## Uldaho Law **Digital Commons @ Uldaho Law**

Bighorn Hedden-Nicely

3-16-1981

## Trial Transcript, Vol. 27, Afternoon Session

Frontier Reporting Service

Follow this and additional works at: https://digitalcommons.law.uidaho.edu/bighorn

## Recommended Citation

Frontier Reporting Service, "Trial Transcript, Vol. 27, Afternoon Session" (1981). *Bighorn*. 186. https://digitalcommons.law.uidaho.edu/bighorn/186

This Transcript is brought to you for free and open access by the Hedden-Nicely at Digital Commons @ Uldaho Law. It has been accepted for inclusion in Bighorn by an authorized administrator of Digital Commons @ Uldaho Law. For more information, please contact annablaine@uidaho.edu.

case # 4993

File # 134

1	IN THE DISTRICT COURT FOR THE FIFTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT
2	WASHAKIE COUNTY, STATE OF WYOMING
3	
4	IN RE:
5	THE GENERAL ADJUDICATION ) OF RIGHTS TO USE WATER )
. 6	IN THE BIG HORN RIVER ) Civil No. 4993 SYSTEM, AND ALL OTHER )
7	SOURCES, STATE OF ) WYOMING. )
8	
<b>9</b>	<del></del>
10	
. 11	3/27 1981
12	Margaret Hangton CLERY
<b>13</b> .	DEPUTY
14	
15	VOLUME 27
16	Afternoon Session
17	Monday, March 16, 1981
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	ORIGINAL

409 WEST 24TH STREET CHEYENNE, WY 82001 (307) 635-8280

25

PRONTIEB BEFORTING SERVICE

201 MiDWEST BUILDING CASPER, WY 82601 13071 237 1493

The said the said of the said to the said of the said to the said

	5-1	mr-cb	2477
		1	THE SPECIAL MASTER: We'll come to order,
<b>-</b> -3		2	please.
-3		3	Okay, Mr. White.
<del>-3</del>		4	CROSS-EXAMINATION: (CONTINUED)
		5	BY MR. WHITE:
ويسيد		6	Q Mr. Billstein, you testified earlier that you
ولمصور		7	had excluded from lands shown in blue on
الأسد		8	Exhibits 56 through 136 lands which have been
نيرا		9	adjudicated by the Wyoming State Board of Control;
		10	is that correct?
ويسا		11	A That's correct.
ويد			
		12	Q Why did you do that?
بيد	-	13	A The adjudicated water rights were felt to be
ويد ا	7	14	a test of irrigability, therefore were accepted
يدر		15	as such. Our main emphasis was then on the
		16	areas outside of the adjudicated land basis.
-59		17	Q Would you direct your attention to Exhibit C-133,
		18	specifically that tract that's been marked as
3		19	33-3. It's located in the SW 1/4 of the SW 1/4
		20	of Section 25, and explain how you determined
		21	that the blue land in that location was not
		22	covered by a Certificate of Appropriation.
		23	A We would have obtained all the Certificates of
		24	Appropriation for the South Fork-Owl Creek Basin,
			billstein-cross-white
-0		<b>25</b>	AND MEET PATH CINEST PRONTIER REPORTING APROTOR

1		and would have plotted those rights on our
2		hydrographic copiesIf the use areas fell
3		outside of those plotted adjudicated water
4	 	right boundaries, then they were defined as
5	,	being in the unadjudicated in use category.
6	Q	Okay. I direct your attention to what's been
7		marked for identification as Wyoming Exhibit
8		. HB-2000, which has certified copies of certain
9		Certificates of Appropriation, and ask you to
10		turn to proofs 14,028, 15,395, which should be
11		the last two proofs just before the map.
12	A	I see them.
13	Q	Isn't it true that the second entry on proof
14		14,028 shows 20 acres having been adjudicated
15		in the SW of the SW of Section .25?
16	. <b>A</b>	Yes. Under proof 14,028 it does show 20 acres
17		in the SW 1/4 of the SW 1/4 of Section 25.
18	Q	Turning to proof 15,395, isn't it true that
19		an additional 19 acres was shown as being
20		adjudicated in the SW of the SW of Section 25?
21	A	That shows on this proof.
22	Q	Yet the entire 40 acres in the SW of the SW are
23		alomst the entire 40 acres of the SW of the SW
24		of 25 is shown as being unadjudicated in Exhibit 133;
25	bill	Lstein-cross-white

ļ	•	•
1		isn't that correct?
2		(Brief. pause.
3	. <b>A</b> .	If I could check my file.
4	Q	Sure, go ahead and take all the time you need.
5		(Brief. pause.
. 6	A	. It appears that does fall in the adjudicated
7		boundary.
8	Q	So Exhibit C-133 is not accurate insofar as it
9	,	shows what's been marked as Parcel 33-3 as
10		being unadjudicated; is that correct?
11	A	That's correct.
12	Q	I direct your attention to Exhibit C-67,
13		specifically about a 36-acre tract of land
14		in the NE 1/4 of the NW 1/4 of Section 25. I
15		don't believe those have been labeled with
16		tract numbers, it's the NE of the NW of Section 25,
17		4 North.
18	A	Section 25?
19	Q	Yes, sir.
20	A	I see the tract.
21	Ω	Is it marked in blue as unadjudicated lands on
22		Exhibit C-67?
23	A	Right.
24	Q	I direct your attention to what's been marked for
25	i	lstein-cross-white

1		identification as Plaintiff's Exhibit 2007,
2	•	which is a certified copy of a Certificate of
3		Record which is shown as proof number, in the
4		upper right-hand corner, as 18,400, and ask you
5		whether or not or ask you if it isn't true
6		that 40 acres is shown as being adjudicated
7		pursuant to that certificate in the NE 1/4 of the
. 8		NW 1/4, Section 25, Twonship 4 North, 4 West?
9	. A	The numbers are offset on this, but going back
10		to the do you have a description?
11	Q	It should be the NE of the NW, Section 25, 4
12		North, 4 West.
13	A	Counselor, this is offset to where the 4 and
14		the 0 break between the NE 1/4 and the NW 1/4.
15		It's hard to ascertain whether those 40 should
16		be moved to the left or whether they are in fact
17		applicable 40 acres to the right.
18	Q	The 0 of the 40 lies within the tract we're
19		talking about and the 4 lies outside of it?
20	A	Right.
21	Q	How did you interpret that when you reviewed
22		this certificate in preparing Exhibit C-67?
23	A	Well, I'd have to check my my own permit number,
24		6628 file.
or	bil:	lstein-cross-white

1		(Brief pause.
2 .	Q	Do you wantsome help, Ron?
.3	A	No, that!'s fone.
4		(Brief pause.
5	A	My backup computer list shows that there is
6		a.40. in the NE of the NW.
7	Q	Does that mean that the tract which we were
8	•	referring to in the NE.of the NW of Section 25
9		on Exhibit C-57 should be colored red rather
10		than blue?
11	A	Let me make one final check.
12		(Brief pause.
13	. <b>A</b>	That's correct, it should be colored in red.
14		
15		
16		
17		•
18		* * * *
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

<del></del>	<del> </del>	
1	Q.	(By Mr. White) I direct your attention to Exhibit
2		C-88 and ask you whether or not you can locate a
3	• !	tract of approximately 63 acres located in the
4		NE 1/4 of the NW 1/4 as well as the SW 1/4 of the
5		NW 1/4 of Section 10, Township 1 South, 1 West?
6		THE SPECIAL MASTER: One South what?
7		MR. WHITE: One West, Your Honor.
8	A.	(By the Witness) Would you repeat the legal
9	]   	description?
10	Q.	(By Mr. White) Township I South, I West, Section
11		10, NE 1/4 of the NW 1/4 and the SW of the NW 1/4.
12	À.	I see the tract.
13	Q.	I direct your attention to what's been marked
14		for identification as Wyoming's Exhibit HB-2008,
15		specifically the second page, which shows proof number
16		18,423 in the upper right-hand corner and
17		ask you whether or not there's not 40 acres
18		adjudicated in the NE to the NW and 29-1/2
19		acres adjudicated in the SW to the NW?
20	A.	That shows on the certificate appropriation.
21		That should be included as adjudicated.
22	Ů.	With respect to that approximately 60 acres on
23		Exhibit C-88, that should be red rather than
24		blue?
25	bil1	stein - cross - white
	1	

The second secon

1	A.	That's correct.
2	Q.	I direct your attention to what's been admitted
3		as Exhibit C-89 and ask you whether you can locate
4		on Exhibit C-89 a tract of land comprising
5		approximately 96 acres located in Township 1
6		South, 1 West, Section 14, all in the NE 1/4,
7		being the NE to NE, the SW to the NE, and the
8		SE to the NE.
9		THE SPECIAL MASTER: You are too fast.
10		NE 1/4
11		MR. WHITE: I'll start it from the beginning,
12		Your Honor. It would be NE to the NE, the SW
13		to the NE, and the SE to the NE.
14		THE SPECIAL MASTER: Of Section
15		MR. WHITE: Fourteen.
16		THE WITNESS: Did you give me the certificate
17		on that?
18	Q	(By Mr. White) Is that shown in blue on Exhibit C-89?
19	A.	Yes.
20	Q	That means it's unadjudicated on C-89?
21	A.	That's correct.
22	Q.	I hand you what's been marked for identification
23		as Exhibit HB-2009 and ask, turning to the second
24		page of that certified copy, whether or not
25	bil:	lstein - cross - white

1		on proof 18,596 there's not adjudicated lands
2		shown as follows: 28 acres in the NF of the $^{ m NE}$ ,
3	-	3.4 acres in the SW to the NE, and 40 in the SE
4	<u></u>	to the NE?
5	A.	That shows on this certificate of appropriation.
6	Q.	Isn't it true then that the parcel which we have
<b>7</b> .		been discussing should be shown in red rather
8		than blue? It should be shown as adjudicated rather.
9		than unadjudicated in Exhibit C-89?
10	A.	That's correct.
11		MR. WHITE: Your Honor, I wonder if I could
12		go through one more, then take a break, and I'll
13		take my dad to the hospital, and if I could confer
14		with Mr. Krob, he can probably get through the
15		next fifteen or so that we can get set up, if
16		that's all right with the United States to change
17		horses during cross-examination.
18		THE SPECIAL MASTER: Is there no way you might
19	}	be able to work out a stipulation what this total
20		acreage is? It isn't going to be all that much,
21		I'm keeping track of it myself. Is there any way
22		you might do that?
23		MS. SLEATER: Your Honor, the State of Wyoming
24		last night just gave us the final information on
25	bil	lstein - cross - white

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

25

	the things we are trying to work out a stipulation
2	on now, and we don't have that many people down
3	here.

THE SPECIAL MASTER: I can appreciate that you are short-handed. I can appreciate that.

My thought is this is clearly land that ought not to be included that I would think the total acreage should be lopped off the exhibit total.

In any event, what you say is perfectly fine. Go ahead for now, Mr. White. One more exhibit now, and then we'll carry on.

MR. WHITE: It will take me a couple of minutes to make sure that Mr. Krob and I are thinking along the same lines.

(By Mr. White) Ron, I direct your attention to what has been marked for identification as Exhibit C-93 and ask you whether or not you can find a tract of land of approximately 32 acres located in Township 1 South, 1 East, Section 21, in the NE 1/4 of the NE 1/4?

- A. Yes, I found it.
- 22 Q Is that shown as unadjudicated on Exhibit 93?
- 23 A That's correct.

409 WEST 24TH STREET

CHEYENNE, WY 82001 (307) 635-8280

24 Q I hand you what's been marked for 'identification billstein - cross - white

billstein - cross - white

billstein-cross-krob

1		section number again?
2		MR. KROB: Yes, Section 29.
3		MR. ECHOHAWK: Thank you.
4		(Brief pause.
5		THE WITNESS: It's marked in blue.
6	Q	(By Mr. Krob) Indicating unadjudicated land?
7	A	.Indicating lands that are currently being served
8		which lie outside of adjudicated boundaries.
9	Q	Handing you the certificate that has been marked
10		HB-2014, would you examine that certificate.
11		(Brief pause.
12	Q	Have you examined it?
13	A	Yes.
14	Q	With regard to the SW 1/4, and particularly the
15		. West 1/2 of the SW 1/4 in the 29th Section of
16		Township 4 North, Range 3 West, according to the
17		proof number 18913, isn't that entire 1/2 of the
18		1/4 section adjudicated?
19	A	The certificate shows the SW 1/4 of the SW 1/4
20		to be fully adjudicated.
21	Q	And what about the NW 1/4 of the SW 1/4?
22	A	Also to be adjudicated for 40 acres.
23	Q	So that would account for the entire West 1/2
24		of the SW 1/4; is that correct?
25	bil	lstein-cross-krob

1	A	That's right.
2	Q	Isn't it true that on Exhibit 68 the entire
3		half of the SW 1/4 of the 29th Section should
4		properly be marked red for adjudicated lands
5		rather than blue as unadjudicated status?
6	A	The West 1/2 of the SW 1/4?
7	Q	Yes.
8	A	Yes.
9	Q	Turning again to Exhibit C-68, this time in
10		the 30th Section, the East 1/2 of the SE 1/4,
11	: -	do you locate a tract marked in blue on
12		Exhibit C-68 of about 78 or 80 acres?
13	A	Which section?
14	Q	Section 30, East 1/2 of the SE 1/4.
15		(Brief pause.
16	A	Yes, I see an area corresponding to the East
17		1/2 of the SE 1/4.
18	Q	And on Exhibit 68, that area is marked in blue
19		indicating unadjudicated lands; is that correct?
20	A	That's correct.
21	Q	Returning your attention to proof 18913, the
22		second line down, now, doesn't that proof
23		indicate that that entire East 1/2 of the SE 1/4
24		was in fact adjudicated?
25	bills	tein-cross-krob

The state of the s

S 7-4			2490
	1	A	It shows the NE 1/4 of the SW 1/4 to have 40
	2		adjudicated acres.
	3	Q	And what about the SE 1/4 of the SE 1/4?
<b>3</b>	4	A	Also to have 40 adjudicated acres.
8	5	Q	Wouldn't that account for the entire East 1/2
	6		of the SW 1/4 as being adjudicated?
	7	A	That's correct.
	8	Q	Therefore, is it true that on Exhibit C-68, the
	9	<b>\</b>	tract in the East 1/2 of the SE 1/4 now marked
	10		in blue is inaccurately marked and should be in
	11		red as adjudicated land?
	12	. <b>A</b>	Not the entire tract.
	13		
	14		
Service Constitution of the constitution of th	15		
	16		* * * *
	17		
	18		
	19		
	20		
	21		
	22		
	23		•
	24		
	25	bi:	llstein-cross-krob

1		that contained therein is a 38-acre parcel in
2		the NE 1/4 of the NE 1/4 which has, in fact,
3	•	been adjudicated?
4		MR. ECHOHAWK: Objection, Your Honor. The
5		document speaks for itself.
6	 	THE SPECIAL MASTER: He can ask what it
7	<u> </u>	shows, but it's cross-examination, and he's been
8		using this mode for the last eight or nine exhibits,
9	] [	so the objection comes late.
10	A.	(By the Witness) The certificate of appropriation
11		shows 38 acres in Section 31 in the NE 1/4 of the
12	} }	NE 1/4.
13	Q.	(By Mr. Krob) Isn't it true that Exhibit C-68 is
14		inaccurate in that not only the small parcel to
15		the South of the 38 acres, but the 38 acres itself
16		should be shown in red rather than in blue?
17	A.	That's not correct.
18	Q.	Does Exhibit C-68 reflect the 38-acre parcel that
19		has been adjudicated in the NE 1/4 of the NE 1/4?
20	A.	It reflects that parcel as unadjudicated in use.
21	Q.	So it's marked in blue on the exhibit; is that
22		correct?
23	A.	Right.
24	Q.	Shouldn't that parcel actually be marked in red
25	bill	stein - cross - krob

as adjudicated?

A. Yes.

6

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

MS. SLEATER: Your Honor, at this point in time I would like to interpose an objection to the whole line of questioning. I have not gone previously because I hoped it was going to tie in with something, but I think the whole pline of questioning is irrelevant insofar as the questions are going to should it be in red or should it be in blue whereas both the reds and the blues are being claimed.

In some areas there might be overlapping of claims that is going to be broken out at a later point in time, but at this point in time, since the State of Wyoming has not even attempted to ask or attempted to show that this was not a proper portion of the claim, either as an adjudicated right or as land that was in use, I think it's wasting all of our time just sitting here and going through what might, as nearly as I can determine, be a double proof on some tracts.

It's not a doubling of the claim. There's been no showing that it is not a parcel that is not entitled to be claimed, and as such, I think billstein - cross - krob

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

24

25

-

this line should be cut off at this time.

They have made a point in some very limited instances something is showing as in use, and as Mr. Billstein has said, is in use and it also is adjudicated.

MR. KROB: Your Honor --

MS. SLEATER: I just want to object at this time to the entire line and state, as a matter of fact, our adjudicated acreage is not in use but—and we did try to break them out, but they have made their point that at times there are slight discrepancies in what should be colored red or blue, but I don't think we should have to sit here and go through what, as I gathered from what Mr. White was saying before, might be another two hours or questioning that just goes to this point.

you, but it's not necessary. I would like to
overrule the objection, but I would like to give my
reasons for doing so: One, it is true that this land,
the acreage now being testified as being inaccurately
reflected, may come in for water under one in-use
concept or under an appropriation concept, one or
billstein - cross - krob

the other. That's obvious.

I know that you are not trying to seek it
both, but I have to have accurate totals in coming
to a decree in this case, and I would like the
decree to reflect accurately specifically how many
acres are in a fairly close ballpark -- I just
don't want a ballpark figure in what is the in-use
area and what are the historical acres under
State permit that should keep their date and areas,
and if an 1868 is put to them, then it should
detract from other Indian lands, so nobody gets a
double dipping, so I don't think the State is
trying to keep the Reservation from getting appropriate
water for an acre of irrigable land or in-use land,
and I would overrule the objection at this time for
that reason.

MS. SLEATER: Then I think it might be appropriate for the State to submit an offer of proof insofar as the information they are dealing with are from public records that Your Honor has already taken judicial notice of or are in evidence, and the exhibits themselves are in evidence, and it might save us a lot of time since obviously the State attorneys have this list, if he could just

billstein - cross - krob

25

24

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

1	make an oral offer of proof on these particular
2	tracts.
3	THE SPECIAL MASTER: I would have hoped
4	that you two would have agreed to that, and
5	that would be a fine way to save a couple of hours
6	and I don't know how many more of these there are,
7	but this under permit 6628 on this last one, so
8	far I've got 204 acres of the 244 total would be
9	in that category, and up until then I think
10	I have got about 110 or 120 acres.
11	Now, is the total going to be 415 or 822,
12	or do we know what it is?
13	If you two can agree to it, fine, we would
14	be happy to accept a stipulation, but as far as
15	an offer of proof, it's going to be in evidence.
16	MS. SLEATER: What I'm trying to point
17	out, however, an offer of proof is not in
18	evidence itself. However, in this particular
19	instance, the certificates that are being referred
20	to are in evidence. The exhibits are in evidence.
21	THE SPECIAL MASTER: The certifiates are
22	in evidence?
23	MS. SLEATER: Yes, sir.
24	THE SPECIAL MASTER: Well, they are part of
25	billstein - cross - krob

about 24,000 of them, and I would have a devil of a time.

MS. SLEATER: That's why I'm suggesting an offer of proof insofar as the State would then be identifying those. The United States would agree to the State giving to Your Honor for your convenience copies of the certificates they have pulled and are planning to use at this session of the trial.

The other exhibits are in evidence already. There is no reason why the Court on its own, without anyone saying anything to you, couldn't make the comparison.

I think an offer of proof would be the proper way for the State to indicate the direction it's going in ---

THE SPECIAL MASTER: I gather from that, Regina, that you would agree to the fact that there is some discrepancy in acreage totals?

MS. SLEATER: Your Honor, I can't agree to that without going through and checking all of these, and as I explained earlier, we don't have the staff to do that because they are checking on the --

billstein - cross - krob

24

25

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

409 WEST 24TH STREET CHEYENNE, WY 82001 (307) 635 8280 FRONTIER REPORTING SERVICE

201 VIDWEST BUILDING CASPER WY 92601 13071 237 1433 THE SPECIAL MASTER: Then I would suspect you will not get an agreement from the State.

MS. SLEATER: What I'm suggesting is that the certificates that they have copies of should be received by Your Honor. I'm just trying to save us two more hours of listening to this.

MR. KROB: That's fine, but it sounds like
Ms. Sleater needs to decide whether she wants
Your Honor to have it as evidence or merely go
in as an offer of proof. I don't think she can
have it both ways, and if you are going to
consider it and analyze it in terms of the errors
on the exhibits that have been admitted, then
that's fine, but that would not be an offer of
proof.

THE SPECIAL MASTER: I concur in that observation. Let's proceed. The objection is overruled.

If you, Regina, can show that these permits now before us are not included in the permits in your earlier motion on which I am to take judicial notice, there's one thing that may show there may not be any error in, I don't know, but until that time, I think I have to find out how many acres

billstein - cross - krob

409 WEST 24TH STREET

CHEYENNE, WY 82001

(307) 635-8280

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

8	_	9
•		

5

6

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

Q

A.

are reported in both categories so I can make a subtraction.

MS. SLEATER: I would like to point out that a number of these weren't included. However, we will probably wish to have them included as adjudicated rights.

However, some portions of some of them are and some aren't, but I would like to point out that the State of Wyoming is well aware that this portion of the case is not the claim itself and at a later time later witnesses will be making the subtractions and proving and showing that there is no overlap, and since they are aware of this, I just see no point to waste another two hours.

as we can, Mr. Krob. That's our best bet.

(By Mr. Krob) Let's again turn to Exhibit 68.

Directing your attention to the 32nd Section, a parcel in the NW 1/4 of the NW 1/4, 40 acres inside, can you find that on Exhibit 68, C-68?

Would you repeat the legal description, counsel?

\* \* \* \* \*

24

25 billstein - cross - krob

· 200 年1月2日 - 2011年 -

The second of the second of the

1	Q	Turning your attention now to Exhibit C-94,
2		are you able to locate a, it would be in
3		Section 34, NW 1/4 of the NW 1/4, Township 1
4		North, 1 East, a parcel of land indicated in
5		blue?
6		THE SPECIAL MASTER: What size in acreage,
7		Mr. Krob?
8		MR. KROB: Roughly 18 acres, Your Honor.
9		THE WITNESS: I see the parcel; it's marked
10		in blue.
11		(Brief pause.
12	Q	(By Mr. Krob) Handing you what has been marked
13		as Plaintiff's Exhibit HB-2012, would you
14		examine that document, please.
15		(Brief pause.
16	Q	Have you examined it?
17	A	Yes.
18	Q	According to that proof, I believe it's 18917
19	A	No.
20	Q	What proof number do you have?
21	A	18572.
22	Q	Well, we are not even close then.
23		THE SPECIAL MASTER: You can use mine if you
24		want to.
25	bil	lstein-cross-krob

billstein-cross-krob

25

	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
1	THE SPECIAL MASTER: All right. We'll come
2	to order, please.
3	MR. KROB: I apologize for the confusion. We
4	reversed a number in a couple of our exhibits, but
5	I think with a little work here we have got it
6	straightened out.
7	THE SPECIAL MASTER: No problem.
8	Q (By Mr. Krob) I'll hand you both Exhibits HB 2013
9	and 2012 and ask you to look at them.
10	(Whereupon an off-the-record
11	discussion was held.
12	Q (By Mr. Krob) Turning first to Exhibit 2012, this
13	time, if luck serves me, it's proof 18572; is that
14	correct?
15	A 18572 is the proof number.
16	Q Okay. According to that proof, were there 20 acres
17	in the Northwest quarter of the Northwest quarter
18	of Section 34 adjudicated?
19	A There are 20 acres somewhere in the Northwest quarter
20	of the Northwest quarter which are adjudicated.
21	Q Okay.
22	THE SPECIAL MASTER: May I suggest and were
23	they marked otherwise on your Fxhibit C-94?
24	MR. KROB: Well, I think, Your Honor, we can
25	billstein - cross - krob

and the second s

2. 1 M ( Mast B 1, D.55 1A min Mileson 1 237 237 1493

ï		
1		clear that up with HB 2000
2		THE SPECIAL MASTER: I was trying to find a
3		faster route for you.
4	ΰ	(By Mr. Robb) Now, turning to Exhibit 2013, accord-
· <b>5</b>	  -   	ing to that proof, which I believe is number 18917,
6		were there an additional 20 acres adjudicated in the
7	<b>!</b>   	Northwest quarter of the Northwest quarter?
8	A.	That's right.
9	Q.	According to the combined effects of those two,
10		isn't the entire Northwest quarter of the Northwest
11		quarter adjudicated?
12	A.	It should be adjudicated.
13	Q.	So all of the Northwest quarter of the Northwest
14		quarter which you have indicated in blue or all
15		portions of that section which you have indicated
16		in blue should, in fact, be indicated in red as
17		adjudicated lands; is that correct?
18	A.	Yes, that portion of the Northwest guarter of the
19		Northwest quarter should be reflected in red.
20	Q	By "that portion," you mean the portion that is now
21		reflected in blue?
22		MR. ECHOHAWK: Your Honor, at this time I would
23		like to
24		THE SPECIAL MASTER: Yes.

billstein - cross - krob

25

201 Minabeth Char Charles As well a Charles as to have

1	MR. ECHOHAWK: inquire of Mr. Krob whether
2	he could provide us with the maps that accompany
3	these. There could be some question as to whether
4	the 20 acres that are involved on each of these
5	exhibits is, in fact, the same 20 acres or whether
6	they are mutually exclusive.
7	THE SPECIAL MASTER: I thought of that too,
8	but one coming five months after the other one in
9	the same year would give proof to me that after
10	irrigating one year, he wanted some more land in
11	that area irrigated, and he filed a second one.
12	However, I suppose if you want maps, maps could be
13	forthcoming.
14	MR. KROB: Yes, we would like to do that, but
15	that is the import of the evidence that we wish
16	to have it carry.
17	MS. SLEATER: Whether that's the import he
18	wants, the maps are part of the certificates.
19	THE SPECIAL MASTER: We have already granted
20	the maps will be forthcoming,
21	MS. SLEATER: What I would like to point out
22	is I don't think this line of questioning can
23	continue unless the maps are here because Mr. Bill-
24	stein has no way of knowing, having not mapped
	billstein - cross - krob
	·

5

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

5.13

but now we don't even know whether this is on trust lands or is it part of the acreage we were claiming as adjudicated or what is it? As a matter of fact, it was of less importance as long as we were talking about the entire 40 acres within a given area on one certificate, but now that we are getting into less than the quarter of a quarter, it's important to have the maps to identify the location of these lands we're talking about.

MR. KROB: Your Honor --

MS. SLEATER: As Your Honor is well aware, under the same permit number there are various adjudications, and the adjudications then are filed with different numbers.

What we would like and we feel entitled to know is the location of the particular areas Mr. Krob is talking about.

THE SPECIAL MASTER: You've got it.

MS. SLEATER: The State Engineer requires a mapping that goes with each of these adjudications, and from the piece of paper he has given us, we cannot tell where --

All right. Your request THE SPECIAL MASTER: for a map on each of the earlier ones is denied for billstein - cross - krob

> 40 FWEST 24TH STREET CHEYENNE, WY 82001 G07r 635 8280

FRONTIER REPORTING SCHVICE

3	11-1	mr-cb			2510
3	• • •	1		THE SPECIAL MASTER: I would like to pose	
3		2		an objection, anymore questions from either	
3		3		side dealing with tracts of two acres or less,	
- 3		4		when we get around to that one of these days,	
-3		5		later on in the case.	
		6		(Brief pause.	
		7		THE WITNESS: There's a tract identified	•
التتسليم		8		as 4-4, approximately two acres in the NE 1/4	
التنسيليم		9		of the NW 1/4.	
		10	Q	(By Mr. Krob) Is that tract marked in blue	
	i	11		on Exhibit C-106?	
		12	A	Yes.	
	•	13	Q	Indicating that it's mapped as adjudicated	
	•	14		or unadjudicated irrigable?	
وتتستيا	•	15	A	That's not correct.	
فتتسبير	•	16	Q	As adjudicated in use?	
	<b>,</b>	17	A	That's not correct.	
	•	18	Q	How about unadjudicated?	
	•	19	A	Yes, that's right	
-	•	20	Q	By process of elimination.	
		21		Handing to you what has been marked as	
ا ماناسان	•	22		Plaintiff's Exhibit HB-2003, examine that	
يعنى	•	23		document, please.	
الله الله	) •	24		(Brief pause.	
	, <b>,</b>	25	bil	lstein-cross-krob	
-					

1	A	I've reviewed it.
2	Q	According to that document, in the Section 22,
3		the NE 1/4 of the NW 1/4, has there been some
4		land adjudicated in that section?
5	A	There's been some land adjudicated in Lot 3 of
6		the NE 1/4 of the NW 1/4.
7	Q	Okay. In preparing Exhibit C-106, how did you
8		accommodate for the land that was adjudicated in
9		Lot 3?
10	A	Let me look in my file.
11		(Brief pause.
12	A	I didn't because unadjudicated acreage in use
13		does not correspond with Lot 3.
14	Q	So on Exhibit C-160 (sic), how was Lot 3 shown?
15	A	Being that it's in fee land it's not shown at all.
16	Q	And it's not included as part of your unadjudicated
17		in use base; is that correct?
18		THE SPECIAL MASTER: It's not treated as
19		
		part of your unadjudicated in use; is that correct?
20		part of your unadjudicated in use; is that correct?  THE WITNESS: Lot 3 you're referring to?
	Q	
20	Q	THE WITNESS: Lot 3 you're referring to?
20 21		THE WITNESS: Lot 3 you're referring to?  (By Mr. Krob) Right.
20 21 22		THE WITNESS: Lot 3 you're referring to?  (By Mr. Krob) Right.  That's correct. It's in this location right here.

1	Q	Well, let's see. I'm not sure if it's in your
2		same ditch system. We will be moving to a
3		different section, Section 15, in the SE 1/4 of
4		the SW 1/4, a parcel of about 14 acres.
5		MR. PERRY: Would you repeat that description,
6		please.
7		MR. KROB: Sure. Section 15, the SE 1/4 of
8		the SW 1/4.
9		MR. PERRY: Thank you.
10		(Brief pause.
11		THE WITNESS: I see the tract on Exhibit
12		C-106.
13	Q	(By Mr. Krob) And how is that tract indicated
14	<b>h</b>	on Exhibit C-106, is it marked in blue?
15	A	It's marked in blue, referring to the fact that
16		it's in use and it's unadjudicated.
17	Q	Returning then to Exhibit HB-2003.
18	A	All right.
19	Q	Isn't it true that that exhibit shows 25 acres
20		as having been adjudicated in the SE 1/4 of the
21		SW 1/4 of Section 15?
22	A	It shows that there are 25 acres within the SE
23		1/4 of the SW 1/4 that are adjudicated.
24	Q	Are any of those 25 acres included in the parcel
25	bil	lstein-cross-krob

A CARLET AND STREET OF THE STR

•	L	
1		of land indicated in blue on C-106 in Section 15,
2		SE 1/4 of the SW 1/4?
3		(Brief pause.
4	A	The vast majority of those 25 acres are south
5	}     	of that tract.
6	Q	Is any portion of the 25 acres indicated in the
7		proof, included in the parcel you have marked
8		as unadjudicated in use?
9		(Brief pause.
10	A	Being that there is approximately 25 acres out
11		of the 40 acres, there could be approximately
12		5 acres of adjudicated land out of that tract.
13	Q	So it's your testimony, as far as you can tell,
14		there are approximately 5 acres of overlap?
15		MR. ECHOHAWK: Objection, Your Honor. He
16	\   	misstates the witness. The witness said there
17	1	could be.
18	Q	(By Mr. Krob) Mr. Billstein, can you estimate
19		the acreage of the overlap?
20		THE SPECIAL MASTER: I think he's answered.
21	1	He said there could be as much as 5.
22	; { }	THE WITNESS: That's my best estimate.
23		
24		* * * *
25	bil	lstein-cross-krob

FAULT ABOVE THEFT
 OFFICE ACTIONS
 FAULT ACTION

FRONTIER REPORTING SERVICE

د با بازی ماه شا

Sign 3		#*
5.	1	MR. KROB: Mine is spelled with a K anyway,
5	2	Your Honor. I'm off the hook this time.
5 3	3	(At this time Mr. Radosevic
5	4	(left the hearing.
5	5	(Brief pause.
	6	THE SPECIAL MASTER: Does anyone here know
	7	if the Morrison or Four Mile Spring is a
والتنسيس	8	tributary to the Wind River?
	9	MR. KROB: I don't, Your Honor.
وتساس	10	THE WITNESS: Say it again, Your Honor?
	11	THE SPECIAL MASTER: Do you know if the
	12	Morrison or Four Mile Spring see, this was a
0-	13	water right granted for water from a tributary
والمساحق المن	14	to Dry Muddy Creek from some springs, and I
وتلرات	15	assume that the diversion point or the taking
حلاحت	16	point of this water was from the origin springs
والمراحق		
والمراسي	17	somewhere before it got to Dry Muddy Creek, and
	18	if it is, do we have jurisdiction over this
	19	water, was my thought?
والمراسين المراسين	20	THE WITNESS: Where specifically are you
ويمراسي	21	reading, Your Honor?
نعرات	22	THE SPECIAL MASTER: I'm reading from the
ن است	23	certificate and also from the map. They both
	24	show Morrison or Four Mile Spring. The Four Mile
	25	billstein-cross-krob
<b>~</b> {	<b>2</b> 0	}

19 14 (A) (13 (E) (E) (2) (A) (425 (A) (B) (B) (E) (E) (B) (A) (B) (B) (B) (B)

5		12-3	
5			·
5			1
5			2
\$ "	5		3
" ک "سمج	-3		4
ن ح	-3		_
			5
	-3		6
			7
0	فينزر		8
*	فكنثب		9
0	فلتشب		
0	الانتساد م		10
0	وتب		11
•			12
0			13
0			14
•	وتسد		
	(تند		15
است	فتسا		16
	ت		17
	-		18
اسن	-		19
است	-3		
إس	-3		20
إسن	10		21
است	1-3		22
0	1-3		23
-	1-3		
•	13		24

Spring was added in 1928 to the certificate of 1912, but it's on there by a memo of some kind.

MR. KROB: Your Honor, there is an indication on the permit that the spring --

THE SPECIAL MASTER: Is tributary to the Big Horn River -- so ultimately I guess we do have, yes.

Boysen, but used to be directly Big Horn. That's when the definition of the Big Horn River was beginning at the confluence of the Big Wind and Little Wind. If you went back to the old definition of the wedding of the waters, then it would have been the Big Wind River System, but under the State System where it's defined as beginning at a point just below the confluence of Little Wind and Big Wind, it is, in fact, the Big Horn River System, and that's the system we are using here.

THE SPECIAL MASTER: I was trying in some way to throw this exhibit out, but I guess I can't find it.

(Brief pause.

THE WITNESS: I'm trying to find a little billstein-cross-krob

CHETISTIC WY 82001 CHETISTIC WY 82001 CROTER 35 828 1 PRONTIER REPORTING SERVICE

12-4		2517
وسي	1	better map, Counselor. Just bear with me a
	2	second.
	3	MR. KROB: That's fine. Just take all the
	4	time you need.
5	5	
	6	
	7	
لتتاسخ من لتيسم مده	8	
	9	* * * *
التاسل التاسل	10	
	12	
	13	
التا الت	14	
	15	
	16	
- C	17	
	18	
	19 20	
	21	
-	22	
-	23	
	24	
o e	25	

State And The Control of the Control

1	ļ		
	1	Q	(By Mr. Krob) Have you examined Exhibit HB-2004?
	2 	A	Yes. I guess one needed a water right to find
1	3		the merits of using large-scale aerial photography
) { 	<b>3</b>	}   	to find use areas. I think we found one.
, , , ,	- <b></b> 5	Q	According to proof 11669, isn't it true that
	- <del></del>	Ĭ <b>Ì</b>	ten acres of the SW 1/4 of the SW 1/4 of Section 10
	- <del></del>		are adjudicated lands?
		 	are adjudred rands:
-	<del>لات</del> .	A	Yes. Ten acres within the entire SW 1/4 of the
-	وئنے 9		NW 1/4 of Section 10 are adjudicated.
	مرست الآست	Q	Based on the maps contained in Exhibit HB-2004,
	ر 11		and the maps that you have with you, can you
-			testify to the Court that there's no overlap
<u>-</u>	نت ا		
<b>,</b>	الت		between the 10-acre parcel for which there is an
<b>;</b> -}	تشد 14		adjudicated certificate and the 13-acre parcel
╌╁	نتنه 15		illustrated by Exhibit C-1237
>	16		THE SPECIAL MASTER: I'm sure the witness
7	المالية المالية		knows what you mean and I know what you mean,
	18		but if we could not use the word "Overlap" it
	ويسا		way 12 lease was from makking inka lba difference
	19		would keep us from getting into the differences
	<b>20</b>	į	we had in the prior subject matter.
	21	Q	(By Mr. Krob) All right. Are any of the lands
	- <del>43</del> 22	<u> </u>	included in the 10-acre parcel that was adjudicated
-    	<b>-€3</b> 23		included in the 13-acre parcel on Exhibit 123?
-	-43 -43 -43		(Brief pause.
7	~ <del>**</del>	3.29	lstein-cross-krob
<b>}</b>	<b>-19</b> 25	DIL	TRICTURGLYTOD

6	년 13-2		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	2519
	-d -d	1	A	That 10 acres could fall within the adjudicated
	3	2	- <del></del>	
	73		_	area that would show south of our use area.
5		3	Q	Did you take steps to make sure that 10-acre
5	<b>3</b>	4		parcel was excluded from your 13-acre parcel
5	~ <b>3</b>	5		indicated in blue on Exhibit 123?
	~3 ~3	6	A	It was mapped to exclude it. If you look at the
		7		10 acres in the SW 1/4 of the NW 1/4 and look
	-3	8		at that map for the Bear Paw Ditch, it's very
0		9		difficult to rationalize where those 10 acres
		10		are in the SE 1/4 of the NW 1/4.
		11	Q	We're really only concerned with the 10 acres:
•		12		in the SW 1/4 of the NW 1/4.
•		13	A	We felt those were south of those 13 acres, the
9		14		way it's mapped in our work maps.
0	_3	15	Q	Do those work maps correspond with HB-2004?
0		16	A	Let me check one other source.
<b>3</b>		17		(Brief pause.
	-3	18	A	Some of that acreage could fall within the
	-3	19		unadjudicated in use.
		20	Q	Mr. Billstein, could you approach Exhibit 123
	حَصَ ا	21		with the HB-2004.
<b>5</b>	-S	22	}   	(Witness complied.
	-S	23	Q	Would you visually compare the 10 acres adjudicated
		24	l   	in HB-2004 to the 13-acre parcel of land in
		25	bil.	lstein-cross-krob
		<b></b>	}	409 WEST 241H STREET PRONTIER REPORTING SERVICE 201 HOARD STREET
			1	409 WEST 24TH STREET FRONTILD REPUBLING BEDVICE 201 MOATH BURENGE

5			
5	1 1		Section 10.
5	2	A	The map is supposed to be 20 acres.
5		Q	I'm just speaking about the 10 acres in the
-	- <del>3</del> 4		NW or the SW 1/4 of the NW 1/4.
5	<b>-</b> ₹ 5		THE SPECIAL MASTER: Please speak up a
			little louder, both of you.
و می	es es es		MR. KROB: Yes.
	<u>ال</u> عب	Q	(By Mr. Krob) Just the 10 acres of the SW 1/4
0	اليد 9		of the NW 1/4 is all we're talking about.
	أحدير	_	
	المس	A	The map appears to indicate that somewhere in
0	ا11		roughly this reach are 10 acres of adjudicated
•	گاند. 12		land.
0	وي. 13	Q	Are we looking at the same map? This would be
	المعتب		the last page of the exhibit.
-	15	A	I was looking at the Bear Paw Ditch map, 7491.
0	التناس 16	Q	I believe there's a large map in there that
	الم		might cover this.
سی	18	A	Okay. These are lands covered by Permit 7491,
	19		roughly 100 acres which, of course, does not imply
است	حت. 20		which of those 100 acres is in fact 10 acres,
	21		that's why I chose to use the previous map that
	22		showed roughly the outline of the 10 acres. This
-	23		is the original permit acreage not the certified
0	24		acreage.
•			
0	25	bill	stein-cross-krob

201 Modella (1) (A) Ord Armin (1) (2) (3) (3)

1	Q	Now, doesn't that map include lands covered
2		by the proposed application in this legend?
3	A	It shows land.covered by Permit 7491. My point
4		is it also shows 100 acres, and there's 10 acres
5		certified, and the only map reflecting those
6		certified acres is the previous map which covers
7		roughly this entire land tract of which there's
8		10 acres in it, some of which we've defined as
9		adjudicated, others which we have defined as
10		unadjudicated in use. Adjudicated boundaries was
11		our best estimate of where those 10 acres were.
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		* * * *
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		

billstein-cross-krob

24

	14 V-eg		2523
	1		no?
	2		THE WITNESS: Right.
	3		THE SPECIAL MASTER: All right.
3	4		MR. KROB: Thank you.
3	5	Q.	(By Mr. Krob) Directing your attention to Exhibit
	6		C-93, do you find thereon in Section 32, the North-
	7		east quarter of the Southwest quarter, a parcel of
وتران	8		approximately 12 acres mapped in blue?
	9	A.	Section 32?
	10	Q.	Yes.
	11		MR. ECHOHAWK: Do you have the township and
	12		range?
	13		MR. KROB: One North, One East.
	14	A.	(By the Witness) I don't have my hydrographic copy,
	15		and this description is a little bit too nebulous
0-1-0	16		for me to locate the exact tract you are talking
	17		about.
	18	Q.	All right. Well, why don't we pass it over for the
	19		moment and we can perhaps provide you with a more
	20		specific description for it.
	21		Okay. Let's go back to Exhibit 67. Directing
	22		your attention to Exhibit C-67, Section 6 in the
	23		Southeast quarter of the Northeast quarter, is there
	24		delineated a parcel in blue comprising approximately

billstein - cross - krob

		14	V-eg		2524
5	-3		1		5 acres, Township 4 North, 3 West?
5	-3		2	A.	In that that one stands alone, I can identify it.
5	3		3		
5					THE SPECIAL MASTER: You can?
<b>5</b>	3		4		THE WITNESS: I can.
•			5		THE SPECIAL MASTER: And you do?
			6		THE WITNESS: I do.
			7		THE SPECIAL MASTER: And does it?
0			8	A.	It contains approximately six acres.
0			9	Q	(By Mr. Krob) And do those 6 acres delineated in
0			10		blue indicate unadjudicated in-use lands?
0			11	A.	They are designated as such.
ر دست	~~~			Q.	Handing you what has been marked for identification
0	- <del></del>		12	,	•
0	-3		13		Plaintiff's Exhibit HB 2001, could you examine that
•	ج		14		document, please?
0			15	A.	I see it and have examined it.
0			16	Q.	Isn't it true that according to Exhibit HB 2001,
0			17		specifically proof 19133, that 8.2 acres were
			18		adjudicated in the Southeast quarter of the North-
			19		east quarter?
-	<b>~</b> ₩			A.	The certificate of appropriation shows 8.2 acres
-	- <del>-</del>		20		adjudicated in the Southeast guarter of the North-
ات			21		
0			22		east quarter.
			23	Q.	And by examining Exhibit HB 2001, as well as the
			24		maps you have before you, and by visually comparing
	-6		25	bill	stein - cross - krob
					* ************************************

STALL SERVICE AND ADDRESS OF THE STALL SERVICE AND ADDRESS OF THE

•				——————————————————————————————————————
6		]4 V-eq		2526
	-3	•		
	-3	_		THE WITNESS: Okay. I reviewed my files.
	-3	2	Õ (B	y Mr. Krob) And have you made the comparison
6	-3	3	be	tween the 8.2 adjudicated acres and the parcel
	~3	4	in	dicated on Exhibit 67?
		5	A. Ye	s.
		6	Q. Do	either of those parcels include the same acres?
		7	A. Ye	
	والارد	8	Q To	what extent?
0	التالت	9		e entire five or six acres shown as unadjudicated
		10	in	-use, active irrigation, relates to the adjudicated
0		11	ac	reage under 41-40E for the proof number 19133.
0		12	Q. Th	erefore the parcel indicated in blue on Exhibit
		13	67	should actually be indicated in red; is that
0	-50	14	CO	rrect?
	(نکیم	15	A. It	is adjudicated and in use.
<b>C</b> -		16		
<b>C</b>		17		
	<b></b>	18		* * * *
5		19		
		20		
-	الموالية الموالية			
*		21		
	-63	22		
		23		
	-15	24		
0	-6	25		
	منعو			

PAREN HOLLES

W 1 . 31 14 1 .

•		<del></del>		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	3	15-2		2528
کن ک	-3	1	<del> </del>	. the NW of the NW.
5	3	2	Q	All right. We'll make it simple and somewhat
5		3		quicker and cover both parcels at the same time.
کی کی		4		You've located both of those parcels then which
<u>ن</u>	-	5		are in or at least in part of the NW section of
Ė		6		the NW section of Section 35?
Ø		7	A	Are you also including the parcel that extends
		8		into Section 26?
0	وديد		Q	Yes, or at least that portion of it that's in 35.
0		9	*	I understand it's all one parcel, but I believe
0		10		we're primarily concerned with the portion that
C	l-a	11		extends into 35.
0	<b>.</b>	12	A	You're excluding Section 26?
0		13		
€-		14	Q	Well, we will include it for now and if it gets
<b>€</b>		15		excluded we'll exclude it.
e	Cin C	16	A	I don't understand that.
€.		17		THE SPECIAL MASTER: I don't either.
•		18	Q	(By Mr. Krob) Have you located the two parcels,
<b>€</b>		19		one of which is partly in 26 and partly in 35?
<b>3</b>		20	A	Yes.
•		21	Q	And have you located the one that's entirely in
<b>6</b>		22		Section 35?
		23	A	Yes.
•	10	24	Q	Now, are both of those parcels marked in blue,
0		25	bill	Lstein-cross-krob
•				409 WEST 24TH STREET PRONTIER REPORTING SERVICE 2.7 M DALL TH CASE (H. A.T. n.2) (A.S. P. (
0			1	

the second of th

l	<u>.                                    </u>	
1		indicated unadjudicated in use lands?
2	A	Yes.
3	Q	Handing you what has been marked and identified
4		marked as Plaintiff's Exhibit HB-2005 and HB-2006,
5		would you examine those documents, please.
6		(Brief pause.
7		THE SPECIAL MASTER: You know, if you can't
8		make this out, there's no law that says it's a
9		hardship to say I can't make it out. There's no
10		error in your so saying if that's the truth.
11	Q	(By Mr, Krob) In fact, I'd prefer it rather than
12		stray in any of the descriptions.
13		THE SPECIAL MASTER: You've got 51 acres in
14		that NW 1/4 of the NW 1/4 according to the exhibits
15		before you, and if it gets a little difficult to
16		find the eight in question, why you're free to
17		say so.
18		(Brief pause.
19		THE WITNESS: Okay.
20	Q	(By Mr. Krob) Have you examined Exhibits HB-2005
21		and 2006?
22	A	Yes.
23	Q	Turning first to Exhibit HB-2005, isn't it true
24		that according to proof 11685, 21 acres was
25	bil	llstein-cross-krob

<u> </u>		
1		irrigated or adjudicated in the SW 1/4 of the
2		SW 1/4, Section 26; 16 acres to the NW 1/4 of
3		the NW 1/4 of Section 35, and 10 acres in the SW
4		1/4 of the NW 1/4 of Section 35?
5	A	That's correct.
6	Q	Of those 47 acres, are any of those 47 acres
7		included in either parcel indicated on Exhibit
8		C-84?
9		(Brief pause.
10	A	A small portion of the lower tract in Section 35.
11	Q	That would be the tract, the SW 1/4 of the NW
12		1/4 of Section 35?
13	A	It would be in the NE 1/4 of the SW 1/4 of the
14		NW 1/4.
15		
16		
17		
18		* * * *
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
	1	

2 (1 MOMESTALLET N.) CASPER MILERIALI - 3 17, 237, 54 (4)

	-3	16-2	V-eg	2532
	-3		1	number do you have on your exhibit?
	~ (3)		2	A. 17188.
	~		3	Q Okay. Doesn't that proof indicate that 16 acres
	-5		4	were adjudicated in the Northwest quarter of the
			5	Northwest quarter of I'm sorry. Let me back up
The second			6	for a moment.
			7	THE SPECIAL MASTER: It's a public record and
	وت		8	it ought to speak for itself. It's in evidence.
Jan Rite			9	MR. KROB: Okay.
ارون ارون ارون			10	MS. SLEATER: Your Honor, I would also like to
			11	point out that the copy we have been given indicates
, A			12	it's a supplemental supply for the area served by
			13	the George Ditch and is not to include any lands
' بر سنگ این			14	that weren't previously adjudicated to the George
استان ا دون دون			15	Ditch.
ار ا				THE SPECIAL MASTER: Where did you find that
المراجعة			16	it's supplemental water?
الاولام المحالي الاولام			17	MR. KROB: I believe it's on the proof, Your
مري الم			18	
			19	Honor.
			20	MS. SLEATER: Your Honor, the writing under the
ر در سنگ درون	و است. د		21	legal description, there's a typed-in
ستن المراجعة			22	THE WITNESS: Right here, Your Honor (indicat-
			23	ing).
	-10		24	THE SPECIAL MASTER: Got it.
7844 	-19		25	billstein - cross - krob

	16-3 V-eg	2533
-3	1	MC CITAMBD. Who leveled is
3	_	MS. SLEATER: The language is
-3	2	THE SPECIAL MASTER: There is hooked onto it
-	3	the Hosie Ditch instead of to the George Ditch,
	4	so those have to be switched; is that right?
	5	MS. SLEATER: No, Your Honor. What it is is
	6	supplemental supplies under State law is when the
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	7	original source of supply cannot supply all of the
	8	water that's necessary for the land base that was
	9	previously adjudicated.
	10	You can get a supplemental supply. You still
	11	have the same acreage, which is why they say
	12	supplemental supply for 63 acres, which is the 63
	13	acres under the George Ditch, and then after they
	14	give the legal descriptions they say, "Supplemental
	15	Supply for Lands under George Ditch, certificate
	16	recorded 33, Page 353," which is to point out that
	17	at least insofar as the line of questions that
	18	go to the Hosie Ditch are concerned, we are talking
	19	about exactly the land we were talking about in the
	20	George Ditch, and I would object as being redundant
	21	and repetitive.
	22	THE SPECIAL MASTER: I think I will sustain
	23	the objection on this.
	24	MS. SLEATER: Thank you.
	25	billstein - cross - krob
, ,		

	-3 -3	16-4	V-eg	. 2534
	-		1	MR. KROB: On the grounds the land is covered
	7		2	by the last exhibit?
	73		3	THE SPECIAL MASTER: Duplicative.
	7		4	MR. KROB: Okay.
المستخة	~3		5	THE SPECIAL MASTER: At worst it's an 8-acre
			6	spread if the ruling were the other way.
			7	MR. KROB: Your Honor, before I proceed, if I
ر اسل	(يوسي		8	may be permitted to inquire, I am down to the parcel
<b>*</b>	فتكس		9	of two acres that I spoke of earlier, and to save us
			10	all time, I'm wondering if I will be permitted to
Photo:			11	proceed.
31	-6			THE SPECIAL MASTER: Yes, I will permit you to
Carrier S	-6		12	
	-		13	proceed. I want you to get through everything that
**************************************	الشيئيسية المستسلسة		14	you have been assigned, but if you and others for
سن			15	the State can hold off on the 2 acres, I don't think
	(جانب		16	there's too much of a loss, and that goes to the
Carried .	-6		17	United States on 2-acre matters.
<b>6</b>			18	MS. SLEATER: Your Honor, we have been putting
			19	in 35,000 at a time.
	-49		20	THE SPECIAL MASTER: I see that. Hope springs
			21	eternal.
			22	Q (By Mr. Krob) Mr. Billstein, directing your attention
			23	to Exhibit C-80, do you find thereon a 2-acre parcel
ار ار			24	in Section 19 in the Northwest guarter of the Northwest
<u> </u>			25	billstein - cross - krob
	100		en water visibilities adjulger gave the Franchis West	

16-5	V-eg	2535
	1	quarter?
	2	A. I see it.
	3	Q And on Exhibit C-80 is that parcel indicated in
	4	blue?
	5	A. It is.
	6	Q Indicating unadjudicated in-use lands?
	7	A. That's correct.
	8	Q Handing you what has been marked for identification
,		Plaintiff's Exhibit HB 2002, would you examine that
	9	document, please?
	10	THE SPECIAL MASTER: Off the record.
	11	•
	12	(An off-the-record discussion (was held.
	13	
	14	THE SPECIAL MASTER: We can go back on the
	15	record.
	16	Let me ask this question: I find on the
	17	certificate of appropriation of water that the docu-
	18	ment asserts 36 acres in the Northwest quarter of
	19	the Northwest quarter. Is that where your two
	20	acres are located also that you say are unadjudicated?
	21	THE WITNESS: Yes. On the work map that I
	22	find in the files there's a line of demarkation in
	23	the lower left-hand corner, and I don't have notes
	24	to track why that line was drawn there, but it may be
	25	billstein - cross - krob
		ADD DUCK THE STREET PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY O

The street of the second of th

The first in the state of the contraction of a problem of the state of

16-

			1 1 1 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	
	-		······································	
		16-7	V-eg	. 2537
, de la constante de la consta	-3		_	
	-3		1	that all 40 are covered, and I think that the
	-3		2	certificate governs.
	-3		3	MR. KROB: Okay. If the certificate governs,
	73		4	then there's an error in the exhibit that we need
2 pit 5			5	to get on the record.
Contraction of the second			6	THE SPECIAL MASTER: 'I don't follow that.
	-		7	MR. KROB: If there is, in fact, 40 acres in
0			8	this parcel that are, in fact, unadjudicated, we
- 1	و ا		9	can't just take for granted that they happen to be
0			10	the four that correspond to the two that he's got
0			11	marked there.
	التانية المائد		12	THE SPECIAL MASTER: No, but until you show me
			13	they don't include the two, I assume that the two
Out of			14	acres and the 40 acres doesn't grow anything or is
			15	not in use and appropriated, and also two acres on
			16	which there is not a certificate
0			17	MR. KROB: But, Your Honor, the exhibit purports
Carre			18	to say that those particular two acres are the two
به بند محمد محمد			19	that are unadjudicated at this point, or two of the
<b>6</b>			20	four that are unadjudicated.
	450		21	What I would like to inquire of the witness is
<b>G</b>			22	how he knows that those two are two of the four
<b>G</b>			23	THE SPECIAL MASTER: That's an excellent question
ر در			24	and altogether appropriate.
yek de de	10		25	billstein - cross - krob

6	9	·	
744	3	16-8 V-eg	2538
a said		1	Would you answer it, Mr. Billstein?
T. Mark		2	THE WITNESS: Your Honor, just looking at our
	3	3	work map for the 36 acres, there was a line of
	3	4	demarkation shown in that corner breaking the four
	- J	5	acres from the 36 acres. I don't have any backup
		6	
•		;	information in my file that describes why that
0		7	line was listed as it was.
-		8	Q (By Mr. Krob) Do you know by whom that line was
		9	drawn?
		10	A. I would assume it would be either by Mr. Gurney or
		11	Mr. Saunders of our office.
المراجعة ا		12	
			* * *
		13	
		14	
رست العر		15	
دسر	بينا	16	
برس امر دستهم		17	
در دست		18	
		19	
مسمر مسكن هد		20	
میرین میرین			
مستور مستور		21	
Green Sweet		22	
A CONTRACTOR OF THE PARTY OF TH		23	
الله الله الله الله الله الله الله الله		24	
		25	
. And the second	* <b>*</b>		

"我们的一个人,我们就是一个人的人,我们就是这个种的人,也是这个人的人,我们也不是一个人。" "我们我们的我们,我们就是一个人的人,我们就是一个人的人,我们就是一个人的人,我们就是一个人的人,我们就是一个人的人,我们就是一个人的人,我们就是一个人的人

16-7

	-4	
2.5	-1	investigators were to obtain all the Certificates
	2	of Record from the State Engineer's office, first
1	3	of all utilizing the computer printout that was
	4	given to us by the State of Wyoming, purported
	5	to be a comprehensive listing of such, then
		fòllowing up with conversations with individuals
		like Phil Valez at the State Engineer's office,
ا بع م	<b>.:</b> 8	and attempt to make sure that we had everything
m 1	<u>ئ</u>	of record.
2 P	نگ دی۔	I did participate in some of the plotting
	11	and some of the checking of the plotting, but
gipi T	نیک 12	I did not go to the State Board of Control's files
1	13	specifically.
	بي. 14	Q Isn't it true that you relied on the work of
1	15	others in reaching your conclusions as to the
,	نثر. 16	adjudicated or unadjudicated nature of the lands
1	ريات 17	about which you've been testifying?
	ريات 18	THE SPECIAL MASTER: That question has been
1	19	asked and answered at least once in the past
1	20	several days.
		MR. KROB: All right, I'll withdraw the
	21 	question.
35	22	THE SPECIAL MASTER: Thank you. The answer
	23	was "Yes", of course, but its been asked and
1	24	

billstein-cross-krob

<del></del>	▀▗▐▞▞▗▜▗▗▗▗▗▗▗▗▗▗▗▗▗▗▗▗▗▗▗▗▗▗▗▗▗▗▗▗▗▗▗▗▗		
1	answered several times.		
2	THE WITNESS: Well, Your Honor, I should say		
3	I have plotted a significant portion of these		
4	water rights and checked them. So it wasn't an		
5	entire break between myself and my technical		
6	people.		
7	MR. KROB: I'm not sure I understand the		
8	response. Is the question proper or has it		
9	already been answered?		
10	THE SPECIAL MASTER: Well, the question has		
11	been asked and answered. He wanted to state it		
12	a little further. And read his response, would		
13	you, please?		
14	(Thereupon the following (response was read back as		
15	(follows: "The Witness: (Well, Your Honor, I should		
16	(say I have plotted a (significant portion of these		
17	(water rights and checked (them. So it wasn't an		
18	(entire break between myself (and my technical people."		
19			
20	Q (By Mr. Krob) And is that all you did with		
21	respect to this work?		
22	A With respect to what work?		
23	Q You just told us you did some plotting and things		
24	like that. What all did you do with respect to		
25	billstein-cross-krob		

A

the work where you determined whether it was adjudicated or unadjudicated?

Set up a program to make that determination, instructed my people to assimilate all Permits of Record from the files of the State Engineer to accomplish this. We obtained two sets of computer listings, the last one from approximately June of 1980, given to me by legal representatives of the State of Wyoming.

We then undertook the assimilation of all the Permits of Record relative to that listing as well as followed up with requests for any additional water rights of record not contained. We obtained the official computer listings, most current at that time from the State Engineer's office. This was a way of checking the information that we had versus what we needed to obtain to complete our inspectional gathering.

I was aware that the legal descriptions and such were not totally accurate, that's why we went to the State Engineer's office to get best information or primary information instead of relying simply on computer printouts.

I instructed my staff to plot the water rights billstein-cross-krob

23

22

23

24

of record, to identify such as adjudicated or unadjudicated.

In certain portions of the Reservation I participated in the plotting, in many portions of the Reservation I reviewed and checked. We're talking about literally thousands of water rights of record, I certainly didn't check every single one of them.

There's one area like the Wind River Federal Irrigation Project where I wish I would have.

The hydrographic maps were developed from
the plotted water rights of record and the exhibits
from the same hydrographic maps. My involvement
was to require individuals to review and map
locations of adjudicated acreages. I did do some
cross-checking, for example, in the Crow Creek
area, also the Dry Pasup area. I was involved
in Muddy Creek, Popo Agie watersheds, give myself
a cross-section of various portions of the
Reservation from a review standpoint.

I've already spoken, I believe, in direct and cross to my involvement in the unadjudicated in use categories in terms of not only developing the program but also doing review and field

25 billstein-crosskrob

17-5

	2544	
	verification work myself.	
Q	Okay. Now, so you did do some of the plotting	
	yourself; is that true?	
A	Certain parts of the Reservation I plotted.	
Q	Out of all the parcels that were plotted on the	
	Reservation, could you give us a rough estimate	
	as to what proportion you personally plotted?	
A	You're talking adjudicated rights?	
Q	Adjudicated, unadjudicated, out of all those	
	that were plotted, what proportion did you personally	
	plot?	
A	I would guess between two and five percent.	
	MR. KROB: Your Honor, with the Court's	
1	indulgence, I believe I have hit a fairly good	
	stopping place from our standpoint and would ask	
	that we recess until tomorrow morning.	
	THE SPECIAL MASTER: All right, Mr. Krob,	
	that's fine. Let's convene at 9:15 unless there's	
	any objection that you know of.	
	MR. KROB: None that I know of.	
	THE SPECIAL MASTER: We'll stand in recess	
until 9:15 tomorrow morning.		
	Do you know how much time you'll need of this	
witness?		
billstein-cross-krob		
	A Q	

	3	
17-6	7317=7	2545
A PARTY	1	MR. KROB: I would hope that we would be
	2	through with this witness by Wednesday, finish
	3	it on Wednesday.
المستعلى الم	4	THE SPECIAL MASTER: If we're lucky, Tuesday,
	5	and Wednesday if not.
	6	MR. KROB: Right.
	<del>-(,)</del>	THE SPECIAL MASTER: Okay, that's it. Thank
	8 (E)	you.
	رية او ع التاريخ	(Proceedings recessed at (4:35 p.m.
	<u>ن</u> 10	
6	11	
	12	
	13	
	<b>4</b>	* * * *
	15	
	16	
	<b>4</b>	
	18	
2.04.	19	
المرادي المرادي	20	
6	21	
San '	22	
5-	23	
Separate of the separate of th	24	
	25	

REPORTERS' CERTIFICATE State of Wyoming SS County of Laramie We, Merissa Racine and Viola J. Lundberg, Registered 5 Professional Reporters and Notaries Public, hereby certify 6 that the facts as stated in the caption hereof are true; that we did at the time, date and place, as set forth, 8 report the proceedings had before the Honorable Teno 9 Roncalio, Special Master Presiding, in stenotype; that the foregoing pages, numbered 2441-2546 inclusive, con-10 11 stitute a true, correct and complete transcript of our 12 stenographic notes as reduced to typewritten form under 13 our direction. 14 We further certify that we are not agents, attorneys 15 or counsel for any of the parties hereto, nor are we interested in the outcome thereof. 16 Dated this 16th day of March, 1981. 17 18 19 MERISSA RACINE VIOLA J LUNDBERG Registered Professional Registered Professional 20 Reporter Reporter 21 22 MERISSA RACINE - NOTARY PUBLIC 23 COUNTY OF 24 LARAMIE WYOMING My Commission Expires Mar. 10, 1984 25