Okay, it's been fifty minutes, almost an hour, since you began. Shall we take a ten-minute break?

MR. ECHOHAWK: Yes, Your Honor, that's fine.

(Thereupon a ten-minute recess (was taken.

23

21

22

24

25 dornbu

dornbusch - direct - echohawk

409 WEST 24TH STREET CHEYENNE, WY 82001 (307) 635 8280 PRONTIER REPORTING SERVICE

201 MONEST BORDONS CASELIC WY 826 M - Hot 217 1493

1	THE SPECIAL MASTER: Okay, let's proceed.					
2		Mr. Echohawk?				
3	Q.	(By Mr. Echohawk) Mr. Dornbusch, before the break				
4		we were discussing the crop prices. In your determining				
5		of crop prices did you pick them or select crop prices				
6		for a certain year?				
7	A.	Oh, yes.				
8	Q.	Or over a stream of years?				
9	A.	. Not only for prices, but as you will see through my				
10	discussion, all of our costs as well as prices are					
11	compared on the basis of 1979 normalized prices in					
12	costs.					
13	Q.	Q. Why did you chose 19797				
14	A.	A. It, at that time, was the year for which the factors				
15	were available, it was the lastesttyear for which					
16		factors were available.				
	Q.	Q. Do you know whether Dr. Mesghinna's prices or costs				
17	that he determined were for a certain year?					
18	A. His costs were for 1979 and they were not normalized.					
19		I performed that function.				
20	Q.	I refer you to, again, table one of exhibit 268.				
21	A.	Yes.				
22	Q.	Column price per unit. Would those prices reflected				
23	in that column be what you determined normalized					
24	Dor	Dornbusch - direct- Echohawk				
25						

CALL MODAL CONTROL OF THE CONTROL OF

1		prices or are those something else?	
2	A.	Those are all normalized prices, yes.	
3		MR: MERRILL: Your Honor, anticipating you	
4		would overrule any objection again based on the	
5		exhibit testifying from an exhibit not in evidence,	
6		I would ask I have a continuing objection until the	
7		exhibit is, indeed, admitted.	
8		MR. ECHOHAWK: Your Honor, just merely again,	
9		so everyone can follow along, and also lay the	
10		foundation for Exhibit 268.	
11		THE SPECIAL MASTER: Getting close to a strong	
12		réliance on it, I think I would offer it fairly soon.	
13	Q.	(By Mr. Echohawk) Mr. Dornbusch, how did you determine	
14		the normalized prices for each of the crops that	
15		you have usêdoon your analysis?	
16	A.	I believe I already stated how I obtained the	
17		normalized prices for alfalfa, corn grain and also	
18		for malt barley. That leaves corn silage, and for	
19		corn silage I used the feed equivalent of the corn	
20		silage feed value compared with the corn or the	
21		feed value of alfalfa, and computed its price	
22		accordingly.	
23	Q.	Did you compute a price for baled straw?	
24	A.	Yes.	
25	Dornbusch - direct - Echohawk		

1	Q.	And how did you do that? Baled straw, you are referring to the baled straw
2	A.	Baled straw, you are referring to the baled straw
3		for malt barley?
4	Q.	Yes.
	}	I computed it on the same way, same principal,
6		that is, that I computed the corn silage, and that's
7		according to its feed value.
8		THE SPECIAL MASTER: Which isn't very much,
9		incidently, compared to the product.
10	Q.	(By Mr. Echohawk) What is the feed value of malt
11		barley compared to alfalfa?
12	A.	Baled straw compared to alfalfa, is that right?
13	Q.	Yes. What would that feed value be, in comparison?
14	A.	The feed value is two-thirds of alfalfa.
15	Q.	What about how did you determine feed value for
16		excuse me, the price for baled straw or
17	; 	strike that.
18		How did you determine a price for aftermath of
19		corn grain?
20	A.	For corn grain?
21	Q.	Aftermath.
22	A.	Oh, yes, aftermath for both corn grain and alfalfa
23	! !	were determined according to the normalized price
24		published by Wyoming Crop and Livestock Reporting Service
	Dor	nbusch - direct- Echohawk

for the price for the animal unit marked in 1978 and normalized to 1979.

THE SPECIAL MASTER: We may leave materials right here where they are and use this same courtroom tomorrow at 9:15.

MR. ECHOHAWK: Thank you.

409 West 24th Stheet

CHEYERNE, WY 82001

13071 635 8780

(Thereupon the proceedings were (recessed at 4:20 p.m.

24

22

ļ		
1		
2		
3	INDEX TO EXAMINATION	
4		PAGE
5	WITNESS: WOLDEZION MESGHINNA	
6		
7	Cross-Examination Resumed By Mr. White	4810
8	Redirect Examination By Mr. Clear	4878
9	Voir Dire Examination By Mr. White	4883
10	Recross-Examination By Mr. White	4885
11		
12	WITNESS: DAVID M. DORNBUSCH	
13		
14	Direct Examination By Mr. Echohawk	4890
15	Voir Dire Examination By Mr. Merrill	4908
16	Direct Examination By Mr. Echohawk (Resumed)	4931
17	(Nesamed)	
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

1	INDEX TO	EXHIBITS		
2		IDENTIFIED	REC'D	WITHDRAWN
3	FM-200, 201, 202	4853		
4	FM-203, 204, 205	4854		
5	FM-206, 207	4855		
6	FM-208, 209	4856		•
7	FM-205, 206			4857
8	FM-300, 301	4858		
9	FM-400	4859		
10	FM-301			4859
11	FM-401 through 407	4860		
12	FM-500	4861		4862
13	FM-600, 601	4864		
14	FM-602, 603, 604	4865		
15	FM-605-A	4866		
16	FM-605, 606, 608, 609, 610, 610, 615	4867		
17	FM-602			4867
18	FM-1 through FM-1255-A		4872	
19		4070		
20	C-264, 265	4878		
21	C-267.	4938		
22	C-268	4941		
23				
24				
25				

REPORTERS' CERTIFICATE State of Wyoming SS County of Laramie We, Merissa Racine and Mary Nelson, Registered Professional Reporters and Notaries Public in and for the First Judicial District, State of Wyoming, hereby certify 6 that we did at the time, date and place, as set forth, report the proceedings had before the Honorable Teno Roncalio, Special Master Presiding, in stenotype; that the foregoing pages, numbered 4775-4966, inclusive, con-10 stitute a true, correct and complete transcript of our 11 stenographic notes as reduced to typewritten form under 12 our direction. 13 We further certify that we are not agents, 14 attorneys or counsel to any of the parties hereto, nor 15 are we interested in the outcome thereof. 16 Dated this 7th day of May, 1981. 17 18 19 MARY NELSON MERISSA RACINE Registered Professional Registered Professional 20 Reporter Reporter 21 22 MERISSA RACINE - MOTARY PUBLIC MARY R. HELSON - NOTARY PUBLIC COUNTY OF COUNTY OF 23 STATE OF STATE OF LARAMIE LARAMIE V-Y-Shung 24 My Commission Expires Mir. 10, 1901 My Commission Expires March 13, 1983