

5-14-1981

Trial Transcript, Vol. 62, Morning Session

Frontier Reporting Service

Follow this and additional works at: <https://digitalcommons.law.uidaho.edu/all>

Recommended Citation

Frontier Reporting Service, "Trial Transcript, Vol. 62, Morning Session" (1981). *Hedden-Nicely Collection, All*. 201.
<https://digitalcommons.law.uidaho.edu/all/201>

This Transcript is brought to you for free and open access by the Hedden-Nicely at Digital Commons @ UIIdaho Law. It has been accepted for inclusion in Hedden-Nicely Collection, All by an authorized administrator of Digital Commons @ UIIdaho Law. For more information, please contact annablaine@uidaho.edu.

File 169
4420
Box 11

case # 4993

File # 169

4420

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

IN THE DISTRICT COURT FOR THE FIFTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT
WASHAKIE COUNTY, STATE OF WYOMING

IN RE:)
)
THE GENERAL ADJUDICATION OF)
ALL RIGHTS TO USE WATER IN)
THE BIG HORN RIVER SYSTEM,)
AND ALL OTHER SOURCES, STATE)
OF WYOMING.)

Civil No. 4993

FILED
5/20 1981
Margaret V. Hampton CLERK
DEPUTY

VOLUME 62
Morning Session
Thursday, May 14, 1981

ORIGINAL

409 West 24th Street
Cheyenne, WY 82001
(307) 635-8280

Frontier Reporting Service



201 Midwest Building
Casper, WY 82601
(307) 237-1493

APPEARANCES

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

FOR THE STATE
OF WYOMING:

HALL & EVANS
2900 Energy Center One Building
717 17th Street
Denver, CO 80202
BY: MR. MICHAEL D. WHITE, Special
Assistant Attorney General

FOR THE UNITED STATES
OF AMERICA:

MR. JAMES CLEAR and
MR. JOSEPH MEMBRINO
Attorneys at Law
Land and Natural Resources Division
Department of Justice
P.O. Box 7415
Benjamin Franklin Station
Washington, DC 20044

and

MR. THOMAS ECHOHAWK
Attorney at Law
Land and Natural Resources Division
Department of Justice
1961 Stout Street
Denver, CO 80294

FOR THE SHOSHONE
and ARAPAHOE TRIBES:

WILKINSON, CRAGUN & BARKER
1735 New York Avenue, N.W.
Washington, DC 20006
BY: MR. R. ANTHONY ROGERS

CLERK TO THE
SPECIAL MASTER:

MR. LEO SALAZAR
Attorney at Law
701 Rocky Mountain Plaza
Cheyenne, WY 82001



APPEARANCES

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

FOR THE STATE
OF WYOMING:

HALL & EVANS
2900 Energy Center One Building
717 17th Street
Denver, CO 80202
BY: MR. MICHAEL D. WHITE, Special
Assistant Attorney General

FOR THE UNITED STATES
OF AMERICA:

MR. JAMES CLEAR and
MR. JOSEPH MEMBRINO
Attorneys at Law
Land and Natural Resources Division
Department of Justice
P.O. Box 7415
Benjamin Franklin Station
Washington, DC 20044

and

MR. THOMAS ECHOHAWK
Attorney at Law
Land and Natural Resources Division
Department of Justice
1961 Stout Street
Denver, CO 80294

FOR THE SHOSHONE
and ARAPAHOE TRIBES:

WILKINSON, CRAGUN & BARKER
1735 New York Avenue, N.W.
Washington, DC 20006
BY: MR. R. ANTHONY ROGERS

CLERK TO THE
SPECIAL MASTER:

MR. LEO SALAZAR
Attorney at Law
701 Rocky Mountain Plaza
Cheyenne, WY 82001



1 THE SPECIAL MASTER: Please come to order. Mr. White?

2 CROSS-EXAMINATION (CONTINUED)

3 BY MR. WHITE:

4 Q Mr. Stetson, would you please get out before you HS-3,
5 HS-4, and HS-5?

6 A Yes, I have them.

7 Q I hand you a blank copy of certain pages from the United States'
8 Statement of Claim, being pages 1, 2, 3, 15 and 16.

9 A Excuse me, Did you say these were blank pages?

10 Q Unannotated pages.

11 A Oh, I'm sorry.

12 Q Mr. Stetson, are you able to indicate to the Court where
13 the acreage values as well as the values for annual
14 diversions requirements shown on HS-3 for adjudicated trust
15 land, HS-5 for Type VII irrigable land, and HS-5, unadjudi-
16 cated trust lands appear or fit into the claims made for
17 irrigation purposes on those portions of the Statement
18 of Claim which I have handed you?

19 THE SPECIAL MASTER: I'm beginning to believe that
20 question is not proper, Mr. White. I don't think I should
21 allow it because I think what's in the Statement of Claims
22 is totally irrelevant and outside the scope of direct
23 examination.

24 MR. WHITE: Well, I think, Your Honor, that we are

25 white-cross-stetson



1 entitled to inquire as to whether or not the evidence
2 given by the witness is within the scope of the pleadings.

3 THE SPECIAL MASTER: I take respectful exception to
4 that. If you find something in the pleadings which you
5 question, you have a right to show that it's in error or
6 wrong and you may cross-examine this witness on anything
7 he raised in that regard, but I don't believe you can go to
8 him and pinpoint each item of the Statement of Claims with
9 regard to his exhibits or his work papers, and I think
10 that's a fair ruling.

11 Q (By Mr. White) Mr. Stetson, I believe the totals for
12 Crow Creek among the three exhibits, HS-3, 4 and 5 come
13 out in the neighborhood of 3100 acres for roughly 16,500
14 acre-feet; is that correct?

15 THE SPECIAL MASTER: Which page are those totals,
16 Mr. White? The third?

17 MR. WHITE: They are not totaled, Your Honor. They
18 appear on each one, as I believe 1-G on the second page.

19 THE SPECIAL MASTER: And can I hear the question
20 again, please?

21 (Thereupon the question was read
22 (back as follows: "Q Mr. Stetson,
23 (I believe the totals for Crow
24 (Creek among the three exhibits,
25 (HS-3, 4 and 5 come out in the
(neighborhood of 3100 acres for
(roughly 16,500 acre-feet; is
(that correct?"

white-cross-stetson



1 MR WHITE: I would like to ammend the question to
2 3100--

3 THE SPECIAL MASTER: Do you want to strike the question
4 and try again?

5 Q (By Mr. White) Isn't it true, Mr. Stetson, that the totals
6 of the values shown under acreage in the annual diversion
7 requirement for Crow Creek on the second page of exhibits
8 3, 4 and 5 come out to be approximately 3100 acres--Did
9 I say 3100--for roughly 16,500 acre-feet?

10 A I didn't add up the acre-feet, but the acreage comes out
11 to 3122.

12

13

14

15

* * * * *

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25



- 1 Q All right.
- 2 A You don't want me to verify the acre-feet?
- 3 Q Please. When I punched it out, I got 16,577.
- 4 A Just eyeballing it, it's about 16,500.
- 5 Q On Page 2 of the Statement of Claims five-eighths of
6 the way down the page, do you find a claim set forth
7 for Crow Creek?
- 8 A I see Crow Creek, yes sir.
- 9 Q For 1200 acres?
- 10 A For 1200 acres, yes sir.
- 11 Q For 4800 acre-feet?
- 12 A For 4800 acre-feet, with a Footnote 5, which says, on
13 Page 3, "Historical water requirements consume direct
14 high flow hydrologic potential of these creeks. Therefore
15 the claim is made for the noted water requirement or all
16 of the direct flow of the creek, whichever controls."
- 17 Q Isn't it true that the 3122 acres and the 16,500 acre-feet
18 are between two and three times the amount of the stream,
19 ignoring the footnote, as the amount claimed on Page 2?
- 20 THE SPECIAL MASTER: Mr. White, I made the ruling
21 and you are in contempt of that ruling. You think you
22 can just go on and go around it. And I admire your
23 gall, but I don't think it ought to be done.
- 24 MR. WHITE: Well, I --
- 25 stetson - cross - white



1 THE SPECIAL MASTER: I don't think you ought
2 to do it. I think you can take your exception, or
3 you have an automatic exception already granted as
4 a matter of course. Make your offer of proof and build
5 up that record and just tear me apart on appeal.

6 MR. WHITE: I apologize, Your Honor. I did
7 not intend to be contemptuous. I thought the ruling
8 was I could not make the inquiry generally and you
9 were asking me to do it with specificity.

10 THE SPECIAL MASTER: I allowed the difference.
11 You did a difference in those totals and got it in
12 the record. I think you ought to not belabor the
13 point now.

14 MR. WHITE: Okay. Your Honor, we have no offer
15 of proof to make in this matter, simply because, as
16 a practical matter, it is impossible to try to determine
17 how the evidence fits in or doesn't fit in the Statement
18 of the Claims.

19 I guess I could make a short offer of proof on
20 certain obvious and glaring discrepancies.

21 THE SPECIAL MASTER: That, plus the fact, I think,
22 Mr. White, counsel for the Tribes or for the U. S. has
23 said on several occasions that the Statement of Claims
24 was a ballpark going-into-the-lawsuit document, and it's
25 going to have a lot of changes before you come home



1 with your case. And I suppose we will be stipulating
2 to a lot of changes.

3 So, I think again my ruling is not burdensome or
4 unreasonable.

5 MR. WHITE: Very well, Your Honor. The State of
6 Wyoming would make the following offer of proof as
7 being illustrative of the variations between the
8 Statement of Claims and the evidence submitted solely
9 through Mr. Stetson.

10 It would be pointed out that this evidence through
11 Mr. Stetson does not include evidence that has been
12 given through other witnesses, such as Dr. Mesghinna,
13 but solely through evidence presented by Mr. Stetson.

14 We point out values from Mud Creek are up twice
15 as large, and the evidence ought to be submitted as
16 roughly twice as large as the extent of the claim.

17 The same thing would be true for, I believe, Bull
18 Creek.

19 THE SPECIAL MASTER: First you are dealing with
20 the Crow, and then the same thing would be true for
21 what?

22 MR. WHITE: I asked about the Crow already, Your
23 Honor, and then the offer of proof goes to Mud Creek
24 and Bull Creek --

25 THE SPECIAL MASTER: I can't hear this morning.



1 MR. WHITE: To Bull Lake Creek.

2 THE SPECIAL MASTER: Bull Lake Creek. Thank you.

3 And others?

4 MR. WHITE: Dry Creek would be roughly three times
5 as large; Five-Mile Creek, 50 percent greater; Muddy
6 Creek, 50 percent greater; Sage Creek, 20 percent
7 greater.

8 If allowed to pursue, the State would show that
9 evidence has been submitted for creeks for which no
10 names have been made, such as Spring Creek and Big
11 Horn Creek.

12 Evidence has been submitted through this witness
13 which is not related to the claims, insofar as it simply
14 relates to the Ray Unit, Coolidge Unit, Sub-Agency Unit,
15 and other such generic units.

16 Also, I would add Sand Creek to the list of those
17 creeks for which no name was made but evidence was sub-
18 mitted.

19 I would point out -- and that concludes the offer
20 of proof -- and I would point out this is an area in
21 which virtually no discovery has been made, because the
22 work was done shortly before trial. And that's all.

23 THE SPECIAL MASTER: Sustained because it is all
24 time consuming to the rest of your case. I think we
25 should include that. There is so much we can do. All right.



1 MR. WHITE: And as a part of the offer of proof,
2 I ought to say, Your Honor, that had the State been
3 allowed to bring out those discrepancies with respect
4 to Crow Creek, as well as the other creeks that were
5 included in the offer of proof, I would move to strike
6 the evidence on those matters as being outside the scope
7 of the pleadings.

8 Q (By Mr. White) Mr. Stetson, with respect to the annual
9 diversion shown on HS-3, the adjudicated lands, did you
10 make any determination of the points on diversion, or
11 diversion in conveyance of facilities which would carry
12 those annual diversions, or divert those annual diversions?

13 MR. CLEAR: What annual --

14 MR. WHITE: Carry or divert.

15 A. With respect to project or non-project or all?

16 Q With respect to all, first, and then if one answer can't
17 deal with all of them, we will go into the specifics of
18 the various individuals.

19 A. Well, in connection with the preparation of these
20 figures, we didn't actually go back and check which
21 canal they would come out of, but in our over-all studies,
22 we've checked and looked at the canals in all of the
23 project units, and in our tour of the reservation, we
24 have looked at most of the canals that would serve

25 stetson - cross - white



1 the non-project lands.

2 Q. Would you please describe those diversion facilities
3 and ditches which would divert and carry the water
4 diverted for the 259 acres on the East Fork of the
5 Wind River, having a total annual diversion requirement
6 of 1310 acre-feet?

7 THE SPECIAL MASTER: Total?

8 MR. WHITE: An annual diversion requirement
9 of 1310 acre-feet. That should be up at the top of
10 the second page, at the top of HS-3, Your Honor.

11 THE SPECIAL MASTER: Got it.

12 A. I would need the set of maps that shows -- Do we have
13 those maps over there, Joe?

14 Well, our set of maps on the historic lands does not
15 include the sheet that I need, because it is in the
16 photo 6 series. I presume it is in evidence somewhere.

17 Q. Why don't we pick out one. How about Dinwoody Creek,
18 with an annual diversion requirement of 95 acre-feet.
19 Would that be in your stack of maps?

20 THE SPECIAL MASTER: Be in your what?

21 MR. WHITE: The stack of maps or volume of maps
22 in front of him.

23 A. It would, if I can find the aerial photo numbers.

24 * * * * *

25 stetson - cross - white



1 Q (By Mr. White) Mr. Stetson, I'm looking at HS-1 on
2 Page 13, and it looks like it would be Page 185.

3 A. Yes. On 8-185 most of the parcels are unadjudicated
4 trust land, but there is a parcel which is simply
5 labeled "A" which is adjudicated lands off the
6 Phillips Ditch. There are several others.

7 I think Parcel B, which are large parcels,
8 have adjudicated -- I can't tell from this exactly
9 which parcel is the 17 acres, because in determining -- it
10 may be this small parcel -- there are two parcels
11 labeled "A". There is one off of the Leary Miller
12 Ditch which is a very small parcel. There's one off
13 the Phillips Ditch which looks to be maybe 25 or 30
14 acres, so I can't tell which exact parcel it is.

15 In connection with the adjudicated lands, we
16 did not go out and check the specific canals serving
17 the specific parcel as we did on the Type VII lands
18 because we were asked to come up with the water
19 requirements for the lands, not the water service to
20 the lands.

21 Q Mr. Stetson, wouldn't the annual diversion requirement,
22 however, require you to determine for non-project lands
23 the conveyance efficiency through the ditch which serves
24 that land?

25 stetson - cross - white



1 A But we didn't do it that way. We derived what we
 2 called an achievable overall efficiency of 35 percent.
 3 We have not presented the historic efficiency which
 4 would have been much lower in most cases.

5 Q With respect to the adjudicated lands, did you
 6 determine the costs of achieving that efficiency in
 7 a manner similar to the way in which you developed
 8 costs for the Type VII lands?

9 MR. CLEAR: I think we went over this yesterday
 10 with --

11 MR. WHITE: Absolutely not, Your Honor. It was
 12 about the Type VII lands yesterday.

13 MR. CLEAR: No, he testified that he did go into
 14 the Type VII, but I believe there was a line of
 15 questions on the other types of lands.

16 THE SPECIAL MASTER: I think he touched on them
 17 yesterday, but he can go ahead.

18 MR. WHITE: Would you read the question, Vi?

19 (The above question was
 20 (read back by the reporter:
 21 ("Q With respect to the
 22 (adjudicated lands, did you
 23 (determine the costs of
 (achieving that efficiency
 (in a manner similar to the
 (way in which you developed
 (costs for the Type VII lands?"

24 A First of all, the costs we developed for the Type VII
 25 stetson - cross - white



1 lands was not necessarily to achieve the efficiency. It
2 was simply to restore service to lands that once had ser-
3 vice and that service had been interrupted.

4 We are saying that overall we think we can in the
5 future achieve an efficiency of 35 percent. We also feel
6 that with time in the adjudicated lands or the unadjudicated
7 now in-use lands, we can achieve an efficiency of 35 percent.

8 Sometimes achieving the better efficiency doesn't
9 really involve a large investment in cost. Sometimes it's
10 simply management.

11 Q (By Mr. White) Mr. Stetson, specifically, however, did
12 you develop any cost figures either similar to those for
13 Type VII lands or not which would be required to achieve
14 the efficiencies which would -- let's start again.

15 THE SPECIAL MASTER: I was going to say that question
16 you just asked, even though you pulled it back, has been
17 asked and answered.

18 MR. WHITE: I don't believe so, Your Honor. Let me
19 try again.

20 Q (By Mr. White) Did you develop any costs whatsoever for
21 the unadjudicated trust lands that would be necessary to
22 be incurred before the annual average diversion listed on
23 HS-3 could be achieved?

24 A Let me see if I can help clarify this. The question you

25 stetson - cross - white



1 first started was on adjudicated lands. In your second
2 question you said unadjudicated lands on HS-3, and I pre-
3 sume you are still talking about the --

4 Q I'm talking about adjudicated.

5 A Adjudicated trust lands?

6 Q Right.

7 A We did not develop any costs.

8 Q Thank you. Now, let's go to unadjudicated lands on HS-5.

9 A On HS-5, yes, sir.

10 Q Did you develop any costs at all which would be required
11 to be incurred in order to make the annual diversion re-
12 quirement available to the lands indicated on HS-5?

13 A Well, first of all, with respect to the project lands,
14 those efficiencies and unit diversions have been achieved.
15 Those are the historic.

16 With respect to the nonproject -- and the specific
17 answer to your question is, no, we did not do any cost
18 estimates on those.

19 Q Let's flip back to the project for just a minute. Do you
20 know whether or not the facilities are in place to serve the
21 unadjudicated project lands on Page 1 of HS-5, which would
22 require the expenditure of no further costs in achieving
23 the irrigation of those lands through the withdrawal of
24 the annual diversion requirement from the streams involved?

25 stetson - cross - white



1 A. Well, these unadjudicated trust lands are the lands that
2 have been under irrigation. I believe Mr. Billstein said
3 they were under irrigation as recently as 1980 on some of
4 them, but overall he was talking about the last two or
5 three years, so I have to assume that those facilities are
6 there and are serving those lands.

7 Q. And the costs involved for the Type VII lands are the costs
8 you went over with Mr. Merrill yesterday afternoon; is that
9 correct?

10 A. Yes, sir.

11 THE SPECIAL MASTER: You got a sheaf --

12 MR. WHITE: I have got to get those back, and I had
13 forgotten to bring those.

14 THE SPECIAL MASTER: You had 149,000 pages or some-
15 thing like that.

16 MR. WHITE: When we take our break, I will get those
17 back.

18 I believe we got the originals back to you?

19 THE WITNESS: Yes.

20 THE SPECIAL MASTER: He also won a one dollar bet.

21 MR. WHITE: It was a safe bet, Your Honor.

22 Q. (By Mr. White) Turning to the unadjudicated lands on
23 HS-5 --

24 A. Yes, sir.

25 stetson - cross - white



1 Q -- did you make a determination or identify the point of
2 diversion and the ditch through which the diversion would
3 be carried of the average annual diversions listed on
4 Pages 2 and 3 of HS-5?

5 A Only to the extent that those lands appear on the historic
6 land claims maps, and I don't know whether this is an
7 exhibit or not. It's our worksheet.

8 Historic lands claim is --

9 MR. WHITE: Could we have a small break, Your Honor?

10 THE SPECIAL MASTER: All right.

11 MR. WHITE: We might be able to save some time.

12 THE SPECIAL MASTER: All right, let's recess and take
13 the time you need to try to proceed, but let's stay in
14 session.

15 (Off-the-record discussion.)

16 THE SPECIAL MASTER: Back on the record, please.

17 MR. WHITE: We still have another volume of maps to
18 check, Your Honor.

19 (Off-the-record discussion.)

20

21

22

23

24

25

* * * * *



1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

THE SPECIAL MASTER: We will make this into a ten-break.

(Proceedings were recessed from 9:45 a.m. until 9:55 a.m.)

THE SPECIAL MASTER: We are back in order.

Q (By Mr. White) Mr. Stetson, I will hand you what has been marked for identification HS-13 and ask you whether or not that is a copy of workmaps to which you have been referring during the last few minutes we were in session?

A I believe these were checked during the recess. Rather than go through them page by page, can I ask the two engineers who checked them, are they identical?

MR. DIMAGGIO: Yes.

THE SPECIAL MASTER: Let the record show Mr. DiMaggio answered the question in the affirmative.

A Yes, they are.

Q (By Mr. White) Mr. Stetson, isn't it true that on the maps which are contained in the bundle marked as HS-13, you can tell the point of diversion and the ditch which serves the various parcels shown on there?

A Usually that is the case. Occasionally there will be a scattered parcel where it will be not as clear, but usually it shows the ditch system through or along the side of the parcels.

MR. WHITE: Your Honor, we would like permission to

white-cross-stetson



1 withdraw what has been marked as HS-13 and try to get a
2 reduced versdon of these, so that it would be easier to
3 keep it in the record, so that we are not going to have
4 such a bulky record in this case. And since this is our
5 only copy, we can continue to work with it.

6 THE SPECIAL MASTER: All right. Permitted.

7 Q (By Mr. White) Mr. Stetson, I've handed you a copy of
8 what is marked HS-12 and ask you if, with the exception
9 of the face and the numbers which appear in circles on
10 pages on HS-10 and HS-12, if that is a photostatic copy
11 of the cost estimates for Type VII lands in and out of
12 the Federal Irrigation Projects, which you shared with
13 Mr. Merrill at the end of yesterday's proceedings?

14 A Yes, I believe it is. All the pages on the copy you handed
15 me do have a page number on them, and some of them are--

16 Q Did we miss some?

17 A Some are slightly out of order. I'm just looking. I was
18 checking to make sure you had included those little
19 sketches, which you did. And those are usually marked
20 with a page number and an "A," in other words, matching
21 the page number to which it was attached.

22 But after page 118 there is a page "South Fork Owl
23 Creek;" that doesn't have a page number on it, followed
24 by a page 119A, which is a map, which is then followed by

25 white-cross-stetson



1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

the Owl Creek drainage, which is page 119. I was just checking to see what the--where that blank page should go.

The blank page should go--I don't mean blank page, but the page without the circled number, should be 120, and then page 119 and 119A should be reversed.

* * * * *



1 Q (By Mr. White) Would you kindly put 120 on the page without
2 a number?

3 A With a circle?

4 Q Yes, sir.

5 (Witness marked on document.)

6 A It's done.

7 MR. ROGERS: Excuse me, Your Honor. Did the witness
8 say that the blank page is numbered 120?

9 MR. WHITE: Yes.

10 MR. ROGERS: Okay.

11 THE SPECIAL MASTER: Are you ready to move these into
12 evidence, Mr. White?

13 MR. WHITE: I will at the end of cross, Your Honor.

14 THE SPECIAL MASTER: Okay. Are we about there, Mr.
15 White?

16 MR. WHITE: Not for a while. We saved a remarkable
17 amount of time with that last exhibit that we got in, the
18 last set of maps.

19 THE SPECIAL MASTER: Very fine.

20 MR. WHITE: I hope we can do the same with respect to
21 the Type VII lands.

22 THE SPECIAL MASTER: All right.

23 Q (By Mr. White) Mr. Stetson, on HS-4, the Type VII lands --

24 A Yes.

25 stetson - cross - white



1 Q Did you determine the points of diversion for the annual
2 diversion requirements shown on HS-4 for the corresponding
3 acreages shown on HS-4?

4 A Yes.

5 Q And did you identify the ditches to connect the points of
6 diversion with the acres as shown?

7 A Yes. We may not have identified them by name, but we
8 checked back through the maps to see and in the field to
9 look at the ditches.

10 Q Now, I hand you a copy of what's been marked as HS-14 and
11 ask you if that is a copy -- and you may want to consult
12 with Mr. DiMaggio on that -- of the blue lines which you
13 used in identifying the various tracts included within your
14 Type VII lands?

(Off-the-record discussion.)

15 A Yes, they appear to be.

16 Q (By Mr. White) Isn't it true that from the maps which are
17 contained in the package that's been identified as HS-14,
18 unlike HS-13, you cannot tell the points of diversion and
19 the ditches which serve those tracts?
20

21 A No, you cannot tell on that set of blue lines.

22 MR. WHITE: Your Honor, I would similarly ask to --

23 THE SPECIAL MASTER: Make smaller ones of these?

24 MR. WHITE: We would offer these at this time -- well,

25 stetson - cross - white



1 not at this time, but when we finally get around to offering
2 them, but at that time I would like to be able to withdraw
3 them.

4 THE SPECIAL MASTER: And the document in your hand?

5 MR. WHITE: This is HS-14, and the other one I would
6 like to withdraw is HS-13, Your Honor.

7 THE SPECIAL MASTER: You will want to offer those this
8 morning with the previous twelve but then pull them back to
9 make the small copies?

10 MR. WHITE: We may not be able to, Your Honor. We may
11 have to give you these, Your Honor.

12 THE SPECIAL MASTER: Whatever.

13 Q (By Mr. White) Mr. Stetson, if the points of diversion of the
14 ditches are not identified on HS-14 for the Type VII lands,
15 are they then identified in HS-12?

16 A Well, on HS-12, depending on which parcel it is, there may
17 be references to ditches, but there aren't on all sheets,
18 and -- there's references to where ditches are needed, but
19 we will have a cost estimate in there for either adding a
20 ditch or extending a ditch. They are on our work maps.

21 Q Look at Page 1 of HS-12, which I believe relates to Field
22 No. 10-1-X?

23 A Yes.

24 Q And I ask you whether or not you are able to determine the
25 stetson - cross - white



1 point of diversion for the ditch which serves Field 10-1
2 from Page 1 or any other source within HS-12?

3 A. Well, on Page 1 of HS-12, it indicates that we have included
4 a cost estimate for headworks and a ditch, a main ditch.
5 That is because there was not one available or in a state
6 of good repair, and on our work maps we would have made a
7 note of that, needs ditch. These are the same maps you
8 identified as whatever -- No. HS-14, I believe.

9 Q. Yes, sir.

10 A. But on these, these are the maps that we have used in the
11 field and in the office that have our notations on them
12 (indicating).

13 Q. Okay. Well, let's take Tract 10-1-X and you have indicated
14 a cost of \$15,000 for head works?

15 A. Yes.

16 Q. 3400 feet for ditch length; is that correct?

17 A. Yes, sir. I guess that's 34 -- let me look at my own copy
18 that's more legible.

19 THE SPECIAL MASTER: Is that 15,000 instead of 1500?

20 MR. WHITE: I'm sorry, Your Honor.

21 THE WITNESS: \$15,000 for the headworks; 3700
22 lineal feet of ditch.

23 Q. (By Mr. White) In determining that ditch length, where was
24 the point of diversion?

25 stetson - cross - white



- 1 A It was on the East Fork of the Wind River, just upstream of
2 the parcel.
- 3 Q And do your maps show that point of diversion, the annotated
4 diversions of your maps?
- 5 A Yes, it shows an X there.
- 6 Q Would that also be true for the other parcels identified on
7 Pages 2, et seq., in HS-12, I believe it is?
- 8 A Yes, it would be. If the headworks were required and a
9 ditch were required, it would be indicated on these, yes.
- 10 Q Can I look at your copy for just a minute?
- 11 A Yes, sir.
- 12 MR. WHITE: Off the record.
- 13 (Off-the-record discussion.
- 14 THE SPECIAL MASTER: White Mr. White is looking, Mr.
15 Stetson, what is a turn dot?
- 16 THE WITNESS: That's a turnout.
- 17 THE SPECIAL MASTER: Oh, I beg your pardon.
- 18 THE WITNESS: It's a turnout on the canal.
- 19 Q (By Mr. White) Mr. Stetson, I notice that in some cases
20 you have blue lines and in some cases you have red lines.
21 Any significance to the different colors, or do they just
22 mean the same?
- 23 A Generally, they mean the same. Some of those were put on
24 these maps before we went out in the field, the last
25 stetson - cross - white



1 field trip. They were put on from other maps in the
2 office, such as USGS quadrangle sheets. Others were
3 added in the field, but in general -- but don't pin me
4 down to it -- but in general the red means an existing
5 ditch and the blue not, but that's not always true.

6 Q Okay.

7 A Because they are just worksheets.

8 Q Mr. Stetson, I notice that some of your parcels are plain
9 gray on the blue line and others are highlighted with an
10 orangish color. Is there any significance to that?

11 A Yes.

12 Q What is that significance?

13 A That means those are Type VIII lands.

14 Q Okay.

15 THE SPECIAL MASTER: The organge is Type VIII lands?

16 THE WITNESS: Yes, sir.

17

18

19

20

21

* * * * *

22

23

24

25



1 MR. WHITE: Your Honor, I would like to have an off-
2 record discussion with U.S. counsel.

3 THE SPECIAL MASTER: Let's go off the record.

4 (Discussion was held off-the-
5 record.)

6 MR. WHITE: We are ready, Your Honor.

7 THE SPECIAL MASTER: All right. Please come to order.
8 Back on the record.

9 Q (By Mr. White) Mr. Stetson, I will hand you what has
10 been informally marked as 14-A and ask you if that is the
11 set of maps or bluelines for Type VII lands, which have the
12 annotations on them in addition to the blueline material on
13 HS-14?

14 A Yes, it is.

15 MR. WHITE: Your Honor, during the last short break
16 the State and the United States and the Tribes reached an
17 agreement, on which I would like to provide for the record
18 and obtain the Court's approval and the other parties'
19 concurrence.

20 The agreement is-- And I would invite other counsel,
21 if I misstate it along the way, to jump right in--

22 THE SPECIAL MASTER: I'm sure they will.

23 MR. WHITE: It is still early in the day, Your Honor;
24 we are being pretty quiet.

25 white-cross-stetson



1 The agreement is at the conclusion of Mr. Stetson's testi-
2 mony, the State may remove HS-14-A and make copies of the
3 exhibit as annotated.

4 In the event that the annotations are in color, such
5 as different colors for the ditches, the State will
6 annotate the colors on the copy as well.

7 The copy of 14-A made by the State, as well as the
8 original of 14-A, which we will mark today, will then be
9 given to the United States.

10 Counsel for the United States will then--

11 THE SPECIAL MASTER: It will be given to counsel
12 for the United States?

13 MR. WHITE: Yes. Counsel for the United States will
14 then determine, I assume with Mr. Stetson's and Mr.
15 DiMaggio's assistance, whether the annotations have
16 accurately been transferred from the original 14-A to
17 the State's copy of 14-A.

18 Once they make that determination, then the copy of
19 14-A will be substituted for the original of 14-A in the
20 Court's File. The original of 14-A will be returned to
21 Mr. Stetson and the copy of 14-A will go into the record
22 and replace not only the original of 14-A, but also HS-14,
23 which is the same material without the annotation.

24 Then, if any issue arises because of the annotations
25 contained on HS-14-A, the United States as part of their



1 case in chief may recall Mr. Stetson to testify as to
2 those issues, in spite of the fact the same general sub-
3 ject matter of his testimony has been touched upon during
4 his direct and cross-examination during the last several
5 days.

6 THE SPECIAL MASTER: Did Mr. White accurately state
7 the stipulation, Mr. Rogers?

8 MR. ROGERS: I believe he did, Your Honor.

9 THE SPECIAL MASTER: Did he state the stipulation
10 according to your recollection, Mr. Membrino?

11 MR. MEMBRINO: One moment, Your Honor.

12 THE SPECIAL MASTER: Did he state it according to
13 your understanding?

14 MR. MEMBRINO: It is all right, Your Honor.

15 THE SPECIAL MASTER: Then, Mr. Echohawk?

16 MR. ECHOHAWK: Yes.

17 THE SPECIAL MASTER: And Mr. Clear?

18 MR. CLEAR: Yes, Your Honor.

19 THE SPECIAL MASTER: Okay.

20 MR. WHITE: It is all right with Your Honor?

21 THE SPECIAL MASTER: Yes, it is indeed.

22

23

* * * * *

24

25



1 THE WITNESS: Could I ask one question?

2 MR. WHITE: Yes, sir, I'm at your disposal.

3 THE SPECIAL MASTER: Where does that ^{work} leave you?

4 THE WITNESS: I assume that means when we get that
5 back, that we will not be working with it and erasing
6 or adding lines because if we do that in connection with
7 any other work we are doing, it would be difficult to go
8 back and check it against your copy. Will check it against
9 your copy first.

10 MR. WHITE: I'll tell you what we will do: We will
11 give you an uncolored copy so that you have got all of the
12 annotations, just different colors, and you'll have your
13 own copy so you will know the colors of the original
14 annotation and that way you will know whether or not you
15 added annotations. Is that all right?

16 THE WITNESS: That's all right. I just want to make
17 sure that someone from our office doesn't make some
18 additions or deletions and then we get a call to come back
19 and verify something and we would maybe be making a
20 big mistake.

21 MR. WHITE: I understand. We don't want to put you
22 in a bind, and if that's okay with the United States--

23 MR. CLEAR: Yes.

24 MR. ECHOHAWK: Yes.

25 THE SPECIAL MASTER: Is HS-14 the one that has the



1 Type VIII lands in orange?

2 MR. WHITE: Yes, sir.

3 THE WITNESS: Yes, sir.

4 THE SPECIAL MASTER: At this point could I ask-- I
5 won't either. Strike that.

6 MR. WHITE: Go ahead and ask, Your Honor.

7 THE SPECIAL MASTER: No, no.

8 MR. WHITE: I'm trying to find some orange ones for
9 you.

10 THE SPECIAL MASTER: We saw them.

11 MR. WHITE: If it's all right with the Court, I will
12 just leave this with Mr. Stetson.

13 THE SPECIAL MASTER: Very fine.

14 Q (By Mr. White) Mr. Stetson, with respect to HS-4, did you
15 use the values of average overall efficiency contained in
16 the right-hand column to arrive at the annual diversion
17 requirement listed in the third column?

18 THE SPECIAL MASTER: Period?

19 THE WITNESS: Question mark?

20 MR. WHITE: Question mark.

21 A For non-project lands we did. The overall efficiency was
22 used in backing out the unit diversion requirement which
23 gave us the annual acre-feet diverted in the third column.

24 Q (By Mr. White) Was that also true for the annual diversion
25

white-cross-stetson



1 requirement listed for unadjudicated trust lands on HS-5?

2 A Yes, it is.

3 THE SPECIAL MASTER: On project and non-project? Both?

4 THE WITNESS: On non-project only.

5 THE SPECIAL MASTER: On non-project only.

6 MR. WHITE: His answer was with respect to non-
7 project.

8 THE SPECIAL MASTER: I beg your pardon.

9 Q (By Mr. White) And would that be similarly true with
10 respect to the non-project lands on HS-3, the adjudicated
11 lands?

12 A Yes, it would.

13 Q And I believe you previously indicated that the-- Strike
14 that. I'm not sure you did.

15 Did you assume that the Type VII lands would be
16 irrigated by hand move sprinklers or not?

17 A On Type VII?

18 Q On Type VII.

19 A There were a few parcels that if they met the minimum
20 size requirement, right shape and perhaps a pumping
21 diversion, where we estimated the cost on the basis of hand
22 move sprinklers, but they were in the minority.

23 Q Would you take a look at page 2 of HS-12 where you do have
24 pump costs and tell me if this would be an example of

25 white-cross-stetson



1 where you would be using hand move sprinklers?

2 A No, it is not. As a matter of fact, you are looking at
3 Field 10-2X?

4 Q Yes.

5 A That field, although it had a pump and pipeline to serve
6 it, did not have sprinklers. Where the sprinklers could
7 be identified is where on the on-farm systems we would
8 show a unit cost per acre of \$130.

9 Q So we just flip the pages on Exhibit HS-12, look at the
10 on-farm system column and where there's \$130, there that's
11 hand move sprinkler?

12 A That should be a sprinkler--a field that was analyzed on
13 the basis of sprinklers.

14 Q Everything else is surface irrigation of some type?

15 A Surface irrigation, yes, sir.

16 Q Did you make any assumptions as to the type of surface
17 irrigation involved?

18 A We did to the extent that we would put the head ditch in
19 and that would be part of our on-farm cost, but as far as
20 the detail of whether that was to be flood irrigated,
21 panel-type irrigation, furrow-type irrigation, we did not.

22 Q So the costs here are project costs rather than on-farm
23 costs?

24 A Yes, except where we have the on-farm sprinklers.

25

white-cross-stetson



- 1 Q With the exception of \$130 project cost?
- 2 A Yes.
- 3 Q Now, such as on page 2-A where you have a copy of the
- 4 topo map, the USGS quad sheet--
- 5 A Yes.
- 6 Q --is this just a refined version of the information which
- 7 would appear on HS-14A.
- 8 A Yes. In this instance we ~~xerboxed~~ out a portion of a
- 9 US Geological Survey quadrangle which had the topo-
- 10 graphy on it because we are making the diversion several
- 11 miles upstream, and then we have to parallel the stream,
- 12 and we cross some side drainages with siphons, and that's
- 13 why we did it for that particular parcel.
- 14 Q Who did the design work for these diversion facilities?
- 15 Did you do it or what--
- 16 A Mainly Mr. DiMaggio under my direction and incidentally, that
- 17 particular parcel was found not to be feasible.
- 18 Q Is it true that the worksheets in HS-12 include both
- 19 feasible and unfeasible projects, or parcels, rather?
- 20 A Yes, yes, they do.
- 21 Q Is it true that on HS-14-A those pages that have projects
- 22 X'ed out with the word "Out" on them are those fields that
- 23 you determined to be unfeasible?
- 24 A That is generally true, but I don't trust that answer a
- 25 white-cross-stetson



1 hundred-percent. For a hundred percent answer I would
2 go to Exhibit HS-11.

3 Q All right. At the top of the first page and many of the
4 following pages on HS-12 there's a place in the form that
5 says, "Water short, yes or no"?

6 A Yes, sir.

7 Q What does "water short" mean?

8 A These would be areas in which HKM has preliminarily
9 advised us of where an area is water short. In that event
10 we would circle the yes.

11 Q Does water short mean that there is not physically enough
12 water available at the anticipated point of diversion to
13 fulfill the diversion requirement for this particular
14 field or tract?

15 A It may in some instances, and in other instances it may
16 be because of other uses of the water upstream.

17
18
19
20 * * * * *

21

22

23

24

25



1 Q Okay. What kind of uses, if you know, would --

2 A Other water right holders upstream that may be using the
3 water.

4 THE SPECIAL MASTER: But you don't actually define
5 'water short'? There are some in this State that could
6 put the circle around the entire reservation and call it
7 that.

8 THE WITNESS: I imagine some could put it around the
9 entire state.

10 THE SPECIAL MASTER: The State of Wyoming.

11 THE WITNESS: Including California.

12 THE SPECIAL MASTER: Sure, maybe we had better put a
13 definition on it, if we can. But I think you have.

14 Q My understanding of why you didn't do any of the evaluation
15 on the 'water short,' this is just a reproduction for your
16 own purposes of the information which HKM gave?

17 A Yes.

18 Q And if you want to know what "water short" means, we can
19 ask HKM when they show up; is that right?

20 A Yes, sir.

21 Q Where you determined that a tract was water short or
22 circled "yes," such as on page 9, was that tract or were
23 those tracts where you circled "yes" included or excluded
24 from the values on HS-4?

25 stetson - cross - white



- 1 A Some of them were and some of them weren't.
- 2 Q What was the criteria which you used to determine which
- 3 ones were and which ones weren't?
- 4 A Those criteria really came from the economists.
- 5 Q I'm sorry, go ahead.
- 6 A What we did was we developed the costs to serve each parcel.
- 7 Q Assuming the water was available?
- 8 A Assuming water was available. When the economist -- we
- 9 would circle the "yes" so the economist knows that this
- 10 area preliminarily is indicated to be "water short".
- 11 The economist then takes that into consideration,
- 12 is my understanding, the return or the yield from that
- 13 land.
- 14 Q Is it true, then, whether "water short," in the form of
- 15 a circled "yes" or "no," made no difference whether you
- 16 included these different tracts in the claim or in the
- 17 Exhibit HS-4?
- 18 A Not "water short" per se, but if because it was "water
- 19 short the returns were not sufficient to justify the
- 20 cost, and we declared that one unfeasible, then, that
- 21 would not be included in the acreage.
- 22 THE SPECIAL MASTER: In HS-4?
- 23 A In HS-4.
- 24 Q And questions concerning how that water chart information
- 25 stetson - cross - white



1 was used or properly addressed to Mr. Dornbusch; is that
2 correct?

3 A That's correct.

4 Q On page 10 -- I believe on other pages of the annotations
5 with respect to bedrock, this one on page 10 says "Bedrock
6 at four feet. Low WHC," or water holding capacity, I
7 assume. That would be in the second row.

8 A Yes, sir.

9 Q Where you found values for bedrock which were less than
10 six feet, were those parcels included in the acreage dis-
11 played on HS-4?

12 A Only if we could supply proper drainage, including the cost
13 of that, and it would still qualify from an economic stand-
14 point. This particular one on page 10 did not qualify.

15 Q Whereas on page 2 you talk in the alternative. I believe
16 you told me page 2 was one that was not included, but
17 where you did, as on page 2, develop an alternate set of
18 costs. Which was used to determine feasibility, if you
19 know; the original or the alternate?

20 A Both would be. That was the reason for the alternate.
21 On that particular analysis we had a pump diversion, but
22 when we got down to computing energy requirements, we
23 ended up with a very high cost of energy.

24 So, then we did a gravity to see what that would
25 stetson - cross - white



1 show, and it showed a lesser capital cost and lesser total
2 annual cost.

3 But when those were handled by the economist and con-
4 verted to present-value costs and present-value returns,
5 it didn't qualify.

6 THE SPECIAL MASTER: Neither one qualified?

7 THE WITNESS: Neither one qualified. We put those
8 alternates in there to give the economist another way to
9 look at it.

10 Q (By Mr. White) In developing the costs that are set out
11 across the pages in Exhibit HS-12, did you have any stand-
12 ard costs or standard unit costs which were utilized in
13 doing that?

14 MR. CLEAR: Your Honor, you may recall yesterday
15 afternoon Mr. Merrill, when he examined Mr. Stetson about
16 costs, he said at four o'clock he was done with that
17 problem.

18 MR. WHITE: He hasn't even had a chance to look at
19 that.

20 THE SPECIAL MASTER: He said he was through with the
21 cross-examination, but the fact he took back a set of docu-
22 ments that is now HS-12, I think was done so there would
23 be some questions asked on it today. So, I think we can
24 go ahead.

25 stetson - cross - white



1 MR. WHITE: As you are aware, Your Honor, I am trying
2 to get some unit values so we don't have to go through
3 each one.

4 THE SPECIAL MASTER: You may answer that.

5 A Yes. We developed the unit values on a number of features.

6 Q Could you give me those?

7 A For head works --

8 Q Do you have some figures there in some tabular form so
9 we don't have to go through them?

10 A Someday I'll learn not to reach for my papers. I'm just
11 kidding.

12 Q Do you have them in tabular form?

13 A Yes, sir.

14 Q May I see them, please?

15 A Yes, sir.

16 THE SPECIAL MASTER: These are headgate costs?

17 THE WITNESS: Well, it is a number of features.

18 MR. WHITE: There are a number of costs, Your Honor.
19 We may have saved ourselves about an hour here.

20 THE SPECIAL MASTER: All right. I assume there will
21 be no objection to him copying what you just handed to
22 Mr. White?

23 THE WITNESS: I didn't know I could object.

24 THE SPECIAL MASTER: I will look to your attorneys
25 stetson - cross - white



1 for that. Counsel for the United States, do you have any
2 objection to having this copied?

3 MR. CLEAR: I would like to see what they are.

4 MR. WHITE: I will state for the Court we can probably
5 copy them and save about three hours here of cross-examina-
6 tion.

7 THE SPECIAL MASTER: We have heard that before.

8 MR. WHITE: I thought I was going pretty good for a
9 witness we hadn't deposed.

10 THE SPECIAL MASTER: You did say you would be through
11 by noon.

12 MR. WHITE: I didn't say that.

13 THE SPECIAL MASTER: Off the record for a moment.

14 (Discussion was held off the record.)

15 THE SPECIAL MASTER: Back on the record, please. Mr.
16 Clear?

17 MR. CLEAR: We have no objection, Your Honor.

18 THE SPECIAL MASTER: Thank you. Will you have them
19 returned this afternoon?

20 MR. WHITE: We can do better than that. When we
21 take a break during the morning, I will get them copied
22 then.

23 THE SPECIAL MASTER: All right.

24 MR. CLEAR: Perhaps now would be a good time to break.

25 MR. WHITE: That would be all right with me.



1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

THE SPECIAL MASTER: You want a five minute break?

MR. WHITE: Yes, Your Honor.

THE SPECIAL MASTER: All right. We will break for
five or ten minutes.

(Proceedings were recessed at
10:50 a.m. for ten minutes.

* * * * *



9-1-V-vlb

1 THE SPECIAL MASTER: Let's come to order.

2 Q. (By Mr. White) Mr. Stetson, in order to go ahead -- and
3 you don't have your original of HS-15 with you, and we'll
4 get that added in a few minutes -- but let's go ahead,
5 if it's okay with Court and counsel, and talk about HS-15
6 until we get that done.

7 HS-15 contains generally what type of information,
8 Mr. Stetson?

9 A. It contains costs for headworks, cost estimates for head-
10 works, turnouts, main ditches, head ditches, pumps and
11 pumping plants, both deisel and electric, land clearing
12 costs, land leveling costs and also indications of annual
13 costs for maintenance and repairs on headworks and turnouts,
14 main ditches, fuel for diesels, costs, electricity and
15 demand charges -- energy and demand charges, general data
16 that were used in preparing the estimated costs of these
17 facilities.

18 Q. Did you develop costs in each of the same categories that
19 Dr. Mesghinna developed costs for future lands, or do you
20 know?

21 A. Well, for similar facilities, we have, sure. His were
22 on a much larger scale, of course.

23 Q. On page 1 of HS-12 --

24 A. HS-12?

25 stetson - cross - white



9-2-C-vlb

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

Q. Yes, sir.

MR. ROGERS: On what page?

MR. WHITE: One.

Q. (By Mr. White) -- show an investment cost of \$15.00 for turnouts.

A. \$15 per acre, yes, sir.

Q. And that's per acre cost, and you would derive that by what mathematical computation based on the information on HS-15?

A. All right. From H-S 15?

Q. Yes.

A. We would, first of all, look at the bottom of page 1 in the left-hand column. It indicates we put in a turnout, approximately one each quarter of a mile, and we have 3700 feet of ditch, so we divide that out and find we need 2.8 turnouts, so we call that 3 turnouts.

Q. Okay.

A. Those types of turnouts we estimate the cost -- these are outside of the FIP areas. We estimate the cost, if it's for a turnout of less than 3 cubic feet per second, they would be \$200 each, so we multiply 3 times 200, divided by the 41.2 acres, and get \$15.00 per acre for the turnout cost.

Q. Thank you. Your headworks at the top of HS-15 show a value
stetson - cross - white



9-3-

1 of \$15,000 for headworks in the Wind and Little Wind, and
2 it would appear from the computations at the bottom of
3 page 1 on HS-12 -- excuse me -- \$15,000, that you used
4 \$15,000 for parcel 10X?

5 A. Yes, we did.
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24

25 stetson - cross - white

409 West 24th Street
Cheyenne, WY 82001
(307) 635-8280

Frontier Reporting Service



201 Midwest Building
Casper, WY 82601
(307) 237-1493

1 Q. And that is based, what value you used, according to
2 (1) toward the middle of HS-15, depends on the peak flows
3 of the creek; is that correct?

4 A. Yes, in general that is a guideline we set up.

5 Q. How did you determine flows of the creek for the various
6 parcels?

7 A. We probably looked at the U.S. Geological Survey daily
8 peak flow records and just estimating what they would
9 be during irrigation. And it's not all that precise. We
10 are really trying to find out whether we needed a large
11 size, medium size or small size headworks.

12 Q. And for those tributaries where you had no gauges, you
13 looked at the general size of the water shed?

14 A. The water shed. We just estimated it, yes sir.

15 Q. In determining the capacity of the ditch, referring to the
16 bottom of the first page in HS-15, you depended upon the
17 parcel size; is that correct? Seventy acres being the
18 break point?

19 A. Yes.

20 Q. Mr. Stetson, are these 1979 costs the same as Dr.
21 Mesghinna's?

22 A. Yes. Excuse me --

23 Q. I'm sorry.

24 A. When you said the same as Dr. Mesghinna's, the unit costs
25 stetson - cross - white



1 wouldn't be. They are both geared to 1979, but our unit
2 costs for a like size facility may not be the same.

3 Q. I understand the year in which these costs are appropriate
4 is 1979.

5 A. Yes.

6 Q. I asked a poor question, I guess.

7 A. That's right.

8 Q. I think, Mr. Stetson, we cut off some of the information
9 when we did our copying on the bottom of your graph.
10 If I could have the original back, I will try to make
11 those changes and annotations.

12 MR. WHITE: Could I write on this table?

13 THE SPECIAL MASTER: Certainly, sure.

14 MR. WHITE: Thank you.

15 THE SPECIAL MASTER: Dr. Stetson, if we find a
16 discrepancy between the figures you gave in your direct
17 testimony regarding the water and water requirements and
18 those contained in the HSS as we have amended them through
19 your cross-examination, which of those generally would
20 you say ought to govern?

21 THE WITNESS: Like in the HS-3, 4 and 5 exhibits?

22 THE SPECIAL MASTER: Right.

23 THE WITNESS: I believe HS-3, 4 and 5 exhibits, which
24 are up here before me and marked for the Court, have the
25 stetson - cross - white



1 proper --

2 THE SPECIAL MASTER: The latest and proper information?

3 THE WITNESS: -- the latest and proper corrections on
4 them. I apologize for the fact we have done so many
5 corrections.

6 THE SPECIAL MASTER: No problem.

7 Q. (By Mr. White) Mr. Stetson, now I will hand you what has
8 been marked for identification as HS-15, as well as your
9 notes, out of your notebook, and ask you whether or not
10 HS-15 is an accurate copy of the materials contained in the
11 notes with the attached costs?

12 A. Yes, it appears to be.

13 Q. Why don't you go ahead and stick your notes back in your
14 notebook. On the first page of HS-15 you indicate you
15 assumed a net irrigation requirement peak flow of
16 five gallons per minute per acre; is that correct?

17 A. Yes.

18 Q. What is the basis for that assumption?

19 A. That was the estimated requirement for irrigation of the
20 types of crops for the net use on the fields, five gallons
21 per minute. That is the consumptive use. That was our
22 estimate of the consumptive use peak net. We used that
23 to go ahead and derive what we ought to divert for them.

24 Q. On the next line you show calculations for the gross
25 stetson - cross - white



1 irrigation peak flow, and then in the denominator you have
2 .26. Where did that come from?

3 A. That was an assumed efficiency at the time these criteria
4 were set up, assuming an overall efficiency of .26.

5 We subsequently considered, or I did, that we would
6 achieve an efficiency of 35 percent, which we have used
7 in our water requirements.

8 To that extent we have overstated the design criteria
9 for the facilities. In other words, if we have used
10 the 35 percent we would be using 20 gallons per minute
11 per acre as a diversion requirement. And using the 26
12 percent, we derived 20 gallons per minute. If we used
13 35 percent, we would have derived 15 gallons per minute.

14 I considered that after all this work was done, and
15 said, well, so we have --

16 Q. We have done the work?

17 A. -- we have done the work. It is a little too big. And to
18 that extent, perhaps some of our costs are over estimated.
19 But on the basis of this type of cost estimate, I think it
20 is a reasonable cost and, if anything, it may be a little
21 on the high side. So, we didn't bother to go back and
22 change it and recopy it.

23 Q. Where you have given these persons' names, next to the sources
24 in this information, are those the people within that
25 stetson - cross - white



- 1 particular office that gave you the information?
- 2 A. Yes, sir.
- 3 Q. Do you know who gave you the information on turnouts
- 4 from SCS? Did you rely on --
- 5 A. That would have been George Morris, all the information
- 6 we got from the SCS on costs. We may have talked to more
- 7 than one person up there, but it was George Norris from
- 8 whom we basically got the information on their experience
- 9 in the area.
- 10 Q. Why did you use the different pumping assumption from
- 11 inside and outside it?
- 12 THE SPECIAL MASTER: Inside what?
- 13 MR. WHITE: The FIPs, Your Honor, the second page
- 14 of HS-15.
- 15 A. Because that's out of the FIPs, many of the areas we
- 16 were concerned as to whether or not electric power would
- 17 be available. So, in many other areas we used diesel
- 18 pumps, or diesel drive.
- 19 Q. From the entires on pages contained in HS-12, how would
- 20 one determine the pipeline sizes described at the bottom
- 21 of the page, the second page, in HS-15, which were used
- 22 for the particular units we were examining in HS-12?
- 23 A. Let me look at my own copy; it's easier to read. For
- 24 example, on Exhibit 2 it talks about 4,000 linear feet
- 25 stetson - cross - white



10-6

1 of pipe. It used ten-inch pipe, ten-inch diameter pipe.

2 Q. Where are you? I'm sorry.

3 A. I'm on page 2.

4 Q. Of Exhibit HS-12?

5 A. Of Exhibit HS-12.

6 Q. Okay.

7 A. And it says, "Use ten-inch pipe length, 4,000 feet."

8 So, on HS-15, page 2, we have ten-inch pipe listed
9 at \$10 a foot. You multiply the 4,000 times \$10 and
10 divide by 62.1 acres, and you get the cost of the pipe.

11 Q. And that same approach would be used for all the parcels
12 where you have pipe costs included with your pumps?

13 A. Yes, sir.

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

stetson - cross - white



1 Q (By Mr. White) With respect to your land clearing costs,
2 which I believe are on the 6th page of HS-15 --

3 A Yes.

4 Q -- how did you determine which value per acre to use?
5 I understand where you got the values from, but how did
6 you decide which values --

7 A By our observations in the field and comments that have
8 been made on some -- on maps from HKM, if they made
9 comments to that effect, but basically on our field ob-
10 servations.

11 Q Did you personally made the field observations or was it
12 someone working under you?

13 A Mr. DiMaggio and myself were both out there for three
14 days flying around in a helicopter, with a pilot, and a
15 man from HKM.

16 Q And your land leveling costs which are based on land
17 class --

18 A Yes.

19 Q -- also page 6 towards the middle?

20 A Yes, sir.

21 Q Which class did you use, sprinkler or gravity, or did it
22 depend on the type or the method of water application?

23 A Well, we looked -- on the soil classification data sent
24 to us by HKM, there were indications if it was a Class 1
25 stetsoni- cross - white



1 soil, that would be a soil in a field where the leveling
2 would be from zero to 200 cubic yards per acre, so we
3 took the average for Class 1, the same thing on Class 2,
4 3, and 4.

5 Part of their criteria for that classification is
6 how much leveling would be required -- how many cubic
7 yards of leveling would be required, so it's based on
8 that.

9 The soil classes are shown on HS-12, so if it was
10 shown as a Class 2 soil and there was indication it
11 needed leveling, we would use the 300 cubic yards per
12 acre.

13 If it was a Class 3 or 4, we would use the 550.

14 Q Would you look at page 1 of HS-12, please?

15 A Page 1?

16 Q Yes, where it has a soil class of 4 slash 6?

17 A Yes, sir.

18 Q Does that mean 4 gravity, 16" sprinkler?

19 A Yes, sir.

20 Q Now, is it true that you used in your land leveling costs
21 the class for sprinkler if you intended to use a sprinkler
22 on this tract and the class for gravity if you intended
23 to use gravity irrigation on the tract?

24 A We used nearly always gravity. Usually for sprinkler we
25 stetson - cross - white



1 didn't do any leveling. I would have to go back and check
2 this, you know, page by page, but generally if we were
3 using sprinkler, there may not have been any leveling
4 involved.

5 Q Were your costs per acre on land leveling provided by
6 SCS in Lander or from the Barnett Company in California?

7 A Well, we had costs from several different sources, SCS
8 in Lander, U. S. Bureau of Land Management in Billings; and
9 the land leveling costs in California, which were a very
10 much higher stage of leveling. This is laser beam leveling,
11 which they use in the San Joaquin Valley to make the fields
12 look like pool tables, and from that we used the 60 cents per
13 cubic yard whereas the California price was --

14 Q You meant \$60 --

15 A I beg your pardon?

16 Q You used \$60 or 60 cents?

17 A No, 60 cents per cubic yard applied to the number of
18 yards per acre which gives you \$60 per acre if you have
19 a hundred cubic yards.

20 Q Your only costs which begin on the 7th page of HS-16, how
21 did you determine or arrive at the value of two percent
22 of investments, repairs and maintenance?

23 A That was pretty much based on our judgment, that if you
24 allowed that much and conducted that -- spent that much
25 stetson - cross - white



1 on maintenance, that your headworks and turnouts would
2 function through a reasonably long useful life.

3 Q Is the same thing true for your annual cost for your main
4 ditches?

5 A Some of this we discussed with Dan Crook at the BIA --
6 at Fort Washakie, and made some assumptions that ditches,
7 for example, be replaced each three years, so you take
8 your 25 cents per foot-cost of a ditch and call it 8 cents
9 per foot per year for repair and maintenance or replace-
10 ment, and then for the larger ditches we applied the same
11 thing to the cost per cubic yard that we used in estimating
12 the cost of those ditches. Divide the 50 cents by 3 and
13 we came up with 17 cents per foot.

14 Q Where did the annual cost for your head ditches come from?

15 A We made that cost estimate in-house based upon discussions
16 with people like George Norris and Don Crook.

17 Q From what source did you obtain your repair and mainten-
18 ance values for the pumps that are shown on the 7th page
19 of HS-15?

20 A We obtained those from reference material in our office.

21 Q Do you recall what material that is?

22 A No, I don't, and probably some of it from -- some of our
23 discussions with people either in or outside of the office
24 who have been involved in maintenance of pumps.

25 stetson - cross - white



1 We think it's a reasonable estimate.

2 Q How did you arrive at the pumping hours shown at the top
3 of the 8th page?

4 A That was generally by taking the growing season, water
5 requirements, number of hours that would be required to
6 meet the application of water on those crop patterns, and
7 then going back and multiplying that by the cost per hour
8 of pumping for the energy cost per hour for pumping.

9 Q Did you provide any costs to Dornbusch aside from the
10 project costs that are shown in Exhibit HS-12 for on-
11 farm costs, except for the pumping, the pipe networks
12 you discussed?

13 A I can't say for sure. It's possible that -- there were
14 several people in Dornbusch's office that are in contact
15 with people in my office on an almost daily basis, and
16 somebody from Dornbusch's office may call down and say,
17 "Would you verify this cost for me and would you tell
18 me what kind of a cost we should use here?" And then
19 we would -- my people would probably give them some in-
20 formation, but as far as supplying them any lists of
21 costs as you mentioned, we have supplied them with HS-12
22 and we have probably -- we may have even supplied them
23 with HS-15, if they had requested it. I just don't know,
24 but other than that, as far as, you know, soil amendment
25 stetson - cross - white



1 costs and things for like that, I am sure we did not.

2 Q On page 5 of HS-12 is the additional 2.1 acres of land
3 in-use land that's included within your unadjudicated
4 totals on HS-5?

5 THE SPECIAL MASTER: First, Mr. White, lead me to
6 where you found the 2.1 acres of land on page 5, would you,
7 to HS-12?

8 (Whereupon Mr. White indicated
9 (on document.

10 THE SPECIAL MASTER: There's 2.1 acres in land can
11 share the costs, and now you want to ask what about that?

12 MR. WHITE: I wanted to ask whether this was included
13 within his unadjudicated totals on HS-5, Your Honor.

14 THE SPECIAL MASTER: In other words, is the 2.1 acres
15 a part of the 179 acres -- or rather a part of the --

16 MR. WHITE: The easiest thing to do is ask him what
17 it is, Your Honor. I was anticipating that it was part
18 of the unadjudicated lands, but -- so maybe I can just
19 ask him what it is.

20 A I believe it is part of the unadjudicated lands. It's
21 adjacent to one of these pieces (indicating), to one
22 of these 14, 4-X, 5-X, and 6-X.

23 Q So part of the cost -- I'm sorry. I didn't mean to inter-
24 rupt you.

25 stetson - cross - white



1 A I have to check that against one of the maps.

2 All right. It's adjacent to 14-5-X because we are
3 improving that whole system through there to serve the
4 three parcels of Type VII, and the other is adjacent to
5 it. It's getting benefit from the system, so we used it as
6 additional acreage to share the cost.

7 Q Was that a common practice in developing costs for the
8 Type VII lands, to allocate some portion of their crops
9 to unadjudicated lands that were nearby?

10 A It was done in some instances, in not very many, but it
11 was done in some instances.

12 Q Didn't you tell me this morning that you had already
13 assumed that the unadjudicated lands were receiving irri-
14 gation water and, therefore, you made no determination
15 of the ditches required and the points of diversion re-
16 quired to serve those lands?

17 A That's right.

18 Q On the bottom of page 11 --

19 THE SPECIAL MASTER: On HS-12?

20 MR. WHITE: Yes, sir. I'm still on 12.

21 Q (By Mr. White) Do you find page 11?

22 A I found it in your exhibit. I'm trying to find it in
23 mine.

24 Q My copy --

25 stetson - cross - white



1 A -- I'll have it here in a minute.

2 Yeah, I have it.

3 My eyes just aren't as good as they used to be, and
4 I like to look at mine because I can read it a little
5 better.

6 Q At the bottom of page 11 does it indicate the cost of these fields
7 are shared with 600 acres of adjudicated lands?

8 A Yes, insofar as repair and maintenance cost is concerned.

9 Q Are these sharing of costs with adjacent lands, either
10 adjudicated or unadjudicated, the only instance where you
11 developed any costs associated with the adjudicated and
12 unadjudicated type lands that you have been discussing?

13 A That's right, and we haven't told them about it either.
14 But they are all trust lands.

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

* * * * *



- 1 Q. Is there a parcel like 14-7X on page 20 of HS-12?
- 2 A. Page 20?
- 3 Q. Page 20, yes.
- 4 A. Yes, I'm on that page.
- 5 Q. Why would you determine if there were zero costs for
- 6 headworks, turnouts, and things like that?
- 7 A. Because there is already an existing facility that can be
- 8 used and we would simply extend the head that is off that
- 9 facility.
- 10 Q. How did you make a determination in general whether or not
- 11 drains would be required?
- 12 A. Drainage?
- 13 Q. Whether drainage would be required on any given field?
- 14 A. We had some indications from Mr. Waples on the arable
- 15 land classifications on twelve thousand and some odd acres.
- 16 We found that generally those were inside the existing
- 17 FIPs, where this was generally surrounded by other
- 18 irrigation activity, and that is where drainage would be
- 19 required. So, that is where we provide drainage.
- 20 Q. What was the tip off in the information Mr. Waples gave
- 21 you? Did he give you a sheet saying this particular
- 22 tract needed drainage?
- 23 THE SPECIAL MASTER: I will not permit that, Mr. White.
- 24 I don't want any more sheets in your cross-examination. I
- 25 stetson - cross - white



1 believe your questions over the last hour have been far
2 beyond what the law permits and allows in asking questions
3 regarding the sufficiency of his competence or the
4 accuracy of the work missed or anything else which you
5 have a right to inquire into. I will not require this
6 witness to produce another document in your cross-
7 examination, Mr. White. And that is an order.

8 Furthermore, it is within fifteen minutes of the time
9 to end cross-examination anyway.

10 MR. WHITE: Is Your Honor saying I have to finish
11 cross-examination by noon?

12 THE SPECIAL MASTER: No, but I was trying to hold
13 you to your -- not your assurance, your hope of yesterday
14 or the day before you might get it done by then. That is
15 why.

16 MR. WHITE: I think I am within an hour of finishing.

17 THE SPECIAL MASTER: You need an hour after lunch
18 to wind it up, fine, but it's just I think you are on --
19 in other words, I sense it from your questions -- the
20 tail end.

21 MR. WHITE: I am within an hour or two of being done,
22 Your Honor, yes.

23 THE SPECIAL MASTER: Do you want to take a break,
24 since we have interrupted the testimony now, for lunch?
25 stetson - cross - white



1 It is fifteen to twelve. I will do that and I will ask
2 you to use from 1:30 until 3:00 to wind up your cross-
3 examination and gauge your own questions accordingly.

4 MR. WHITE: Can I finish this drainage question area
5 while I've got my mind on it?

6 THE SPECIAL MASTER: I will let you. I marvel at
7 the way you seem to get my cooperation on almost everything
8 and I am unable to do that with you.

9 MR. WHITE: I am willing to stop, if you want.

10 THE SPECIAL MASTER: Go right ahead and finish the
11 drainage matter.

12 -Q. (By Mr. White) What was the information that you received
13 from Mr. Waples which would indicate that there was a
14 drainage problem on a particular parcel?

15 A. It would be indications that some parcels had a high water
16 table. He gave us depth to barrier and conductivity,
17 hydrologic conductivity, on certain parcels, and there
18 would be indications in some, for instance, of sodic
19 soils due to a high water table, things like that.

20 We would look at those and then determine that, okay,
21 these parcels should be provided with proper drainage. And
22 then our people designed the adequate drainage to take care
23 of that and put the costs in these calculations.

24 Q. Was that type of information contained on the aerial
25 stetson - cross - white



1 photographs provided you by Mr. Waples, or was it in some
2 other form?

3 A. It was contained on some blue line prints of maps.

4 Q. The same ones we were talking about this morning, what
5 is HS-14, or was it some other?

6 A. No.

7 Q. Are they in evidence, do you know?

8 A. I don't know.

9 Q. Do you have them with you?

10 A. They are in this room.

11 Q. May I look at them, please?

12 THE SPECIAL MASTER: I would -- I will deny that.
13 request.

14 MR. WHITE: Under the rules, Your Honor, I am entitled
15 to look at things.

16 THE SPECIAL MASTER: You sure have and you have taken
17 two days until now to look at them. Two full days of
18 cross-examination with a microscopic examination of
19 every facet of the evidence we have had, I think, is
20 sufficient, Mr. White.

21 And I will -- otherwise we could go on ad nauseum,
22 ad nauseum, with cross-examination and adding to the
23 repetitious and burdensome facet of these proceedings.

24 MR. WHITE: I want to be clear. All I asking is
25 stetson - cross - white



1 right now is to look at the information.

2 THE SPECIAL MASTER: And all I am ordering right now
3 is you don't get a chance to look at those Waples documents
4 to which this witness has referred. He has told you how
5 he got this information and material and if you have a
6 question about his professional opinion on drainage, you
7 can prove it on your case.

8 Q. (By Mr. White) Mr. Stetson, did you rely on the
9 information contained in those materials, those maps,
10 furnished by Mr. Waples in arriving at the professional
11 opinions and conclusions to which you have testified
12 during your direct examination?

13 THE SPECIAL MASTER: I will object to that. Whether
14 he relied on them is irrelevant because I have made my
15 ruling and you are not about to get it.

16 MR. WHITE: Your Honor, I think I am entitled
17 to make a record.

18 THE SPECIAL MASTER: You may be, and if you are,
19 then I have erred, but I have ruled.

20 MR. WHITE: Okay. This is a good time to take a
21 break.

22 THE SPECIAL MASTER: I'm glad you think it is.
23 We will be in recess until 1:30.

24 (The trial was recessed from
25 (11:50 a.m. until 1:30 p.m.

stetson - cross - white

