Uldaho Law **Digital Commons** @ **Uldaho Law**

Hedden-Nicely Collection, All

Hedden-Nicely

4-15-1981

Trial Transcript, Vol. 37, Afternoon Session

Frontier Reporting Service

Follow this and additional works at: https://digitalcommons.law.uidaho.edu/all

Recommended Citation

Frontier Reporting Service, "Trial Transcript, Vol. 37, Afternoon Session" (1981). *Hedden-Nicely Collection, All.* 176. https://digitalcommons.law.uidaho.edu/all/176

This Transcript is brought to you for free and open access by the Hedden-Nicely at Digital Commons @ UIdaho Law. It has been accepted for inclusion in Hedden-Nicely Collection, All by an authorized administrator of Digital Commons @ UIdaho Law. For more information, please contact annablaine@uidaho.edu.

case # 4993

File # 144

4395

and the second of the second o

1	IN THE DISTRICT COURT FOR THE FIFTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT
2	WASHANIE COUNTY, STATE OF WYOMING
3	
4	IN RE:
5	THE GENERAL ADJUDICATION)
6	OF RIGHTS TO USE WATER) IN THE BIG HORN RIVER)
. 7	SYSTEM AND ALL OTHER) SOURCES, STATE OF WYO-)
8	MING.
9	FILED
10	$\frac{3}{2}$
11	Margaret 1. Haupton CLERK
12	DEPUTY
13	
14	
15	VOLUME 37
16	Afternoon Session
17	Wednesday, April 15, 1981
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	

ORIGINAL

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

4

Ort.

THE SPECIAL MASTER: May we come to order, please. All right, let's go on record.

MR. WHITE: Your Honor, a diligent search of your files and everybody elses files has failed to turn up even a copy of 10-B, Exhibit SK-10-B. Having reviewed the transcript and believing it to be non-essential to the interest of the State of Wyoming --

THE SPECIAL MASTER: Overall picture.

MR. WHITE: -- I would therefore withdraw the offer of 10-B.

THE SPECIAL MASTER: I appreciate that, Mr. White. And I hope it's the only exhibit we lose track of, and if it's the only one it will be a very commendable record.

MR. WHITE: Well, out of all of them it was probably a good one to lose.

THE SPECIAL MASTER: Very good, fine. Mr. Echohawk, you may proceed with this on redirect.

what of an objection as to the exhibits offered by Mr. White, specifically SK-2, SK-3, SK-8, SK-10, SK-20, SK-30 on the grounds that the United States would object to their limited offer. We would like to offer them for the truth of their contact — truth of their contents or for whatever they actually are. Say, for

13071 835-8280

1	instance I believe SK-2 and SK-3 were some deposition
2	exhibits and some land classification standards.
3	The United States' position is those are preliminary
4	land classification standards. If they're entered for
5	that purpose the United States has no objection. We
6	believe it's a point for the lawyers to argue at a
7	later time whether or not that information impeaches
8	the witness, and we would just like no ruling that
9	they're accepted for impeachment purposes.
10	MR. WHITE: They're offered for impeachment
11	purposes, and whether or not they have the effect of
12	impeaching the witness is a decision for the Court.
13	Accepting them as exhibits that are offered for that

purposes, and whether or not they have the effect of impeaching the witness is a decision for the Court. Accepting them as exhibits that are offered for that purpose certainly doesn't bind the Court to say the witness has been impeached and we will maintain our offer on that basis, Your Honor.

THE SPECIAL MASTER: I seem to recall that these were taken verbatim out of some other document, were they, Mr. White?

MR. WHITE: No, sir.

409 WEST 24TH STREET

CHECEINF MA 85001

1307) 635 8280

MR. ECHOHAWK: Certain of them were like the phase two were taken out of some other document.

MR. WHITE: With respect to 2 and 3, Your Honor, they were not. They were provided to us by various witnesses during depositions. I believe 20 also.

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Gred

4

-

-

B-44

╼╍╌╌╾┼	
1	Was that the Waples! land classification standards?
2	THE SPECIAL MASTER: The objection to SK-2
3	and SK-3 is overruled.
4	MR. ECHOHAWK: Okay.
5	THE SPECIAL MASTER: Okay, Mr. Echohawk.
6	Let's proceed and hear the objection for SK-8.
7	MR. ECHOHAWK: SK-8 is basically the same
8	item. Perhaps it can be cleared up if either through
9	Mr. White because I believe he identified the entire
10	document during the last session of trial as the
11	phase two report prepared by HKM. I think he identified
12	it in its entirety and then used an excerpt.
13	THE SPECIAL MASTER: Give me just a minute
14	to rule on this.
15	(Brief pause.
16	THE SPECIAL MASTER: Mr. Kersich was voir
17	dired on this exhibit, was he not? Any of you
18	remember?
19	MR. WHITE: He was not voir dired. I asked
20	him about it, asked him if that was a document
21	prepared by his firm or excerpt of the document and
22	he said that it was. And I offered it for impeachment
23	purposes and that's the offer I maintain.
24	THE SPECIAL MASTER: Yes, this was the
25	This is the issue regarding the language and definitions

of specific class lands. Let me remove your objection to that too and admit it for the purpose of helping the Court come to a conclusion upon these definitions. MR. ECHOHAWK: My point is, and perhaps I can even clear it up on redirect, if you rule against me on this I would like the whole document put in for the truth of its contents. I think the document itself explains, you know ---THE SPECIAL MASTER: If you offer it I'll 10 certainly rule on it at that time. 11 12 MR. ECHOHAWK: Okay. Generally, Your Honor, 13 we have the same objection to the other exhibits that were offered for impeachment purposes. We think that 14 15 they should be offered for in fact whatever they are and arguments can be made at a later time as to whether 16 or not they impeach the testimony. 17 18 THE SPECIAL MASTER: I gather they're offered to show a potential inconsistency --19 MR. WHITE: Yes, sir. 20 -- in technical evidence THE SPECIAL MASTER: relied upon? 22 MR. WHITE: Yes, sir. THE SPECIAL MASTER: So to speak, and for that 24 purpose they should be admitted? 25

Serve .

Gard.

Gut

فنعج

Ser.

Sec.

Gest!

Brits

Served .

(Cont)

4

(Jest)

Sec.

-

000

وسن

وسق

1	MR. ECHOHAWK: What I would like them in
2	the record for, Your Honor, is for whatever they are.
3	Some of them may be Reclamation studies that were
4	later disclaimed by the Bureau of Reclamation. That
5	is an example, I'm not sure that's exactly the case.
6	I would like them in for whatever they are not for any
7	real limited purpose.
8	MR. WHITE: The offer is up to me.
9	THE SPECIAL MASTER: I see no objection to
10	that, if they're in they're in. I can use them for
11	whatever purpose the Court wishes.
12	MR. WHITE: I offer them for only the
13	purposes I stated.
14	THE SPECIAL MASTER: I appreciate that, but
15	once it's in it's almost impossible for me to avoid
16	using them in coming to a conclusion upon what they
17	contain and comparing them with other exhibits with
18	which there is a conflict or controversy.
19	MR. ECHOHAWK: What I would like
20	THE SPECIAL MASTER: And that!s, of course,
21	what you want me to do, Mr. White?
22	MR. ECHOHAWK: What I would like, Your Honor,
23	is for you to accept them for all purposes.
24	MR. WHITE: They're not offered for all
24 25	purposes. If the United States wants to offer them for

1	
1	all purposes
2	MR. ECHOHAWK: We can do that now and join
3	in the offer for all purposes or I can do that on
4	redirect.
5	THE SPECIAL MASTER: You can do it on direct, ;
6	and it will be accepted, but for the purposes it is
7	offered, I'd rather accept it for the purposes he has
8	now, what Mr. White had now.
9	MR. ROGERS: Your Honor, the Arapahoe Tribe
10	has no further objections other than those stated by
11	the United States to these exhibits.
12	THE SPECIAL MASTER: All right, and the
13	Shoshone?
14	MR. PERRY: No objection.
15	MR. WHITE: So are all of those admitted,
16	Your Honor?
17	THE SPECIAL MASTER: Yes. I will make the
18	rule now on them. The following exhibits are hereby
19	introduced and admitted The following exhibits are
20	hereby admitted into evidence: SK-2, SK-3, SK-4, SK-5,
21	SK-8, SK-9, SK-9-A, SK-10-A,
22	MR. WHITE: Ten should be as well.
23	THE SPECIAL MASTER: 10-a, B should be
24	irrelevant and B is not going in.
25	MR. WHITE: I believe 10, 10-A was offered

1	but 10-B was withdrawn.
2	THE SPECIAL MASTER: SK-10-A SK-10,
3	SK-10-A, SK-20, SK-30, SK-40, SK-51, SK-52, and SK-53.
4	MR. WHITE: I believe that SK-50, 41, and 42
5	were also offered, Your Honor.
6	THE SPECIAL MASTER: The two big folding ones.
7.	SK-41 and SK-42.
. 8	MR. WHITE: As well as SK-50.
9	THE SPECIAL MASTER: Yes, I read SK-50, I
10	hope I did. Yes, as well as SK-50.
11	MR. WHITE: I have no further questions.
12	(Whereupon Exhibits SK-2, (SK-3, SK-4, SK-5, SK-8,
13	(SK-9, SK-9-A, SK-10, SK-10-A, (SK-20, SK-30, SK-40- SK-41,
14	(SK-42) SK-50, SK-51, SK-52, (SK-53 were admitted into
15	(evidence.
16	
17 .	
18	
19	* * *
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

المنابعة المنابعة	8-1 V-eg	3196
بر مراجع المراجع المرا المراجع المراجع	1	THE SPECIAL MASTER: Okay, Mr. Echohawk.
- خيش		
Or the	2	MR. ECHOHAWK: Your Honor, just to take care
aret.	3	of that housekeeping matter, the United States
de to	4	would join in the same exhibits that were just
ويدي	5	offered into evidence by Mr. White, the sequence
	6	that we just talked about, and offer those into
	7	evidence for all purposes.
	8	MR. WHITE: I would object on the lack of
4 A	9	foundation for all purposes, Your Honor.
	10	THE SPECIAL MASTER: The objection will be
d'es	11	overruled, and the exhibits will be admitted for
	12	all purposes, for whatever probative value they
	13	may have.
	14	REDIRECT EXAMINATION
4	15	BY MR. ECHOHAWK:
300	, 16	
20	17	think it's United States Exhibit C-43.
	18	Please turn to pages 26 and 27. Those would
	19	be Table 7 and 8.
	20	MR. ECHOHAWK: Table 7 and 8, Your Honor.
3-3	21	MR. WHITE: 41 and 42, Your Honor.
	22	THE SPECIAL MASTER: I wonder what I did with
	23	my folded copies. Those are the originals, but I
	24	had a couple I keep my own set of stuff to work
	25	kersich-redirect-echohawk

the state of the s

	1		with, as you have probably gathered by now.
الميدية أسيدا	2		Okay. Proceed, please.
	3	Q.	(By Mr. Echohawk) Mr. Kersich, would you again
: 	4		identify for the record what Table 7 is?
	5	A.	Table 7 is a table contained within our report,
	6		C-43, and it defines the lands, the arable lands
	7		by class, that were found arable or the lands
	8		that were found arable by class according to the
: في المراجعة	9		gravity and sprinkler classifications for the six
	10		study areas on the Wind River Indian Reservation.
	11	Q.	Now, turn to page 27, Table 8, and describe that
	12		chart again.
	13	A. .	Table 8 is another table that's contained within
	14		our exhibit or our report. That's the summary of
	15		arable lands by class showing those lands that
	16		come under the gravity showing lands which may not
	,		have met the gravity land classification system,
	17		but which could be irrigated by continuous or
	18		
	19		intermoved [sic] sprinkler as additional sprinkler
	20		and then the gravity lands and the additional
وجومة	21		sprinklers are added up to a total of the arable
letet.	22		lands for each of the six study areas that we
and the second s	23		worked on on the Wind River Indian Reservation.
ایداده است.	24	Q.	Yesterday Mr. White raised a question as to why
		kers	ich-redirect-echohawk
	25		** ** ** ** ** ** ** ** ** ** ** ** **

. 16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

To the

لعين

ليبئ

لضيئك

ليست

9 0 0

انساق

you couldn't take the gravity lands -- why
there was no numerical correlation between Table
7 and Table 8. We had some discussion there.

Could you again try to clarify that for us?

Okay. You really wind up with three classes of lands out there. Forgetting about land class now, let's talk about the systems.

There are some lands that are applicable only to the gravity systems. There are some lands which can be irrigated by either gravity methods or sprinkler methods, and there are some lands which are only applicable to sprinkler methods —

THE SPECIAL MASTER: Let me interrupt only enough to say that did you not say yesterday all gravity land could be irrigated by sprinkler?

THE WITNESS: Yes, and I said that just a moment ago. Maybe I could draw a little sketch, if I could ---

(Whereupon the witness marked on a document.)

THE WITNESS: We start out with a block of gravity lands, and can I just do this --

MR. ECHOHAWK: Can you see that, Your Honor?

THE SPECIAL MASTER: Yes, I would suppose you

kersich-redirect-echohawk

1307/635 8280

0 3

043

6-3

B, 3

B-3

000

8-3

B 3

B. 3

00

(To)

0

(... ·

(- S

W-0

a-0

6:-0

@:~0

6:40

01.0

0:4

0

1

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

may.

MR. WHITE: We have no objection to him doing that, Your Honor.

THE SPECIAL MASTER: Proceed.

MR. ECHOHAWK: Use a marker that maybe you could see a little better.

THE WITNESS: Let's take the first block,
which I have just made out in black pen. That's
a block of lands which were classified under
gravity irrigation methods and, as such, any conventional border dyke system or any lateral system
or any type of even using solid move -- or solid
set or hand move sprinkler systems, for example,
fell under that category.

Those same lands at the time we were doing the classification were reviewed for the possibility of being used under sprinkler irrigation, and sprinkler in this instance would be defined as continuous or intermittent move, center pivot, things of that nature.

Many of the lands that were under the gravity system could also be sprinkler irrigated, so you would draw another block, and this would be -- there's an overlap there. Some of the lands are

kersich-redirect-echohawk

25 ke

Serve!

direct.

45

and a

the state of

4

40

3

80

Box

40

4.3

A.2.

A.D.

40

TO

3

4.3

0

Octo

ونسيق

applicable to any type of system, and then what you have, you have a small portion here which would only work under gravity, and you have a small portion on the other end of the scale which would only work under sprinkler, and so it would be erroneous to take the totals that we have on page 26 and add up -- for example, let's take North Crowheart for purposes of discussion.

You can't take the 46,649 acres that we found applicable under gravity irrigation only and the 44,382 acres which would work only under sprinkler irrigation and add them up. That would be erroneous.

What you have to do is find out in your tabulation work which lands fall under the first category of gravity, which lands are under the category that are common to both systems and, therefore, that acreage should not be doubled, and then which lands would work only as sprinkler irrigated, so what we tried to do, we tried to say, "Okay, gravity irrigation basically is a base. We know that one type of system will work on all of those lands. Those lands that are in excess of the gravity lands and can only be handled

kersich-redirect-echohawk

(307) 635 8280

25

10

11

12

13

14

15

. 16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

22

23

24

20

3

by sprinkler, we add that to the arable total,"
so, therefore, instead of coming up with a total
on North Crowheart of some 93 or 94 thousand acres --

THE WITNESS: Well, if you added the 46 and the 44, you would have 90 thousand, but we said no, there's only 79,000 on North Crowheart which are arable, and that's how we arrived at this table.

THE SPECIAL MASTER: 47.

Q (By Mr. Echohawk) Mr. Kersich, we had some questions also as to the aerial mosaics or the compilation of the large photos that your people took to the field and did their land classification work with.

When that mosaic was put together, was it just a process of putting one photo, complete photo, right beside the next photo, just lining up the edges?

- A. No, sir.
- Q How did you do that?
 - Basically, these were compiled under Saunders' direction in our office. What we did, the mappers worked in the center of the photos as much as possible, and that's the portion that was used to

25 kersich-redirect-echohawk

Sec. 1

B 13

3

3

3

0

3

···		
1		make the mosaic, and the reason that was done is
2		that there's less distortion, less problems with
3		accuracy working in the center of the aerial photo,
4		and these were 12 by 12 photos, as I mentioned.
5	Q.	So even though on your work copies or your soils
6		photos, if there is information that appears on
7		the edge of the soils mosaic, in fact, it would
8		be out of the heart of one of the small photos?
9	A.	That's right, yes.
10	Q.	We had some discussion yesterday in your report
11		I believe it was on page 21 of Exhibit C-43 to
12		do with whether or not your laboratory techniques
13		were the same as those as described in the Rec-
14		lamation manual. Do you recall that testimony?
15	A.	Yes, I do.
, 16	Ω	Did you have a chance to review that and review
17		your laboratory manual last night?
18	A.	Yes.
19	Õ	And were, in fact, the laboratory procedures
20	<u>}</u>	described in the Reclamation manual those that you
21		actually used?
22		MR. WHITE: Objection, Your Honor. The
23		question is beyond the scope of cross. The ques-
24		tion is whether or not they were approved in any
25	ker	sich-redirect-echohawk

de ris

manual, not whether or not they were described by that manual.

THE SPECIAL MASTER: Would you read back,

THE SPECIAL MASTER: Would you read back, please, the colloquy of the last half minute or so beginning with Mr. Echohawk's last full statement?

(Thereupon the record was read back as follows: "Q. So even though on your work copies or your soils photos, if there is information that appears on the edge of the soils mosaic, in fact, it would be out of the heart of one of the small photos? A. That's right, yes. We had some discussion yesterday in your report -- I believe it was on page 21 of Exhibit C-43 -- to do with whether or not your laboratory techniques were the same as those as described in the Reclamation manual. Do you recall that testimony? A. Yes, I do.

Q. Did you have a chance to review that and review your laboratory manual last night? A. Yes.

Q And were, in fact, the laboratory procedures described
in the Reclamation manual those
that you actually used?
MR. WHITE: Objection, Your
Honor. The question is beyond
the scope of cross. The question is whether or not they
were approved in any manual,
not whether or not they were
described by that manual."

kersich-redirect-echohawk

24

25

10

11

12

13

14

15

. 16

17

18

19

20

22

the control of the state of the second state of the second second second second

) (· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	 ,
٦	J	1
.		2
ار د	त्यः । न्यः	3
	~ 	4
		5
	~	
	*	6
	**************************************	7
•	~ ************************************	8
•		9
5	-3	10
1		11
	-3	12
7		13
,	-3	14
3	-3	15
	3	16
	3	17
	30	18
	3	19
	**	20
٠	-3 -3	21
-	*	
	4	22
}_ ;	4	23
+		24

25

THE SPECIAL MASTER: It wasn't cross. It was redirect, but it is also objected to, I think, because of the fact that he stated quite clearly and with great specific reference time and time again to those parts of the techniques and the classification standards in SK-40 that were used and others that were not used and in their place an alternative method was used taken from the HKM manual, and that was what his answer was. So, therefore, I think the question has been asked and answered.

MR. ECHOHAWK: That was just a preliminary question. I was going to get to --

MR. WHITE: I will join in your objection, Your Honor.

THE SPECIAL MASTER: You can't object to a question until he's asked it, so I have sustained Mr. White on that.

Now, proceed with your question.

MR. ECHOHAWK: In regard to Mr. White's comment, the line on page 21 that we were all concerned with says techniques for each laboratory procedure is described in land classification techniques and standards, U.S. Bureau of

kersich-redirect-echohawk

A LA LA LA LA

6

E S

CO

6

وسن

0

0-3

وسنج

1 Reclar
2 1967.
3 or no
5 done
6 manua
7 other
8
9 that,
10 White

12

13

14

15

. 16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Reclamation, Office of Chief Engineer, September,

My point is I'm trying to clear up whether or not the procedures used in the laboratory work done by HKM are those described in the laboratory manual, the Reclamation manual, or are they some other ones.

THE SPECIAL MASTER: Well, you may answer that, but it's a little different than what Mr. White objected to.

MR. WHITE: I would still object to the question because the questions directed to Mr. Kersich during cross-examination were whether these were the approved methods by the Bureau of Reclamation, and I'll be glad to stipulate that the methods were mentioned as alternative methods, but not approved methods, and that's where the question lies.

For instance, the pipette test, you remember the discussion about that.

THE SPECIAL MASTER: The objection is overruled. He may answer this latter question.

A. (By the witness) We had some differences from the laboratory manual of the Bureau of Reclamation, yes.

kersich-redirect-echohawk

13071 636-8280

9-1 mr-cb		,_, <u>,,,,,,</u>	3207
j	1		MR. ECHOHAWK: Could we get the answer?
فيسين	2		THE SPECIAL MASTER: No, the answer is in
	3		the record.
	4		MR. ECHOHAWK: Okay.
	5		THE SPECIAL MASTER: I have lots of questions
	6		for you in a few minutes.
المبين	7		MR. WHITE: Off the record.
	8		(Off-the-record discussion.
	9		THE SPECIAL MASTER: Yes.
	0	Q	(By Mr. Echohawk) Mr. Kersich, you recall the
		*	
	1		previous session where you testified, I believe
1	2		that was February, I think, Mr. White asked you
	3		whether you know anything about some experimental
1 ما	4		färm that was mentioned in the third division
	5		report?
3 1	6	A	Yes, I remember the interchange.
ا مناع الاسني	7	Q	Have you subsequently directed people on your
			staff to investigate that or have you yourself
	8		
1	9		investigated that?
2	0		MR. WHITE: I object to the general line
2	1		of questioning. I asked the question and he said
2	2		he didn't know anything about it. He can't be
خ فسدن ی 1	23		
⊕≻~47			now allowed to expand his question or his
	24		answer by the work of subsequent work of the staff.
ŧ	25	kers	ich-redirect-echohawk
المنابع المناب	.		### PRONTIER REPORTING SERVICE 200 ACAME A BOOK TO A FEW AND A SERVICE TA JULIA DE LA SERVICE TO A FEW AND A SERVICE TO A SERVICE TO A FEW AND A SERVICE TO A S

Wind.

-

السيني

6

6-1

6-0

6-0

E-0

Brid.

6-0

600

6-3

60

600

9

9

60

6-3

6-3

6-7

24

25

The first of the state of the s

The question was primarily for impeachment purposes. The substance of the question can be developed on our direct case.

The fact that the witness at that time did not know anything about the farm, which was a major activity in the third division, was for the purpose of impeachment, and he can't rehabilitate his witness now some weeks later based on some work that his staff has done in the meantime.

MR. ECHOHAWK: Your Honor, my point is ——

I believe it's even in one of the documents that

Mr. White introduced, is that there's information

in there about some experimental farm that failed,

and therefore, perhaps we should have taken,

concerned ourselves with that fact. What I'm saying

is the information contained in the third division

report is proper to rebut that right now. We

can address that issue.

MR. WHITE: I object --

MR. ECHOHAWK: We're rebutting what's contained in the third division report.

MR. WHITE: I've said enough.

THE SPECIAL MASTER: Let me rule on it,

kersich-redirect-echohawk

1		Mr. White. It's a little difficult, and I'm
2		inclined to sustain the objection, but I'm going
3		to only one more time overrule it and permit
4		the man to answer, but I'm getting close to the
5		point where I may have to sustain this type of
6		thing if it seeks clearly to You don't want
7		to impeach your own witness obviously, so you
8		proceed with that questioning on that experimental
9		farm.
10	Q	(By Mr. Echohawk) Did either you yourself or
11		your staff investigate the experimental farm?
12		MR. WHITE: Objection, it's a compound
13		question. He asked whether he investigated it
14		or whether his staff investigated it. I have no
15		problem with it, but to ask either/or
16		THE SPECIAL MASTER: Begin with either one
17		or the other and take them one at a time.
18	Q	(By Mr. Echohawk) Did your staff investigate
19		the experimental farm, or what was the experimental
20		farm?
21	A	The experimental farm was a
22		MR. WHITE: Objection, it's unresponsive to
23		the question. He asked whether they investigated
24		it, not whether he could have some description of it.
~~		Tal man were no answer were notice deport

kersich-redirect-echohawk

1	THE SPECIAL MASTER: Did you investigate it?
2	THE WITNESS: Yes. A member of my staff
3	did directly and I indirectly.
4	THE SPECIAL MASTER: What did you find?
5	Save everybody else trouble.
6	MR. WHITE: I'll object to your question.
7	I'll withdraw the objection.
8	THE SPECIAL MASTER: I have a duty, I have
9	a duty to provide guidelines if possible to
10	assist us through these quagmires or problems.
11	MR. WHITE: I withdraw the objection. As
12	long as it's what he found out I got no objection.
13	THE WITNESS: What was reported to me by
14	my staff
15	MR. WHITE: Now I'll move the answer be
16	stricken. It's clearly a hearsay answer, it's
17	not an opinion answer. It's an answer describing
18	a fact.
19	THE SPECIAL MASTER: I will overrule your
20	objection. He's been permitted to testify a
21	dozen times and so have other expert witnesses
	on discussions with their staff. These are
22	experts, and we've granted that latitude to them
23	and the law certainly does.
24	

grad

644

e-1

4

4

8-7

St.

2

وسين

B-3

4

01

63.04

中小出

ļ	
1	MR. WHITE: Thank you, Your Honor. Can I
2	have a continuing objection?
3	THE SPECIAL MASTER: Of course you can.
4	MR. WHITE: On the basis of hearsay.
5	Q (By Mr. Echohawk) What was found?
6	A What was found, it was found that the area is
7	presently being farmed under an owner by the
8	name of Gene Jordan, and he is receiving water
9	from the Bureau of Reclamation water under a
10	service contract.
11	THE SPECIAL MASTER: Is he a nonIndian who
12	acquired title in fee simple for the lands from
13	an Indian who had been an allottee for a number
14	of years?
15	THE WITNESS: This area is within the
16	withdrawal area, and I don't know how he acquired
17	title to it, but it is my understanding that he
18	is the owner of the land and it's located on the
19	Cottonwood Bench area.
20	MR. WHITE: I move to strike the answer for
21	lack of foundation.
22	THE SPECIAL MASTER: I would be inclined not
23	to be very interested in the answers actually to
24	Mr. Echohawk's questions unless you can draw some

HI-3

Q

particular relationship to what you're trying to elucidate from that which is already on record from cross-examination.

(By Mr. Echohawk) In the investigation conducted of that, of the land that was formerly the experimental farm, was it discovered why the experimental farm went out of business?

MR. WHITE: Let me renew my objection on the basis of hearsay, and since it's in a new area of inquiry, and if I could just have a continuing objection with respect to this inquiry.

THE SPECIAL MASTER: Mr. Echohawk, did this witness say to us in the earlier hearing that he did not know why it had gone out of business and shut down?

MR. ECHOHAWK: He said he wasn't aware that there was an experimental farm there. What I am attempting to show, Your Honor, is in the third division it makes indication, that Mr. White made reference to the fact that this type of soil, where this experimental farm should have been considered, these situations causing erosion, sandy soils and so forth, that type of information should have been considered. The fact that there

kersich-redirect-echohawk

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

22

23

24

25

13

3-3

20

was a farm there, went out of business should have had some determination on land classification in the area and formulation of the standards.

I'm attempting to show through this witness is that the land is now under current irrigation practices.

THE SPECIAL MASTER: I will sustain the objection. Make your offer of proof of what you intend to show with this witness in regard to the area of the experimental farm.

MR. ECHOHAWK: I intend to show, Your Honor, assuming Mr. Kersich was allowed to testify, is that this land is sandy land, can currently be irrigated by modern irrigation practices. Mr. Kersich is certainly capable, has much experience in irrigation design to handle sandy soils, and that the fact that there are sandy soils out there cause no problems in land classification and are of really no real concern.

THE SPECIAL MASTER: I would be happy to let
your matter come into evidence, but I believe
I'm correct in ruling as I have because on crossexamination, on his earlier questions at no time
did Mr. Kersich -- at no time did he have any
kersich-redirect-echohawk

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

22

23

24

25

T

knowledge of this area, and I don't think he's competent to tell us what's been done since then. He had no knowledge at the conclusion of his long several years study of the Reservation. He has sufficient knowledge of a lot of other lands with the same problems, where some are productive and some aren't.

MR. ECHOHAWK: My point is, Your Honor, in the third division report as Mr. White pointed out, there's reference to this farm going bust in the area.

THE SPECIAL MASTER: Right.

MR. ECHOHAWK: It seems proper that we can come back and put on testimony that relates to addressing that question. There's no doubt Mr. Kersich said he didn't know about it at the time he testified. Since that time they have gone out and investigated and found it to be no problem. I would just like to clear up the fact that the third division report says that there is this experimental farm that went bust. It's of no concern to Mr. Kersich.

THE SPECIAL MASTER: The offer of proof has been made. Proceed, Mr. Echohawk, to your next kersich-redirect-echohawk

point. (Brief pause. (By Mr. Echohawk) Mr. Kersich, in Mr. White's Q cross-examination there were certain questions 4 raised as to the 20 percent slope item in your land classification standards. Do you recall that? Yes, I do. A Since that time have you had prepared under your Q direction a chart that addresses the amounts of 9 10 acreage by degree of slope? 11 Yes, I have. Α MR. WHITE: Object to the question because 12 it's ambiguous and I would move that the answer 13 be stricken; the amount of what acreage by slope. 14 And I think some foundation need to be laid that 15 the information was available at the time of cross 16 or it's beyond the scope --17 THE SPECIAL MASTER: The objection is overruled. 18 I think the reference is to the dialogue you had 19 with the witness on sprinkler versus gravity, 20 and what is available, what can be done. 21 I thought that was eight percent. MR. WHITE: 22 Land beginning with THE SPECIAL MASTER: 23 eight percent up to as high as 20 percent with 24 kersich-redirect-echohawk

1		the proper conditions and proper yields.
2		Go ahead.
3	Q	(By Mr. Echohawk) Mr. Kersich, I show you what's
4		been marked as United States WRIR C-146. Would
5		you please identify that exhibit for us.
6	A	Yes. This was a chart that was prepared by a
7		member of my staff in reviewing the aerial
8		photographs and the land classification logs
9		which carried various notes describing the slopes
10		of the portions that was either being logged or
11		reviewed at that time. And its been broken down
12		into the acres which were found predicated on
13		that information which would have a slope of five
14		to ten percent and greater than ten percent.
15		Everything else then would be from zero to five
16		percent slope. And we found
17	Ω	Does this
18	A	Excuse me.
19	Ω	Does this chart deal with arable acres?
20	A	Yes, that's all we're dealing with here.
21	Q	Is this a chart prepared under your direction?
22	A	Yes, it was prepared at my direction.
23	Q'.	Deals with the same arable acreage you've :
24		testified to?
25	ker	sich-redirect-echohawk

1	A	That's correct.
2	Ω	Mr. Kersich, what were the results of your
3		study?
4		MR. WHITE: Object to the question, Your
5	,	Honor, it calls
6		THE SPECIAL MASTER: The exhibit pretty
7		well speaks for itself, does it not? You're
8		asking what are the results, the results
9		contained on the exhibit I believe.
10		MR. ECHOHAWK: That's correct.
11		MR. WHITE: Your Honor, I renew my
12		objection also on the basis of hearsay. It
13		calls for the rankest form of hearsay. It's
14		not an opinion question, it's a question of
15		fact, the observation of slopes.
16		THE SPECIAL MASTER: Was the information
17		contained on this Exhibit C-146 gathered by
18		those under your direct control and supervision?
19		THE WITNESS: That's correct, sir.
20		
21		
22		* * * *
23		
24		

10-1 V-eg	3218
1	THE SPECIAL MASTER: The objection is over-
2	ruled then, Mr. White.
3	You seek to offer this into evidence?
4	MR. ECHOHAWK: I will, Your Honor. I have
5	some other exhibits I'll offer all at the same
6	time.
7	THE SPECIAL MASTER: All right.
8	MR. ECHOHAWK: Your Honor, I have what has
9	been marked as United States Exhibit WRIR C-147-A,
10	147-B, and 147-C, which are the soils logs and
11	deep hole logs that accompany or were recorded
12	in the course of Mr. Kersich's work.
13	MR. WHITE: Could I just ask these are
14	only HKM holes?
15	MR. ECHOHAWK: Those are only HKM holes.
. 16	MR. WHITE: We have no objection, Your Honor,
17	to the admission.
18	THE SPECIAL MASTER: All right. The three
19	exhibits referred to by Mr. Echohawk do you
20	want them admitted now, or do you want to put them
21	all in at the same time?
22	MR. ECHOHAWK: I want to put them all in at
23	one time. I need to have the witness identify
24	them.
25	kersich-redirect-echohawk

10-2	3219
1	MR. WHITE: We will stipulate as to what
2	they are, if it's any easier.
3	THE SPECIAL MASTER: Well, let the witness
4	identify them too to strengthen the stipulation.
5	What are they, Mr. Kersich?
6	THE WITNESS: They are the land class logs
7	and drainage holes on the future lands or the
8	lands that we are calling for future development
· 9	on the Wind River Indian Reservation.
10	THE SPECIAL MASTER: What is the difference
11	between A, B, and C?
12	THE WITNESS: Okay, sir. It's just that A
13	and B are the land class logs and we couldn't put
14	them all into one book, so we put them into the
15	two books.
. 16	147-C is entitled, "Drainage," and those are
17	the deeper holes that were drilled with the augers
18	and things of this nature.
19	THE SPECIAL MASTER: All right.
20	Q (By Mr. Echohawk) Mr. Kersich, I have placed in
21	front of you in this large brown box some aerial
22	photos which have been marked United States Ex-
23	hibit No. 148-1 through 148-30. Could you describe
24	what those exhibits are?
25	kersich-redirect-echohawk

		
1	A.	Those are the mosaics of the aerial photographs
2		we worked on in the field and contain the parcel
3		boundaries, hole locations, things of this nature
4		that were used in the classification of the lands
5		on the Wind River Indian Reservation.
6	Q	These are the actual sheets used in the field?
7	A.	Yes.
8	Q.	These have the acreage totals within each parcel
9		delinėated on them?
10	A.	There are acreage totals, yes.
11	Q	Are these the photographs from which the plani-
. 12		metric figures were taken to derive the acreage
13		totals presented by you?
14	A.	They were.
15	Q	Mr. Kersich, I show you what I will mark as United
. 16		States Exhibit C-150. Could you please identify
17		that?
18	A.	This is a Preliminary Draft Inventory of Water
19		Resources, Wind River Indian Reservation, Wyoming,
20		Phase 2, Needs and Uses. It was prepared by my
21		firm.
22	Q	I show you what has been introduced as SK-8.
23		Would you please identify that again for the record?
	A.	SK-8, the front page is entitled, "Preliminary
25	kers	sich-redirect-echohawk

1	Draft Inventory, Water Resources, Wind River
2	Indian Reservation, Phase 2, Needs and Uses."
3	THE SPECIAL MASTER: Is it taken from the
4	document offered to you?
5	THE WITNESS: Yes, it appears to be let
6	me take one look.
7	Yes.
8	Q (By Mr. Echohawk) There's been some question
9	raised by Mr. White as to the fact that there are
10	land classification standards contained in the
11 .	Phase 2 report which are different from those
12	used by HKM in the land classification work that
13	you've testified to here?
14	A. That's correct.
15	Q Why is there a difference?
. 16	A. I'm not sure I know exactly why there is a complete
17	difference, but the land classification standards
18	that the Bureau of Indian Affairs gave us to use
19	in this report were developed as part of one of
20	their earlier studies, and I'm not sure I know the
21	history on those.
22	They were just put together and given to us
23	to include in the report. Our standards then were
24	developed for the purposes of classifying the
25	kersich-redirect-echohawk

The second of th

是一个人,我们就是一个人的人,我们就是一个人的人,我们就是一个人的人的人,我们们也不是一个人的人的人。 第二章 我们是一个人的人的人的人,我们就是一个人的人的人的人的人,我们们也不是一个人的人的人的人的人,我们们也不是一个人的人的人的人,我们们也不是一个人的人

-4

	
1	(Pause.
2	MR. ECHOHAWK: I'm ready.
3	THE SPECIAL MASTER: Okay, Mr. Echohawk.
4	Q (By Mr. Echohawk) Mr. Kersich, do you have a copy
5	of SK-52, which is the laboratory sheets?
6	A. No, I do not.
	Q Mr. Kersich, as I recall your earlier testimony,
8	we were talking about the areas under sodium
9	adsorption ratio? A. Yes. Q. You indicated that the three columns headed by
10	A. Yes.
11	Q You indicated that the three columns headed by
12	Na, Ca, and Mg should add up to the totals in the
13	first column under there labeled TFC; is that
14	correct?
15	A. Yes.
. 16	Ω Look at the third row down, and they don't add up.
17	Do you know why?
18	MR. WHITE: I object, Your Honor, and I'm
19	not sure it's a good objection. The rule used to
20	be
21	MR. ECHOHAWK: I don't think it is.
22	MR. WHITE: The rule used to be
23	THE SPECIAL MASTER: You do have a heart.
24	MR. WHITE: The rule used to be that you could
25	kersich-redirect-echohawk

11

12

13

14

15

. 16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

73

Q.

A.

not impeach your own witness. I don't know if that's still the rule, but I will make the objection on the basis that they are impeaching their own witness, which is not permitted.

THE SPECIAL MASTER: I would overrule the objection no matter what its basis because specifically this witness in great detail described the fact that these columns don't necessarily need, as a matter of fact, to add up to what the first one contained, and this may be a case in point, but proceed with your question.

- (By Mr. Echohawk) Do you know why they may not add up -- why they don't add up?
 - I'm looking at line three, and under TSC we have 162.4 sodium should have been the difference between the 162.4 and the 26.4 and the 19.4. I would have to look at the original log. It might just be that the one I believe that the 116.6 and either the transposition is on the sheet or the figure 1 was left off or it might be a boundary line maybe it was just xeroxing, I don't know, but it is interesting that the SAR appears to be correct.

* * * * *

25 kersich-redirect-echohawk

11-1	mr-cb		3225
	1 2	Q	(By Mr. Echohawk) So under the item headed by
	3	A	Sodium, correct.
	4	Q	The 16.6 you refer to is under that heading;
	5		is that right?
	6	A	Yes.
	7	Q	So if that number were 116.6 they would add up;
	8	•	is that correct?
	9	A	Yes. Checking it to make sure.
	10	Q	Referring back to Exhibit C-43, the land
	11		classification standards that are contained in
	12	}	your report, I believe they start at page 10.
	13	A	Okay.
	14	Q	I believe a corrected footnote was added there,
	15		footnote 4, is that correct? You added a foot-
	16		note 4 there?
	17	A	Yes, I did.
	18	Q	With that footnote 4 added, land classification
	19		standards as they exist with that correction,
	20		the standards under which the final land
	21		classification of the arable lands that were
	22		discussed in your testimony, were those the lands
	23		used?
	24	A	Yes.
	25	ker	sich-redirect-echohawk

MR. WHITE: Off the record a second. (Off-the-record discussion. MR. ECHOHAWK: Your Honor, at this time I would like to offer into evidence what has been marked and identified as Exhibit C-146, which is the slope chart that breaks the acreages down by slope; Exhibits 147-A, B and C which are the soil logs in the deep drainage holes done by HKM; Exhibits 148-1 through 148-30, which 10 are the arable photosmosaic field sheets; Exhibit 51, which is the phase two report in its 11 entirety. I would now offer those into evidence. 12 THE SPECIAL MASTER: No 149? 13 MR. ECHOHAWK: Your Honor, that was the 14 photograph of the experimental farm that I never 15 got to. 16 17 THE SPECIAL MASTER: Then there will be no offer of 149. 18 MR. ECHOHAWK: No, that's correct. I would 19 offer those into evidence with the request that 20 I be permitted to withdraw 150 for -- to make 21 copies of it and possibly also the arable 22 photographs to make copies of those also. 23 THE SPECIAL MASTER: Your request is granted. 24

kersich-redirect-echohawk

l	
1	Mr. White, any objections?
2	MR. WHITE: I'd like to voir dire, Your
3	Honor.
4	THE SPECIAL MASTER: All right.
5	MR. WHITE: First of all, I'd like to
6	inquire the purpose of the offer of all of these
7	exhibits.
8	THE SPECIAL MASTER: Truth of their contents.
9	MR. ECHOHAWK: Truth of their contents.
10	THE SPECIAL MASTER: Probative value they
11	may have.
12	VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION
13	BY MR. WHITE:
14	Q Let's start with the phase two report, which is
15	THE SPECIAL MASTER: 150.
16	Q (By Mr. White) C-150. Mr. Kersich, do you
17	know of your own personal knowledge that every-
18	thing contained in that report is true?
19	A I hadn't read this report for a considerable
20	length of time.
21	But do you know that the contents of that report
22	are true, yes or no?
23	A To my knowledge they are, yes.
24	Q Okay. Could I please see it.
25	kersich-voir dire-white
~ U	

ţ	
1	(Witness complied.
2	MR. WHITE: Your Honor, I don't have a
3	copy, perhaps could I stand up here by the
4	witness so he could see the things I'm looking
5	at?
6	THE SPECIAL MASTER: I don't know. If you
7	wish to you may, but I don't know that it's
8	necessary. You're asking if the contents of
9	that document are true, are true what, are true
10	contents of documents published by the Bureau of
11	Reclamation at a given time, that pages haven't
12	been substituted by somebody else?
13	MR. WHITE: I'm asking whether or not the
14	facts
15	THE SPECIAL MASTER: Contained on those
16	pages
17	MR. WHITE: contained in this document
18	are true.
19	THE SPECIAL MASTER: Are true facts?
20	MR. WHITE: Are true facts.
21	THE SPECIAL MASTER: No human being on earth
22	would know that because you may have all sorts
23	of little misunderstandings or additions or matters
24	that have been misproved because agricultural

kersich-voir dire-white

science is an on-going science like law is and who knows from decade to decade what may come about, but with that context, go ahead.

MR. WHITE: Let me say that we would have no objection to the admission of this document, which I believe is 150.

MR. ECHOHAWK: Right.

MR. WHITE: If it were offered solely for the purpose of showing what was contained in a previous report issued by HKM. Now, if it's offered for the truth of its contents, that every fact in here is true, then we're going to have a lot of objections and a long voir dire. But as I recall, the report isn't even dated.

THE SPECIAL MASTER: The objection is of no moment. The only purpose I'm going to make of that document is reference to the three pages of it that you referred to earlier today.

MR. WHITE: But what I'm worried about -THE SPECIAL MASTER: Mr. Echohawk wants
it all in because if he finds I go off in the
deep end, out on a tangent that isn't accepted
by the practices in the agricultural world, I
suppose he wants to refer to some other portion
kersich-voir dire-white

409 WEST 24TH STREET

CHEYENNE, WY 82001

13071 635 8280

21

22

23

24

والمسيخ

of that document to sustain his objection to what I may be concluding, but I don't see that I should exclude the document on that basis, Mr. White, and I don't think I'll do it.

MR. WHITE: As I say, Your Honor, as you pointed out we had a couple of work weeks of cross-examination on this report.

THE SPECIAL MASTER: We did.

MR. WHITE: Exhibit 43, which was offered for the truth of its contents. You can imagine what the voir dire is going to be on this report.

THE SPECIAL MASTER: I can make a quick distinction that can sustain you in this ruling, Mr. White. It is with the report No. 43, the witness Mr. Kersich lived with that report for the better part of a year, year and a half, and he contributed, he or other people working directly for him contributed all maps in the back, contributed virtually all of the work, the key definitions, glossary, the tables. The analysis of C-43 was his work.

MR. WHITE: Exactly the point.

THE SPECIAL MASTER: That one was not,

kersich-voir dire-white

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

-0

of that document to sustain his objection to

what I may be concluding, but I don't see that

I should exclude the document on that basis,

Mr. White, and I don't think I'll do it.

MR. WHITE: As I say, Your Honor, as you

pointed out we had a couple of work weeks of

THE SPECIAL MASTER: We did.

cross-examination on this report.

MR. WHITE: Exhibit 43, which was offered for the truth of its contents. You can imagine what the voir dire is going to be on this report.

THE SPECIAL MASTER: I can make a quick distinction that can sustain you in this ruling, Mr. White. It is with the report No. 43, the witness Mr. Kersich lived with that report for the better part of a year, year and a half, and he contributed, he or other people working directly for him contributed all maps in the back, contributed virtually all of the work, the key definitions, glossary, the tables. The analysis of C-43 was his work.

MR. WHITE: Exactly the point.

THE SPECIAL MASTER: That one was not.

kersich-voir dire-white

24

25

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

1	'MR. WHITE: That's exactly the point.
2	They're offered for the same purpose.
3	THE SPECIAL MASTER: They are not. They're
4	offered for whatever value they may have, and
5	that one has infinitely less value than 43. Let
6	us continue this interesting dialogue.
. 7	MR. WHITE: Your Honor, if the United
8	States would amend its offer to exactly what you
9 10	said we would have no objection.
10	MR. ECHOHAWK: We will so amend.
11	THE SPECIAL MASTER: All right. I appreciate
12	the distinction. That's not coming in as a
13	Bible by any means.
14	MR. WHITE: Now, let me go to the next one.
15	THE SPECIAL MASTER: All right. One fifty
16	has been voir dired.
17	MR. WHITE: Let me give this back to
18	Counsel so he can mark it. I assume we'll get
19	a copy, Tom?
20	MR. ECHOHAWK: You already have a copy.
21	MR. WHITE: No, not of this one. I have one
22	that's marked R.L. Sleater with all the pages
23	jumbled up.
24	With respect to Exhibit 147-A, B and C, I'd
25	kersich-voir dire-white

1	14	ke to inquire now of the United States
2	wh	ether or not they will furnish us a copy of
3	th	ose exhibits.
4	·	MR. ECHOHAWK: Your Honor, we've provided
5	co	pies of those soil logs through discovery.
6	Th	at's all they are are the very same
7	-	MR. WHITE: They didn't provide all the
8	so	il logs. We found that out when we went
9	th	rough, and we'd like to have a copy of the
10	CO	mplete set.
11		THE SPECIAL MASTER: How many are you
12	mi	ssing, how many are you short?
13		MR. WHITE: We don't know, we keep coming
14	up	with a hole that doesn't have any logs, and
15	we	e'd like to have a complete set of what Mr.
16	Κe	ersich says is the whole set.
17		MR. ECHOHAWK: It seems wasteful for us to
18	go	ahead and have to recopy 99 percent of the
19	tì	nings Mr. White has just so he can get in a
20	fe	ew extra pages.
21		THE SPECIAL MASTER: Mr. White, if you will
22	1.6	et us know specifically which ones you don't
23	h	ave a log for, which ones you don't have a copy
24	0:	we will provide them, but I don't think I should

kersich-voir dire-white

1	require the United States to copy every page
2	of all these exhibits.
3	MR. WHITE: Okay, Your Honor. It becomes
4	law of the case pretty soon. We'll follow the
5	law too. With respect to the mosaic photographs
6	THE SPECIAL MASTER: Are there 30 photo-
7	graphs in that document?
8	MR. ECHOHAWK: Yes, there are.
9	THE SPECIAL MASTER: Are they identified and
10	numbered 148-1, 148-2 and so on?
11	MR. ECHOHAWK: That's correct, Your Honor.
12	THE SPECIAL MASTER: Let me look at them
13	for a half a minute.
14	(Brief pause.
15	THE SPECIAL MASTER: I only find 26 in my
16	count.
17	MR. ECHOHAWK: Your Honor, we numbered those
18	last night consecutively and there should be 30
19	photos.
20	THE SPECIAL MASTER: Well, let's take a break,
21	it's time for a break, and we'll count them during
22	the break. We'll resume in ten to 15 minutes.
23	(Thereupon a 15 minute
24	(recess was taken.
25	karaichevoir dire-white

THE SPECIAL MASTER: Proceed, Mr. White.

MR. WHITE: Thank you, Your Honor. With respect to the 148-1, et. seq., series of photographs, so as to avoid the same problem that we had with Mr. Billstein's photographs, I'd like to inquire of the United States as to whether or not they will provide us a copy because, again, we have copies of earlier photography, different scales with different annotations on them.

We do not have a copy of these as they are submitted to the Court, and I would like to inquire whether or not we will get a copy or whether we have to play the same games we played with Mr. Billstein.

MR. ECHOHAWK: May I have one minute?

(Brief pause.

MR. ECHOHAWK: Your Honor, again we have the same problem. I believe there are some minor modifications on some of these that have been changed since the State of Wyoming was provided their initial copies. But again, I would suggest the same procedure where Wyoming be allowed to check them out, annotate their copies, rather than requiring us to go to the additional several thousand dollar expense of providing an entirely new copy.

THE SPECIAL MASTER: Is that agreeable, Mr.

409 WEST 241H STREET

CHEYENNE, WY 92001

13071 6 15 8 280

생

49

White?

2

3

4

5

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

MR. WHITE: Well, it's a question on which party bears the cost, whether it's on the United States who offered the exhibits or upon the State, and if you want to impose the cost upon the State, I assume you will impose the same costs on the United States when we get to ours.

THE SPECIAL MASTER: My thought is there are 30 of them, are there not?

MR. WHITE: Yes.

THE SPECIAL MASTER: Let's assume there may be five or four that may have annotations.

MR. WHITE: Let me ask Craig off the record.

(Off-the-record discussion.

MR. WHITE: Your Honor, I'm advised in the form of a sort of a professional guess by Mr. Sommers that it will take approximately two weeks to make the revisions and a cost in excess of \$1,000. It could be more. He didn't know for sure.

And all I'm trying to do is get a little rule of the case so that when we come up with these sort of things, it's clear whether we provide copies or whether the United States --

THE SPECIAL MASTER: Well, is it the best rule that the person who is the movant in moving their admission

1	into evidence have the burden of paying for the cost of
2	duplicating a set for the adverse party involved?
3	MR. WHITE: That's the usual rule, Your Honor.
4	It's not what happened with Mr. Billstein's photos, and
5	we are laboring to get those completed.
6	THE SPECIAL MASTER: All right. Let's put the
7	burden of these on the United States this time and we
8	will try to rotate. At least it will be fair in two
9	sets.
0	MR. ECHOHAWK: We have already gone through
11	this with Mr. Billstein and the State has just about com-
12	pleted their work of updating their copies.
13	THE SPECIAL MASTER: But it's their expense
14	this time.
15	MR. ECHOHAWK: What this comes out to, Your
16	Honor, is the State I mean, we made one copy for the
17	State the first time around. They have a copy, and it
18	just seems like additional expense
19	THE SPECIAL MASTER: Yes, but there have been
20	changes made on your set that necessitates a ruling re-
21	garding the costs of updating their set so that their
22	set will be exactly the same as the 30 photographs you
23	are now moving into evidence.
24	MR. WHITE: Well, for the purposes of consis-
25	tency, Your Honor, you ought to rule against me because

1	you made me bear the cost
2	THE SPECIAL MASTER: That's what I'm proposing
3	to do is not rule against you.
4	MR. ECHOHAWK: To rule against me.
5	THE SPECIAL MASTER: But to rule that the
6	United States pay for the cost of bringing them to con-
7	sistency.
8	MR. WHITE: Can we send them a bill for Mr.
9	Billstein's photos, Your Honor?
10	THE SPECIAL MASTER: No, I think we are trying
11	to keep it balanced. We will let them pay this one and
12	you the last and try to keep it fair that way.
13	MR. WHITE: All right. Let me ask a few ques-
14	tions about this, Your Honor.
15	VOIR DIRE (RESUMED)
16	BY MR. WHITE:
17	Q Mr. Kersich, do you know of your personal knowledge
18	whether or not the facts contained in the annotations
19	in these photographs are true? Are these annotations
20	which were made by persons other than yourself based
21	on observations which they made?
22	In other words, do you know whether these are
23	true, or did you use these as a basis of your opin-
24	ion?

kersich - voir dire - white

22

23

24

25

>4

•

~9

MR. ECHOHAWK: Which question is he supposed to answer, Your Honor? I believe there are three outstanding questions.

MR. WHITE: Let's start with the last one.

THE SPECIAL MASTER: If I know the witness, he will probably take all three of them and answer them at one time. Try it, Mr. Kersich.

The hole locations, the sample hole locations, things of this nature were all put on by the specific classifier who was working for HKM at the time, and they were later inked in the office. Most of those were put on in the field in pencil and later inked in the office, and they were used as a basis for making my conclusion.

MR. WHITE: Your Honor, we would have no objection to this series of exhibits being admitted, if they were admitted, for showing the basis of Mr. Kersich's professional opinion.

We do object to their admission for the truth of their contents because there are many things on here like names of ditches, such as types of lands as opposed to classes. Remember the distinction, and there are all sorts of types on here to which Mr. Kersich didn't even testify.

kersich - voir dire - white

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

~

~9

~)

A CONTRACTOR OF THE SECOND CONTRACTOR OF THE S

There are all sorts of other annotations that have nothing to do with his opinion. And, finally, there are annotations which deal with his opinion, but they are the basis of his opinion and he is personally unable to say that they are true. So if it's offered for showing the foundation or the basis of his opinion, we have no objection other than our standing objection to the way the opinion was formed.

If they are offered for the truth of their contents, we do have an objection because there is no foundation.

THE SPECIAL MASTER: We will admit them into evidence for whatever probative value they may have to the Court. They will not be inquired into in a way that would be a departure from the facts and the testimony in evidence. The material entered in there was entered along the procedures that Mr. Kersich mentioned, and his only knowledge of that is that they were done by his competent subordinates in his office.

- Q (By Mr. White) Now, with respect to Exhibit C-146, Mr. Kersich, do you have a copy of that with you?
- 24 A. Yes.

25 | kersich - voir dire - white

1	Q.	Isn't it true that you do not know of your personal
2	,	knowledge that the facts contained here are true,
3] 	of your personal knowledge?
4	A.	I did not check each of these categories, but this
5		was prepared under my direction.
6	Q	This was prepared by somebody else?
. 7	A.	It was prepared by a land classifier, yes.
8 :	Q.	Who was it prepared by?
9	A.	Mr. Chick Smith.
10		Under Big Horn Flats Well, first of all, you have
11		a caption, "5 to 10 Percent", and then another caption
12		of column heading of "10 Percent"?
13	A.	Greater than 10.
14	Q.	Greater than 10 percent. Isn't it true that the
15		value 10 percent does not appear in your land classi-
16	·	fication standards, Page 11?
17	A.	That's correct.
18	Q	And isn't it true that the value 5 percent appears
19		only as the point between Class 2 and Class 3?
20	A.	That's correct, yes.
21		
22		
23		* * * *
24		
25		

- just going to the acreage that was delineated
 on the photos obtained that had holes in them
 or logs where there were notations showing the
 slopes located on that field. And the aerial
 photographs, I didn't tie these to the land
 class specifications.
- 23 Q Specifically how do you measure slope from an aerial photograph?
- 25 kersich-voir dire-white

1	A	No, I didn't say that. I said it was denoted
2		on the aerial photograph.
3	Q	So you just used your hole logs?
4	A	No.
5	Q	Okay. Well, tell me again.
6	A	on the aerial photograph. So you just used your hole logs? No. Okay. Well, tell me again. Sometime ago I testified to the fact that the
7		classifier in the field took down certain data,
8		and one of them, they used a map to develop the
9		principle slope of the field, and that's what
10		we were trying to portray here. This is a
11		summation of that type of result.
12	Q	Are there readings with their levels in evidence?
13	A	Much of that will be contained right here in
14		these logs.
15	Q	Is all of it there?
16	A	I'd say the majority of it. I'm not going to say
17		there are some logs without
18	Q	Can you identify those logs that were used in
19		developing this information?
20	A	They were basically This is a review of the
21		logs here. This information came from these
22		logs.
23	Q	Okay. so every single log was viewed to come
24		up with the information shown on Exhibit C-146;
25	kers	ich-voir dire-white

1		is that correct?
2	A	Yes. That was the instructions that were given
3		to the persons to look at logs.
4	Q	But you don't know for sure those were the
5		instructions you gave them; is that correct?
6	A	Well
7		THE SPECIAL MASTER: He's saying he knows
8		for sure that those were the instructions given
9		to the person and the person did it.
10	Q	(By Mr. White) Do you know if they carried out
11		your instructions?
12	A	I asked them that question specifically and his
13		answer was that he looked at the logs and looked
14		at the aerial photos, and this was his best
15		work product that he developed from that.
16	Q	Are there any logs that do not show slope?
17	A	Yes. I think I mentioned that previously here
18		just a minute ago, that some of them may have not
19		included slope, yes.
20	Q	What rough percentage of those logs excluded a
21		reflection of slope?
22	A	I can't tell you offhand.
23	Q	So you don't know whether or not this is a
24		representative indication of the slope, of the
25	ker	sich-voir dire-white

lands covered by the logs? Normally when they leave slope off it would A have been on lands which were less than five percent. That's the normal procedure that we follow if they would have left it off. That can happen occasionally, yes. Q Do you know the location, can you point out on any of the pictures such as C-45, which I believe is this large exhibit over here, the location 9 of the 2,023 acres with a slope of five to ten 10 11 percent? Well, on C-45 that's the North Crowheart area --A 12 Q Excuse me, I apologize. 13 That wouldn't match up to the question you just A 14 asked me, sir. 15 How about the 7,452 acres? Q 16 Not without sitting down and going through his A 17 18 worksheets, no. Isn't it fair to say that the lands described Q 19 here on Exhibit C-146 are only those lands that 20 had logged holes? 21 A Yes. 22 And would not include those lands without logged Q 23 holes: is that correct? 24 25 kersich-yoir dire-white

1	A .	That may be correct, yes. To expand on that a
2		little bit, that may be correct in the sense
3		that he may not have had a logged hole on it,
4		but when the classification if it didn't
5		include a topographic deficiency it would give
6		some idea of where that land would fall with
7		regard to slope.
8	Q	You said Chick Smith did the analysis on C÷146;
9		is that right?
10	A	Did the review, yes.
11	Q	Did he examine those soil classification symbols
12	; ;	or did he just look at the hole logs?
13	A	He would have had to examine the soil classification
14		standards along with the soil logs.
15	Ω	Did Mr. Smith provide any worksheets along with
16		this or provide any worksheets along with this?
17	A	I didn't get any worksheets from him personally,
18		no. I don't know frankly. What I got was a
19		summary.
20		MR. WHITE: Your Honor, the State would
21		object to the admission of C-146 for the truth
22		of its contents on several grounds, most important
23		of which are hearsay and foundation. There has
24		been inadequate foundation to show that the
25	kers	sich-voir dire-white

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

information contained in C-146 is reliable. The witness is unable to state which of the hole logs were used, not able to state what percentage of the hole logs had no indication of slope, he's unable to state whether or not the acreages here include or exclude with any specificity lands without hole logs.

He's unable to state what percentage of total lands involved in each of these projects, the six projects were included in the total area analyzed. In other words, it may be less that all of the project.

Finally, the document is based on the grossest form of hearsay. It's not a professional opinion that can be based on facts which might not be admissable. It is a representation of the facts themselves.

If it's offered to become the basis or as the basis containing the facts and data of a professional opinion reached by Mr. Kersich, the State would have no objection, but being offered for the truth of its contents means that it's not misleading, means that it's complete and means that this witness himself can testify with respect

kersich-voir dire-white

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

23

24

25

to the accuracy of each of those figures, and this witness himself can't even tell us which logs were examined which contained slopes upon which the document was based.

MR. ECHOHAWK: I believe the witness stated that the logs used were all those contained within 147-A and B.

THE SPECIAL MASTER: He said A and B or did he say C?

THE WITNESS: There is --

MR. ECHOHAWK: Perhaps --

THE WITNESS: I don't believe there's any slope information contained on any of the drainage logs.

MR. ECHOHAWK: As specified where the information was drawn from, Your Honor. I believe this chart merely breaks it out in a different scheme, the testimony offered by Mr. Kersich or the facts and data that he bases his opinion on. I think he is certainly entitled to rely upon Mr. Smith who works for Mr. Kersich, has plenty of experience in land classification. I think it's proper for Mr. Kersich to base his testimony based on the work done by Mr. Smith.

kersich-voir dire-white

a marine to the second of the

	THE SPECIAL MASTER: If this were not a
2	piece of professional work I would sustain it,
3	but I believe it is so I'm going to overrule
4	the objection and admit it.
5	It isn't all that weighty in the final
6	analysis, I don't think anyway.
7 -	MR. ECHOHAWK: Your Honor, so I understand,
8	all the exhibits offered were accepted?
9	THE SPECIAL MASTER: All the exhibits
0	offered by Mr. Echohawk, beginning with C-146
11	and ending with C-150, the phase two report, are
12	admitted into evidence. They include all 30
13	of the copies of the photographs in Exhibit 148,
14	which has been counted in the recess and found
15	to be complete.
16	MR. ECHOHAWK: And I understand that the
17	United States is to provide copies of those
18	to the State of Wyoming?
19	THE SPECIAL MASTER: The United States is
20	to provide copies as requested by the State of
21	Wyoming at the time the State of Wyoming requests
22	it, at the expense of the United States.
23	(Whereupon Exhibits C-146 (through C-150 were admitted
24	(into evidence.

25

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

MR. ECHOHAWK: Okay.

MR. WHITE: We'll look through them, Your Honor, and see if -- we'll make a quick inspection, perhaps in a day's time or so to see which of those photographs we need copies of.

that tomorrow, just let me know. I'd just as soon not come in at 9:15 and come at ten as long as you're working on that, and I can be doing something at my chambers, at my office rather than wait on a break type thing. We can have better efficient use of all our time if we convene at ten o'clock and hold the trial from ten to twelve instead of convening at nine o'clock, stay in recess in trial while research work goes on.

MR. ECHOHAWK: That's fine.

MR. WHITE: Can I confer with the guy that's got to do the work?

THE SPECIAL MASTER: Please do.

MR. WHITE: We just as soon pull an all-nighter. We'll have it ready for you at 9:15 and get the trial going.

THE SPECIAL MASTER: That's fine.

MR. ECHOHAWK: The United States has no more

kersich-voir dire-white

redirect for Mr. Kersich. THE SPECIAL MASTER: All right. Let me 2 ask some questions that I know some of you have 3 been anticipating with bated breath. 4 5 EXAMINATION BY THE SPECIAL MASTER: 6 Mr. Kersich, the total arable lands for which Q 7 you have spent several weeks in testifying to 8 on the Reservation are contained exclusively in 9 six areas defined as we know from North Crowheart 10 11 through Arapahoe, listed on the front of your 12 Exhibit 43. Are those the six areas sometimes 13 referred to as the FIF's or the Federal Irrigation --14 A P's. 15 Q FIP's, Federal Irrigation Project? 16 No. sir, they are not. A 17 Q They are not. What are they? A 18 They are future lands which are located --No, what are these six, what is the North Q 19 Crwoheart Unit? 20 All right, sir. That's a study area outside of 21

A All right, sir. That's a study area outside of any presently irrigated area. Let me qualify that by saying there might be some small piece of historic lands of that nature, but these are

kersich-examination-special master

22

23

24

1		lands which are not included in any irrigation
2		project on the Wind River Indian Reservation
3	•	at the present time.
4	Q	Who defined the boundaries of the North Crow-
5		heart Unit of your land classification study?
6	A	Of the study area, that was done by us in
7		conjunction with other members of the team, sir,
8		by HKM.
9	Q	And who defined the area of South Crowheart
10		Unit?
11	A	Again that was done by HKM working with the other
12		members of the team.
13	Q	And also Big Horn, Riverton, Owl Creek and
14		Arapahoe?
15	A	Yes, sir.
16	Q	And your testimony is that none of the existing
17		or historic Federal Irrigation Projects contain
18		an acre that is in your six units to which you've
19	,	testified?
20	A	The acreage that we have here should be all lands
21		which are presently not being irrigated, unless
22		there is an overlap in some area between historic
23		and
24	Ω	So we would conclude therefore that the lands about
25	ker	sich-examination-special master

which Mr. Billstein testified during his appearance, include no, virtually no acreage?

A few acreage here and there is inevitable —

that is in your area and similiarly your area of maps of these six units contained no acreage which he dealt with in his testimony regarding lands now in irrigation?

Control of the Control of the Control of the State of the Control of the Control

A Okay.

MR. ECHOHAWK: Your Honor, perhaps if I could get two large exhibits that have already been introduced into evidence, set those side by side. They have the Federal Irrigation Projects on there and then Mr. Kersich's study area.

THE SPECIAL MASTER: All right. It might be helpful, and we might get those tomorrow, but we don't need them today for the purpose of my following questions.

18

17

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

19

20

41

22

23

24

25

kersich-examination-special master

409 WEST 24TH STREET

CHEYENNE, WY 82001

13071 635 8280

1	THE SPECIAL MASTER: Mr. Kersich, can you
2	tell us of the total acreage in the six units of
3	yours classified in 43 can you tell us which
4	of these units lie wholly in what has been
5	referred to as the ceded lands, the lands that
6	were ceded in 1905? Are you familiar with that
7	distinction on the Reservation, as distinguished
8	from the land usually referred to as the diminished
9	portion or the land that remained?
10	THE WITNESS: No.
11	THE SPECIAL MASTER: You are not familiar
12	with that. Okay. I wish we were in the office
13	where we haven't those here at all, an exhibit
14	showing the 1905 ceded lands.
15	MR. WHITE: Your Honor, if you would ask
. 16	I would like to suggest that you might ask the
17	witness which of these lands lie north of the
18	Wind River and East of the Popo Agie.
19	THE SPECIAL MASTER: Except for the Popo
20	Agie do you know which of these six units lie
21	to the North of the Wind River and which lie to
22	the South of the Wind River?
23	THE WITNESS: Well, basically all of North
24	Crowheart lies North of the Wind River.

kersich-redirect-echohawk

-

2

1

-

اسي

23

24

25

think it might be easier if I might -- I made a

very distinguishable, if I may have two seconds

map and traced out the rivers on it so it would be

	
1	THE SPECIAL MASTER: All right.
2	What was my last question on the record, Vi?
3	THE WITNESS: Okay. I'll start from the
4	beginning.
5	THE SPECIAL MASTER: Was my last question on
6	the record, Vi?
7	(Thereupon the following question
8	was read back as follows: "Q Where does South Crowheart unit rest with regard to the Wind
9	River, North or South?"
10	THE SPECIAL MASTER: That's the question, Mr.
11	Kersich.
12	THE WITNESS: The South Crowheart unit is
13	South of the Wind River (indicating).
14	When I refer to Wind River, now, I'm referring
15	to the Big Wind.
. 16	THE SPECIAL MASTER: Where does the Big Horn
17	Flats unit rest regarding the Wind River?
18	THE WITNESS: It's also South of the Wind
19	River. This is the Wind River on this map (indi-
20	cating). This would be the Big Horn Flats area
21	here (indicating).
22	THE SPECIAL MASTER: And the Riverton East unit?
23	THE WITNESS: Riverton East is East of
24	Riverton, and it would be East of I believe on
25	this map we would call that the Big Horn River

1	
1	(indicating).
2	THE SPECIAL MASTER: And South of it also,
3	is that correct?
4	THE WITNESS: East and South, yes.
5	THE SPECIAL MASTER: Let me ask assembled.
6	counsel if it is correct if my conclusion is
7	correct if I conclude that that is in the
8	diminished portion?
9	MR. WHITE: We would agree with that, Your
10	Honor excuse me
11	THE SPECIAL MASTER: I know you don't like
12	the word, but does it rest in that portion that
13	was ceded in 1905?
14	MR. WHITE: Which portion?
15	THE SPECIAL MASTER: Riverton East.
16	MR. WHITE: No, we wouldn't agree because we
17	believe that portion East of the Popo Agie was
18	also ceded in 1905.
19	THE SPECIAL MASTER: It should be a matter of
20	record in this whether it is or not.
21	MR. WHITE: I think that's what the document
22	says, North of the Wind and East of the Popo Agie.
23	There's been a fabulous disagreement as to whether
24	or not that causes a disestablishment, but I don't
	AT 1100 THE AMERICAN TRANSPORTED INCOME.

think there's any disagreement --

1	THE SPECIAL MASTER: That has no bearing upon
2	whether there was in 1905 was this a part of
3	that which was ceded or was it not? That's what
4	I would like to know.
5	MR. ROGERS: Mr. White is correct that the
6	portion of the Reservation which was affected by
7	the 1905 Act is North of the Big Wind River and
8	East of the Popo Agie,
. 9	MR. WHITE: It would be in the if we have
10	a neutral term, I don't know what it is.
11	THE SPECIAL MASTER: Is it in the portion
12	that was ceded in 1905?
13	MR. WHITE: Yes.
14	MR. ROGERS: May we say open portion?
15	THE SPECIAL MASTER: Open portion. Fine.
. 16	That reminds me of the cattle business, but if
17	you want to use open and closed on a heifer, fine.
18	Okay. I will call those open. And that is
19	open.
20	Where is Owl Creek unit, obviously open?
21	THE WITNESS: South of Owl Creek located on
22	the North
23	THE SPECIAL MASTER: But where is it with
24	regard to the Wind River?
25	THE WITNESS: It would be North of the Wind

	
1	River, sir.
2	THE SPECIAL MASTER: And where is the Arapahoe
3	unit?
4	THE WITNESS: The Arapahoe is located South
5	of the Big Wind River and actually between the
6	Little Wind River and the Popo Agie.
7	THE SPECIAL MASTER: Very well. I would ask
8	you this question, and you will need a pencil to
9	give me the answer, and you can maybe run the
10	totals from your desk, Mr. Kersich.
11	If you were to take your testimony's total
12	figures of the summary of arable lands by class
13	in North Crowheart, Riverton East, and Owl Creek,
14	what would that total be?
15	THE WITNESS: Okay. If I understand the
16	question correctly, you asked me for the total of
17	arable lands on North Crowheart, Riverton East,
18	and Owl Creek, and I added those figures up here,
19	and I come up with 52,825 acres.
20	THE SPECIAL MASTER: You have added 44,382,
21	4,691, and 258; is that correct?
22	THE WITNESS: No. I took the total arable
23	lands located on page 27, sir.
24	THE SPECIAL MASTER: So you used
	•

THE WITNESS:

I used 47,876 acres for North

1	Crowheart.
2	THE SPECIAL MASTER: Right.
3	THE WITNESS: 4,691 acres for Riverton East.
4	THE SPECIAL MASTER: Right.
5	THE WITNESS: And 258 acres for Owl Creek.
6	THE SPECIAL MASTER: And your total is?
7	THE WITNESS: I came up with 52,825.
8	THE SPECIAL MASTER: What?
9	THE WITNESS: 52,825.
10	THE SPECIAL MASTER: All right. If you were
11	to take the totals, therefore, of South Crowheart
12	and of Big Horn Flats and of Arapahoe, the remaining
13	three units of the total in your summary of arable
14	lands by class, what would your total be of those?
15	THE WITNESS: 31,644.
. 16	THE SPECIAL MASTER: Thirty-one thousand
17	THE WITNESS: Six hundred forty-four acres.
18	THE SPECIAL MASTER: Very good. Thank you.
19	That's all I have of this witness.
20	MR. ECHOHAWK: I might point out that we
21	brought in the map that has the federal Indian
22	projects noted on them
23	THE SPECIAL MASTER: I would like to see that
24	while we are here. At the risk of they are

separate exhibits, aren't they?

1	MR. ECHOHAWK: I believe those were in the
2	very first week of testimony.
3	THE SPECIAL MASTER: C-5 shows the existing
4	units. C-35 shows the study of the arable units;
5	is that correct?
6	MR. ECHOHAWK: C-35 shows the areas delineated
7	in red, which are Mr. Kersich's study areas, large
8	study areas.
· 9	MR. WHITE: Your Honor, I might say that we
10	have an exhibit which combines them. It's not
11	in evidence.
12	With the permission of counsel, I would let
13	him see it and just for informational purposes
14	it might be helpful for you to view it.
15	THE SPECIAL MASTER: Does anybody have an
. 16	objection if Mr. White were to give me a copy of
17	that at this time?
18	MR. ECHOHAWK: I would like to look at it
19	first.
20	THE SPECIAL MASTER: I don't mean to get you
21	out of step in your case, but I think we are
22	making some remarkable headway, and that's what I
23	wanted.
24	Thank you for showing me those and thank you
25	for your answers.

1	,
1	MR. WHITE: If we can take a 4- or 5-minute
2	break, we can get those.
3	MR. ECHOHAWK: Before we would go off, I
4	would also like to point out, so the record is
5	clear again, that the final acreage to be claimed
6	by the United States within the study areas that
7	Mr. Kersich has testified to will be substantially
8	less than the arable acreage that he has testified
9	about. That acreage will be reduced.
10	THE SPECIAL MASTER: I appreciate your fears
11	and concerns, but I assume that there will be
12	other evidence regarding irrigability?
13	MR. ECHOHAWK: That's correct, Your Honor.
14	THE SPECIAL MASTER: Fine. Thank you.
15	(Recess.
16	
17	* * * *
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
	1

25

1	MR. WHITE: Your Honor, the State has no
2	recross, and we would have no objection to the
3	witness being excused subject to the right to recall
4	him, to his remaining within the Court's jurisdiction.
5	THE SPECIAL MASTER: Within a reasonable
6	notice considering the time and travels. All right,
7	Mr. Echohawk, is that agreeable with you?
8	MR. ECHOHAWK: It's agreeable, Your Honor.
9	THE SPECIAL MASTER: Very fine. Mr.
10	Kersich, I congratulate you on having survived the
11	ordeal so far, but we may be seeing more of you in
12	the few weeks or months to come, and you've heard the
13	conditions.
14	Anymore witnesses or evidence for today?
15	All right, Mr. Echohawk.
16	MR. ECHOHAWK: I would prefer if we could
17	start the next witness tomorrow.
18	THE SPECIAL MASTER: All right. That is
19	agreeable with me.
20	MR. WHITE: I would object just for the
21	record. I think we've got another hour and a half
22	today, and we ought to get going.
23	THE SPECIAL MASTER: Is the witness here
24	ready to go?
25	MR. ECHOHAWK: Your Honor, at the close of

1 .	Mr. Kersich's testimony last time he was here, Mr.
2	White advised us that it would be about five days
3	additional cross-examination. I talked to Mr. Merrill
4	on Monday of this week, he told me there would be
5	four days of cross-examination. Here we finished Mr.
6	Kersich in a day and a half after.
7	THE SPECIAL MASTER: I don't want you to
8	discourage that trend, Mr. Echohawk.
9	MR. ECHOHAWK: I find myself somewhat
10	caught short.
11	MR. WHITE: I'd like to say for the record,
12	we got our signals on cross. We're trying to reduce
13	cross, and you recall yesterday I announced to the
14	Court and Counsel we would be done by noon. I don't
15	think there is any surprise involved. I'd just like
16	to see the case move along.
17	THE SPECIAL MASTER: You did indeed. Mr.
18	Echohawk is caught a little short, so without looking
19	for punishment for a reason to go for an hour or two,
20	I can use a little early break, so I'll take it and
21	let's stand in recess until tomorrow morning at 9:15,
22	yes?
23	MR. ECHOHAWK: One additional item, actually
24	two items that relate to the famous ten-day rule that

are also slow as a result of the reduced cross-examination

in time. We have, like we said earlier, we were
advised that Dr. Mesghinna may be testifying at the
end of next week. Yet we were advised by him that
his exhibits will not arrive until Saturday. If he
goes on the stand Thursday or Friday that is certainly
not the ten-day rule, so we would ask the State of
Wyoming, if they would waive their objections to the
ten-day rule for that.

MR. WHITE: That gives us the weekend to work on it, and I think weekends are for the purpose of getting a little rest.

THE SPECIAL MASTER: And being with families.

MR. WHITE: So I won't waive the ten-day rule, and I'd like to say for the record that the United States should assume from now on that there will be, for the purposes of the ten-day rule, that there will be no cross. We may have cross, we may not. We want to move the case along. We may have long cross, but for the purposes of the ten-day rule they should assume there is no cross and we won't get ourselves in this bind again.

MR. ECHOHAWK: In addition, Your Honor, it would come to the witness that follows, we have Mr. Waples who testifies next, who will go on tomorrow. Following him is Mr. Toedter. We've given the State

13071 635 8280

of Wyoming Mr. Toedter's exhibits on this past Monday, and again we may run into the ten-day rule. THE SPECIAL MASTER: Okay. If, Mr. White, Mr. Echohawk agrees to put Mr. Waples on now would you waive the ten-day rule regarding the evidence and the doctrine of the ten-day rule? MR. ECHOHAWK: Mr. Waples' information was given to you ten days in advance. MR. WHITE: We'll waive it, Your Honor, 10 with respect to those matters that have been given 11 to us. 12 THE SPECIAL MASTER: Mr. Echohawk, I think --13 MR. ECHOHAWK: That's not the thing. We 14 gave the State Mr. Waples' information as far ahead 15 of time as we could. There were some small very 16 minor corrections on his report. We gave them the draft as soon as we could. We followed up with a 17 finalized version, and then we found one minor mistake 18 in it so then we -- at the beginning of this week we 19 gave them the very finalized copy. 20 MR. WHITE: I don't know what the worry is. 21 22 We waived the objection and we want to go ahead and 23 put him on. MR. ECHOHAWK: For what they've received, 24

25

and if that adresses all the problems, even the revised

exhibits --

2

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

...

~

.4

--

4

1

MR. WHITE: If you come up with new exhibits that you haven't given us, we'll have a complaint, but if you have given us the exhibits for Waples, we've got not complaint.

MR. ECHOHAWK: It's Mr. Waples, and they don't have a complaint about it, and there's a question still that goes to Mr. Toedter's exhibits and Mr. Mesghinna's exhibits.

MR. WHITE: Your Honor, the problem -- We can't stall forever just for the United States to get their exhibits together. They!ve had four years to get ready for this case. We're moving cross as fast as we can. We got the signals from you to cut our cross, and we've tried to do that.

We may not be successful in the future in cutting all of the cross like we'd like to see it, but the case is set for trial, its been set for trial for a long time. Its had two continuances already, two major continuances.

MR. ECHOHAWK: I think Mr. White is certainly entitled to cross-examine on as many areas as he wants to. Its just when he gets into this repetitive nature of going through acre by acre. We've certainly allowed him to cross-examine on a very large

1	portion of it. It's when it gets entirely redundant
2	and repetitious that we raise the objection. I don't
3	think he should feel that he's being precluded from
4	cross-examination.
5	MR. WHITE: I didn't say I was being
6	precluded, I was saying let's get on with the next
7	witness.
8	THE SPECIAL MASTER: Let's go back to the
9	first matter you raised a moment ago, Mr. Echohawk.
10	Dr. Mesghinna, you have to tell me who he is.
11	MR. ECHOHAWK: He's an agricultural engineer
12	for Stetson Engineers who will be laying out the
13	future irrigation system designs and costs.
14	THE SPECIAL MASTER: You propose him for a
15	witness soon, he is scheduled to be a witness for
16	you when?
17	MR. ECHOHAWK: He is the third witness away.
18	We have Mr. Waples, who will start tomorrow; we have
19	Mr. Toedter who follows Mr. Waples, and we have Dr.
20	Mesghinna.
21	THE SPECIAL MASTER: Dr. who?
22	MR. ECHOHAWK: Mesghinna. The State has
23	given us indications that they're not going to take
24	very long at all with Mr. Waples or Mr. Toedter. In

fact, Mr. Mesghinna may go on next Friday or next

1	Thursday. Certainly it's much different from the
2	other
3	THE SPECIAL MASTER: Now, Dr. Mesghinna
4	has some materials however that cannot be put in the
5	hands of Wyoming until when?
6	MR. ECHOHAWK: Saturday.
7	THE SPECIAL MASTER: The day after tomorrow?
8	MR. ECHOHAWK: That's correct.
9	MR. WHITE: For all technical purposes that's
10	Monday because it's right in the middle of a weekend.
11	THE SPECIAL MASTER: Yes, but if you don't
12	put Dr. Mesghinna on until about Wednesday or Thursday,
13	what the United States is asking you is that will you
14	waive the ten-day requirement for his material and
15	you said no you won't unless he proceeds today with
16	Mr. Waples.
17	MR. WHITE: No.
18	THE SPECIAL MASTER: Is Mr. Waples in the
19	building now, in the courtroom now?
20	MR. ECHOHAWK: He's here, Your Honor, but
21	I don't think that's what Mr. White agreed to.
22	THE SPECIAL MASTER: That's what I was
23	hoping you said.
24	MR. WHITE: No. This is really a sore spot
25	with me, Your Honor, and let me tell you why. The

4

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

State of Wyoming gave thousands of exhibits to the United States ten days prior to the trial beginning. Then the United States came in and got you to change the order so it was just ten days before the week in which the witness appeared. Now, it's ten days before the witness takes the stand, and it's just taking a remarkable advantage of the patience of the Court and the ability of the State to respond to short notice exhibits to do it this way. So I would like to insist the trial go forward. I know it's your decision so I won't insist, I would just ask that the trial go forward, and use the time that's available to us today to go with Mr. Waples. And I would like to ask that the Court enforce the ten-day rule, and I'll waive the ten-day rule with respect to Mr. Waples. I think it's important in getting started today. We'll have him all day tomorrow, we may not even get to the next witness.

THE SPECIAL MASTER: I'd like to start with him today, to be frank with you, Mr. Echohawk, but I was hoping that perhaps you might agree if we started with Mr. Waples today, the waiver of whatever he's testifying to, that you don't already have copies of, haven't learned by deposition is accepted, but in addition you will not object to the fact that there may

be a shortening of the ten days in the Mesghinna 2 case. MR. WHITE: I can't agree to that because 3 Dr. Mesghinna is the very witness we were talking 4 about this morning, to get the hundreds of pipeline 5. layouts we've got to fly to San Francisco to get 6 tnem, and I just can't agree to that. 7 8 We're going to send an engineer out there, hopefully on Monday, to pick them up. That's as fast 9 10 as we can do it, and I won't waive the ten days. I would ask we go with Mr. Waples. 11 MR. ECHOHAWK: Again, I would ask the 12 Court's indulgence that we continue with the trial 13 tomorrow and recess. I was anticipating about four 14 to five days of cross-examination of Mr. Kersich and 15 quite frankly I'm caught a little short. We have 16 only one hour of trial left today, and we're all a 17 little weary. I think it would be best if we would 18 adjourn now. 19 20 21 22 23 24

The state of the s

409 WEST 24TH STREET

CHEYENNE, WY 82001

13071 635 8280

25

MR. WHITE: Well, I'm not weary, Your Honor, but if the United States counsel is so weary that they want to stop for the day, I will stipulate that we can stop for the day.

THE SPECIAL MASTER: Well, in that case let's stop for the day and convene at 9:15 tomorrow morning.

We have got a problem next week, gentlemen.

(Thereupon an off-the-record discussion was held.)

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

21

23

24

9

THE SPECIAL MASTER: Mr. Salazar, will you ask that question, please?

MR. WHITE: Let me ask the question -THE SPECIAL MASTER: I want Mr. Salazar's

question on the record.

MR. SALAZAR: Are the exhibits which Dr.

Mesghinna is going to provide by Saturday exhibits

the copies of which have already been provided in the past and have merely been updated or cor-

rected or revised, or are they brand new exhibits?

MR. ECHOHAWK: Most of them are corrected revised copies of documents or irrigation outlines that were provided during the deposition. They are not entirely new documents. They are dust

are not entirely new documents. They are just

updated documents.

409 WEST 24TH STREET

CHEYENNE WY 82001

(307) 635 8280

THE SPECIAL MASTER: That would beg a reconsideration or reevaluation of your conclusion on that.

MR. WHITE: I would have to see them first,
Your Honor. It's easy to say they are just some
changes, but when you are dealing with tens of
thousands of acres, a few changes here and there
add up to many thousands of acres, and it's just
like the photography that we've dealt with with
Mr. Billstein and Mr. Kersich.

If I see it and it's not a problem for us,
I won't make it a problem for the Court, but if I
see it and it is a problem, I will bring it to
the attention of the Court, and I think the only
way I can do that is by objection at the time
that it's identified.

MR. ROGERS: Your Honor, it might be applicate able to add at this time that based on the trial schedule we developed this morning, that even assuming that Dr. Mesghinna does start on Thursday or Friday, it's not likely that his crossexamination would even commence before the end of next week and, in fact, it might not commence at all or wouldn't commence very much, so Mr. White would, indeed, have the entire week following that

to prepare for his cross-examination and review those exhibits.

MR. WHITE: Let me ask two questions, Your Honor. The first one is an easy one.

The first one is that I understand that Mr.

Salazar, your assistant, has kept a list of exhibits that have been identified, offered, rejected, or admitted, and I would like to ask -- and I believe I would be joined in this one request by all counsel; I think everybody is in agreement -- if that list could be provided to all counsel so that we can keep our own records straight and ensure that our own recordkeeping is in accordance with that of the Court.

THE SPECIAL MASTER: If I have agreement of all major counsel for the Tribes -- all counsel for the Tribes, all counsel for the United States, and all counsel for the State of Wyoming, and if I have your approval in this I will use and distribute to you who are present here today when you ask for it the Master's exhibit list giving the exhibit number, the introduction, the party introducing it, the date introduced, whether admitted or not and for what purpose and the page in the transcript where it can be found and a

description of the exhibit. Mr. White, I'm not going to send this out to every attorney of record because it's just too darned burdensome and bulky a job, but if they ask for it, they will get it. MR. WHITE: I would volunteer to make a copy of that for those counsel that are present. . 7 Would that be all right? THE SPECIAL MASTER: For those present? MR. ECHOHAWK: That would be fine. 10 THE SPECIAL MASTER: All right. Then that 11 will be done. And if you will do that, those can 12 be provided certainly in a day or two. 13 Now, that helps us come to the observation 14 that Mr. Rogers has made, which is really quite 15 a valid one. 16 If it will take certainly two or three days 17 of direct to finish up Waples, the middle man, and 18 Mesghinna, during that time you will have had in 19 your possession, if you are going to get them by 20 day after tomorrow, the documents from Mesghinna. 21 Therefore, won't you search yourself to see 22 if you can, in good conscience, and consult your 23 assistants too because you may get a word --24

25

MR. WHITE:

I always get admonished each day

after trial, Your Honor. Don't make it worse.

THE SPECIAL MASTER: That you can say if the United States puts on Dr. Mesghinna no earlier than next Wednesday, that you would not -- that you will waive the 10-day rule?

MR. WHITE: I have to see the documents, and I would assure the Court that I will take a look at them, and if we can continue without making the objection, we will not make the objection.

Now, let me ask one other thing, and that is with respect to the week that you have previously ordered that we take off, the week after next, I would like to ask the Court and ask for the consent of the other counsel that if we are going to take a week off, it not be the last week of April but instead be the first week in May, and the reason is that I explained to my wife over the noon hour that we were going to take off the last week in April and with some joy because her sister's wedding is the following week, and I anticipated not having to go.

She instructed me to ask the Court whether or not we could change the week off from the last week in April to the first week in May so I can attend her sister's wedding.

409 WEST 24TH STREET

CHEYENNE, WY 82001

13071 635 8280

	
1	THE SPECIAL MASTER: What is the day in May
2	that you want that time off?
3	MR. ROGERS: Your Honor, off-the-record.
4	THE SPECIAL MASTER: Yes, off-the-record.
5	(Thereupon an off-the-record discussion was held.)
6	drachabion was here,
7	THE SPECIAL MASTER: Mr. White, is that day
8	you have got to be away Monday, the 4th, and Tues-
9	day, the 5th, and if those two days were free, you
10	are home free at home? If we resume on Wednesday,
11	May the 6th, can you get back to work then?
12	MR. WHITE: Why don't I ask if we could stop
13	that Wednesday during that last week? I think
14	that that would be about the last day in April and
15	resume
16	THE SPECIAL MASTER: We made commitments this
17	morning, and I get boxed in on one. I can be back
18	here Monday the 27th without any big hassle.
19	I'm going to a reunion of the First Division.
20	I know that none of you the big red one, and
21	General Haig is speaking to us, and I want to go,
22	and I can be back Monday morning, no big hassle,
23	but if I don't have to, I will take a couple days
24	and use them advisedly, and I will come back on

the 29th or 30th and we can do May -- I can come

1	back on the 29th or 30th, and we can do May 1 or
2	2, but if those dates that you have to be there
3	are the 4th and 5th what were you going to
4	say, Mr. White?
5	MR. WHITE: Can I look at your calendar, Tom?
6	MR. ECHOHAWK: Perhaps we could keep the week
7	of the 27th off as it is set now and take off the
8	days of the following week that Mr. White needs
9	off.
10	MR. WHITE: I don't need them off, Your
11	Honor.
12	THE SPECIAL MASTER: You need something off
13	the week of the 4th.
14	We don't need to be on the record.
15	(Thereupon an off-the-record
16	discussion was held.)
17	THE SPECIAL MASTER: Back on the record again.
18	Mr. Membrino?
19	MR. MEMBRINO: We have overlooked Mr. Toedter,
20	who is the witness to follow Mr. Waples on Monday
21	or rather Tuesday we provided exhibits
22	MR. WHITE: Sunday
23	MR. MEMBRINO: Sunday it was we provided
24	the exhibits that Mr. Toedter was going to be using
25	to the State.

Now, the accelerated pace of the trial is such that Mr. Toedter is going to testify next week and they also fall without the 10-day rule, and I'm wondering what Mr. White would say to 4 reaching accommodation on that issue. MR. WHITE: For the record, Your Honor, I 6 believe -- I was incorrect. They were supplied on Monday rather than Sunday. And the exhibits that I have looked at that 9 were supplied for Mr. Toedter are those which 10 had not been previously supplied to the State; is 11 that correct? 12 MR. MEMBRINO: That's correct. 13 MR. WHITE: And he was not originally endorsed. 14 15 It was only late last week that we found out he was going to testify, so I think that until I take 16 a very careful look at those exhibits -- and I will 17 do so today and tomorrow -- I can't waive the 10-18 day rule. 19 Let's see if we can figure out something on 20 the 10-day rule, though, Your Honor. Maybe we 21 ought to make the 10-rule 10 days prior to the 22 beginning of cross. That seemed to be what you 23

THE SPECIAL MASTER: Do you want to do that?

24

25

were concerned about.

1		•	
1	INDEX TO	EXAMINATION	
2	•	•	PAGE
3	WITNESS: AL KERSICH		
4		•	•
5	Cross-examination	By Mr. White	3157
6	Redirect Examination	By Mr. Echohawk	3196
7	Voir Dire Examination	By Mr. White	3227
8	Examination	By The Special Master	3250
9	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
10			
11			
12		•	
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21		•	
22			
23			

25

1	INDEX TO	EXHIBITS	
2		IDENTIFIED	RECEIVED
3	SK-50, 51, 53,	3167	
4	SK-52	3168	
5	SK-2	3174	
.6	SK-3	3175	•
7	SK-4, 5	3176	
8	5K-8	3177	
9	SK-9, 9-A	3178	
10	SK-10	3179	
11	SK-10-A	3181	
12	SK-20	3182	
13	SK-30, 40, 50, 51, 52, 53	3184	
14	SK-41, 42	3185	
15	SK-10-B Withdrawn 3185		
16	SK-2, 3, 4, 5, 8, 9, 9-A,	10,	3195
17	10-A, 20, 30, 40, 41, 42, 50, 51, 52, 53		
18	U.S. WRIR C-146	3126	
19	U.S. WRIR C-147-A, 147-B,	3218	
20	147-C		
21	U.S. WRIR C-148-1 through C-148-30, C-150	—	
22	SK-8		
23	U.S. WRIR C-146 through C	-150	3248
24			
25			

1	REPORTERS' CERTIFICATE		
2			
	State of Wyoming) : SS		
3	County of Laramie)		
4	We, Merissa Racine and Viola J. Lundberg,		
	Me, Meriaba Kacine and viola of munders,		
5	Registered Professional Reporters and Notaries Public,		
6	hereby certify that we did at the time, date and place,		
7.	as set forth, report the proceedings had before the		
8	Honorable Teno Roncalio, Special Master Presiding, in		
24 X X			
	stenotype; that the foregoing pages, numbered 3133-3279		
10	inclusive, constitute a true, correct and complete tran-		
	script of our stenographic notes as reduced to typewrit-		
12	ten form under our direction.		
13	We further certify that we are not agents, at-		
14	torneys or counsel to any of the parties hereto, nor are		
15	we interested in the outcome thereof.		
16	Dated this 15th day of April, 1981.		
10	Date Circ and Craft of April 1961.		
17			
10	Missa Recine Tiola Sinclus		
	MERISSA RACINE VIOLA J. LUNDBERG		
19	Registered Professional Registered Professional Reporter		
20	Thopotics:		
21	MERISSA RAC'HE - KOTARY FUBLIC		
22	COUNTY OF STATE OF		
·	LARAMIT		
23	My Connelities English in 1933		
24			