Uldaho Law **Digital Commons** @ **Uldaho Law**

Hedden-Nicely Collection, All

Hedden-Nicely

2-10-1981

Trial Transcript, Vol. 13, Afternoon Session

Frontier Reporting Service

Follow this and additional works at: https://digitalcommons.law.uidaho.edu/all

Recommended Citation

Frontier Reporting Service, "Trial Transcript, Vol. 13, Afternoon Session" (1981). *Hedden-Nicely Collection, All.* 152. https://digitalcommons.law.uidaho.edu/all/152

This Transcript is brought to you for free and open access by the Hedden-Nicely at Digital Commons @ UIdaho Law. It has been accepted for inclusion in Hedden-Nicely Collection, All by an authorized administrator of Digital Commons @ UIdaho Law. For more information, please contact annablaine@uidaho.edu.

File 121 #37/ #87/0

case # 4993

File # 120

1	IN THE DISTRICT COURT FOR THE FIFTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT
2	WASHAKIE COUNTY, STATE OF WYOMING
3	
4	IN RE:
5	THE GENERAL ADJUDICATION)
6	OF RIGHTS TO USE WATER) IN THE BIG HORN RIVER) Civil No. 4993
7	SYSTEM AND ALL OTHER) SOURCES, STATE OF WYO-) FILED
8	MING.) 2/25 1981 Margaull: Hampton CLE.
9	
10	DEPUTY
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	VOLUME 13
16	Afternoon Session
17	Tuesday, February 10, 1981
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	ORIGINAL
25	

409 WEST 24TH STHEET CHEYENNE, WY B2001 (307) 635-8280

25

ė **i**

3

Ð,

٠,

Q,

٦,

9

z

2

z

30

7

The state of the s

kersich-cross-white

24

irrigable area will be affected by additional

1		economic, environmental, social and engineering
2		considerations involved in project formulation."
3	Q	So when you got to the irrigable determinations
4		there are additional factors to be considered,
5		is that correct?
6	A	That's correct.
7	Q	In terms of the mapping specifications, those
8		according to the Bureau are included or
9		include economic factors?
10	A	There are economic considerations included, yes.
11	Q	What economic factors did you consider in the
12		development of your standards? Perhaps we
13	 	just could start well if you want to tell
14		me what economic factors you considered, go ahead.
15	A	I think that's a good question and I would like
16		to tell you, really.
17	Q	Sure.
18	A	Because I think it is something we've got to
19		clear up at this point in time.
20		For example
21	Q	Go ahead.
22	A	Surface I'm sorry, did you say something?
23	Q	I said to go ahead. I was just grabbing my paper.
24	A	For example, there are some inherent productivity
25	ker	sich-cross-white

and economic factors in any set of land specifications. And if you will look on -- under soil, there is a difference of soil depth for each of the three or four classes -- excuse me, four classes. Primarily it's been realized by people in the industry that if you have a deeper soil of better quality that you're going to have more productivity with less production costs or, at least, least production costs. Therefore there has been a distinction made between the amount of topsoil and the soil depth, the profile depth. I'm talking now in particular of the 48 inches that we really are concerned about in the potential root cell in each of these classifications.

The same thing has to do there. There is an economic factor not directly but inherently in moisture retention. If you have a soil that is -- can retain more moisture within reason and where the plants can take the moisture out of it, you will have a less frequent irrigation cycle required which will reduce your irrigation labor and it will reduce your costs if you happen to be sprinkling for example, you would have less

power costs, for example, things of this nature. 1 Alkalinity and salinity, the least -- the less 2 3 of these salts and sodiums that you have that might affect you in plant growth, the better off you are. There is a distinction about the 5 allowable amount in each of the three classes, 6 for -- four classes, excuse me. Surface gravel 7 and cobble -- I'm on page 2 now. Class 1, for 8 example, says relatively free. Again, remember 9 that we are considering the top 12 inches. We 10 talked about surface gravel and cobble. Class 2 11 is moderately free but affecting tilt in some 12 management. And so on it goes. Each one has a 13 little higher deficiency. Topography and gravity, 14 it is zero to two percent for Class 1. Basically 15 that means you're going to have very little 16 leveling, you're going to be allowed little 17 longer runs on some of the laterals on your field 18 systems. Again there is some inherent economic 19 involved. 20

Surface leveling and graveling is not a critical feature with sprinklers on mechanical move systems, especially. Then field size, we tried to break out for mechanical moves on kersich-cross-white

25 kersich-c

21

22

23

sprinklers that for side rolls we looked at a minimum of 40 acres although someone may put a hand move or some other type of system in there. For side roll it looks like about a 40 acre minimum was the most practicable or was the least that you would go to. A hundred acres minimum size for center pivot. That would be 1,000 to 1,100 feet centers. Just about the standard 130 acre size you'd get on a section.

Cover, in this particular instance was not a big factor simply because cover -- there just isn't any cover in any area we're talking about. We've got some sagebrush and grass and things of that nature. Then, finally, drainage. For any surface drainage problems, they are to be listed, if there weren't, that's fine.

With regard to drainage as I spoke yesterday, we were looking at the three factors of drain spacing, hydraulic conductivity and soil depth to barrier.

Now, as I said, for our investigation with the Bureau of Reclamation, they feel that in Montana, Wyoming, these areas that you can't space drains closer than 200 feet and develop --

kersich-cross-white

1	,	and not suffer an economic hardship. California,
2		they said it's been their experience they can
3		go down to 100 feet so those were some of the
4		factors that were put into develop productivity
5		and economic viability.
6	Ö	Did you have an economist up on your team that
7		helped you develop these standards?
8	A	No, sir.
9	Ö.	How did you determine in the words of the Bureau
10		then with respect to Class 1 that the standards
11		you used assured "the highest level of suitability
12		for continuous successful irrigation farming
13		measured in terms of the net income generated"?
14	A	Well, I guess we'd better talk about this whole
15		program again because it is obvious people are
16		not understanding it. So if I might, just for
17		a second
18	Q	Go ahead.
19	A	Good. It also says somewhere in the Bureau that
20	*	you first have to segregate the lands to give
21	*	someone a base to work on. I think that's been
22	†	pretty well accomplished.
23	Ω	Where does it say that?
24	A	I can't remember right now but you've got to
25	kers	sich-cross-white

The Arat Area Trees are contact of many of the firms

6

segregate the lands so the economist can begin to do his study. The economist can't go out and give you the answers before you have looked at the lands and just from a practical experience standpoint, you've got to give them something to work on.

23

24

25

Now, from what my experience with the Bureau indicated was that we -- and I keep saying Bureau, I'm beginning to wonder here why are we referring to the Bureau here because we are not doing a Bureau study. Nevertheless, let's talk about it for a moment. The particular lands that we are talking about here, these lands that we've got grouped in various classes according to chemical and physical deficiencies, if any, are being further reviewed in depth by an economist and by an agricultural engineer and in many respects they are undergoing quite a strenuous test here because the agricultural engineer is designing site specific systems to service those and developing costs on a site specific system.

Q Now, Mr. Kersich, aren't those the additional economic and engineering works that lead up to kersich-cross-white

the And State Shift Son (Shir Angel C FRONTIER REPORTING SERVICE

2.31 MOMEST B. (156) CASPER WE 82500 1977-237 1440

1		the irrigable determination that the Corp talks
2		about in the language that you read?
3	A	Well, how can you apply costs of production and
4	-	costs and returns and assign these figures if
5		you don't know what it costs you to pay for the
6		water, if you don't know what it costs you to pay
7		for the services putting the systems on the lands?
8	Q	The Bureau does that.
9	A	I think we are getting afield here. It doesn't
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		* * * *
21		
22		
23		
24		

	وس 11-1	MR-cb			1437
5		MK-CD			
5		1	Q	(By Mr. White) (Continued) The Bureau does;	hat,
5.		2		don't they doesn't it, rather?	
5		3	A	Oh, I think we're doing it too. I'm having	
5		4		trouble understanding the differentiation bed	cause
6		5	•	we're doing the same thing here.	
T		6	Q	I'm understanding having difficulty under-	-
T.	-	7		standing how you feel that your system or you	ur
5	-	8	}	procedures are the same or identical or simi	lår
4	Lan	9		to the Bureau's when it doesn't meet the sta	ndards
T T		10		set out in the Bureau manual. Are you sayin	g this
•	وعت	11		is not a Bureau	
1	ومعا	12		MR. SACHSE: I object.	
3	وميا	13		THE SPECIAL MASTER: I will sustain the	
0	وسياً.	14		objection.	
•	وسو	15		MR. SACHSE: It's not what the	
4	ومعال سما	16		THE SPECIAL MASTER: I'll sustain the	
		17		objection.	
<u> </u>	رسال	18	Q	(By Mr. White) Let's delve into that. Did	you
3 -	Control Control	19		use the Bureau procedure or not?	
0		20	A	For some things we did, like testing the lar	nds,
<u>ئ</u>	-3	21		things of this nature, yes.	
یُن	-3	22	Q	But you didn't use the procedures that we've	gone
<u>"</u>	Ë	23		through in SK-4 and 5, did you?	
سی ستھ		24	A	Now	
<u> </u>	9	25	ker	sich -cross-white	

1 THE SPECIAL MASTER: I would like to take 2 my own objection to that because he just read from 3 SK-5, as an economic and physical factor that the 4 land classification defining an arable shall be based 5 upon integrating various factors, one being the economic relationship. He then went to great extent 6 to tell what economic factors he cranked into the 7 arability matter, so he has answered that. He does indeed use the criteria that you specified and had 9 him read from in SK-5, Mr. White. 10 11 (By Mr. White) Mr. Kersich, then let's go to 12 the next question I asked after you gave that 13 answer, what you didn't answer, and that is how 14 did you determine in the economic analysis that 15 you went through for the standards, that these standards for Class 1 would, in the words of the 16 Bureau, insure the "Highest level of suitability 17 for continuous successful irrigation farming, 18 measured in terms of net income generated"? 19 THE SPECIAL MASTER: Good question. 20 THE WITNESS: But the way we define Class 1 21 was not the Bureau's definition of Class 1. 22 (By Mr. White) Okay. 23 Q THE SPECIAL MASTER: That's what he wants to 24

kersich-cross-white

1		hear.
2	Q	(By Mr. White) That's what I wanted to know.
3	A	Okay.
4	Q	So your classification definitions weren't the
5		Bureau's classification definition?
6	A	That's correct.
7	Q	The same thing true of arable land; is that
8		correct?
9	A	Yes, I believe I testified to that yesterday.
10	Ω	I'm not sure we got
11	A	We defined arable land as land which is capable
12		of sustaining irrigation, and that's why we put
13		the definition in our report so that people would
14		understand what was meant by the way the term
15		was used, here. We understood at that time that
16		that land base was to go, further studies which
17		were economic and engineering in nature, and
18		which may result in narrowing then of that land
19		base, and for example, in this study I think that's
20		the way it's going to prove out. I suspect, I
21		can't give you the final answer at this time
22		because that's not my domain, but I suspect that
23		of the 85,000 acres roughly that we are talking
24		about, arable, that the final claim will be based on
25	ker	sich-cross-white

11-5			1441
وسن	1		respect to net income as associated with your
	2		land classification standards?
	3	A	We personally I personally did not. Other team
-	4		members are.
وع	5	Q	Now, the other team members, are those within
L	6		the team that helped you develop this arable
وسا	7		land base or are they persons of other firms that
	8		were not involved in that arable land base
	9		determination?
	10	, A	I'm referring to Tom Stetson, who is the Ag
249	11		engineer on the team, and Mr. Dornbusch, who is
	12		the economist for the team.
L	13	Q	They were not part of your arable land base team;
	14	_	is that correct?
س	15	A	Other than receiving our input, no.
وحير	16		
ربيا. ا	17		
	18	l	
END 11	19		* * * * *
e -20	20		
	21		
-43	22		
~ 3	23		
-43 -43	24		
	25		

the second of th

0	g			
5	12-1	V-e		1442
S				
5		1	Q	(By Mr. White) Would you turn to C-36, please,
S.		2		your standards?
5	وسن	3	A.	Okay.
3 .		4	Ç	What were the facts and data upon which you based
S n	جسن	5		the soil texture standards for Class 1 for
	رضيين	6		well, Class 1, period?
	-3	7	A.	The texture was a review of the local area, what
	-	8		we thought in our minds from our understanding of
	وسم	9		irrigation would be acceptable for farming and
		10		for water management practices and, finally, in
	- 	11		all of these various areas, we compared these
		12		with specifications which had been previously
	وس	13		developed by the Bureau of Reclamation within this
	وسد	14		general area.
9	وس	15	Ŏ.	Specifically what Bureau of Reclamation specifications
	ور وهيو ا	16		did you use?
		17	A.	I don't recall all the standards. I'll try to
5		18		give you the three or four off the top of my head
9-		19		that I know.
سن		20		I think we had the standards from the 1947-48
	-9	21		study. We had the standards from that study in the
	-5	22		early sixties.
000	~	23		I know that we had the Muddy Ridge standards,
		24		and there might have been two or three others that
			kore	sich - cross - white
		25	Vari	1941 ANARK A118AA

वेदाव्य अञ्चलका

12-2

1		were involved in there.
2		I believe there was a reclassification of
3		the Cottonwood Bench area. I'd have to look into
4		that, but I do know that we had several sets of
5		standards that had been put together by the Bureau.
6	Ù.	Did all of those standards have the same soil
7		texture for Class 1 that appears on
8	A.	I don't recall. I'd have to go back and look at
9		it now. It's been quite some time since I looked
10		at them all, but they are close to these.
11	ΰ	You don't know whether or not they are the same?
12	A.	Not without going back and checking the standards
13		spacifically.
14	ŭ	Soil texture in Class 2?
15	A.	The answer would be the same for that also.
16	Q.	Your texture standard is loamy sand to clay loam
17	}	and silt loam?
18	A,	Yes.
19	Ω	Were you aware of any areas which have, say,
20		a loamy sandy surface texture and where erosion
21		is a problem?
22	A.	No, I'm not aware where loamy sand textures were
23		found on the surface in any large areas. We did
24		have some loamy sand in the subsurface profile;
25	kers	sich - cross - which

ی	مین پیرا	12-3	1444
(5)			
5	ويد	1	Q So with respect to your surface texture of Class 2
5	بهت	2	lands, you didn't find very many loamy sand lands?
ভ	وين	3	A. Not that I recall, no.
5	-	4	Q. Are you familiar with the Bureau's experimental
5	ويت	5	farm on Cottonwood Bench?
	بيد	6	A. No, I'm not.
	مينا	7	
•		•	Q Who talked to the Bureau people to get the Class 2
	ويد	8	standard?
3	ويبع	9	A. I don't recall at this time. You remember we
	ويية	10	are going back over two years now roughly. Now
•	ويت	11	I'm sure Chick Smith would have talked to them.
	وست	12	I'm sure that well, I'm not sure, but I would
	وسد	13	assume that Ross Waples may have talked to them .
		14	also.
-	رب	15	We had numerous meetings on these standards
9	وبيا	16	with the Bureau, including having them review them
رو		17	after we put them together.
		18	Q Were you present at those meetings?
ار.	ريـــ	19	A. I was across the hall, and the results of the meetings
إبو	بيب	20	were brought in to me directly.
3			Q And there was no report made to you of the failure
	4	21	of the experimental farm?
~		22	•
	-20	23	A The failure of the experimental farm, on what basis
	-4 0	24	did it fail?
<u> </u>		25	kersich - cross - white
~ *	4		

8	12-4	1445
9	1	Q I'm asking you. Was any report given to you
\$	2	about the failure of that farm?
9	3	A. I don't know.
3	4	THE SPECIAL MASTER: Just answer the
5	5	question.
	وسمع مس	A. (By the Witness) I don't know of any failure of
3	7	the farm.
5		MR. ECHOHAWK: Objection, Your Honor. There's
3	9	been no showing of what the experimental farm is about,
	وسد 10 وسد	the reason it failed or anything. I think it's
	11	entirely improper for Mr. White to infer such things
-	وعد 12	when the facts aren't in the record yet.
	وست 13 س	THE SPECIAL MASTER: The objection is overruled.
	سيد 14	He didn't get into the details about it. He only
-	ويد	asked if the experimental farm had failed, and the
9	وسفي 16	answer can be yes, you knew, or you didn't. I
3	وسط مطبع مطبع	don't think in the record I should exclude the
3	ساء 18	question yet unless he proceeds further.
	ويفي 19	MR. WHITE: I'm through with the area, Your
	وفعا 20	Honor. I would ask more questions if he had known
	21	about it.
9	وهــ	Q (By Mr. White) From what source did you obtain
	23 23	the soil texture standard for Class 3?
	24	A. Those would have been the same.
	25	kersich - cross - white

12-5		1446
1		
	Ď.	The same?
2	A.	Yes.
3	Ď.	The same with respect to soil texture for Class 4?
4	A.	That's correct. It all comes down to reviewing
5		what's been done in the area before and using our
6		own judgment and experience.
7	Ò.	I see you have a standard for soil depth to clean
8		sand, gravel or cobbles?
9	A.	Yes.
10	ģ	What do you mean by the term "clean"? Is there
11		any quantifiable standard?
12	A.	Basically that's material classified as sand
13		that is roughly 85 percent sand or higher. I
14		can't remember exactly the percentages, and some
15		of the other finer materials with it.
16	a	Would that 80 percent apply to gravels and cobbles
17		as well?
18	A.	No, there could be different varying characteristics
19		or conclusions of those materials.
20	Q	What did you mean by the term "clean cobble" then?
21	A.	Clean cobble, basically that's what we are saying
22		there is that we expect or could accept some of the
23		cobbles within that particular area. There was no
24		percentage there. That was part of judgment
25	ker	sich - cross - white
and the . He has write . As a second of the	1	

0-1			
G.	12-6		1447
9	1	W	hether the cobble would be sufficient in
9	2	ď	uantity to inhibit root growth or decrease
5	- ⊕ 3	m	oisture holding capacity.
	4	Ŭ H	ow did you interrelate your soil depth to clean
	5	S	ands, gravel or cobbles for Class 3 to your other
	6	, 5	tandards for surface leveling for Class 3?
	7	A. T	o surface leveling for
1	8	Q. B	ack on the second page. It says surface, leveling,
	9	a	nd Class 3 says heavy leveling, maximum average
•	10	C	ut 0.88 feet.
	11	A. I	f you had an awful lot of leveling required and
	12	a	very thin mantle, you would have probably downgraded
9	ا 13	t	hose particular areas. If you had sufficient topsoil
	14	t	o maybe be a larger class but it required heavy
	الوينية الوينية	1	eveling, then you may have downgraded that
	16	p	articular tract to 3, you understand?
4	17	ΰ I	understand.
3	18	A. T	hey work intimately, not separately.
	19	Q S	o you did have an interrelationship and where
٠,	20		ou had had heavy leveling, you went back and
9	21		hecked to see whether or not after the leveling
	~ ~** 22		ou would still have an adequate soil depth to clean
	23		and, gravel or cobbles; is that correct?
2-1	24	A, I	can't say we went back and checked it all the
	. 25	kersic	h - cross - white
7		·	100 2451 2414 C1611 FRONTIFE REPORTING PROPERTY

The second se

3		12-7	1448	
5				
3		1	time. That was a decision that was made many	
9	-9	2	times in the field and in some instances verified	
5	-	3	by other land classifiers or even by myself.	
5	-	4	On what occasions did you verify it yourself?	
•		5	Well, we went out in the field Friday to look at	
		6	a couple areas where we thought we might have a	
	,	7	very thin layer of soil, and as it turned out, we	
6		8	didn't. We had good soil silt matrix below the	
		9	top 12 inches. There were some small gravels	
3	سي	10	present, but sufficient fines to do the job that	
4	وين	11	was required there with regards to root developmen	it
3	وسند	12	and moisture holding capacity.	
-35°	ود	13	Was this Class 3 land with a heavy leveling	
4	مست	14	requirement that you checked?	
3	ميد	15	I don't recall that it had a heavy leveling	
•		16	requirement. It was Class 3 land.	
.g.		17	Do you recall at any time that you went back and	
3		18	checked where you had a heavy leveling requirement	Ł
•	وسي	19	in a thin soil?	
3		20	Not offhand I can't recall, no.	
	ويسي	21	Under your standards for alkalinity of soils, what	t
ابن	ويد	22	do you mean by optimum drainage conditions?	
9-	ويسو دوس	23	Normally coarse texture soils with few fines where	a
	4	24	the sodium as it moves through the profile or is	
	پي	25	kersich - cross - white	
	-			

Service Servic			
6	12-9		1450
	1	Mr. Kersich, with respect to your slope sta	indards
9	2	Yes.	•
9	3	your sprinklers, what was the source of	those
5	4	percentage standards?	
	5	Well, I can't recall the exact source. It	may
-	6	have been the specifications that the Bures	au used
	7	on Muddy Ridge, but I'd have to go ahead an	nd take
5	8	another look at that. They are reasonably	conservative
5	9	though they are certainly not alarming to	anyone
	10	that has done sprinkler irrigation.	•
	11	Are you aware of other standards that have	
3	12	percentage of slope as high as 20 percent	for
	13	sprinkler irrigation?	
	14	It would take me a minute to think about t	his, but
3	15	as far as under Class 3 lands at the 20 pe	rcent,
	16	yes, I think I have. I'd have to go back	and look
	17	at the standards I saw that the Bureau use	d in the
-	18	Columbia Basin, but as I recall, those wer	e either
	19	approaching 20 percent or at 20 percent.	
	20	obvious from inspection of the Columbia Ba	
3-11-0	21	they are irrigating slopes steeper than 20	percent
0	22	with success.	
	23	Have you done land classification work in	the
	24	Columbia Basin on those lands?	
0-1-0	25	rsich - cross - white	
		PROMPTIPE DESCRIPTION OF THE PROPERTY OF THE P	

12-10	The second secon
1	though with
	A. No, but we did spend some time out there with
2	the Bureau of Reclamation people at the Ephrata
3	office looking at various sandy areas and
4	sprinkler applications that were being utilized
5	there.
6	Q Is that an area that's similar to the Wind River
. 7	Indian Reservation area?
8	A. In what respect do you mean by similar?
9	Q. In any respect.
10	A. Well, it's undeveloped land in many places.
11	THE SPECIAL MASTER: You mean topographically,
12	don't you?
13	THE WITNESS: It's rolling. It certainly has
14	a different climate, of course.
15	THE SPECIAL MASTER: Does your experience lead
16	you to know of areas where there has been irrigation
17	of lands on a 20 percent slope in the Rocky Mountain
18	Arid West?
19	THE WITNESS: Yes.
20	THE SPECIAL MASTER: I'd be interested in
21	seeing some of those.
22	THE WITNESS: I have some pictures that, if I
23	can find those, I could maybe send you sometime.
24	THE SPECIAL MASTER: The only 20 percent
25	kersich - cross - white

THE WITNESS: No, there's not very much. I'm

not sure that there's any that meets it. That was
a parameter that was set up, and I don't recall just
offhand any slopes that we finally certified for

kersich - cross - white

23

24

	12-12		1453
3			
34	1	arability that were up to 20 percent.	
9 ×	2		
	3		
04	·		
9.	4		
5.5	5		•
	6		
9	7		
•		•	
	8		
	9		
	10		
	11		
	12		
	i		
	13		
	14		
	15		
	16	* * * * *	
	17		
	18		
	19		
	20		
-	21		
-	22		
			•
	23		
	24		
-	25	kersich - cross - white	
10		409 WIST 24TH STHEET FRONTIER REPORTING SERVICE CHEYERIE WY 84001 11071 635 8240	201 MiDWEST BUILD RG CASPER WY 82001 (307) 237-1493
O		(307) 635 8280	(307) 237-1493

1	Q	(By Mr. White) With respect to surface leveling,
2		did you determine the current costs of leveling
3		or excavation?
4	A	No. This one we used almost directly from the
5		Bureau. The Bureau had some costs in the area.
6		We worked with them on it trying to develop
7		their information and these very closely
8		approximate what they had broken out.
9	Q	On what date?
10	A	I can't remember exactly. I would have to go
11		back and look at the Muddy Ridge and Cottonwood
12		Bench work. This, of course, is, you know,
13		subject to further review. This is the land
14		classifier's best estimate. It is subject to
15		further review by the engineer and the economist
16		later.
17	Q	Under your surface standards for sprinklers on
18	 	the second page of your Exhibit C-36, does not
19		the applicable language that extends through
20		all four classes infer that leveling will not
21	1	be required under any circumstances for sprinkler
22		irrigation?
23	A	Your leveling will not be required for the field
24	} 	size we are talking about here. There may be some
25	ker	sich-cross-white

The Alf Co., The State of Alf Co., Co. of the Co. of the Co. of the Co.

1	3	individual smoothing that may have to take place.
2		THE SPECIAL MASTER: While we're on
3	1	that exhibit give me the language again of the
4	1	footnote four, page 3, please, on the actual
5	C	original exhibit.
6		THE WITNESS: Four says no drainage
7	1	requirement is necessary for lands and then, sir,
8		that should be
9		THE SPECIAL MASTER: Yes, I have it too.
10		Okay. Thank you.
11		Okay, Mr. White.
12	Ω	(By Mr. White) Which portion of the table itself
13		did that footnote apply to, was it the last two?
14	A	I have it on the last page, Mr. White, and then
15		under Class 4 I have the footnote 4 on the portion
16		that read "at least .10 inches per hour, at least
17		6 feet."
18	Q	Okay. And I can't remember, did you make the
19		change or did you make no change to the footnote 2?
20		THE SPECIAL MASTER: No change.
21	A	I changed that one word irrigable to arable and
22		then
23		THE SPECIAL MASTER: You changed it right
24		back to irrigable.
25	kersi	ich-cross-white
	1	

·····	
1	THE WITNESS: No, sir, I didn't. I
2	didn't think I did.
3	THE SPECIAL MASTER: I thought you did.
4	MR. WHITE: There are two irrigables
. 5	there and I believe he changed the first irrigable
6	to arable. Is that correct, Al?
7	THE SPECIAL MASTER: I have a note
8	here. This witness said there is a mistake.
9	Then I had a note later on he corrected himself.
10	So I made the note STEP meaning leave it alone.
11	Q (By Mr. White) Now, on the Court's copy of Exhibit
12	C-36
13	A Yes, I show it here as arable, sir.
14	Q The first irrigable becomes arable?
15	A Yes.
16	THE SPECIAL MASTER: The second one
17	is left alone?
18	MR. WHITE: Yes, sir.
19	THE WITNESS: Right,
20	THE SPECIAL MASTER: All right. Thank
21	you.
22	Q (By Mr. White) Now let's go back to the second
23	page, please, under surface leveling for sprinklers.
24	You indicated no leveling would be required for
25	kersich-cross-white

TO A MENT 24 THE STOREST CONTROLLED A CONTROLLED CHOOSE OF MENT

1	1	these field sizes?
2	A	Basically, no leveling would be required for
3		the fields. Over the entire field there may be
4		some small land preparation that might be done,
5		but there was no specific requirement for
6		leveling.
7	Q	Does land preparation include filling in gullies
8		or is that part of leveling?
9	A	Let's define a gully. I'm not trying to be wise
10		and ask you questions, but I think there is
11		an understanding here that if you have the normal
12		rolling undulating terrain that we are talking
13	İ	about out in that area and sprinklers can go
14		through it and you can get farm equipment over
15		it, you're not going to spend a lot of time
16		changing the character of your topsoil by
17		trying to level that field out completely.
18	1	Now there may be instances where you have to
19	1	do some minimal work in some of those gullies.
20	Q	How deep would a gully have to be before you
21	1	would have to start doing that type of work?
22	A	What type of equipment are you talking about,
23		Sandy?
24	Ω	I'm talking about whatever you assumed when you
25	kers	ich-cross-white

2 FMOMEST 9 JUNE JAMEN AT MILLY OF 71 737 1433

torage traffication of countries of a con-

developed these standards. 1 Well, I can't recall seeing many gullies that Α 2 are going to have to have much work done with 3 them out there. But side rolls or center pivots, 4 and as I recall, there were no center pivots ever 5 put in as the ag engineer designed, but it is 6 awful interesting to see what the manufacturers 7 tell you about the center pivots. For example, 8 they maintain they will go down a thirty percent 9 slope and up a thirty percent slope. 10 Okay. So you made no assumptions with respect Q 11 to the depth of the gullies that would have to 12 be filled or would require leveling, is that 13 correct? 14 Well, there's one thing that maybe you ought to Α 15 think about and that is if the gully was so 16 steep and so wide as to create a problem, normally, 17 that would have been classified as Class 6 lands 18 and taken out of the tract. And then how the 19 ag engineer wanted to handle it. Whether he 20 wanted to put a system on each individual tract 21 or whether he wanted to go ahead and fill it in. 22 that would be part of his concept. 23

Q I see. So he might include Class 6 lands in kersich-cross-white

24

1		a tract and just fill it in so the sprinkler would
2		go right across it?
3	А	Well, many times Class 6 lands are included
4		in tracts depending on the field layout, the
5		farm layout and one thing and another. So, yes,
6		he may very well go ahead and either decide to
7		include it and provide some kind of a walkway for
8		his equipment to get across or maybe by looking
9		at it, he knows that his equipment could get
10		across that area, right.
11	Ō	Let's go to irrigation and pattern and field size
12		please. I see no standards except for side roll
13		and center pivots, is that correct?
14	A	Do you mean for a minimum size for side roll and
15		center pivot?
16	Q	Right.
17	A	Yes, that's listed there.
18	Q	Those are the only ones for which you have a
19	i Į	minimum size?
20	A	Yes.
21	Q	And yet in your report you have indicated that
22		other types of sprinklers might be used?
23	A	I believe, yes, I said that a person we're
24		talking here about either intermittent moves or
25	ker	sich-cross-white

1		continuous move and a person could irrigate
2		smaller tracts of lands, irregular shaped land
3		if they used a hand move or a solid set type
4		of sprinkler. To me that's very similar in
5		management still to a gravity system. That's
6		one reason I guess I don't differentiate that
7		and call that a sprinkler system.
8	Q	So a hand move sprinkler system is like a
9		rainbird on the end of the hose, is that what
10		you're talking about?
11	A	It could be this or it could be an awful lot
12		of aluminum pipe put on a little wagon and
13		trundled out to your field. You assemble it.
14		If you have risers and tie it to a water source,
15		a little pump running out of your ditch, or
16		something like that. Again, going back to my
17		past experience, I have seen many times where you
18		have a lateral on a side of a hill and the hill
19	<u> </u> 	is rather steep and they just drop pipe out of
20	•	the ditch and use the natural grade as the
21		pressure. They do a pretty good job of
22		irrigating many times.
23	Ω	That's generally for pasture land, isn't it?
24	A	That's pasture but you can make hay on that.
25	ker	sich-cross-white

and the second

STATE OF STA

1		I've seen hay fields, again back to the Columbia
2		Basin.
3	Q	What do you mean by solid set sprinklers?
4	A	That would be a sprinkler that would be tied
5	·	down there is a system that is manufactured
6		for alfalfa where they vary the pipe and have
7		what they call alfalfa risers. I'm not sure
8		there's one in the Big Horn Basin but I know
9		they are manufactured and I know they are used
10		in places. That would, in fact, be a solid
11		set. In other words, you didn't pick it up
12		all the time. Another solid set would be if
13		you had grapes or some type of orchard crop,
14		you know what I mean.
15	Q	Uh-huh.
16	A	In other words, the facility is buried, it's
17		not moveable.
18	Q	Would you refer to Table 8 of your report which
19		Was
20		THE SPECIAL MASTER: May I ask a
21		question before you get through this?
22		MR, WHITE: Yes, sir.
23		THE SPECIAL MASTER: Define for us a
24		point roll.
25	kers	sich-cross-white

1	THE WITNESS: Sir?
2	THE SPECIAL MASTER: What's a point
3	roll.
4	A It is when the field, the tract that you're
ĺ	working with comes to a sharp point or a series
5	of points which may give you a problem of
6	irrigating there.
7	THE SPECIAL MASTER: Right. Thank you.
8	
9	What did you call for?
10	MR. WHITE: C-43, Your Honor, the
11	report, Table 8.
12	Q (By Mr. White) I see the hand move and solid
13	set sprinklers described in footnote 1 under
14	gravity.
15	(Witness nodding head
16	(affirmative.
17	Q Do those types of sprinklers play any role in
	the lands which you have described as additional
18	sprinkler lands or are those types of sprinklers
19	limited to gravity?
20	A that type of sprinkler, of course, could be used
21	
22	anywhere so it could be used on additional
23	sprinkler lands, but the lands that we're calling
24	additional sprinkler here are lands which are
25	kersich-cross-white

kersich-cross-white

	14-1 MR-CB			1464
		1	<u> </u>	(months) But the time to meet an a person
	-		A	(continued) But that's not to preclude a person
		2		from not using a hand move on that particular
		3		tract of land.
		4	Q	In Table 8, I see that there are, for Big Horn
		5		Flats, 6,088 acres of Class 2 land gravity, and
2-		6		then 191 additional Class 2 lands under sprinkler,
	-e	7		additional sprinkler.
		8	A	Yes.
2		9	Q	Do these exhibits, the large area maps that you
		10		have been talking about, show the location of
	-	11		those additional sprinkler lands?
	فعيد	12	A	They show the location of all the sprinkler
e.		13		lands.
		14	Q	How would you find the, let's say off of Exhibit
<u>e</u> -	فع	15		C-49, which is up on the tripod now. Where are
e -	i.	16		the 191 acres of additional sprinkler lands
e e		17		located?
•		18	A	That particular 191 acres?
0-		19	Ω	Yeah.
•		20	A	I couldn't find that unless we went to the book-
•		21	••	
<u>۔</u>				keeping procedure I described to you at the
		22		depositions.
T T	le le	23	Q	With the exception of Owl Creek, would your
0- 0- 0-	4	24		answer be the same for all the other additional
7	4	25	kers	ich-cross-white
-	<u> </u>			

1	ga	rinkler lands that are listed on Table 8?
2	A Ye	es. On Owl Creek there are no gravity lands,
3	a]	.1 the lands are sprinkler categories.
4	Q Bu	t you couldn't point out those additional
5	sı	orinkler lands on that map; is that correct?
6	A Tì	nat's correct.
7		THE SPECIAL MASTER: I'd like to ask a
8	few que	estions.
9		MR. WHITE: Yes, sir.
10		THE SPECIAL MASTER: Mr. Kersich, if there
11	a	re 865 acres of Class 1 potentially arable on
12	В	ig Horn Flats, did you study how many actual
13	a	cres there are now of Class 1 on Big Horn Flats
14	h	istorically being irrigated or have been over
15	t	he past decades?
16		THE WITNESS: There are no lands in this
17	p	articular area of the Big Horn Flats
18		THE SPECIAL MASTER: None whatever?
19		THE WITNESS: that are being irrigated
20	n	ow, sir.
21		THE SPECIAL MASTER: Do you know of any
22	r	eason why, if there are 865 acres of Class 1
23	а	rable lands, that they were not put into irrigation
24	1	n the past, in the decades past?
25	100000000000000000000000000000000000000	h

3

kersich-cross-white

19 19 19

đ

1		THE SPECIAL MASTER: Okay.
2	Q	(By Mr. White) I want to make sure the record's
3	! 	clear, Mr. Kersich, in the Big Horn Flats you
4		said that the 865 acres of Class 1 lands, which
5		are shown on Table 8 in Exhibit 43 include no
6	į	lands that are presently or historically irrigated;
7		is that correct?
8	A	Well, that's that I've got to check, but the
9		purpose of this study is to only have the acreage
10		in it which is not being irrigated at the present
11		time.
12	Ω	So it could have been irrigated in the past and
13		still be in your arable land study?
14	A	If it were irrigated in the past and irrigation
15		had ceased, there was no permit on it, you know,
16		or any authorization to use water, that may have
17		been included.
18		Now, whether or not there's some of that
19		acreage within the 865, I can't testify to that
20		now.
21	Q	On the third page under drainage, your surface
22		standard,
23	A	Yes.
24	Q	Class 2.
25	ker	sich-cross-white
	i	

Q	14-5		1468	
83		 		
Same	1	A	Yes.	
	2	Q	What do you mean by "Relatively low cost"?	
Garac	3	A	Again, this is a judgmental thing, something that	t
Same,	4		a farmer would do just by going out with part	
Served.	5		of his equipment, not putting any elaborate	
Garage	3			
Carre	6		ditches systems in that convey water around his	
Carried .	7		fields, things of this nature.	
O-S	8	Q	Whose judgment?	
Censil.	9	A	The judgment of the classifier of the land	
Const	10		- 10	
Genet.	10		classifier and collective judgment of myself in	
See all	11		reviewing the lands.	
9	12	Q	Class 3 under surface drainage, you have "Expens	3ive
المسيور المسيور	13		but feasible". What's meant by "Expensive but	
لعصو	14		feasible"?	
فسيو	15	A	There again, we're talking about where we have	
gund	16		a could have an irregular surface or a large	
لعسو			amount the area may be in a spot where a lare	~ 0
لعصوا	17		- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	ye
@med	18		amount of water could be delivered to this	
General	19		particular farm or this particular tract, and a	t
end end	20	1	this point in time then we would realize that w	e¹đ
وسط	21	<u> </u>	have to do more than just minimal work, we'd	
	22		bring to the attention of the agricultural	
6-4 6-4 6-4 6-4	23		engineer to see whether he has to compute any	
اسم				
السني	24		particular engineering structures, etcetera, to)
-	25	ker	sich-cross-white	
		. - 	gar distant i di tida, a saturalella proportiona del combina anticolore però ser di distanti di di unidadi para que ser que combina anticolore del combina di distanti di dist	

4

甘むす

1		take care of that drainage around it.
2	Q	So your land classifiers used the standards
3		and they decided what was expensive but feasible,
4		or did you, if you had that problem, did you go
5	}	to the agricultural engineer before you made a
6		determination?
7	A	They make an initial determination and they
8		alert the agricultural engineer through the
9		soils characteristics.
10	Q	So expensive but feasible again is the standards
11		that are applied, is a judgmental thing?
12	A	Yes. I'd like to correct that answer. It's
13		judgmental but subject to further review.
14	Q	Did you conduct any further review with respect
15		to that?
16	A	We looked at a number of these lands, as I said,
17		out in the field, and in the aerial photographs
18		and we didn't find any particular tract where
19		surface drainage was going to be a particular
20		concern.
21		THE SPECIAL MASTER: Did you find any alkali
22		in that area
23		THE WITNESS: Yes, we did.
24	1	THE SPECIAL MASTER: along that irrigation?
25	kers	aich-cross-white

End 14

The state of the s

The second of th

1	Did that serve sort of as a caution that
2	maybe there'll be drainage problems again?
3	THE WITNESS: Yes, sir. In many of those
4	areas we discarded we have a number of areas
5	that we holes in from a standpoint of depth
6	to barrier, things of this nature that looked
7	okay from the standards, but those were discarded
8	and eliminated because high presence of salts and
9	high presence of sodium.
10	MR. WHITE: Your Honor, I need to get another
11	exhibit, I'm sorry. Were you going to ask another
12	question?
13	THE SPECIAL MASTER: Off the record.
14	(Off-the-record discussion.)
15	MR. WHITE: I didn't mean to interrupt your
16	questioning, Your Honor.
17	THE SPECIAL MASTER: We'll take five minutes.
18	(Thereupon a five minute recess was taken.)
19	
20	* * * *
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

15-1	V-CB	1471
	1	THE WITNESS: Could I clarify one answer
	2	I gave?
	3	THE SPECIAL MASTER: Yes.
	4	THE WITNESS: There seems to be some
	5	question about this 191 acres on Big Horn Flats, and
	6	my answer said I couldn't point to the specific plot
	7	today, but if I have to find those 191 acres, it can
	8	be done by going back and working with the aerial
	9	photographs and the tabulations made of each section
	10	up there to locate those.
	11	Q (By Mr. White) What kind of tabulations did
	12	you make in each section?
	13	A They are similar to some I have here for some
	14	of those other questions I answered.
	15	Q What is shown on those tabulations?
	16	A Can I open one of these?
	17	Q Oh, sure.
	18	A Very good.
	19	Q I'm not asking you for the contents. I'm asking
	20	you for the type of information that's included
	21	in that.
	22	A Yes. The first thing that we have normally in
	23	each one of these units that we have is the date,
	24	the gravity, sprinkler, and the total by class
	25	kersich-cross-white
	4.0	

0.5

9

--

2.

<u>پ</u>			
0-15	15-2		1472
			
	1		for each of them plus totals.
3	2		Those totals are further broken up into
	3		fee and trust, and then there is a column for
(4		the Township we are working in here (indicating).
G		0	
فعيبين	5	Q	And then did you break it down by section?
O	6	A	Basically, that's correct, yes. We go down and
0,00	7		we took each section and analyzed it for fee
0	8		and trust lands. Many times fee wasn't involved
	9		like out in some of those areas, and we just
General Control	10		broke it down by section and plat, and you have
1	11	,	to look at the aerial photograph because the
-			
العصوا	12		actual totals are on the large aerial photographs,
deside the	13		and that is the way you would break it down to
A	14		determine that the 191 acres are there.
فيصوا	15	Q	I see that you have tapes there, adding machine
فيصو	16		tapes?
المساور	17	A	Those are the check tapes to see that we have
مرسط			included each of the tracts.
المصور	18		
200	19	Q	And then you added up all the subtotals under
***	20		trust for Classes 1 through 4 to get your total
6	21		arable lands?
674	22	A	That's correct, yes. And that's the way it would
6-1	23		have to be done to be found exactly.
6	24	Q	Rather than having you do it, I wonder if it
	25		ich-cross-white

go with them. Is that all right?

THE WITNESS: We have got another person back kersich-cross-white

24

1	Ω (By Mr. White) Now, are those all the tabulations
2		or your entire
3	A I	There's North Crowheart, South Crowheart, Owl
4	c	Creek, Riverton East, Arapahoe and Big Horn
5] E	clats.
6		THE SPECIAL MASTER: Six of them?
7		THE WITNESS: Those are all
8		THE SPECIAL MASTER: All six of
9	t	them?
10		THE WITNESS: originals; yes, sir.
11		MR. WHITE: Could we take a minute
12		THE WITNESS: Would it be all right if
13	:	I left and talked to those guys?
14		THE SPECIAL MASTER: All right, Take
15	1	five minutes.
16		MR, WHITE: I'm sorry for the interruption,
17	;	It will save us a lot of time.
18		THE SPECIAL MASTER: That's quite all
19		right,
20		(Brief recess,
21		THE SPECIAL MASTER: Shall we go back
22		on the record?
23		MR. ECHOHAWK: I'm still working
24		(Off-the-record discussion,
25	kersi	ch-cross-white

345 Dig.

1	Q	(By Mr. White) Mr. Kersich, footnote 3 on the
2		last page
3	A	Yes.
4	Q	your standards?
5	A	Yes.
6	Q	Discusses 200 foot drain spacing?
7	A	Yes, it does.
8	Ω	Do you know who you talked to in the Bureau that
9		approved the 200 foot drain spacing standard?
10	A	I could look at my notes. I believe we have
11		a telephone memorandum on that.
12	Ω	Okay.
13	A	Okay, this is a memorandum that Bob Taylor:
14		called Jack Crissit appears to be Jack
15		Christopherson with the United States Bureau
16		of Reclamation and I'm sure this is in Denver.
17		And in there he discusses the depth of drain
18		and the permeability and a minimum drain spacing.
19	Q	Could I see that memo, please?
20	A	Certainly.
21	Q	Why don't you take it out of your notebook.
22	A	I'll take it out. No problem.
23		MR. ECHOHAWK: Could I see that, Sandy?
24		MR. WHITE: Sure.
25	ker	sich-cross-white

201 MONEST BOLDING CASSIFE WAY BELOW (3.1. 23. 13.)

The American State of Section Control of Section (Section Control of Section Control of S

D. II

1	Q	(By Mr. White) Do you know Mr. Christopherson's
2	}	position with the Bureau?
3	A	I would have to check but I believe he is the
4		drainage engineer now in Denver. The fellow that
5		Mr. Winger, I believe retired and I think he
6		replaced him so he has a relatively high
7		position in that type of work there.
8	Q	I see. Your soil depth to barrier is 6 feet in
9		your standards?
10	A	That's correct.
11	Q	Isn't it true that your memorandum, which you've
12		kindly furnished me a copy with, indicates that
13		the Bureau uses depth to barrier of 8 feet which
14		in some cases was relaxed to 6 feet?
15	A	That's correct.
16		MR. WHITE: Here's your memo back.
17	Q	(By Mr. White) Why then did you go to 6 feet
18		rather than 8 feet in the next standard soil
19		depth to barrier?
20	A	Because the depth of barrier is also a function
21		of hydraulic conductivity and if you have the
22		barrier located close to the surface and have a
23		real high conductivity, like you might have in
24		loamy sand or a texture of this type, it is
25	ker	sich-cross-white

至2000

1	possible to design drains with spaces in excess
2	of 200 feet. Now the initial classification
3	work, you're trying to establish land which
4	you are developing arable base. So you look
5	at this and you say, if it has drainage barriers
6	less than the depth of 6 feet as an average
7	throughout the parcels, you'd throw it out.
8	If it has a hydraulic conductivity of less than
9	a tenth of the weighted average of the material
10	above the barrier, you automatically throw it
11	out. But when you get to the final one, then
12	at this point in time, you go ahead and say okay
13	I've got a hydraulic conductivity of this, I've
14	got a depth to barrier of that, what would my
15	drain spacing be, and it would come out greater
16	than 200 feet, you would leave it in knowing
17	that the agricultural engineer is getting the
18	same information you have, the hydraulic
19	conductivities, the deep hole logs, the logs
20	of any 10 foot hole, for example, in that area
21	or, less and he would actually design the drain.
22	And as a consequence, you talk about economics.
23	Here we've got economics involved again. And
24	you don't know what system he puts on which will
~~	kersich-cross-white

بيري

1		decide the eventual size of drains anyway
2		around the space because of the deep percolation
3		loss and some of the other things, so you're
4		giving the data to the engineers saying these
5		lands can at least meet this minimum criteria.
6		But you have to look at it and drain it, predicated
7		on the type of system that you put on.
8	Q	I think I understand. You used the 6 foot even
9	<u>.</u> !	though the Bureau said 8 but you gave the data
10	[] -	THE WITNESS: Well, wait a minute.
11		THE SPECIAL MASTER: The Bureau said
12		6 to 8.
13		MR. WHITE: Wait, wait.
14	Q.	(By Mr. White) Would you read what it says there?
15	A	I'll read it in the record.
16	Ď	Okay.
17	A	A depth to barrier 8 foot which in some cases is
18		relaxed to 6 feet.
19	Q	Okay. And you used the 6 foot depth but you
20		gave enough information to the agricultural
21		engineer so he could determine whether or not
22		the depth to barrier should be greater, is that
23		correct? Was that
24	A	The : depth to barrier should be greater or
25	kers	sich-cross-white

1		what the most important thing here is the
2		spacing of the drains.
3	Q	Okay.
4	A	So this is what you do, is you work with him
5		many times and he eventually comes out with an
6		irrigation schedule which determines about how
7		much water the drains are going to have to
8		function with or which are going to be a function
9		of drains and knowing that he can determine
10		high drain spacing. Determining his drain
11		spacing, he determines the cost of his drainage.
12		Knowing the cost of his drainage, he works that
13		in the cost of his system. At that point in
14		time, if the that cost when it is added to
15		the other costs, if it exceeds the economic
16		returns, you don't worry about drains because
17		you don't have a project. You just discard
18		those lands.
19	Q	In any event,
20	A	It is an iterative procedure basically.
21	Q	In any event, you did not include any land
22		within your arable land base which had a depth
23		to barrier less than 6 feet, is that right?
24	A	There are distances in there where you find
	1	nich_arage_white

The more parties and the more property

1	where there's rock with a	probe and you hit
2	it at 68 inches or 66 inc	hes, you go over
3	here a few feet and you'r	e down 11 feet to
4	barrier. Yes, you do inc	lude those, under-
5	standing that when you de	sign your drains,
6	you'd design them to go t	hrough the rock. You
7	develop a gravel envelope	around them and the
8	drains function. You mig	ht have there is no
9	way to assure that every	piece is below 6 feet.
10	Many times there is becau	se you go 30 feet and
11	not hit anything.	
12	THE WITNESS: C	Can I put this away now?
13	MR. WHITE: Yea	ah.
14	Q (By Mr. White) As I recal	l, you did some soil
15	classification work on th	ne Crow Reservation, is
16	that correct?	
17	A Yes, HKM did.	
18	Q Let me hand you what has	been marked for identifi-
19	cation as SK-7 and ask yo	ou if that isn't the
20	soil classification stand	lard which you used for
21	the Crow Reservation?	
22	A Again, without going back	k and checking, I would
23	have to check against my	copies.
24	Q You don't know whether th	hese are the standards
25	kersich-cross-white	

1		you used in the Crow Reservation?
2	A	Well, I see nothing on here that says that they
3		are other than your word and I'm not disputing
4		your word, but I'm very cautious.
5	Q	Okay, do you recall whether or not the soil
6		depth to barrier which you used in the Crow
7	}	Reservation was in fact a 20 foot minimum for
8		Class 1?
9	A	That's right. And I've got to explain something
10		if I might, Mr. Counselor.
11	Ď	Sure.
12	A	These are old standards. The work is not done.
13		The drainage that we were working at is much
14		different than what we are talking about now.
15		As a matter of fact, right now within our own
16		office we've been discussing the possibility of
17		going back and redrilling this area and reviewing
18		the internal drainage on the basis of the work
19		that we did with respect to the Wind River. (sic)
20	Q	But you did use the 20 foot minimum depth to
21		barrier for Class 1 lands?
22	A	At that time.
23	Ω	At that time?
24	A	At that time.
25	kers	ich-cross-white

COMMENTAL CONTRACTOR

Act a dia of lagranting of the street of the

ł		
		
1	Q	
2		THE SPECIAL MASTER: At what time was
3		that? What time?
4	Q	(By Mr. White) What time?
5	A	I'm going to have to check back.
6		THE SPECIAL MASTER: What year?
7		THE WITNESS: About '75, '76, I think.
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		·
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		* * * *
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		

kersich-cross-white

	* *	
17-1	MR-CB	. 1484
	1	THE SPECIAL MASTER: Are you reasonably
	2	sure this was probably the one you used on the Crow
	3	Reservation?
	4	THE WITNESS: I would still like to look,
	5	if I might.
	6	THE SPECIAL MASTER: Where is Crow-Reser-
	7	vation, Montana?
	8	THE WITNESS: Yes, sir.
	9	(Brief pause.)
	10	Q (By Mr. White) Mr. Kersich, in Exhibit 36, your
	11	land classification standards for the Wind River
	12	Indian Reservation, do you make any provision
	13	for lime?
	14	A Well, we have a We got a provision for total
	15	salts, yes.
	16	Q And in what page is that?
	17	A Under salinity.
	18	Q And that's where lime would be included within
	19	your standards?
	20	A I'm not sure of that. I'd have to check that
	21	over with one of my soils scientists or one of
	22	my land classifiers.
	23	Q Okay. We'll come back to that then.
	24	THE SPECIAL MASTER: Let me ask a question.
		hand shaqqoo qarahd to

kersich-cross-white

25

201 MCMEST 6 - 676 CASILER WEREST 5 (1975) 247 (417)

1	What's 4 MMHOS/CM?
2	THE WITNESS: Millimoves, it's a measurement
3	of electrical current.
4	THE SPECIAL MASTER: MHO, millimoves.
5	THE WITNESS: Millimoves is a reciprocal
6	of ohms, as I remember.
7	THE SPECIAL MASTER: That's right, but
8	what is the OS on the end, or is that whole
9	thing millimoves?
10	THE WITNESS: That's correct, the whole thing.
11	THE SPECIAL MASTER: What's the CM?
12	THE WITNESS: Per centimeter.
13	THE SPECIAL MASTER: 12 see. EC?
14	THE WITNESS: Electroconductivity.
15	THE SPECIAL MASTER: Okay, thank you.
16	Q (By Mr. White) How did you consider any potential
17	deficiencies, problems associated with erosion
18	in your standards?
19	A Erosion now from surface drainage, are you
20	talking about?
21	Q Yes.
22	A It's considered somewhat in slope, that's built
23	in. On our two percent slope you're going to
24	have minimal erosion problems.
25	kersich-cross-white

*

-

-

فعسيها

ويعشق

التعجيب

-

وسبب

3

-

J-3

Also, the on-farm system is going to have an awful lot to do with any erosion from the water kersich-cross-white

22

23

24

1		applied by the farmer, of course.
2	Q	I guess I must not have asked the question
3		the way I meant to. How is erosion taken care
4		of in your standards?
5	A	The type of erosion that I understand you're
6		discussing about through cultivation is controlled
7		to a large extent by the cultural practices re-
8		quired for the crop, required for the area it
9		is.
10		I don't see it as a big issue here because
11		that's a cultural practice or can be handled by
12		cultural practices rather than pure land class-
13		ification.
14	Q	That part is systems design rather than the land
15		classification?
16	A	Part of the selection of the cropping pattern,
17		allowing for crops to be grown in areas like that,
18		but as we both know, the most important thing is
19		the management factor that's factored in the
20		handling of those types of areas within the project.
21	Q	What do you mean "The management factor"?
22	A	Well, we all know that farmers have to exhibit
23		good management to return good yields. That means
24		they have to plant the proper crops at the proper
25	ker	sich-cross-white

Q And Mr. Kersich, during your deposition, you indicated that, I believe the facts and data upon which you based your conclusions as to arable kersich-cross-white

-

300

اعسيا

1

23

24

		1489
•		The transfer of the transfer o
1		land were the chemical analyses that you did,
2		the drainage tests that you did, the H.K.M.
3		soil logs and the tentative classifications
4		or observations by your field classifier; is
5		that correct?
6	A	Plus my own personal reviews in checking some of
7		the work, yes.
8	Ω	Four things; chemical analyses, drainage tests,
9		soil logs, H.K.M. soil logs; tentative classi-
10		fications; is that correct?
11	A	Aerial maps, the aerial photographs that have been
12		prepared, a review of the information on those
13		photographs and a field review of the information
14		testing some of the land classification judgments.
15	Ω	Did you bring your volume of township photo-
16		graphs with you?
17	A	My workbook?
18	Q	Yes, sir.
19	A	Can I put these standards away for a minute?
20	Ω	You might as well leave them out; we may refer
21		to them. You don't need to have them right in
22	,	front of you.
23		THE SPECIAL MASTER: You're not offering
24		this yet?
25	kers	sich-cross-white
		A CONTROL OF THE RESERVE OF THE CONTROL OF THE CONT

17-6

1	MR. WHITE: No, sir, Your Honor.
2	MR. ECHOHAWK: Your Honor, at this time,
3	if we are going to proceed to another area, if I
4	might, I'd like to inquire on the record where Mr.
5	White received this exhibit that he entitled WRIR SK-7.
6	MR. WHITE: I'm under no obligation to
7	explain that, Your Honor.
8	MR. ECHOHAWK: I understand
9	THE SPECIAL MASTER: But you don't want to
10	do that at this stage of the hearing. We have been so
11	excellent in divulging the information and complying
12	with the letter in good spirit of counselling. Why do
13	you not wish to let him know where this SK-7 orginates
14	from?
15	MR. WHITE: It may not be the set of standards.
16	I think Mr. Kersich ought to be given the opportunity
17	THE SPECIAL MASTER: Mr. Kersich was asked,
18	were these your criteria used on the Crow Reservation,
19	on the work you did up there, and you said I'm not
20	going to answer that without checking my records up
21	there.
22	MR. WHITE: Once Mr. Kersich is able to
23	respond, if he indicates no, they're not his standards,
24	then I will either pull them or explain where I got them,

kersich-cross-white

1	MR. ECHOHAWK: The reason I'm concerned,
2	Your Honor, is Mr. White has represented that these
3	were the standards used on the Crow Indian Reservation
4	by work done by H.K.M., which would be contained
5	within a preliminary report which has not been accepted
6	by the United States and which is of a confidential
7	matter. We're very concerned of the confidential
8	information, that is not yet finalized by the United
9	States, has somehow been violated.
10	MR. WHITE: Your Honor, the point is I made
11	no representation, I asked him if those were the
12	standards.
13	THE SPECIAL MASTER: We'll cross that bridge
14	when we get to it. If you're going to object to its
15	admissibility on the breach of some confidential client/
16	attorney relationship
17	MR. WHITE: In addition to that particular
18	concern, we do have other concerns which relate
19	THE SPECIAL MASTER: We'll cross them when
20	the time comes, but we'll wait for the answer from you
21	to that question.
22	MR. WHITE: I was glad that Mr. Echohawk
23	made it on the record, as to the source.
24	THE SPECIAL MASTER: You gentlemen are getting
25	kersich-cross-white

END 17

25

THE PERSON OF TH

ſ					
1	tough all of a sudden.				
2	Okay, next point on these maps.				
2	Q (By Mr. White) Would you turn, please				
4	Would you turn, please to Township 2 North, 1 East. A 2 North, 1 East.				
5	A 2 North, 1 East.				
6	Q Right. You might put a finger in another Town-				
7	ship, 2 North, 1 West.				
8	A Okay, they're both here.				
9	THE SPECIAL MASTER: Mr. White, are these				
10	touching on earlier specific ~-				
11	MR. WHITE: No, sir, that is a new area of				
12	inquiry.				
13	THE SPECIAL MASTER: Thank you.				
14	Q (By Mr. White) You should have 2 North, 1 West.				
15	A Right there.				
16	Q Okay. I refer you to that portion of land that				
17	has 729 in parenthesis. Is that 729 acres?				
18	A Yes.				
19					
20					
21	* * * *				
22	* * * * .				
23					
24					

18-1	V-e	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	1,493
	1		MR. WHITE: Now we can turn this around so
	2		more people can see it.
	3		THE SPECIAL MASTER: They can come up. Come
	4		up and have a look, whoever has an interest.
	5	Q.	(By Mr. White) Is that land that you classified
	6		as Class 3 for sprinkler?
	7	A.	Yes.
	8	Q	Could you point out where that land is located
	9		on one of your area maps?
	10	A.	Two North, 1 West what particular portion of
	11		that area?
	12	Q	Well, it's the area that I asked you about,
	13		729 acres.
	14	A.	It would be the upper right-hand corner (indicating).
	15	Ď.	It's in this area right here (indicating)?
	16	A.	Right here, sir (indicating).
	17	Q	Would you please explain how you determined the
	18		depth to barrier of six feet for that 729 acres?
	19	A.	Deep hole 103 shows 11 feet to sandstone.
	20	Q	And is that within the acreage outlined?
	21	A.	It's right next to it.
	22	Q	Well, it's a couple miles away from portions of it,
	23		isn't it?
	24	A.	Yeah, yes, it could be.
•		1	

kersich - cross - white

kersich - cross - white

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22

24

25

فانتوع

للنيج

لىنىپ ئىنىپ

لمسيم

904

I asked what difference is it, and Mr. White I think said in our discussion, "Well, it could have gone through the barrier to 14 feet," and I say to you: Is it possible that those 671 holes you drilled that you drilled some holes which went through barrier to bottom without reporting the fact that you hit barrier?

THE WITNESS: That's correct. We wouldn't -
if we had had a barrier there, we would have

reported the barrier.

THE SPECIAL MASTER: Okay.

THE WITNESS: And normally that's Bureau practice also. If they hit something where they quit drilling, we would have gone to 30 feet if there had been nothing there. So would we, for that matter.

THE SPECIAL MASTER: Take it from there, Mr. White.

- Q (By Mr. White) How close is that hole to the southern portion of that 729 acres? Isn't it approximately a mile away?
- A. It's about a mile, yes. We also have a hole down here, one of our holes, 102, which is ten feet deep -- or 20 feet, excuse me -- no, wait a minute.

kersich - cross - white

18-5	1497
1	problem with the barrier in that particular
2	situation.
3	MR. WHITE: Well, Mr. Echohawk will find out
4	when it comes to my portion of the case.
5	MR. ECHOHAWK: I presume that Mr. White is
6	prepared to do that.
7	THE WITNESS: Could I take a five-minute break?
8	THE SPECIAL MASTER: Let's take a five-
9	minute break.
10	(Thereupon a five-minute (recess was taken.
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	* * * *
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	kersich - cross - white

在1966年代的1966年中央的基本的基础的1966年中的

A CONTRACT OF THE PARTY OF THE

of the room, please.

THE SPECIAL MASTER: Off the record.

(Off-the-record discussion.

(By Mr. White) Your soil log, Soil Profile Log No. 7 Q. which is the closest to the southern portion of that 729 acres only goes to 24 inches, doesn't it? kersich - cross - white

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

19

The state of the s

The second secon

1	A.	That's right.
2	Q.	So you couldn't tell whether or not the barrier
3		was any closer than six feet from that hole, could
4		you?
5	A.	Not from that hole but there is one additional
6		bit of information that you're not taking into
7		consideration.
8	ζ.	Okay.
9	A.	For example, in this Soil Log, look who it was
10		logged by. Mr. Waples, correct?
11	Q	I don't know.
12	A.	It says RW up there, doesn't it, on your copy?
13	δ	Is that Ross Waples?
14	A.	Yes. All right. This particular area was discussed.
15		Also in the Big Horn Flats area I believe it was
16		nine soil pits were dug to try to determine what's
17		happening with regard to gravel and where the
18		sandstone may be. Mr. Waples was on the ground he
19		felt from his own visual observations am I
20		bothering you, Mr. White?
21		MR. WHITE: No, I'm very interested in your
22		answer.
23	A.	Okay. He felt from his visual observations that
24		sandstone would not be within six feet of the surface.
25	ker	sich - cross - white

1	Ċ.	(By Mr. White) And that's how you decided that
2		729 acres that doesn't have a hole in it enjoyed
3		at least six foot to barrier?
4	A.	There isn't any indication of barrier being less
5		than what we've got reported on our deep holes
6		where they are located around area. And I think as
7		the practical matter we can't drill a deep hole
8		on every particular tract.
9	Ď.	Well, over in the next Township to the east,
10		that 729-acre parcel continues to another 102-
11		acre parcel, doesn't it?
12 ·	A.	Yes.
13		Are you talking now let me are you
14		talking about 2 North, 1 East, and you're talking
15		about Section 7, sir?
16	Ü	Let me look over your shoulder. My copy isn't very
17		strong.
18	A.	Sure.
19	Q	Section
20	A.	Section 13, right here.
21	Q.	Section 13. There is 102-acre parcel with no holes
22		whatsoever in that parcel, isn't there?
23	A.	There's none indicated here, that's correct.
24	Q	Why don't you turn to 3 North, 3 West?
25	ker	sich - cross - white

1	A.	Okay.
2		THE SPECIAL MASTER: Give me sections too, if
3		you can, Mr. White.
4		MR. WHITE: I'm trying to figure them out from
5		the photograph, Your Honor.
6		THE SPECIAL MASTER: All right.
7	Q.	(By Mr. White) In Section 3 dropping into Section 10
8		and going over into Section 2 there's a 242-acre
9		tract, is that correct?
10	A.	That's correct.
11	Q.	There are three holes shown in that tract, is that
12		correct?
13	A.	There are probes, yes.
14	Ű	How deep are those probes?
15	A.	One of them stopped at 12 inches one of them
16		shows stopping at 12 inches.
17	Q	How deep are the other two?
18	A.	I can't tell you at this time. But they were made
19] 	to ascertain the depth of the topsoil at that point
20		and there is a listing of the topsoil, so obviously
21		they were able to get deep enough to enable them
22		to determine the textures.
23	Q	How many of your probes go six feet deep?
24	A.	That would be very difficult to say but a probe
25	ker	sich - cross - white

ı		
		is usually put in to make sure there have been
2		no change in the texture or the type of soil or
3		the land form at that particular place. And so
		a probe is merely used to ascertain that it does
5		meet the classification that the classifier put
5		on it.
7	Q	The probe holes are unlogged, aren't they? They are not logged.
8	A.	They are not logged.

Q Unlogged, yes.

So isn't it true that you've classified as

for :-3. sprinkler and Class 2 -- excuse me,

3 gravity and Class 2 sprinkler that 242-acre tract
with no logged holes within the tract going to six
feet?

A. It is true that they classified -- the classifier on that particular piece of property classified that using probes to ascertain the soils and put the necessary limitations on that he thought were there for both Class 3 and Class 2.

One of the reasons he wouldn't necessarily have not logged the hole is he found no difference from anything around there. It was a uniform piece of soil.

Q Do you have a log hole that shows that the depth kersich - cross - white

- to barrier is at least six feet as required by

 your standard in that parcel?
- 3 A. In that particular parcel, no.
- 4 Q. Yes.
- No. But it appears there may be some cut banks or something in there which might tell them something of that nature so all of these things have got to be taken into consideration.
- 9 Q Right.
- Let's stay in the same Township, please.
- 11 A. Yes, sir.
- 12 Go down to a 25-acre tract that appears to be

 13 between the -- lying on the border of Section 1 -
 14 excuse me, Section 2, Section 1 and Section 11 of
- that Township, do you see that parcel?
- 16 A. Yes, uh-huh.
- 17 Q Is that classified as 3 gravity?
- 18 A. It appears to be, yes.
- 19 Q But 6 sprinkler?
- 20 A. Yes.

- 21 Q How would it happen that land would be arable for gravity but not for sprinkler?
- 23
 A. It is 25 acres; it wouldn't go into our 40-acre

 limitation so therefore it would have been Class 6

 kersich cross white

A A A LANGE COMMENCE AND A SOLVE OF WARRENCE OF THE STATE
	 -	
1		for sprinkler. It is very clear to me.
2	Q.	Okay. I was just curious because of your no
3	} 	minimum size limitation on your other type
4		sprinklers.
5	A.	All right, but didn't it come in on Class 3 gravity
6		and isn't it therefore being included in our Class
7		3 in your gravity?
8	Q	Let's talk about the Class 3. Is there any kind
9		of hole in that parcel?
10	A.	No.
11		
12		•
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		, * * * * *
18		
19	} 	
20		
21		
22		
23	! 	
24	_	
25	kers	sich - cross - white

11 6 %

. : '

1	Q.	(By Mr. White) (Continued) Down just below it
2		in Section 12 and overlapping a bit into Section 11
3		is a 41-acre parcel.
4	A.	Yes.
5	Q.	You find that?
6	A.	Yes.
7	Q.	That's classified 3 gravity and 3 sprinkler; is
8		that correct?
8 9	A.	That's correct. Um-hum.
10	İ	Is there any hole whatsoever in that parcel?
11	A.	No.
	1	And continuing on to the southeast in Section 12,
13		do you find 118-acre parcel?
14	A.	Yes.
14 15	Q.	There is a hole there, isn't there?
16 17	A.	There's a probe of 12 inches, yes.
17	Q	But there is no hole that goes, six feet deep; is
18		there?
19	A.	Is there any need for it there?
20		THE SPECIAL MASTER: That's argumentative.
21		THE WITNESS: I'm sorry.
22		THE SPECIAL MASTER: Just answer his question;
23	 	you don't have to argue with him.
24		THE WITNESS: No, but here again this is
25	ker	sich - cross - white

1		a problem with the classifiers, and I guess one
2		thing we should do is look to the adjoining
3		Township to see if there might be some more
4		information there.
5	Q	(By Mr. White) Go ahead.
6	A.	Okay. This is 3 North, 3 West.
7		(Brief pause.
8	A.	There's some holes, there's one hole that he augered
9		to, I believe it says 36 inches, gravel and augered
10		at 36 inches.
11	Q	That within the 118-acre tract?
12	A.	That's in the larger tract at this point.
13	Q	The answer's no?
14	A.	The answer is no. He made it appears that the
15		classification line there may be separating two
16		tracts, one which could be worked with sprinkler,
17		one which may not be.
18	Q	I'm referring to the 118-acre tract.
19	A.	Yeah.
20	Q.	That's Class 3 for both sprinkler and gravity.
21	A.	No. There's no hole in that particular tract.
22		It just extends in the Township, in the
23		next Township slightly.
24	Q	Would you please turn to Township 3 North, 1 West.
25	ker	sich - cross - white

201 MOWEST BUILDING TASEER WY 828 23 13,7-237-1413

1		THE SPECIAL MASTER: Section?
2		MR. WHITE: I think it's 32 and 29, Your Honor.
3	Q.	(By Mr. White) See a parcel comprising 129 acres,
4		Class 3 sprinkler excuse me, Class 3 gravity,
5		2 sprinkler that
6	A.	Seventy-seven acres for sprinkler.
7	Q.	Seventy-seven acres for sprinkler?
8	A.	That's correct. There's quite a difference in
9		parcel size.
10	Q.	There's a dotted line in that parcel. What does
11		that dotted line mean?
12	A.	That dotted line means for class, for the sprinkler
13		classification this particular portion located in
14		the north and extending on into the Section 29 was
15		cut off because it was neither of the size nor shape
16		that would be applicable to sprinklers.
17	Ċ	And so you got 121 acres Class 3 gravity and 77
18		acres Class 2 sprinkler.
19	A.	Yes.
20	Q	Is there a hole of any kind in that parcel?
21	A.	There's a probe right on the boundary between the
22		two.
23	Q	Is that probe logged?
24	A.	No, but this is the results of the probe. He
25	ker	sich - cross - white

व्यक्त देवीकी द्वीम तमिति। दिन्दीभविद्यों क्षेत्र एटी है व्यक्तिक एक एक्टी

1		shows a light textured surface soil, a light
2		textured subsoil. He shows there's gravel in the
3		profile, there's a jotential gradient and a J
4		factor, which is for size and shape.
5	Ç	How, from that symbol, based on the probe, how deep
6		did the person making the probe discover it was to
7		barrier?
8	A.	He didn't discover how deep it was to barrier, but
9		there were no evidences of surface problem. There
10		must have been good vegetation there, the very things
11		you look for as part of your overall classification
12	} }	work.
13	Q	Immediately to the southeast there's of that
14		parcel I just described again in Section 32, there's
15		the parcel of 24 acres that's Class 2.
16	A. Q.	That's right.
17	Ğ.	Sprinkler.
18	A.	That's correct. It's Class 6 for gravity also.
19	Q.	Is there any hole of any description in that
20		parcel?
21	A.	No, but you know, it's kind of interesting, he does
22		call it, he calls it medium textured soils, medium
23		textured subsoils, gravel in the profile, and a
24		gradient. The gradient must have been sufficient
25	kers	sich - cross - white

1 . . . F

1	to throw it out from a gravity standpoint.
2	THE SPECIAL MASTER: I have a question, if
3	not confusion running in my mind. If a probe is
4	rarely deeper than 12 inches
5	THE WITNESS: No. no.
6	THE SPECIAL MASTER: Probes can be deeper than
7	12 inches?
8	THE WITNESS: Yes. What happens many times,
9	you hit a piece of gravel and I think I even
10	brought a piece of gravel to show you what will stop
11	a soils auger. When we're talking about gravel,
12	we're talking about rocks like this, potentially
13	like this (indicating).
14	So he's got information he needs, he realizes
15	there's enough soils matrix in the gravel, he may
16	not go any further.
17	But probes many times are the same depth of
18	auger holes or maybe deeper.
19	THE SPECIAL MASTER: But the augered holes
20	were 671, those were not probes?
21	THE WITNESS: No, sir.
22	THE SPECIAL MASTER: Those were augered log
23	holes?
24	THE WITNESS: Yes.
25	kersich - cross - white

THE SPECIAL MASTER: And probes can either be sometimes shoveled as well as drilled?

THE WITNESS: Well, you can use pits. For example, on Big Horn Flats -- can I just take the time to talk about that, sir?

THE SPECIAL MASTER: Sure, I'd like you to.

THE WITNESS: As long as we've got the map here on Big Horn Flats, you run into a problem on Big Horn Flats with relatively shallow soils, there's gravel within most of the soils and sometimes cobbles.

You can't get a hand auger sometimes down through that; you can't get a power auger many times. If you hit a piece of cobble, and you only have to hit one piece at the right spot --

THE SPECIAL MASTER: So you use a pick and a shovel.

to tell you. We put nine pits in there with a back hoe, and I can't remember the exact depth, but I'll guess they're from seven feet to nine feet, somewheres in that vicinity, anywheres in there depending on how we run into something, to ascertain, actually get it down and see what the root growth is, how were the roots of the native grasses, sagebrushes,

kersich - cross - white

Ŏ.

things of this nature were growing, how they were able to sustain life in that particular environment, so that was why -- what we did there because of the fact that we couldn't get the information necessarily from just a soils auger.

Now, ordinarily in a soils investigation you try to use the auger as much as possible because it's a time and cost situation, and if you get a situation where you're not getting answers, then you get it from that.

Now, it's not unusual also, and I've done this myself on this particular project, to see where they probe to say 12 inches and then be concerned about the soils matrix because there wasn't any in the log, so what we did, we actually took a pick and a shovel out there and actually dug down two and a half feet to see what was going on in that particular area.

(By Mr. White) You say you back hoed it there --I'm sorry, Your Honor.

THE SPECIAL MASTER: Is there a question about the economic feasibility of patches of land, 20, 22 34 acres by themselves unless they're continguous to something else that's being irrigated?

THE WITNESS: There is, sir, very much so, kersich - cross - white

1	but not knowing how the agricultural engineer
2	was going to design his system
3	THE SPECIAL MASTER: You weren't given that.
4	THE WITNESS: We put it on there and let him
5	make the decision.
6	Now, it's not unusual for the agricultural
7	engineer many times these small pieces will be
8	separated from a larger piece by a whole piece of
9	Class 6 land, for example. And there are many
10	reasons you can have Class 6 lands, it could be too
11	rough or well, many types of things.
12	THE SPECIAL MASTER: Sure, sure.
13	THE WITNESS: So you don't know how the
14	final design is going to come out, so what you got
15	to do is locate that land.
16	THE SPECIAL MASTER: Let him worry about that.
17	THE WITNESS: And allow it to go through the
18	next two or three steps screening process.
19	THE SPECIAL MASTER: Thank you very much, sir.
20	
21	
22	* * * *
23	
24	

1	Q:	(By Mr. White) You spoke of these backhoe pits
2	•	in the Big Horn Flats area?
3	A	Yes.
4	Ď	How many acres were there in the Big Horn Flats
5		study area?
6	A	In the Big Horn Flats study area, I couldn't
7		tell you offhand. They are substantial, but
8	Q	Certainly in the neighborhood of 50,000 acres?
9	A	I wouldn't give you an estimate. I would have
10		to sit down and count the sections, would be
11		the easiest way. If you want me to do it, I'll
12		do it right now.
13	Q	Okay.
14	A	Do you want me to do it?
15	0	If that's the way you have to do it. I thought
16		maybe you would know.
17	A	I would be very happy to do it. It will take me
18		some time.
19		THE SPECIAL MASTER: How many acres
20		in the study area? I presume it's a total of
21		the sections shown on that Exhibit 49
22		THE WITNESS: C-49, you bet.
23	Q	(By Mr. White) You don't need to count the
24	i !	sections? Roughly 18,000 acres?
25	kers	ich-cross-white

2 (13) (At 5) Hold (CAG (A) (M (A) (A2) (2) (4) 2 (7) (4)3

The state of the s

1	A	No, no. You asked me how many acres in the
2		study area. That was your question.
3	Q	That's right, and you told the Master the
4		figure on the lower right-hand corner of
5		Exhibit
6	A	No, I did not tell the Master.
7		THE SPECIAL MASTER: I think I inter-
8		jected it would probably be a total of the
9	1	sections shown on that exhibit and from its
10		exterior boundaries.
11		THE WITNESS: That's correct.
12		THE SPECIAL MASTER: I suppose we
13		can all prove that by just multiplying 640
14		times an awful lot of sections.
15	Q	(By Mr. White) You don't even have a rough idea
16		how many acres are in that study area?
17	A	Not without going back through my study notes.
18	Ω	But the acreage is in excess of 18,000 acres,
19	· •	isn't it?
20	A	There are quite a number of more acres that
21	į	have been declared arable.
22	Q	And you dug how many pits in that area?
23	A	We dug nine, sir.
24	. Q	Nine for over 20,000 acres?
25	kers	ich-cross-white

A COMMENT CONTRACTOR

1	A	Nine pits
2		THE SPECIAL MASTER: Well, Mr. White,
3		that has a tendency of possibly being argu-
4		mentative. The 20,000 acres are reduced to
5		considerably less in the white space which
6		eliminates a large amount of land that you
7		would not want to waste any more pits.
8	Q	(By Mr. White) So we are down to 18,000 plus
		acres that you classified; is that correct?
9	A	Yes, we have classified 18,900 and some odd
10		acres as arable.
11	0	And you dug nine pits in that area; is that
12		correct?
13	A	I'd have to check, but, yes, as I recall, that's
14		the figure I put in, and that's to the best of
15		
16		my knowledge the number of pits we put in that
17		area.
18	Q	How many pits did you dig elsewhere?
19	A	Not very many.
20	Q	Not very many?
21	A	As I recall, I don't think we dug any.

FRONTIER REPORTING SERVICE

So the only backhoe pits you constructed were

in the Big Horn Flats area; is that correct?

Yes, we dug our pits after we had done our initial

22

23

24

25

kersich-cross-white

4 900 224 5 750

2 3 Markey's 22 to 22 to 24 to 25 to

Same and the

1		soil survey work, land classification, and we
2		went back in to confirm our findings or our
3		lack of knowledge in that area.
4	Ω	Let's go back to Township 3 North, 1 West, and
5		the 24-acre parcel, Class 2, sprinkler, in
6		Section 32.
7		I may have asked you the question before,
8		and I apologize if I did, but I can't remember
9		whether I did or not.
10		Is there a hole of any type within that
11		29-acre parcel?
12	A	No, there's none shown here.
13	Q	And immediately to the east, still in Section 32
14		is an 18-acre parcel. Is there a hole of any
15		type in that parcel?
16	A	No, sir.
17	Ŏ	Would you please turn to Township 1 North, 1
18	1	East?
19		THE SPECIAL MASTER: Section?
20	Q	(By Mr. White) I direct your attention to a
21	<u> </u>	94-acre parcel in the northwest portion of
22	\ \ \ \	your township aerial photograph. Are you able
23		from your photograph to describe the section
24	í	in which that 94-acre parcel falls?
25	ker	sich-cross-white

and the second of the second

A THOME THE SOLE OF THE SECTION OF T

And Add To Attend to the Committee of th

1	A	Okay. That would be Township 1 North, 1 East,
2		Section 7, and I'm pointing to a parcel here
3		that has a soils class designation of 2Tl over
4		HHG, which is heavier soils in the surface,
5		heavier soils in the three feet below, and a
6		gradient problem, or at least a gradient concern.
7	Q	Is that 94 acres classified 2 for gravity and 1
8		for sprinkler?
9	A	That's correct.
10	Q	Is there any logged hole within the boundaries
11		of that parcel?
12	A	There doesn't appear to be a logged hole there.
13		There is a probe to 72 inches though.
14	Q	Is there any log of that probe?
15	A	No, sir, but the results of that probe are
16		certainly evident in the denominator of the
17		soils classification.
18	Q	Immediately to the south of that 94-acre parcel
19	A	This would be
	Q	Still in Section 7.
21	A	Yes, it will be in the SE 1/4 of Section 7.
22	Q	There's a 25-acre parcel that's, I believe,
23	! !	Class l gravity, Class 6 sprinkler. Do you find
24	ì	that?
Λ μ	ker	sich-cross-white

201 (40) 4651 (80) 1 NG CASPER (41/8/21/1) 13:27 (247/14) 1

- A Yes.
- 2 O Is there any hole whatsoever within that parcel?
- There's no hole or probe indicated, no. One thing -- can I clarify one thing on that?
- Q Sure.

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

A Okay. If you'll look, there is a line drawn that has an arrow, half an arrow on each side of the line, which indicates that those two parcels appear to be relatively the same as far as soils classification and so on.

There's also a deep hole located about a thousand -- it's about twenty-six -- well, I'm going to say a thousand feet, and you can argue with me on that if you want to.

- Q I would have said a quarter of a mile.
- A Well, 1,300 feet, okay. There's a deep hole
 that we do have a log on that shows 8 feet there,
 and there's another probe in that area, a logged
 hole 14, which shows 106 inches to any barrier,
 so there's been a pretty comprehensive system
 of holes there for that particular 25 acres.
 I think there's sufficient information for a
 qualified person to make an ascertation there.
- Those holes are either 1000 or closer than 1000 feet kersich-cross-white

1		that parcel though; is that correct?
2		THE SPECIAL MASTER: He said 1,300
3		feet. You said twelve, he said thirteen, and
4		he said okay, something like that.
5		MR. WHITE: I was trying to be
6		accommodating, Your Honor.
7	Q	(By Mr. White) The same township down in Sections
8		17 and 20 and 19.
9	A	Okay. Section 17.
10	Q	20 and 19.
11	A	20
12	Q	There's a 29-acre parcel that's classified 6
13		gravity, 1 sprinkler. Do you find that?
14	A	Yep.
15	Q	Is there any logged hole within that parcel?
16	A	There's a probe in there.
17	Q	Is this a logged hole?
18	A	There's no logged hole. There is a logged hole
19	 	to the south of that at about 1,200 feet.
20	Q	Now, about a mile south of that in Section 29
21		there's a parcel of 40 acres that's classified
22		3 gravity, 6 sprinkler. Do you find that?
23	A	Yes.
24	Ω	Is there any hole whatsoever within that parcel?
25	ker	sich-cross-white

201906019 1143 FAGER VERWERT 1977-232-1244

A CARLON CARROLL STATE OF THE S

10

11

12

13

14

15

25

A There's not shown, and I would like to point out one thing, if I may again? Just so everyone understands it.

Many times they will put a probe down and ascertain a particular area and it won't show because probes are just probes. That's what they are. I don't have any problem with that in reviewing their work.

What makes me wonder about that is there is an alkalinity condition in that particular area.

- Q But you don't know of your own knowledge that a probe was placed in that 40-acre tract and an indication was made of its location, do you?
- A I can't recall that I discussed that particular tract as one of the tracts.
- 16 Q Let's move to the east about a mile and a half

 17 over to Section 21 and 22. Do you find a

 23-acre tract there that is classified 3 gravity

 and 6 sprinkler?
- 20 I'm sorry. I was trying to find myself.
- 21 Q I'm sorry. 21, 22 --
- 22 A Okay.
- 23 In the common south corner of those two sections.
- 24 Okay. I'm there now.
 - kersich-cross-white

. . . .

1	Q	And there's a 23-acre tract classified 3 gravity,
2		6 sprinkler. Is there any hole whatsoever within
3		that parcel?
4	A	Within that 23-acre parcel there does not appear
5		to be any hole, no.
6		It's interesting though that he did classify
7		that as 3 for gravity and 6 for sprinkler. He
8		indicated heavy soils with alkalinity and
9		leveling problems.
10	Q	Would you please turn to Township 3 North, 2
11		West?
12	A	3 North, 2 West. Very good.
13	Q	Mr. Kersich, I direct your attention to a
14		137-acre tract in the south central portion of
15		that township which is classified 2 gravity,
16		2 sprinkler, and ask you if from your photograph
17		you are able to determine the section or sections
18		in which that tract is located?
19	A	Okay. This was 3 North, 2 West?
20	Q	Yes.
21	A	Are you referring to the section in Section 28 here?
22	Q	Well, I can't find 28 on here.
23	A	Well, this would be 30 and this would be 29
24	1	(indicating), 28.

CASTAN ASSESSMENT

kersich-cross-white

Jun 20 7 18

1	Ω	Okay. Yes
2	A	A 137-acre tract is classified as 2 gravity and
3		it indicates a soils deficiency and it's classified
4		as 2 for sprinkler and the denominator says it
5		has a medium textured surface soil, a light
6		textured subsoil, or the next 3 feet, and then
7		it has gravel in the profile.
8	Q	Does that 137-acre tract extend into Section 27
9	-	as well to the east?
10	A	A very small portion of it does, yes.
11	Q	Does a portion extend to Section 33 to the south?
12	A	A very small portion, yes.
13	Ω	Is there any logged hole in that parcel?
14	A	Not in that particular parcel, no.
15	Ω	To the southeast of that parcel
16	A	There's a probe though. I should get that on
17		the record. We did probe it. There's a probe
18		indicated.
19	Q	There's no logged hole?
20	A	No, but there is a probe.
21	Ω	Now, about a half mile to the southeast there's
22		a 71-acre parcel that's primarily in Section 34
23	1	and maybe in Section 33 to some small part and
24		Section 27 to small part which is classified
25	ker	sich-cross-white
	1	

1	}	6 gravity and 4 sprinkler. Have you found that
2		71-acre parcel?
3	A	Yes, I have.
4		THE SPECIAL MASTER: 6 gravity, 4
5		sprinkler?
6		THE WITNESS: Classified 6 gravity,
7		4 sprinkler.
8		THE SPECIAL MASTER: All right. That's
9		the first 6 gravity I think I have run into.
10		Is that about right?
11		MR. WHITE: I think we have a few others.
12		THE WITNESS: I believe so, sir. We
13		have had some others.
14	Q	(By Mr. White) Is there any hole whatsoever in
15		that parcel?
16	A	No, that's a long narrow parcel located across
17		the top of the section. There is a logged hole
18		indicated some 400 feet, 500 feet to the north.
19	Q	But that logged hole
20	A	Obviously, the classifier in doing his work,
21		there was no gradient on the Class 2 drilling
22		there is a gradient portion here, and he separated
23		those two parcels and downgraded the parcel you
24	; 	are referring to.
25	ker	sich-cross-white

1	Q	That logged hole you mentioned is about three-
2		quarters of a mile from the western edge of that
3		parcel, isn't it?
4	A	I think the most important thing here is the
5		distance north and south because the parcel
6		appeared to be the same as far as characteristics
7		in an east-west direction.
8	Ö	Isn't it true that the logged hole you referred
9		to is three-quarters of a mile from the western
10		edge of that parcel?
11	A	From the western no, it's not true.
12	Ω	How far is it?
13	A	I'd say it's a half mile or a half to five-eighths
14		of a mile.
15	Q	Okay.
16	A	The logged hole is shown to the west side of the
17		center line, it appears to me, on my map and it's
18		five-eighths
19	Q	That's also about a half mile away from the
20		eastern edge of that parcel, isn't that true?
21	A	Yes, that parcel does go down to a very small
22		piece.
23	Ω	To the east and slightly south of the 71-acre
24		parcel do you find a 93-acre parcel that's
25	kers	cich-cross-white

A CAR TO ATEC TO EAST CONTRACTOR OF STATE Gr.

1		located in Sections 35 and 36 and is classified
2		3 gravity, 2 sprinkler?
3	A	Yes, there is a long narrow parcel abutting
4	<u> </u>	another arable parcel.
5	Q	Is there any hole in that parcel?
6	A	In that parcel?
7	Ö	Yes.
8	A	Not right in that parcel, no.
9	Q	Would you turn to Township 2 North, 3 West, please?
10	A	There are some other holes located south of that
11		though.
12		I'm sorry. I missed your township.
13	Q	2 North, 3 West.
14	A	2 North, 3 West.
15	Q	I'm sorry. I have to arrange my papers here.
16		You are much better organized than I am,
17		I'm sorry. I missed a couple parcels
18		I wanted to ask you about in 3 North, 2 West,
19		the one we were on before. Could we go back to
20		that, please?
21	A	Yep.
22	Q	In Section
23	A	Wait a minute. Let me get it first.
24	Q	I'm sorry.
25	ker	sich-cross-white
25	ker	sich-cross-white

And About 1, 100 to all controls as a second of the second

1527

	Ι.	
1	A	3 North, 2 West.
2	Q	Section 25.
3	A	Section 25, yes. I'm at Section 25.
4	Ω	Do you find one parcel of 18 acres that's
5		classified 2 gravity, 6 sprinkler?
6	A	18 acres, yes.
7		
8	 	
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		* * * *
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		

	22-1	L-CB	
-			1528
		1	Q (By Mr. White) And another parcel of 30 acres
		2	va sav mazoo, and unother parous or over
		3	classified 3 gravity 6 sprinkler? A That's correct
مجات		•	
-		4	Q Is there any hole whatsoever within either of
		5	those two parcels?
		6	A There are no holes that I can see here, no.
1		7	Q Now, let's go to 2 North, 3 West.
		8	THE SPECIAL MASTER: May I just ask a
عصيد		9	question before you leave where you are?
هي		10	THE WITNESS: Yes, sir.
والمساور		11	THE SPECIAL MASTER: Are there any holes in
Quadratic Control		12	that general irrigated area within a mile
والمساورة		13	THE WITNESS: There is a Bureau hole 19 feet
والمساوي		14	deep, another Bureau hole 20 foot deep, a Bureau
Charles		15	hole 7 foot deep.
وعملوه		16	THE SPECIAL MASTER: What are there distances
وعليوه		17	from the two parcels just described?
		18	THE WITNESS: All right, the parcels, 18 and
		19	30 acres, are located between 19 foot
		20	THE SPECIAL MASTER: The two holes?
-		21	THE WITNESS: Yes.
666666		22	THE SPECIAL MASTER: Okay.
(70)		23	THE WITNESS: I'm sorry. I didn't get the
		24	Township.
		25	kersich-cross-white
0			Stop MeSt value Street PRONTIER REPORTING SERVICE
وسي	_		CHI (1) (24 W. + 42 CO) 201 MDWEST BUR CASER WY 826 (1977) (431-442) CASER WY 826 (1977) 237-143
	L		

(1)

	Į.	
1		MR. WHITE: 2 North, 3 West.
2	A	2 North, 3 West.
3	Ω	Sections 17 and 18.
4	A	Let's see. That would be Section okay. I
5		have found myself here.
6	Q	Did you find a 130-acre parcel that was class-
7		ified 6 gravity, 3 sprinkler?
8	A	6 gravity, 3 sprinkler.
9	Q	Did you find that in Section 17 or 18 or am I
10	}	in the wrong section?
11	A	It extends into both Sections 17 and 18, yes.
12	Q	Is there any logged hole in that parcel, that
13		130-acre parcel?
14	A	No, sir. There is a the information on the
15		aerial photo I have shows a probe, it shows, in
16		fact, that the grade was steep. It was 12 percent
17		at this point.
18	Q	Let's go about four or five miles to the east.
19	A	Four or five miles to the east?
20	Q	Yes, sir.
21	A	Have you got the section?
22	Q	Section 14.
23	A	What is it, sir?
24	Q	Section 14, along the east edge of Section 14.
25	kers	ich-cross-white

	~	
22-3		1530
**************************************	1	A Okay. Okay.
~5	2	Q Do you find the 16-acre tract there that was
	3	classified 3 gravity 6 sprinker?
-	4	A 3 gravity, 16-acres, 6 sprinkler. Yes, I've
23	5	found it.
	6	Q Is there any logged hole in that parcel?
L _a	7	A Not in the parcel, there is one just to the west
	Ť	of it.
	8	OI IC.
	9	It is kind of interesting, the parcel on
**	10	the west was 2 gravity and 1 sprinkler and this
	11	little piece was downgraded to 3 and 6.
-20	12	Q Now, it is interesting there in that 139-acre
	13	parcel on the west that you show a 10-foot draw
	14	in a Class 1 or a 10-foot deep draw in a
A	15	Class 1 sprinkler area, don't you?
	16	A That's right.
	17	Q Okay.
	18	THE SPECIAL MASTER: Now, was that Class 1
29		
	19	sprinkler area or was it a Class 3 sprinkler?
-9	20	THE WITNESS: It is the area just to the
3	21	east of it.
	22	MR. WHITE: The one we were talking about,
	23	Your Honor,
- 4	24	THE WITNESS: To me, I think that is interesting
	25	kersich-cross-white
7	Marriage and control and	409 West 44th Street FRONTIER REPORTING SERVICE CHETCHER WY 8324 0
7		(337) - 45 (327) (437) (437)

1	because it points out that the classifier understood					
2	the problems of the agrigultural engineer and pointed					
3	that out to him so he could handle it in his design.					
4	MR. WHITE: I was pointing, the original					
5	question there, where there's no hole is this small					
6	16-acre parcel then I went, Your Honor, to					
7	THE SPECIAL MASTER: Immediately west?					
8	MR. WHITE: to the west to the 139-acre					
9	parcel.					
10	THE SPECIAL MASTER: Not the 130. I see.					
11	Q (by Mr. White) Does that symbol in the 139-acre					
12	parcel indicate a 10-foot deep draw?					
13	A It appears to be, yes.					
14	Q And the land in which that draw is located is					
15	classified as Class 1 sprinkler?					
16	A Yes.					
17	MR. WHITE: That's all for Big Horn Flats					
18	right now. It would be a good time to take a short					
19	break, Your Honor.					
20	THE WITNESS: If we could					
21	THE SPECIAL MASTER: It is ten after four.					
22	Do you want to go another hour? If so, we'll take a					
23	five minute break, if not, we'll go right on. Do you					
24	want a little catch-up time?					
25	kersich-cross-white					

	00 5	·····					
	22-5						1532
	1		MR.	WHITE:	I could	use some catch	ı-up
	2	time.					
	3		THE	SPECIAL	MASTER:	Let's take a	ten
5-5	4	minute	break.				
	5					(Recess 4:11	p.m.
	6						
	7						
	8						
	9						
end	22 10				* *	. * * *	
	11						
مده	12						
هدو	13						
مله	14 15		1.				
هليه	16						
2	17						
0-0	18						
0-0	19						
ميو	20						
	21		•				
5-0	22						
6-0	23						
60	24						
60	25	5	ar an annual specimens of the specimens	and the second s			
6			ang Wi CHEYE 130	(\$1-2414 STREET 1816 - WY 8200 I 171 635 8289	FRONT	FIER REPORTING SERVIC	E

A SPECIAL SECTION SECTIONS

23-	-1 MR-CB	1533
C	1	THE SPECIAL MASTER: All right, shall we go?
6-12	2	MR. WHITE: Your Honor, I think there was
	3	an agreement among Counsel that we'd go another 20
	4	minutes if that would be all right with you, Your
	-	
	5	Honor.
-	6	THE SPECIAL MASTER: All right.
	7	Q (By Mr. White) Let's turn to the Owl Creek area.
	8	A Okay, sir.
	9	Q On the Big Horn Flats area. In Township 8 North,
	10	2 East, Section 1.
	11	A Well, with these photographs I have it's hard to
	12	determine townships.
	13	Q Look in the lower right-hand corner and see if
	14	you can find the photograph that has 44 North and
	15	98 West.
2	16	A I've got it here, yes.
	17	Q Okay. And you see the second section to the left
c.	18	on the bottom right-hand corner?
	19	A Yes.
	20	Q Is that Section 1 in Range 2 East, 8 North?
5	21	A It's in Range 2 East, and it would I'm sorry,
6-1-0	22	could I take time to get the exhibit, cross check
	23	myself here?
,	24	THE SPECIAL MASTER: Sure.
وسو	25	kersich-cross-white
وسوسي		FRONTIER REPORTING SERVICE

PORT TO A TO CHART CHETCHE OF BUTCH CHOZET OF BUBBLE

PRONTIER REPORTING SERVICE

2

3

4

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

intention to go through, to keep on doing this to
point out particular areas where there's no holes and
the United States has no problem with Mr. Kersich
when he says in certain parcels there are no holes.

I think the whole matter can be cleared up just by
inquiring whether it's necessary to have a hole in each
particular parcel. I think this is a terrible waste
of everyone's time.

THE SPECIAL MASTER: Well, I think he explained it on the specific nature and identity and characteristics of each particular parcel, and some places it's been almost obvious that there is no need for a hole. On others where there is a couple of hundred acres and no holes anywhere and no probes, it may raise a reasonable doubt to the wisdom of having it classified as it was. So I think it's getting accomplished, and if not too many more inquires this way, we might have a few more because it does serve a purpose of testing the expertise, the professionalism and the quality of accuracy that went into these classifications, and some of them may be embarrassingly left with a little bit of a hole in there, and he says he's not a perfect man like the rest of us. I think we said last week no one has a monopoly on perfection kersich-cross-white

	23-4	1536
671		
	1	or virtue in this world except the publishers of
	2	Playboy.
	3	But I would say if the questions go on in
	4	this way, allowing the most maximum latitude to the
	5	witness as to his explanations after the direct answer,
	6	a few more I think I'll listen to them.
وسين	7	MR. WHITE: Thank you, Your Honor.
	8	THE SPECIAL MASTER: Without prejudicing
	9	or raising it again, if you think he is going too far.
6	10	
و ا	11	•
	12	
	13	
end 23	14	* * * *
طحوت	15	
ط	16	·
0	17	
هندي ا	18	
	19	
	20	
	21	·
	22	
e	23	
-	24	
	25	~

Q (By Mr. White) Was that a probe or a hole?

as if there wasn't any information there.

23 A. That was a hole.

21

22

24

25

Q That didn't tell you the depth to barrier?
kersich - cross - white

1	A.	I would have to look at logged hole 60. Do you want
2		me to do that?
3	δ	Logged hole 60 is the closest hole to that, isnt' it?
4		THE WITNESS: Could we go off the record?
5		THE SPECIAL MASTER: Yes.
6		(Off-the-record discussion.
7	A.	(By the Witness) No, I don't see anything in this
8		Township.
9	Q	(By Mr. White) Do you want me to give you a copy?
10	A.	Sure.
11	Ŭ	I don't know if you can read the xerox copy there
12		very well.
13	A.	This particular hole was logged by Ross Waples
14		again. I might just read it into the record, the
15		log. The way he made his decision, I think it's
16		pretty interesting.
17	Q	Let me ask you about the log. The question was, I
18		believe, how you could tell the barrier was at least
19		six foot deep from the log of Hole 60 which was
20		the closest hole to that parcel.
21	A.	He had two things here. He was able to hand drill
22	ļ	this log to 72 inches with no problems. He went
23		through clay loamy textures to clays. He noted at
24		the end of the hole here at the 72 inches that he
25	kers	sich - cross - white

24-5	1541
1	A. Give me the Township and Range, please.
2	THE SPECIAL MASTER: That should be the smallest
3	in the bunch.
4	MR. WHITE: No, Owl Creek is.
5	THE WITNESS: There's less than 4,000 acres
6	on Arapahoe.
7	THE SPECIAL MASTER: Arapahoe is, yes, 3,814.
8	That should be very small, 4,016 on the
9	Q (By Mr. White) Let's go to 1 South, 3 East, Section
. 10	20.
11	A. Section 20, yeah.
12	Q Over in the west half.
13	A. Yes.
14	Q There's a parcel of 14 acres that's 3 gravity,
15	6 sprinkler?
16	A. Yes, it's a parcel that says light texture both
17	at subsurface and a shaped factor for the gravity
18	and a little gradient.
19	Q Is there any logged hole within that parcel?
20	A. Not within that hole. There is a Bureau hole, of
21	course, located just directly to the west of that.
22	Q Let's go about two miles to the east, Section 21.
23	Do you find I'm not sure whether it's an 82
24	or an 80-acre parcel.
25	Kersich - cross - white
	THE ASSESSED TO LARGE COMPANY OF THE PROPERTY

	}	
1	A.	On my map it appears to be 82 acres.
2	Ď	And it's classified 6 gravity, 2 sprinkler?
3	A.	Yes.
4	Q	And is there any logged hole within that parcel?
5	λ.	Not within the hole, but here again there's a
6		deep hole of ours located just to the east of that
7		parcel, and it shows 18 feet to sandstone.
8	Ü	And that distance from that deep hole to the
9		western edge of the 82-acre parcel is approximately
10		a half a mile, isn't it, or a little bit more?
11	A.	I'd say it's a half a mile or eight-tenths
12		yeah, a little bit more. There is a Bureau hole
13		down well, from the western edge to the Bureau
14		hole there would be a quarter of a mile or less.
15	Ö	Talking about Bureau Hole 10?
16	A.	Yes, sir.
17	Ć.	Isn't it true that Bureau Hole 10 only goes to five
18		feet?
19	λ.	It may well be, but that certainly is enough
20		information to assist anyone working on that.
21	<u>δ</u>	Do you see just to the northeast of that 82-acre
22		parcel in Section 21 Bureau Hole 12 on the other
23		side of the 82-acre parcel?
24	A.	I see a Bureau Hole 1, 2 there might be.
25	kers	ich - cross - white

24-6

4 9 west 2450 (1964) CHESTO WEST 3377 635 8282

1		There's a Bureau hole that I can't read the number
2		on by the FIP boundary. That's the boundary by
3		the FIP, as I recall.
4	Ø.	Right above the 62
5	A.	Well, it's above the 2 and just slightly to the east.
6	Q	You don't read that as a 12?
7	A.	I can't read it as anything.
8	φ.	How about the Hole No. 11 just to the south of that
9		82-acre parcel? Can you find that, the Bureau of
10		Reclamation Hole?
11	A.	Yes, I can find it, but I don't know what the log
12		on it is. There's a hole of ours though that
13		appears to be just slightly to the south and east
14		of that.
15	Ŭ	Let me hand you a copy of the logs for those
16		Bureau of Reclamation holes that bracket that 82-2002
17		parcel on the north and the south and ask you
18		whether or not all of those holes are only five
19		feet in depth.
20	A.	They appear to be 60 inches in depth, Hole 12
21		let's see. Hole 10. Where is that? Yeah, I'd
22		have to look at the hole sheet to make sure that
23		this 60 inches is for this hole also.
24	δ	Well, maybe we can get the hole sheets out.
25	kers	sich - cross - white

THE WITNESS: Can I clear that up?

THE SPECIAL MASTER: Let's wait a minute until he gets the exhibit. I know that other factors mitigate on what he is doing on that.

THE WITNESS: But we have a deep hole in that area.

MR. ECHOHAWK: Which page are we talking about?

MR. WHITE: It should be Sections 21 and 28,

folks, 1 South, 3 East.

(Off-the-record discussion.

MR. ECHOHAWK: I'm going to find the thing you are talking about.

MS. SLEATER: But we could be here for quite a long time.

MR. WHITE: Let's go ahead.

THE SPECIAL MASTER: In the interest of time,

Mr. Echohawk, would you remove your objections long
enough -- I would ask you to consider if you wish to
remove the objection -- the objection has been
sustained, and they are looking now for something
to prove it as a Bureau of Reclamation log.

Mr. Kersich has additional information he wants to
give regarding those holes, and I want him to give
that and it may be that upon your hearing it you
kersich - cross - white

24-10 may not care about whether the objection is made or not because your point having been served as far as the evidence is concerned. Will you go ahead with what you had, Mr. Kersich?

Salaha Alband Caratha Anna

		The state of the s
1		parcel.
2 ·	Q	Let me ask you, closer to the center of the
3		parcel?
4	A	Center of the parcel? Well, here again I would
5		say that my hole, H.K.M.'s hole is closer than
6		hole No. 11 to the center of the parcel.
7	Q	Okay. Now then, in Sections 29 and 30
8	A	29 and 30? Okay, sir.
9	Q	Excuse me, I missed one up here that I want to
10		ask you about.on the common borders between
11		Sections 22 and 27.
12	A	22 and 27? Are we back now to where we were
13		discussing a moment ago, sir?
14	Q	Close.
15	Α	Oh, 22 and 27. Okay, yes.
16	Q	You have a large block of fee land in there and
17		to the left of that is, it looks like either a
18		parcel of 50, maybe 59 acres?
19	A	I believe it is 50 acres.
20	Q	Fifty acres?
21	A	Yes.
22	Ω	Classified gravity 1, sprinkler
23	A	That's correct.
24	Q	Is there any hole whatsoever within that parcel?

kersich-cross-white

1	A There is no hole in that parcel. We've got to
2	again point out there was sufficient the
3	classifier developed sufficient informatin to
4	put light textured soils for the soils in the
5	top four feet, a J factor and a leveling for
6	the surface.
7	MR. WHITE: Your Honor, this would be a
8	good time to take a break.
9	THE SPECIAL MASTER: Do you want to call it
10	a day? Start at 9:15 in the morning.
11	THE WITNESS: Thank you.
12	THE SPECIAL MASTER: All right, we'll stand
13	in recess until 9:15 in the morning. We can leave our
14	material in the room.
15	(Off-the-record discussion.)
16	MR. WHITE: Your Honor, could we go back
17	on the record; just so we can
18	THE SPECIAL MASTER: Do you want to do it
19	tonight or do you want to do it in the morning?
20	MR. WHITE: It will just take a minute, then
21	we won't have to worry about it.
22	THE WITNESS: I would like to work on the
23	whole thing.
24	THE SPECIAL MASTER: Let's wait until the
25	kersich-cross-white

Called the said of a state of the said of

City of Contract of the City o		The second secon
25-4		1550
	1 m	orning, if that's all right with you.
	2	We'll do it in the morning.
	3	(Proceedings recessed,
	4	4:46 p.m.)
	5	
-0	6	
	7	
	8	
END	9	* * * *
	10	
	11	
-9	12	
	13	
	14	•
	15	
	16	
	17	
	18	
	20	
	21	
	22	
	23	
	24	
5	25	
540		409 WEST 2414 STREET PRONTIES REPORTING SERVICE STATES AND SERVICE STA

100 /10 10 19 100 /10 19 19

1	REPORTERS CERTIFICATE
2	State of Wyoming) : SS
3	County of Laramie)
4	We, Lamont Miller, Merissa Racine, Viola J.
5	Lundberg, Registered Professional Reporters and Notaries
6	Public, hereby certify that the facts as stated in
7	the caption hereof are true; that we did at the time,
8	date and place, as set forth, report the proceedings
9	had before the Honorable Teno Roncalio, Special Master,
10	in stenotype; that the foregoing pages, numbered 1351-
11	1550, inclusive, constitute a true, correct and complete
12	transcript of our stenographic notes as reduced to type-
13	written form under our direction.
14	We further certify that we are not agents, attor-
15	neys or counsel for any of the parties hereto, nor are
16	we interested in the outcome thereof.
17	Dated this 10th day of February, 1981.
18	1 - 10
19	Land Melse Merios Pacine
20	LAMONT MYLLER Registered Professional Reporter Reporter
21	Reporter Reporter
22	9) , 10 \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \
23	VIOLA J. LUNDBIRG
24	Registered Professional Reporter
	1