Uldaho Law **Digital Commons** @ **Uldaho Law**

Bighorn Hedden-Nicely

5-21-1981

Trial Transcript, Vol. 69, Morning Session

Frontier Reporting Service

Follow this and additional works at: https://digitalcommons.law.uidaho.edu/bighorn

Recommended Citation

Frontier Reporting Service, "Trial Transcript, Vol. 69, Morning Session" (1981). *Bighorn*. 228. https://digitalcommons.law.uidaho.edu/bighorn/228

This Transcript is brought to you for free and open access by the Hedden-Nicely at Digital Commons @ Uldaho Law. It has been accepted for inclusion in Bighorn by an authorized administrator of Digital Commons @ Uldaho Law. For more information, please contact annablaine@uidaho.edu.

Case # 4993

File # 176

1	IN THE DISTRICT COURT FOR THE FIFTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT
2	WASHAKIE COUNTY, STATE OF WYOMING
3	
4	IN RE:
5	THE GENERAL ADJUDICATION OF)
6	RIGHTS TO USE WATER IN THE) BIG HORN RIVER SYSTEM AND) Civil No. 4993
7	ALL OTHER SOURCES, STATE OF) WYOMING.
8	6/23 19.57
9	Margare 1 D. Hampton CLERK
10	DEFUT ^v
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	VOLUME 69
16	Thursday, May 21, 1981
17	Morning Session
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	ARIGINAI
24	UNIUMAL
25	





*			
	1	<u>AP</u>	PEARANCES
	2		
9	3	FOR THE STATE	HALL & EVANS
9	4	OF WYOMING:	2900 Energy Center One Building 717 17th Street
<u>.</u>	5		Denver, CO 80202 BY: MR. JAMES MERRILL and
9	6		MR. MICHAEL D. WHITE, Special Assistant Attorneys General
	7	FOR THE UNITED STATES	MR. JAMES CLEAR and
4 .	8	OF AMERICA:	MR. JOSEPH MEMBRINO Attorneys at Law
e E	9		Land and Natural Resources Division Department of Justice
	10		1961 Stout Street Denver, CO 89294
6	11		and
e e	12		MR. THOMAS ECHOHAWK
6	13		Attorney at Law Land and Natural Resources Division
6	14		Department of Justice 1961 Stout Street
e e	15		Denver, CO 80294
0	16	FOR THE SHOSHONE	WILKINSON, CRAGUN & BARKER
9	17	and ARAPAHOE TRIBES:	1735 New York Avenue Washington, DC 20006
3	18		BY: MR. R. ANTHONY ROGERS
ජ ජ	19	CLERK TO THE SPECIAL MASTER:	MR. RODGER McDANIEL
49	20	SPECIAL MASIEN.	Attorney at Law 701 Rocky Mountain Plaza Cheyenne, WY 82001
4	21		Cheyenne, wi ozooi
ઇ છ	22		
.	23		
•	24		
•	25		



-3

24

25

THE SPECIAL MASTER: We will come to order, please. Is there anything to report on the pleadings of last night hopefully regarding they are not being necessary because there has been some agreement on the production of documents?

MR. MERRILL: Your Honor, the record should reflect that at the close of the proceedings last night the State of Wyoming did supply the remainder of the cross-examination exhibits that we intend to use with Mr. Dorhbusch to Counsel to the Tribes and the United States. In addition to supplying the exhibits themselves, we also made available overnight the full original copies of all of the textbooks from which those exhibits are excerpted so Mr. Dornbusch could review them overnight in preparation for testimony today.

I would like to ask them at this time, the United States and Tribes, whether they will make the same agreement with respect to their cross-examination of our witnesses, to provide the cross-examination exhibits in advance and to provide original copies for our use and preparation of testimony.

THE SPECIAL MASTER: Does that touch base on all crop and livestock enterprise.cost and return budgets projections you asked for?

MR. ROGERS: That is a different matter I think,



Your Honor.

MR. ECHOHAWK: It is a different matter, Your Honor.

THE SPECIAL MASTER: Will you see to what he requested as far as similar treatment when your case is over and his is on?

MR. ECHOHAWK: No. Your Honor.

Yesterday on the record Mr. Echohawk tells me that he's entitled to see my cross-examination exhibits in advance of when I actually use them at trial.

MR. MERRILL: Your Honor, that is remarkable.

MR. ECHOHAWK: That is not what I said, Your Honor. I said we were entitled to see the entire text of the document. I asked him for anymore articles he was going to use, and he gave us one other article.

THE SPECIAL MASTER: I think what the theory is here is we are using a page or two out of context out of a whole document where it can be followed by other material on other pages, and I think this is what is raised at this time.

MR. ROGERS: Your Honor, for the record, too,
the Tribes in using any documents of that nature,
books, articles, whatnot for cross-examination
purposes would certainly furnish the entire document
as the State has now done now, but in terms of furnishing

1	them in advance, the Tribes didn't request that
2	yesterday and the Tribes aren't prepared to do that
3	except in the possible situation that we had last
4	night where we were wrapping it up and we had them
5	available, and if it's a matter of convenience over-
6	night, I think the Tribes can certainly do that,
7	but not something like days in advance. The Tribes
8	didn't:request that.
9	MR. MERRILL: Your Honor, I'm wondering if we
10	can obtain the same courtesy from the United States
11	since they did specifically request documents in
12	advance of their use and we did supply them.
13	MR. ECHOHAWK: The same situation arises; Your
14	Honor.
15	THE SPECIAL MASTER: We are not getting anywhere
16	with that. Let's go on with the hearing.
17	MR. ECHOHAWK: Are we going to deal with the crop
18	budget:requests now?
19	THE SPECIAL MASTER: Wyoming wants to argue the
20	Motion to shorten time on the crop budgets requests,
21	and we will do this later today if you want to and
22	proceed with the hearing if that's more orderly.
23	MR. MERRILL: We can do it now, Your Honor. We
24	have several other preliminary matters, as well.
25	THE SPECIAL MASTER: Which do you prefer? I don't

care..

MR. MERRILL: I would just as soon go ahead and argue the motion.

THE SPECIAL MASTER: We will block out this noise.

All right, you are the movant, Mr. Rogers.

MR. ROGERS: Your Honor, the argument is fairly short and sweet. We are obviously at a point where the Tribes are in the final stages of preparing their case for trial, and we have conducted previously, the United States and Tribes have engaged in depositions with experts from the State of Wyoming during last summer and fall, and at that time primarily the State experts had not proceeded that far into their work, certainly not to a point where we can tell where they may be going and what type of case they may be presenting in any kind of detail that the State had at the time it went to trial for purposes of crossexamination of Federal witnesses.

Discovery is in the nature of a continuing nature, and certain of the requests were documents in detail that were made at the time of the depositions and earlier in the case were simply not available simply because the State's experts had not done them. We now have reason to believe with suspect with these crop and livestock budgets and related documents that the

Frontier Reporting Service



THE REPORT OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PARTY O

€

4-3

0-3

State has, in fact, prepared some. If we were deposing those witnesses today, I think we would be entitled to get them as they were entitled to get the documents that were furnished by Federal experts and whatever documents have been furnished by Tribal experts during their depositions. It is simply a case of they are necessary, and I think it shouldn't be any issue. The reason we ask for an expedited consideration is because of the 30-day rule, but it's fairly close to the time the Tribes will go on and present their case, and we think, as I say, if we were deposing them today and they had them available as we think they do, they would be furnished. So we see no reason why they can't be furnished at this time within seven days.

** * * * *

Frontier Reporting Service



MR. MERRILL: Your Honor, I find it remarkable that in the Tribes' Motion to shorten time that they state that the work product of Wyoming's experts is necessary for the Tribes to prepare their own case in chief. I thought that's what the Tribes had their own experts for.

I have no objection to the Motion to shorten time.

I have no objection to the Motion to shorten time, and we will make the information requested in the Tribes' discovery request available, but I want to make several comments with respect to that.

First; I want the record to be clear that the information that's been compiled thus far is preliminary in nature and, in all likelihood, will be revised before the State's experts testify as part of our direct case at trial.

Secondly, the information requested is mostly complied in the form of computer printouts and, as I understand from talking with our experts, they are fairly voluminous.

We will make those printouts available during normal business hours at the offices of Wyoming Research Corporation, the State's economist, whose offices are in Laramie, Wyoming, and we will make the services of WRC's xerox machine available because we only have one copy of all of these printouts, and the Tribes' attorneys or their consultants

Frontier Reporting Service



) 너무		
	1	are free to go to Laramie and get those printouts and make
	2	photocopies at any time starting next week.
	3	THE SPECIAL MASTER: All right. That alleviates the
51.0	4	need for an Order; is that right? And we appreciate your
5. G	5	cooperation on that.
	6	MR. ROGERS: That's fine, Your Honor. Beginning, Mr.
	7	Merrill, a week from today?
	8	MR. MERRILL: Yes, that would be fine.
	9	THE SPECIAL MASTER: All right, Mr. Merrill. You can
	10	proceed what is the address of the Wyoming Research
5	11	Corporation in Laramie?
573	12	MR. WATTS: 512 University.
	13	CROSS-EXAMINATION (RESUMED)
	14	BY MR. MERRILL:
	15	Q Mr. Dornbusch, I'm returning to you what was temporarily
	16	marked as ED-51. Would you check that and make sure I
	17	have given you back everything you gave me for that
	18	exhibit?
	19	A Yes, that's all I gave you for that exhibit.
	20	Q And here also is ED-52. Would you please make sure that
	21	those papers are complete
المسيسة	22	THE SPECIAL MASTER: Off the record, please.
	23	(Discussion off the record.
	24	A. This appears to be complete too. May I return these tormy
	25	dornbusch - cross - merrill
		Frontier Reporting Service

	1	files, or do you need them further?
A CO	2	(By Mr. Merrill) I think you can go ahead and put them
	3	away.
	4	A. Thank you.
	5	MR. MERRILL: Your Honor, as you'll recall yesterday.
	6	we had quite a major flap about whether there was really
5	7	a seven and three-eighths percent discount rate, and there
7	8	were a lot of objections to admitting that into evidence
	9	or the use even of Wyoming's Exhibit ED-11, and I have
	10	obtained a copy of the Federal Register publication showing
	i 1	the same information.
	12	THE SPECIAL MASTER: From which the excerpt was taken
	13	that we labored over yesterday?
	14	MR. MERRILL: That's correct.
-0	15	THE SPECIAL MASTER: All right.
9	16	MR. MERRILL: I will withdraw Exhibit ED-11, and at
	17	the end of my cross-examination, move the admission of
3	18	Exhibit ED-11-A into evidence.
	19	THE SPECIAL MASTER: I think we ended on the note that
جستر حسرون	20	the witness I had almost guaranteed the witness some
	21	time to devote to these matters in response to some of the
	22	questions, so you may proceed, Mr. Merrill.
	23	MR. MERRILL: Well, Your Honor, along those lines,
	24	I would point out that I made representations to you
		dornbusch - cross - merrill
	25	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

نشسق

لتكسق

لشمنق

نتلسق

نظسه

10-1

يفرس

examination of Mr. Dornbusch by this evening. Yesterday afternoon I did not anticipate that we would get into the protracted discussions about the economic theories behind selecting an appropriate discount rate, and my crossexamination has been set back somewhat.

I believe that I can still complete the crossexamination if I can move through it in an expeditious manner.

However, Mr. Dornbusch has reviewed a number of the books that we provided to him overnight, and if he is to make some lengthy statements or defense of the techniques and analysis that he has used, I think that the Court ought to do one of two things: either first require him to do that on redirect examination or, secondly, allow me to extend my cross-examination to account for the length of time this occupied in extra discussions of these matters.

THE SPECIAL MASTER: All right. Let's see where it takes us.

MR. ECHOHAWK: Just for the record, Mr. Dornbusch is entitled to answer any question asked him fully.

THE SPECIAL MASTER: Let's see where it takes us.

(By Mr. Merrill) Mr. Dornbusch, before we return to a discussion of the discount rate, I would like to ask you dornbusch - cross - merrill

Frontier Reporting Service



1	some questions about how you derived your irrigation and
2	water delivery system costs as part of your analysis both
3	for the future and especially for the historic lands.
4	I believe in your direct examination you indicated
5	that you took many of your system costs from Dr. Mesghinna's
6	report on Page 42. Is that correct?
7	A. I don't have a copy of his report, but, yes, I did take the
8	system costs from his report. I don't recall the page.
9	Q Would you please take out your copy of Exhibit C-278, which
10	is your report concerning the Type VII and Type VIII lands,
11	and turn to Table 5 of that report?
12	THE SPECIAL MASTER: What page on the report?
13	MR. MERRILL: Page 15, Your Honor.
14	A. You said 278?
15	o (By Mr. Merrill) Yes.
16	A. Page 15?
17	ρ Right. It should be Table 5.
18	A. All right.
19	a Got it?
20	A. This is for Type VIII lands; is that correct?
21	n Yes.
20 21 22	A. Yes. All right.
23	* * *
24	
25	dornbusch - cross - merrill

409 West 24th Street

Cheyenne, WY 82001

(307) 635-8280

	1	A Yes, you may.
	3	(Whereupon, the Witness (hands a document to (Counsel.
4	4	Q Mr. Dornbusch, did you make any adjustments to the
4	5	figures you received from Stetson Engineers before
4	6	you used those figures in your analysis and put
-	7	them in Table 5?
	. 8	A Yes, I did.
	9	Q Will you please describe to the Court what adjustments
3	10	· you made?
3	11	A They were the same adjustments that we made for the
-	12	new projectslands. We normalized costs to 1979
	13	normalized values, we made an adjustment for the
3	14	opportunity cost of labor, we moved the construction
. 6 3	15	costs in time to account for the imperative
	16	construction to move it to a times zero, and we
3	17	determined the net present value of those costs
	18	recognizing that each of these systems have different
و المالية	19	lives and replacement values at the end of those
	20	lives, and arrived at for the main components of each
6-3	21	of the investment costs a net present value which is
	22	shown in my report.
		Did you normalize costs using the same methods and
	24	Q indices as for the future länds?
	25	dornbusch-cross-merrill
27	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Francian Banaring Carvina

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

-

4-19

6-12

--

-

THE SPECIAL MASTER: He just said he did.

MR. MERRILL: Your Honor, I didn't ask him about what specific index he used.

THE SPECIAL MASTER: No, but in his answer he just said he used the same one as he did on the future lands. I'm going to try to help you shorten up your time today, Mr. Merrill.with the redundancy, duplication and repetition and we will make some time, headway.

- (By Mr. Merrill) Mr. Dornbusch, did you also cost Q your labor at 20 percent of its full value?
- No, I never said that I did that. In the construction A costs sectors we account for the skilled and the unskilled labor separately and we opportunity costed accordingly the same way we did for future projects lands.
- Would you please describe what you did to move the construction costs in time?
- Yes. The construction period would be during the year prior to the times zero point which is the start-up of the projects. It occurs over a period of -- whose midpoint is approximately seven and a half months from times zero, and I moved those costs by adding additional costs to account for the time

dornbusch-cross-merrill

Frontier Reporting Service



	1		cost for that seven and a half month period.
و الم	2	Q	Did you prepare a sum schedule of the construction
طسي	3		costs and the times in which they would be incurred
فكس	4		in building these projects?
	5	A	Well, in this case we made a judgment that all of
فكسير	6		the construction would occur in the year prior and
مَنْ الله	7		during the construction period of that year just as
	8		we did for all of the new project lands with the
			exception of the North Crowheart and the same way I
	9		
	10		described in my deposition to you.
4	11	Q	Their analysis assumed that all of the systems for
	12		all six units shown on Table 5 would all be built
	13	 	in a year, is that correct?
-6	14	A	That's right.
	15	Q	You said in determining the opportunity cost of
	16		labor you treated skilled and unskilled labor
	17		differently. Would you please elaborate on how you
	18		did that.
	19		MR. ECHOHAWK: Objection, Your Honor, it has
فسن	20	 } }	been asked and answered both on direct examination
وسي	21]	and cross.
وسن	22		THE SPECIAL MASTER: We will give it one more
	•	 	time.
-	23		
	24	 	THE WITNESS: Yes. Based upon the Bureau of
	25	dor	nbusch-cross-merrill



	1	Reclamation publications of the percentage budget
	2	which is composed of labor, both the skilled and
	3	unskilled, we took those portions of the total
	4	investment cost in the respective categories and
	5	we applied the same approach as we did before, as
	6	I described before for the opportunity cost for
- B	7	skilled and opportunity cost for unskilled labor,
	8	the same way we did with the new project lands.
	9	Q What proportions did you use for skilled and
عسب الاستان	10	unskilled labor?
3	11	A What proportions did I use for skilled and unskilled
- B	12	labor? Well, I believe they were the same percentages
	13	that we used in the new project lands. I have to
		check my notes to find the exact proportion.
	14	Q Would you please do so?
	15	
	16	THE SPECIAL MASTER: Mr. Dornbusch, you said
	17	that you took labor costs at 80 percent to zero,
السيتن م	18	and that was what you used in high unemployment
	19	areas. Is this what you are talking about now?
المستين	20	THE WITNESS: Is that
النستين	21	THE SPECIAL MASTER: Is that what you want
المسينتين	22	to know about?
	23	MR. MERRILL: No, Your Honor.
العناجيل	24	THE SPECIAL MASTER: What is the question, what
استيمل	25	dornbusch-cross-merrill

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

proportion of what?

MR. MERRILL: Within the opportunity cost for labor calculations which the Witness has stated he used methods or different numbers for opportunity cost in skilled and unskilled labor because of the differing availability of those labor forces on the Reservation. I don't think there has been any testimony in the record thus far as to what numbers he used for skilled versus unskilled labor, and that is what I am trying to find out.

the state of the s

THE SPECIAL MASTER: All right.

THE WITNESS: Okay. The proportions -- let me get an understanding. First, are we talking about the proportion of the skilled labor component that we costed at zero?

MR. MERRILL: Yes.

THE WITNESS: All right. The proportion of skilled labor component that we costed at zero was 43 percent. The percent of unskilled labor component that we costed at opportunity cost was 80 percent.

- Q Did you take those figures directly out of a Bureau of Reclamation publication?
- A No. The 80 percent figure, as I described before, dornbusch-cross-merrill

Frontier Reporting Service



15

16

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

6112 is my judgment as to what component of unskilled labor could be drawn from the unemployed work force. The 43 percent does come from a Bureau of Reclamation -- excuse me, it comes from -- I would 4 have to check this before I gite that source. Excuse 5 6 me just a moment. (Brief pause. 8 10 11 13

dornbusch-cross-merrill

409 West 24th Street Cheyenne, WY 82001 (307) 635-8280



201 Midwest Building Casper, WY 82601 (307) 237-1493

- A. Okay. The original source for the number I used comes from the Federal Register, Friday, December 14, 1979, and in that source it tells you that, based upon an analysis that was performed where they have an objective for attracting unemployed labor into the construction work force, that they have a history of succeeding in attracting 43 percent of skilled labor from the unemployed work force, and that's the basis of the 43 percent that I used.
- Q. Isn't it true that that 43 percent figure comes from the WRC principles and standards?
- A. Well, that's the citation that I gave you, the Federal Register, Friday, December 14, that's right.
- Now, what percentage of the total labor requirement did you assume would be skilled and what percentage unskilled?
- A. I think that was the same question you asked me before, isn't it?
- No, I asked you what percentage of the skilled and unskilled labor you costed at zero, and you told me 43 and 80 percent, respectively.

My question now is what percentage of the labor requirements for the project did you assume would be skilled labor and how much did you --

THE SPECIAL MASTER: That's a different question.

The first question was what percentage of the labor force

dornbusch - cross - merrill

Frontier Reporting Service



فيكسن

وسن

وکست وکست

وكين

was skilled or unskilled. Now, do you want the labor requirement or the labor force? Do you want what percentage of the labor available on the reservation was skilled and unskilled of the unemployed or what percentage of the requirements? MR. MERRILL: I see your point, Your Honor. I apologize. 6 (By Mr. Merrill) I would like to know what percentage of the labor requirements for this project are skilled labor and what percentage of the labor requirements are for 9 unskilled labor. 10 Okay. I have to make some calculations because I did not 11 make a direct calculation of the proportion that was 12 skilled and unskilled, which is what I understand you are 13 asking me. 14 Yes. That is what I am asking you. Do you have it broken 15 down some other way that would save you from having to do 16 the calculations? 17 Yes. The way I did it was by the proportion -- the percen-A. 18 tage of the total budget that was skilled and unskilled. 19 It's simpler, since I have the total cost, to take then 20 the percentage that would be skilled and the percentage 21 that would be unskilled from that and apply the opportunity 22 cost to that dollar figure, and that's the way I did it. 23 And do you have those figures with you so that you don't 24 dornbusch - cross - merrill 25

Frontier Reporting Service



have to calculate the overall?

Okay. The only components for which I made adjustments for the opportunity cost of labor were the pipe networks, the pump and pumping plant, canals, and related structures and drainage.

And for the pipe network, the percentage of the total budget that is skilled labor is 3.22 percent. The percentage that's unskilled labor is 3.38 percent. For the pumps and pumping plant, the percentage that's skilled labor is 11.77 percent; the percentage of unskilled labor 9.43 percent.

For the canals and related structures, the percentage of skilled labor is 9.58 percent; the percentage of unskilled labor 8.33 percent.

For drainage, the percentage of skilled labor is 8.93 percent, and the percentage of unskilled labor is 7.17 percent.

THE SPECIAL MASTER: Mr. Merrill, let me ask the witness for the benefit of clearing my understanding, if the total percentages of skilled and unskilled in any one category only comes to 15 or 16 percent, what's the rest of the labor force?

THE WITNESS: Oh, this is the percentage of the total cost.

dornbusch - cross - merrill

25

22

23

24

Frontier Reporting Service

409 West 24th Street Cheyenne, WY 82001 (307) 635-8280



201 Midwest Building Casper, WY 82601 (307) 237-1493

	j	THE SPECIAL MASTER: Oh, this is the cost figure?
	2	THE WITNESS: Yes, that's right.
	3	THE SPECIAL MASTER: Oh, I beg your pardon. Thank
	4	you.
	5	O. (By Mr. Merrill) Mr. Dornbusch, how did you determine
	6	these percentages of total costs for skilled and unskilled
	7	labor for these four types?
	8	A. The Bureau of Reclamation publishes data on their construc-
	9	tion budgets, and within those budgets they show the pro-
	10	portions of skilled and unskilled labor.
	11	Q. Did you adopt those proportions without modification?
	12	A. Well, I had to make calculations from their budgets, but
	13	in effect, I used their budgets.
-2	14	O. Mr. Dornbusch, do you know the source or the title of the
	15	Bureau of Reclamation publication you used?
	16	A. I could find it for you.
	17	a If you would, please.
	18	THE SPECIAL MASTER: I think I'll ask you not
ور م	19	to do that. I believe the table shows the source of your
والمست	20	information, Mr. Merrill. He said he took it from the
وسن	21	publication. That's adequate.
	22	This thing can go on for a thousand years if we
واست السن	23	don't make some limitation to the cross-examinations
	24	that are taking place.
	25	dornbusch - cross - merrill
-		

the free ages of the contract

() () () () () () () () () ()	1	MR. MERRILL: Well, Your Honor, I'm sure you are
	2	well aware by now I am doing more discovery than cross-
	3	examination, and the reason I am is that because at the
ج ا	4	time we deposed Mr. Dornbusch, he hadn't done any work
	5	at all on the historic lands, and the only information
	6	that we have with respect to his historic lands analysis
	7	is the information presented in Exhibit 278.
	8	THE SPECIAL MASTER: I appreciate that.
	9	MR. MERRILL: And a few details in direct examination.
	10	If we are to prepare our case, as the Tribes need to do
	11	THE SPECIAL MASTER: I appreciate that. Let's go on
	12	with our work and do the best we can and give it all we
	13	have got, and I will try to conduct the proceedings in
	14	what I understand is fairness in my own duties.
	15	Q (By Mr. Merrill) Mr. Dornbusch, did you use the same
	16	figures for skilled and unskilled labor with respect to
	17	the future lands?
	18	A. I believe so. I'd have to check my notes to be sure.
	19	Ω Okay. Would you please check?
وسيسر	20	THE SPECIAL MASTER: These are costs again, Mr.
وينسيسن	21	Merrill, you are talking about, not percentage of skilled
و د	22	and unskilled labor?
	23	MR. MERRILL: Yes. I just want to know if those
هسين	24	are the same percentages he used across the board.
هبن.	25	(Pause. dornbusch - cross - merrill
		

4-6		61
i	A.	Oh, yes, the original calculations that we made, they
2		are all the same, with one exception, and that is for the
3		percent of unskilled labor of the total cost for the
4		drainage.
5		In our original calculations, we made an error in
6		addition, and for that factor, it was different.
7	Q.	(By Mr. Merrill) What factor did you use for unskilled
8		labor for drainage?
9	A.	In the original calculations, the figure we used was
10		13.12 percent.
11		THE SPECIAL MASTER: If these figures are going
12		to have any value to me, I imagine you ought to agree
13		what is skilled labor and what is unskilled labor and
14		give us a definition that is acceptable.
15	G	(By Mr. Merrill) Mr. Dornbusch, what definition of
		ekilled and unekilled labor did von nee in vour analysis

skilled and unskilled labor did you use in your analysis?

- Okay. From the Reclamation construction impact budgets, they have the on-site labor broken down into various categories, and the category called laborers I used as unskilled labor, and the categories that they called operating engineers, carpenters, teamsters, et cetera, I used as skilled labor.
- You said you originally used 13.12 percent for your unskilled labor on drainage. Did you later change that dornbusch - cross - merrill

Frontier Reporting Service

409 West 24th Street Cheyenne, WY 82001 (307) 635-8280

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24



	, 1	to the 7.17 percent you used on the Type VIII lands?
-	2	A. And make a recalculation?
6	3	Q. Yes.
-6	4	No, I didn't, but I checked the sensitivity, and it was
	5	none of the results were sensitive to that difference,
	6	and I did not make a change.
	7	Q So you stuck with the 13.12?
	8	λ. That's right.
وليسري وليسر	9	Q. Okay. Now, you stated earlier that you normalized Dr.
	10	Mesghinna's costs; is that correct?
	11	A. That's correct.
	12	Q. Would you choose one of the irrigation system components
	13	shown on Table 5 and demonstrate for the Court how you
	14	normalized Dr. Mesghinna's cost?
	15	A. Yes, I simply multiplied his cost by a normalizing factor,
	16	the same way that I did for the new project lands.
	17	
	18	* * * * *
	19	
	20	
	21	
	22	
الدين. الكست	. 23	
	24	
4	25	dornbusch - cross - merrill
-		Franties Benevier Courter



1	were 1979 costs, and I made an adjustment to
2	normalize to 1979 values.
3	THE SPECIAL MASTER: By multiplying his costs
4	by this factor you just gave us?
5	THE WITNESS: By those factors, exactly.
6	THE SPECIAL MASTER: Doesn't that result in
7	a lessening to the total?
8	THE WITNESS: It lowers the dollars, right.
9	THE SPECIAL MASTER: That is what I asked.
10	THE WITNESS: But in order to make it comparable
11	to the same point in time, it was necessary to do
12	that. That is the principle we are seeking, we
13	must normalize costs and compare costs and returns
14	at the same point in time.
15	THE SPECIAL MASTER: Okay. Mr. Merrill.
16	MR. MERRILL: Thank you, Your Honor.
17	Your Honor, I would request the Court's permission
18	to withdraw ED-54 which has been temporarily marked
19	and mark copies sometime during a break.
20	THE SPECIAL MASTER: All righty.
21	Q (By Mr. Merrill) Mr. Dornbusch, would you look at
22	Exhibit ED-54 and tell the Court what figure you
23	received from Dr. Mesghinna for the pipe network?
24	A For all the units?
25	dornbusch-cross-merrill
······································	· <u> </u>



1	THE SPECIAL MASTER: Is Arapahoe enough?
2	MR. MERRILL: Arapahoe would be fine, Your
3	Honor.
4	THE WITNESS: Two hundred fifty-eight dollars
5	per acre.
6	Q (By Mr. Merrill) And you then normalized that figure
7	by multiplying it by .871, is that correct?
8	A That's correct.
9	Q Now, that doesn't yield the corresponding \$319 shown
10	on Table 5, does it?
11	A No.
12	Q Or am I doing something wrong?
13	A The \$319 in Table 5 is derived from the \$258 shown
14	on ED-54 using the process that I described earlier;
15	that is making an adjustment for the opportunity
16	cost of labor, for the normalizing of those costs,
17	for the movement in time, for the construction period,
18	and then for the present value because you have
19	future replacements of those costs through time.
20	And the derivation of the number you see is a
21	function of all of those adjustments.
22	Q Okay. Now, with respect to the construction costs,
23	you said you determined that those would be
24	incurred on an average of seven and a half months
25	dornbusch-cross-merrill

ì		before times zero?	
2	A	That's correct.	
3	Q	Would you describe to the Court how you moved that	
4		cost through time and what discount rate you used?	
5	A	We use the four percent discount rate, and I	
6		simply did it by taking since the four percent	
7		discount rate is applied over a full year, I	
8	[multiplied four percent by seven and a half, divided	
9		by 12 to get the proportion of the amount to be	
10		moved.	
11	Q	Did you obtain total construction costs for each	
12		of the investment costs of the Type VIII lands	
13		shown on Table 5 from Stetson Engineers?	
14	A	Well, if I understand your question, I obtained	
15		the investment costs for each of those categories	
16		as shown on ED-54 just according to that table	
17		that you and I have been talking about. Those are	
18		the costs that they gave to us, and I supposed to be	
19		clear, with the exception written in on that table	
20		for the land development fencing costs, and we	
21		did those.	
22 23	Q	Would you please describe how you determined	
23	A	Oh, and also the other exception, I believe I	
24		stated this in my direct testimony that the cost	
25	dornbusch-cross-merrill		



1		for operation, repairs and maintenance was not			
2		from Stetson Engineers, but from Don Crook. Those,			
3		I believe are the exceptions to the table.			
4	Q	You said that you determined the fencing costs on			
5		your own.			
6	A	That's right.			
7	Q	Okay. Would you describe how you did that?			
8	A	Yes. Well, in general I can describe it without			
9		my notes. We determined that the average parcel			
10		size would be approximately 30 acres, and we			
11		simply used the same costs that we had developed			
12		for the future project lands for the fence cost			
13		per mile, measured what would be the expected			
14		average perimeter for that size parcel and computed			
15	\ 	the costs.			
16	Q	What average perimeterplength did you use for a			
17		30-acre parcel?			
18	A	For that I need my notes.			
19		(Brief pause.			
20	A	Okay, for the 30-acre parcel we used a perimeter			
21		of 1,760 yards. That is \$59 per acre.			
22	Q	Is that the same average parcel size that you used			
23		for the future lands?			
24	A	No. We didn't do it the same way for future lands.			
25	dor	nbusch-cross-merrill			
	 				



1		For future lands we actually took a map of the
2	•	developed project areas and aketched in in
3		conjunction with Stetson Engineers the locations
4		of the additional fencing requirement recognizing
5		there would already be fences that they were
6		including in costing for the canals and that
7		additional fences were not required there. We
8		sketched in what additional fences would be
9		required, we measured those actually for each
10		project area, divided by the acreage in each project
11	 - 	area, multiplied it by the cost of either mile
12		or yard, I forgot how we did it, but that is to
13		compute the cost per acre for the new project lands.
14	Q	You told us a few minutes ago the indexing figures
15		you used to adjust from Mesghinna's 1979 prices
16		to normalized 1979 prices. Did you use the same
17		index numbers for the future lands as for the
18		Type VIII lands?
19	A	I believe where they are applicable, yes, I think so.
20	Ω	As part of your feasibility analysis did you
21		assume that each of the components of the irrigation
22	 	system would have a certain lifetime?
23		THE SPECIAL MASTER: Would have a certain
24		lifetime?
25	dorn	busch-cross-merrill

Frontier Reporting Service

409 West 24th Street Cheyenne, WY 82001 (307) 635-8280



MR. MERRILL: Yes, Your Honor.

THE WITNESS: Yes, we did.

* * * *

dornbusch-cross-merrill



~ il			
	1	Q.	(By Mr. Merrill) Would you please tell the Court what
	2		lifetime you assumed for each component of the irrigation
	3		system?
	4 .	A.	For the on-farm system we are talking about the VIII:
	5		lands?
	-4 . 6	Q	Yes. Let's start with the VIII lands. I will ask you if
	7		the same general factors apply for the future lands.
5	8	A.	For the on-farm system, fifteen years; for the pipe net-
	9		work, thirty years; for the pump and pumping plant,
# (1)	10		twenty-five years; for both canals and related structures
	11		and drainage, 100 years.
5	12	Q.	Did you assume the same lifetime for each of these com-
	13		ponents in the future lands analysis?
	14	A.	Yes.
	15		THE SPECIAL MASTER: Let me ask a question, Mr.
3	16		Merrill, please: What lifetime figures did you put on
2	17		the drainage facilities?
•	18		THE WITNESS: One hundred years.
0	19		THE SPECIAL MASTER: Same as the canals?
سنن بسند	20		THE WITNESS: Right.
بر بسک	21	Q	(By Mr. Merrill) How did you determine those useful lives,
تشو	22		Mr. Dornbusch?
نسن سم	23	A.	Stetson Engineers informed us what the useful life would be
	24		of each of the systems that they designed and costed.
-	25	dor	nbusch - cross - merrill

	,	
الخار	1	Q What construction schedule did you assume for the irriga-
4	2	tion systems associated with the future lands?
المبتع	3	MR. ECHOHAWK: Objection, Your Honor. Asked and
4	4	answered.
~ } ~ }	5	THE SPECIAL MASTER: It would be so easy for me to
cá þ	6	sustain the objection, but I'm not sure I remember.
	7	MR. MERRILL: I sure don't recall it.
	8	THE SPECIAL MASTER: If it can be answered quickly
	9	Why don't you read the question?
~ ~	10	(The pending question was read
	11	(back by the reporter as follows: ("Q: What construction schedule
-8	12	(did you assume for the irrigation (systems associated with the
~ .	13	(future lands?"
~ *	14	THE SPECIAL MASTER: He may answer. It may have been
•	15	different from the Type VIII.
•	16	A. Okay. I think the quick answer, if I recall, is the same
		construction schedule that I gave you in my in what I
	17	
-	18	believe is my second deposition.
	19	THE SPECIAL MASTER: Is that adequate, Mr. Merrill?
.9	20	MR. MERRILL: If the witness is sure that it's the
4	21	same one, Your Honor, but some part of the analyses have
4) 4)	22	changed since that deposition, and I just want to make sure
4	23	we have got the right figures.
4	24	A Well, I believe I did give you a construction schedule
4	25	dornbusch - cross - merrill
		Frontier Reporting Service



during my second deposition. Is that not correct? Q (By Mr. Merrill) I'm not sure. I kind of think you said that it would take three years to build North Crowheart and one more year to build the remaining units, if I re-5 call correctly. 6 A. Yes, the North Crowheart has a three-year construction 7 schedule and the other units have one-year, that's right. 8 As part of your analysis, did you use some set of figures Q 9 concerning the costs of construction of the future projects 10 and the times in which these costs would be incurred? 11 I guess I don't understand how that question differs from 12 the earlier one. 13 Okay. The first question was how long would it take to Q. 14 build these things. 15 Right. A. 16 Q And you said three years for the big one, one more year for 17 the others? 18 Right. A. My question is: In your analysis, to determine the appro-19 Q 20 priate value of the costs, did you use a schedule of when the construction costs will be incurred over this total 21 four-year construction period? 22 Oh, yes, we did. A. 23 Do you have such a schedule with you? Q 24 25 dornbusch - cross - merrill

Casper, WY 82601

(307) 237-1493

Cheyenne, WY 82001

(307) 635-8280

appropriate cost would be, and obtained their estimate for the operations -- for some of the operations. In addition, we recognized that there would be some extra fertilizer required to bring the fertility of the 5 soil up to a level that would be sufficient to raise the 6 crops and maintain the yields that we were projecting, and in some cases it was necessary to remove rocks, and I believe we talked about this before. In fact, I think we talked about all of this. 9 10 Would you please tell the Court what operations are 11 included in land development?

Okay.

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

A.

THE SPECIAL MASTER: Is he a proper witness to be telling us this, Mr. Merrill? He can work with some figures that those gave him who removed the cobble or who decided this is sprinkler and not gravity or who decided that it had some other values, but is he the man to tell us what steps to go through in what you have asked?

MR. MERRILL: Your Honor, I'm not sure that he is, but he says that he developed those costs on his own and did not get them from the engineers, and I would like to know what the operations were that he developed the costs for and what the costs were.

Well, for the main land preparation, I obtained these, as I

dornbusch - cross - merrill

Frontier Reporting Service



	18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	it's in my report. Q Okay, what costs did you use for each of the components of the land development cost which I see is \$41 an acre throughout all of the Type VIII lands? A. For the Type VIII lands, that's right. Q I would like to know the cost you used for brush clearing, for land leveling, for soil breakup, and for fertilizer. dornbusch - cross - merrill
	19 20 21 22 23	Q Okay, what costs did you use for each of the components of the land development cost which I see is \$41 an acre throughout all of the Type VIII lands? A For the Type VIII lands, that's right. Q I would like to know the cost you used for brush clearing,
	19 20 21 22	Q Okay, what costs did you use for each of the components of the land development cost which I see is \$41 an acre throughout all of the Type VIII lands? A. For the Type VIII lands, that's right.
	19 20 21	Q Okay, what costs did you use for each of the components of the land development cost which I see is \$41 an acre throughout all of the Type VIII lands? A. For the Type VIII lands, that's right.
	19 20	Q Okay, what costs did you use for each of the components of the land development cost which I see is \$41 an acre throughout all of the Type VIII lands?
	19 20	Q Okay, what costs did you use for each of the components of the land development cost which I see is \$41 an acre
1	19	Q Okay, what costs did you use for each of the components of
ا		
	4.65	itle in my report
	17	we will an extension the following the control of t
		A. Land preparation, it varies by project area, and I believe
	16	Q Yes.
-	15	A. For land development?
	14	ment?
	13	Q (By Mr. Merrill) What costs did you use for land develop-
	12	Bureau of Reclamation or costed by them.
	11	essentially the components that were described by the
	10	There's soil breakup to loosen the soil, and those are
	9	that will be required.
	8	that's right. It's miniscule, but there's some of that
	7	THE WITNESS: Well, there are some little gullies,
	6	you are not going to have to do very much.
	5	THE SPECIAL MASTER: If this is sprinkler irrigation,
	4	lands we are talking about sprinkler irrigation.
	3	you have some gullies because we are talking in the new
	2	includes brush clearing, leveling where it's required if
-3	1	said, from the Bureau of Reclamation, and I believe this
		

4

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- A. Oh, I think the more appropriate way to describe this is to describe the components for the new project areas.
- Q Okay.
- We used -- and let me preface my remark first by saying that the figure that I obtained from the Bureau of Reclamation was a figure that they used as an all-inclusive figure, and I specifically asked them about the fact that, "Might you expect possibly lower yields in new project lands in the first year or second year?" And they said, "Well, that's a possibility."

I said, "Might you have to apply extra fertilizer to increase those yields up to that level?" And they said, "Yes, that would be -- there would be alternative ways of handling it. You could either start off with lower yields or put in the fertilizer."

They described operations that included plowing and clearing and preparing the soil, and the way it was expressed to me was that all of the operations and all of the things that you needed to do to get your first year yields right up to the level of continuous yields for the life of the project would be approximately \$25 per acre.

In addition to that, I added approximately \$5 for extra nitrogen fertilizer and \$6 for extra phosphorous because of the lime conditions in the soil and then

dornbusch - cross - merrill

handled rock removal on a project-by-project area basis according to the particular requirements for that project area. 14 15 16 19 23 24 25



		1	Q	(By Mr. Merrill) Do those comprise all of the land
		2	}	development costs you considered?
		3	A	I think yes, I think I described all the costs I
	-3	4		considered, yes.
		5	Q	Okay. I just wanted to make sure we had a complete
			*	list.
5		6		TTRE
		7		You stated that the third cost that you
		8		developed on your own was the operation, maintenance
		9		and repair costs, is that correct?
	-3	10	A	I don't know what the number was, but you are
		11		not talking about the VIII lands or the future
	-8	12		lands?
5	-3			
	-1	13	Q	The VIII lands. I think you said you developed
*		14		three areas on your own: Land development, fencing,
•		15		and OM and R.
·		16	A	I'm just trying to keep my answers straight and be
	فذ	17		sure we are all talking about the same thing at
نسن	الت	18		the same time. Yes, for the operations costs we
•		19		developed the operation, repairs and maintenance
ندسه	الت	20	<u> </u>	costs.
س	لنزا _			
-	النزا	21	Q	Would you explain to the Court how you do that?
•	• •	22		THE SPECIAL MASTER: Isn't this your \$85 for
•	و	23		all the projects, \$85 an acre?
•	-9	24		THE WITNESS: Yes, that's right, Your Honor.
•		25	dor	nbusch-cross-merrill
سي				Examples Constant

Frontier Reporting Service

(307) 635-8280

4 4		·····	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
		1	Q In what form did Dr. Mesghinna supply you information
	***	2	concerning operation, maintenance and repair costs?
	- 19	3	A What form?
	***	4	Q Yes.
		5	A Dollars per acre.
	3	6	Q Is that broken down by units?
		7	A Yes, it is.
	وت	8	Q Do you have that information with you?
		9	A It is on your Exhibit ED-54.
-		10	Q How did you determine the operation, maintenance
		11	
ت ت	و		and repair costs for the future lands?
ت منتشق		12	A Those were given to us directly by Wold excuse me,
شت		13	Dr. Mesghinna.
ن س		14	THE SPECIAL MASTER: By whom?
خس ند		15	THE WITNESS: Dr. Mesghinna.
er.	-8	16	THE SPECIAL MASTER: Just roughly what was that
•		17	figure per acre per for these lands.
•		18	THE WITNESS: For quick, the new or the VIII's?
		19	THE SPECIAL MASTER: The ones you are testifying
دس. سرم		20	on.
K. Garage		21	MR. MERRILL: The new lands.
r.		22	THE WITNESS: The new lands? They differ for
(23	each project area. For North Crowheart it is \$6.84.
r		24	THE SPECIAL MASTER: Per acre for OM and R?
•		25	dornbusch-cross-merrill
-			Frontier Reporting Service

4 -		
	1	THE WITNESS: Annual.
	2	THE SPECIAL MASTER: I see. If you would annualize
	3	that into your life, what figure did you get per
	4	acre?
	5	THE WITNESS: Over the life? I can give you
	6	what the present value is after my adjustments.
) 7	I believe for North Crowheart it's \$72 an acre; for
	8	South:Crowheart, \$85 an acre; for BigbHorn Flats,
		\$115 per acre; for Riverton East, \$85 per acre, and
	9	for Arapahoe, \$85 per acre.
	3	
	5 1 1	THE SPECIAL MASTER: Okay, thank you. Thank
	12	you, Mr. Merrill.
	5 13	Q (By Mr. Merrill) Those are present values discounted
)	over 100 years at four percent?
	p 14	A That's correct.
	1.7	Q Okay. Going back to your land development costs for
	3 17	a moment, did you assume that you would apply the
	18	same amount of first year fertilizing to all of the
	1 9	lands in the Type VIII projects?
	20	A Yes. We used an average cost per acre for the
	21	Type VIII lands.
	22	Q How did you determine the fertilizer requirements?
است		A Okay. There were two different fertilizer
المشاسر	23	
	24	requirements. One was phosphorus, and that's
	25	dornbusch-cross-merrill
		

	1	excuse me yes, that's asaa result of the lime
ر. وي-ر	2	conditions in the soil. It was developed on the
-	3	basis of information from HKM Engineers.
0	4	THE SPECIAL MASTER: Does that designate how
3	5	that fertilizer is designated? Is it zero dash one
3	6	hundred dash; zero? I've seen that in the reports,
	7	and I wondered about it.
	•	A That's precisely the idea. The number indicates
ج	8	
17	9	pounds of available fertilizer for the particular
-3	01	fertilizer.
	11	THE SPECIAL MASTER: I thought the first number
	12	was a particular nutrient, then the nitrogen,
	13	phosphorus
	3	THE WITNESS: That's right, each number
	15	regresents a different fertilizer, that's right.
	5 16	Q (By Mr. Merrill) Do the numbers reflect pounds of
	17	available fertilizer per acre?
	18	A I believe that's right.
***	19	THE SPECIAL MASTER: I thought the number
	20	reflected the percentage of the total packaged or
	21	sack as made up of that particular nutrient? We
	3 22	are talking about two different things, but I
	2 3	want to impress my line of thinking on this. This
	24	is because the land is so high in lime?
	25	dornbusch-cross-merrill
4	<u>.</u>	Frontier Reporting Service
3	_	" PEULLICE ALCUVELLIE UCEVALU

25

THE WITNESS: All right, the notations in the crop budget indicate the pounds of available fertilizer, but on a package, right, it's not a per acre, it's just as you described it, sir.

THE SPECIAL MASTER: The soils analysis of the land that goes into the Type VIII, let us say, were the Type VIII's analyzed by soil and the soil characteristics?

HKM discovered there was a considerable lime problem throughout the Reservation, and I can't remember the exact percentage, but there was -- oh, wait, just a moment. I think I may have it in my notes. Yes, HKM informed me, and I believe it was Ross Waples in particular, approximately three-quarters of the acreage has this lime condition, and as a result, there was a requirement of additional phosphate that's needed because of the lime problem in the early years. The lime condition -- the problem caused by that condition decreases through time, and that the requirement for the fertilizer decreases through time.

THE SPECIAL MASTER: Okay, thank you, Mr. Merrill.

dornbusch-cross-merrill

7 - A

1	MR. MERRILL: You're welcome, Your Honor.
2	Q (By Mr. Merrill) Are these two nutrients that are
3	included in the land development costs one-shot
4	applications the first year only?
5	A No, they're not oh, in the land development
6	costs they are for the following reason: That we
7	are applying fertilizer during the project, and I
8	specifically checked to see if sufficient fertilizer
9	was going to be included that would be adequate
10	to solve those problems and to provide for the
11	crops as needed, and I made my calculations based
12	upon what additional fertilizer would be required
13	in the first year of the project and if additional
14	fertilizer was required in the following years until
15	the problem was no longer occurring, and I only
16	added the fertilizer to the point where the problem
17	occurred and added that additional fertilizer and
18	costed it.
19	
20	
21	
22	* * *
23	
24	
25	dornbusch-cross-merrill

- 22
- 23
- 24

dornbusch - cross - merrill

tions supplied to you by HKM?

ments, did you consider the soils information in the form

of soils logs or aerial photographs and land classifica-

I spoke with HKM about this very situation, and I did not interpret their soils -- I don't believe I have that capability. I had them interpret their soils information for me and discussed the situation and reached an understanding as to what would be the nutrient required.

For example, it was directly from Ross Waples that I obtained the understanding of how many -- what proportion of the acres would require the phosphate application and the pounds required to solve the problem.

- Q What problem is the additional first year nitrogen to solve, if you know?
- A. I believe it's just the fact that you are going to be growing crops on raw ground and because of the expected fact that you would have lower nitrogen in the soil. When you are going to be cultivating it right from the first, you need an extra jolt of nitrogen to bring that level up.

As you continue through your agricultural development, you're applying nitrogen as well as the other nutrients, and it's shown in the crop budgets, and you also have the nitrogen fixing capacity of the alfalfa, so you are adding nitrogen throughout.

- Q Did you include any first year costs for adding organic matter to the soils, such as manure?
- A. No, I didn't.
- Q Did you say earlier that your rock removal costs were dornbusch cross merrill



	
	determined on a tract-by-tract or field-by-field basis?
A.	The rock removal costs were calculated on the basis of the
	number of acres in each of the new project areas that have
	that problem.
Q	How did you determine what acreages had that problem?
A.	From Ross Waples. He told us the acreage in each project
	area.
Q	How do you figure out what it costs to remove rock?
A.	Well, you can do it one of two ways. You can either find
	out what a custom operator would charge you and use that,
	if you can find a custom operator to do it.
	If you can't, which is the situation in our case, we
	went about buying the equipment and operating the equipment
	to remove the rocks and calculated the fixed and variable
	costs for operating that equipment just as we did fixed and
	variable costs for other equipment in the crop budgets.
Q	Mr. Dornbusch, what is the difference between charges for
	energy and charges for power on Table 5 of Exhibit C-278?
A.	Okay. There's a charge forthe amount of akilowatt hours
	you consume, and that's energy,
	There's also a charge that's effectively to recognize
	that you may have a peak demand, and it's a charge according
	to the horsepower, and I believe that's the difference,
	that you have a charge for the kw and a charge for the kwh.
dor	nbusch - cross - merrill
	Q A.

1		One is power and one is energy.
2	Q.	What costs did you use in your analysis for those two items
3		for kw and for kwh, respectively?
4	A.	For the energy and power?
5	Q.	Yes.
6	A.	I used the costs that were given to me directly by Dr.
7		Mesghinna.
8	Q	Do you have those costs with you?
9	A.	Well, I believe they were the same costs that were shown
10		in his report.
11	Q	Okay.
12	A.	I shouldn't say I believe. They are the same costs shown
13		in his report.
14	Q	Is that true with respect to the future lands as well as
15		the Type VIII lands?
16	A.	The source of those figures?
17	Q.	Yes.
18	A.	Yes, that's right.
19	Q	Did you receive a detailed breakdown of operation, mainten-
20		ance and repair costs for Dr. Mesghinna for the Type VIII
21		lands?
22		THE SPECIAL MASTER: Did he receive a detailed break-
23		down of operational costs, is that what you asked?
24		MR. MERRILL: Yes, Your Honor, operation, maintenance
25	do	rnbusch - cross - merrill
	1	Examples Deposition Complete

1		and repair costs for the Type VIII lands.
2	A.	Am I misunderstanding, or isn't this the same question you
3	<u></u>	asked me before?
4		THE SPECIAL MASTER: We went right through it just
5		recently with the 85 comparisons to the figures he gave me
6		for each of the project lands compared tobthe Type VIIIs.
7		MR. MERRILL: I'm asking if he received a list from
7 8		Dr. Mesghinna showing the components of the O, M & R costs.
9	:	THE SPECIAL MASTER: Very well.
9	A.	Oh, the components?
11	Q.	(By Mr. Merrill) Yes.
12][Well, he gave us a total.
13	Q.	He didn't give you any breakdown by components, the amount
14	Q. A. A.	of labor included
15	A.	Oh, I see.
16	Q	and the other charges?
17	A.	Let's see. We obtained from Joe DeMaggio of Stetson
18		Engineers the number of irrigations that would be required
19		for each of the crops in the Type VIII lands.
20	Q	What are those numbers of irrigations by each crop?
21	A.	Okay. For nurse oat hay and barley, at the high elevation,
22		4 irrigations; for nurse oat hay, barley and corn, low ele-
23		vation, 5 irrigations; for highland, 7 irrigations; and
24		for alfalfa, 8 irrigations.
25	dori	nbusch - cross - merrill

1	Q.	Are the hay and alfalfa figures both highland and lowland?
2	A.	Yes.
3	Q.	Now, let's go back to the breakdown of O, M & R costs that
4		you received from Dr. Mesghinna.
5	A.	Let me check something.
6	Q.	Okay.
7		MR. ECHOHAWK: Mr. Merrill, could I inquire, did you
8		ask about on-farm O; M & R or system O, M & R?
9		MR. MERRILL: I'm asking about those that are shown on
10		Table 5 of Exhibit 278 for right now.
11		THE WITNESS: I didn't hear the answer. Was that for
12		on-farm or system?
13	Q.	(By Mr. Merrill) Let's start with the system and we'll go
14		to the on-farm.
15	A.	Oh, were you asking for the system? I misunderstood you.
16		I was giving you the answer for the on-farm.
17	Q	As to the number of irrigations?
18	A.	Yes.
19	Q.	Okay.
20	A.	If you are asking about the system
21	Q.	We can do them in either order. I want to ask you about
22		the system and the on-farm, and whatever is more convenient.
23	A.	Yes. Okay. If you are asking about the system We can do them in either order. I want to ask you about the system and the on-farm, and whatever is more convenient. It was the system that I thought I answered. That's why I
24		was talking about the on-farm.
25	doı	rnbusch - cross - merrill
	ii i	Examples Demonting Comples

	1	THE SPECIAL MASTER: When you say systems, aren't you
	2	talking about on-farm systems? What other system is there
	3	besides on-farm in this lawsuit?
	4	THE WITNESS: You have the irrigation system, the
	5	sprinkler systems. We call that that's the on-farm
	6	
	 }	operations. Then there's the entire system of the pipes
وند	7	and canals.
	8	THE SPECIAL MASTER: Well, the entire system of pipes
والم	9	and canals, gentlemen, as I understand it, is broken down
وتا	10	into either pipe network
-	11	THE WITNESS: That's right, but there's an operation,
	12	maintenance and repair of that installed system.
	13	THE SPECIAL MASTER: But when you talk about systems,
	14	I thought you were talking about on-farm, and that's the
	15	only term I have heard in the two years as Special Master.
	16	If there is some other definition being used, I think we
	17	should know about it, so I think your question was confus-
	18	ing.
	19	MR. MERRILL: I'm sorry, Your Honor, I didn't intend
	20	for it to be.
	21	MR. ECHOHAWK: Your Honor, I believe the difference
	22	was there's a conveyance system and then there's the on-farm
	23	sprinkler system.
	24	THE SPECIAL MASTER: Well, if you will keep your sales -
	2 5	dornbusch - cross - merrill
		Frontier Reporting Service
	ŀ	409 West 24th Street

25

questions on the specific categories that are now under investment costs and now under operating costs, we will have a better chance to make some sense and reason out of this record. Otherwise, it's a quagmire of impossible facts and figures, totally impossible to make sense out of for any human being except those who have been listening to this, and I doubt after six months that we will even know.

MR. ROGERS: I would like to say for the record at this time that this was one of the reasons that we put on Mr. Dornbusch twice, once to discuss future and once to discuss historic in order to keep some of these things separate and for the cross-examination to be that way.

THE SPECIAL MASTER: Go ahead with your deposition and your cross-examination.

Thank you, Your Honor. MR. MERRILL:

Frontier Reporting Service



				
	انت انت	1	Q	(By Mr. Merrill) Mr. Dornbusch, in developing the
	-3	2		operation, repairs and maintenance charges shown
1 mg	-	3		on Table 5 of Exhibit C-268
	3	4	A	Well, let me get C-268 just to be sure I have it.
	3	5		I don't know if I have it okay, Table 5, 268,
		6		yes.
	3			Did you receive from Dr. Mesghinna a breakdown of
	9	7	×	
	e	8		the various components of the operation, repairs and
	3	9	:	maintenance charges?
	•	10	A	You are talking about the operation costs, operation
تت	-9	11		repairs and maintenance that shows as #\$85 for each
	***	12		of the units?
		13	Q	That's correct.
	-9	14	A	Okay. No, as I explained, we received from Dr.
		15		Mesghinna the annual cost that I believe he obtained
شش		16		from Mr. Crook. We did not obtain a breakdown.
		17	Q	Did you make any adjustments to those figures you
- عا	3	18		received from Dr. Mesghinna other than discounting
·				them to a present value?
سرين		19		
سين		20	A	Yes, I made the same adjustments I described for
سوسيا		21		the other systems other components of the
سعي		22		systems; that is opportunity cost of labor and
المسما		23		normalizing, as well as the discounting to present
		24		value.
بسيية		25	dori	nbusch-cross-merrill
سي.	—			

1	Q	Did you use the same percentage figures for labor
2		that you discussed earlier in conjunction with the
3		construction costs?
4	A	No, I did not.
5	Q	Will you please tell the Court what numbers you used
6		to adjust the labor charges for an opportunity cost?
7	A	Yes. I used the average percent of budget for the
8		future projects, skilled and unskilled.
9		THE SPECIAL MASTER; Let's don't go down that
10		road too much further again. You have been down it
11		I think thoroughly.
12		MR. MERRILL: Your Honor, I have never inquired
13		of this Witness as to the average percent of his
14		budget in OM and R costs devoted to labor, and I
15		don't believe it has been brought out in either the
16		direct or the cross-examination.
17		THE SPECIAL MASTER: All right, proceed, if
18		that's the case.
19	Q	(By Mr. Merrill) Mr. Dornbusch, can you identify
20		the labor charges, going through them quickly, and
21		how you determined the percentages?
22		THE SPECIAL MASTER: Was there a difference
23		between how you apportioned these labor charges
24		and the labor dollar charges that you just testified
25	dor	nbusch-cross-merrill

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

to earlier this morning? Is the ratio the same or the proportion the same?

THE WITNESS: Well, the percentages that I testified to earlier were with respect to the investment costs of the system -- of the delivery system, the pipes and pumping plant and that sort of thing. We are now talking about the operation and maintenance.

THE SPECIAL MASTER: Right, and is there a difference?

THE WITNESS: Yes.

THE SPECIAL MASTER: And would you tell us that difference?

Yes. Okay, the system OM and labor, operation repair maintenance and labor, was developed from the table excluding the water master and pump specialists.

This is for the adjustments for opportunity cost, feeling that these were the individuals requiring degrees, skills and could not be drawn directly from the unskilled labor force -- excuse me, from the labor force on the Reservation, they required special skills. The remaining employees we obtained from Dr. Mesghinna's list of employment, and we calculated the total payroll from his dollars

dornbusch-cross-merrill

1		for each and then determined the skilled and
2		unskilled labor costs per acre, and from that
3		used 80 percent as the opportunity cost on the
4		basis there was 80 percent being drawn from the
5		unskilled labor force.
6	Q	(By Mr. Merrill) Are those components of skilled
7		and unskilled labor and your other calculations
8	<u>.</u>	shown on your notes to which you are referring?
9	A	Yes, they are.
10	Q	May I see those, please?
11	A	Yes, you may.
12		(Whereupon, the Witness
		(hands a document to Counsel.
13		
13 14	Q	(By Mr. Merrill) Mr. Dornbusch, would you please
	Q	
14	Q	(By Mr. Merrill) Mr. Dornbusch, would you please
14 15	Q	(By Mr. Merrill) Mr. Dornbusch, would you please identify what has been temporarily marked as ED-56?
14 15 16	Q	(By Mr. Merrill) Mr. Dornbusch, would you please identify what has been temporarily marked as ED-56? Yes. These are my notes as to the skilled and
14 15 16	A	(By Mr. Merrill) Mr. Dornbusch, would you please identify what has been temporarily marked as ED-56? Yes. These are my notes as to the skilled and unskilled labor payroll, that's the annual payroll,
14 15 16 17	A	(By Mr. Merrill) Mr. Dornbusch, would you please identify what has been temporarily marked as ED-56? Yes. These are my notes as to the skilled and unskilled labor payroll, that's the annual payroll, and excludes the water master and pumping specialist
14 15 16 17 18	A	(By Mr. Merrill) Mr. Dornbusch, would you please identify what has been temporarily marked as ED-56? Yes. These are my notes as to the skilled and unskilled labor payroll, that's the annual payroll, and excludes the water master and pumping specialist for the system; OM and R labor for the North Crowheart,
14 15 16 17 18 20	A	(By Mr. Merrill) Mr. Dornbusch, would you please identify what has been temporarily marked as ED-56? Yes. These are my notes as to the skilled and unskilled labor payroll, that's the annual payroll, and excludes the water master and pumping specialist for the system; OM and R labor for the North Crowheart, South Crowheart, Riverton East, Arapahoe and Big
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A	(By Mr. Merrill) Mr. Dornbusch, would you please identify what has been temporarily marked as ED-56? Yes. These are my notes as to the skilled and unskilled labor payroll, that's the annual payroll, and excludes the water master and pumping specialist for the system; OM and R labor for the North Crowheart, South Crowheart, Riverton East, Arapahoe and Big Horn Flats Units of the new project areas; and it
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A	(By Mr. Merrill) Mr. Dornbusch, would you please identify what has been temporarily marked as ED-56? Yes. These are my notes as to the skilled and unskilled labor payroll, that's the annual payroll, and excludes the water master and pumping specialist for the system; OM and R labor for the North Crowheart, South Crowheart, Riverton East, Arapahoe and Big Horn Flats Units of the new project areas; and it shows the net acres of those areas; skilled and

Frontier Reporting Service



1	1		
	1	zero opportunity cost.	
	2	Q Thank you.	
-	3	THE SPECIAL MASTER: That document is ED what	ŀ
	4	number?	
	5	THE WITNESS: Fifty-six.	
	6	THE SPECIAL MASTER: Thank you.	
	7	Q (By Mr. Merrill) Mr. Dornbusch, would you please	
مرساني	8	describe how you determined the present value for	
0	9	contingencies shown in Table 5 of Exhibit C-278?	
	10	A It's conceptually the very same way we did it for	
	11	the new project lands, and I believe I described	
	12	that, that process, in detail when I gave my	
	13	original testimony for the new project lands, and	
	14	I think I repeated it again.	
والمستح	15	THE SPECIAL MASTER: You repeated it for me	
	• *	the second I asked about it the second time.	
	17	I'm still not happy about the increase, but that	
هنسي	18	is my pique.	
فلسور	19	THE WITNESS: It is too high?	
المري	20	THE SPECIAL MASTER: Well, 25 percent you took	
م د	21	of the on-farm inventory, then you added a factor	
فمرب	22	do you want to tell it again :- Because you felt	
السوب	23	there was an inevitable overrun on everything that	
	24	will be done?	
	25	dornbusch-cross-merrill	
في	<u> </u>		+

~

سل

Sport.

THE WITNESS: Iddidn't apply this factor, it comes directly from Tom Stetson. THE SPECIAL MASTER: But you didn't discount it, you used it in your calculations. I see what you mean, it originated with him. THE WITNESS: That's right. I used that factor on the advise of the engineers that oversaw the design of this system, and I don't know all of the components that he feels goes into it. I know it includes design, I know he feels it included the 10 level of risk that you have to put in, but it very 11 well may be excessive. You may go out and find 12 the estimates --13 THE SPECIAL MASTER: It is not my problem to 14 prove it is excessive, Mr. Dornbusch. 15 THE WITNESS: I don't know either. 16 MR. ROGERS: Your Honor, I would only say with 17 respect to that, as high as you think it is, the 18 higher it is the more it hurts our case. 19 THE SPECIAL MASTER: I just noticed that fact, 20 and all of you are aware of it. 21 THE WITNESS: I am just trying to keep track 22 of what you are taking from me. 23 I don!t blame you at all. MR. MERRILL: 24 dornbusch-cross-merrill 25



1	THE SPECIAL MASTER: Go ahead.
2	MR. MERRILL: Thank you, Your Honor.
3	Q (By Mr. Merrill) Mr. Dornbusch, I direct your
4	attention to Table 2 of Exhibit C-278. It appears
5	on Page 3. Please tell the Court how you determined
6	the sprinkler irrigation costs for the crops shown
7	on Table 2.
8	MR. ECHOHAWK: Could I have the question read
9	back?
10	THE WITNESS: Give me a chance to
11	THE REPORTER: Just a minute while I read the
12	question back.
13	(Whereupon, the Reporter (read the back, "Q Mr.
14	(Dornbusch, I direct your (your attention to Table 2
15	(your accention to Table 2 (of Exhibit C-278. It (appears on Page 3. Please
16	(tell the Court how you (determined the sprinkler
17	(irrigation costs for the (crops shown on Table 2."
18	forobe anown on repre ve
19	
20	
21	
22	* * * *
23	
24	
25	dornbusch-cross-merrill
······································	

dornbusch - cross - merrill

future lands?

24

25

· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		<u> </u>
	1	
	2	
	3	
	4	
	5	
	6	
	7	
	8	
	9	
	10	•
	11	
	12	
	13	
	14	
	15	
	16	
	17	
	18	
	19	
	20	
	21	
	22	
	23	

25

A Oh, no. I used the identical calculation process. As I said, it's the same thing that's done here as in the future lands, but the costs are different.

- Q Would you tell the Court what costs you used for the future lands?
- A Okay. On the Type VIII lands we only have hand-moved sprinklers. On the future lands there are both hand-move and side-roll sprinklers.

And the on-farm irrigation system OM and R costs for the side-roll for each of the project areas are as follows: for North Crowheart, \$4.88; for South Crowheart, \$5.86; for Big Horn Flats, \$5.08; for Arapahoe, \$5.28; for Riverton East, \$5.75.

Then for the hand-move sprinklers, for North Crow-heart, \$6.04; South Crowheart, \$7.09; for Big Horn Flats, \$6.07; for Arapahoe, \$6.37; for Riverton East, \$7.20.

We then weighted those, and I believe I described the weighting process according to the percentage of hand-move and side-roll sprinklers to obtain the weighted average for the OM and R costs, and in the new project -- excuse me -- in the Type VIII lands we didn't apply any weighting process, but the process for calculating those repairs, using maintenance, miscellaneous overhead and management -- those things are the same process.

dornbusch - cross - merrill

G	_		
9	1	Ç	Thank you. I direct your attention to page 18 of Exhibit
3	2		C-278.
-39	3	A	Page
3	4	Q	18.
3	5	A	18?
	6	0	Yes.
	7		I'm sorry. It should be page 19.
9	8	A	Okay. Now, we are talking about Type VII lands; is that
-9		"	correct?
	9		
	10	Ω	Yes.
	11	A	Give me a moment and I'll get that file.
	12	Q	Okay. The first question will be how did you determine
	13		your yields for water-short lands, if that will help you
	14		look for the right stuff.
	15	A	The yields for water-short lands?
	16	ζ	Yes.
	17		THE SPECIAL MASTER: Is your question really water-
	18		short Type VII lands?
	19		MR. MERRILL: Yes, Your Honor.
	20	A	Okay. The yields that are shown in that table were on
	21		the basis of interviews with Lynn Merrick, Agricultural
	22		Extension Officer at Fort Washakie; Wes Seamands, who
	23		is an Agricultural Extension I can find his title,
			I believe
	24	dor	nbusch - cross - merrill
1	25		

THE SPECIAL MASTER: I think you have described it earlier, so you need not search for it. THE WITNESS: All right. -- on the basis of an interview with Gordon Kearl, and 4 some publications from the University of Nebraska by 5 6 agronomists. (By Mr. Merrill) Do you have your notes concerning the Q interviews and a list of the University of Nebraska's 8 publications handy so that you need not describe all of 9 that in detail? 10 MR. ECHOHAWK: Objection, Your Honor. Mr. Merrill 11 is not entitled to see those unless the witness refers 12 to them. 13 THE SPECIAL MASTER: I'll sustain it, Mr. Merrill. 14 (By Mr. Merrill) Mr. Dornbusch, what University of Q 15 Nebraska publications did you consult? 16 They were publications by --Α 17 THE SPECIAL MASTER: Mr. Merrill, I'm going to 18 inject the termination of your inquiry of that publica-19 tion from the University of Nebraska. 20 You asked for his sources of information for the 21 annual yields on this table. He gave you about seven 22 of them. Six, I think, were individuals he talked to 23 and this publication. 24 dornbusch - cross - merrill 25

rontier Keporti

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

That's adequate. That's adequate in total answer to your question. I don't think we need to go into another ten or fifteen minutes of what publication and agronomist from what department from the University of Nebraska on what date and what page.

The record is so damn full of that stuff that will

The record is so damn full of that stuff that will never be looked at by anybody -- I tell you in all compassion and honesty --

MR. MERRILL: If I had the names of the publications, I can assure you the State of Wyoming would look at them.

THE SPECIAL MASTER: You can put on your own case in a little while. You don't have to try your case with this witness. You are doing your deposition now and you are doing your cross-examination now, and you are going to have your case with months to try that.

Go find the publication at the University of Nebraska and at Lincoln. You asked it and he doesn't know. That's adequate, and I'm going to terminate any more questions on that subject matter.

I'm being scolded now by most of the judges in the nation for allowing much too much time in this case anyway.

Q (By Mr. Merrill) Mr. Dornbusch, would you turn to table
7 on the previous page of Exhibit C-278?

dornbusch - cross - merrill

Frontier Reporting Service



และคราช พ.ศ. 25 มีการที่สาราช มีการที่สาราช มีการาช มีสาราช และ และ และ และ เพียง และ และ และ และ และ และ เป็น เการาช เการาช และ เป็น เการาช เการาช เการาช เการาช และ เการาช และ เการาช เการาช เการาช เการาช เการาช เการาช เก

they informed us as to what quantities we could expect and when. Q What quantities did they tell you to expect? A I believe they told us that we could expect full water up to I can't remember the exact period, but it was in early July sometime. 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 dornbusch ~ cross - merrill			409 West 24th Street Frontier Reporting Service 201 Midwest Building
they informed us as to what quantities we could expect and when. What quantities did they tell you to expect? I believe they told us that we could expect full water up to I can't remember the exact period, but it was in early July sometime. 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 ***** 18 19 20 21 22 23		25	dornbusch - cross - merrill
they informed us as to what quantities we could expect and when. What quantities did they tell you to expect? I believe they told us that we could expect full water up to I can't remember the exact period, but it was in early July sometime. 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 * * * * * * 18 19 20 21 22		24	
they informed us as to what quantities we could expect and when. What quantities did they tell you to expect? A I believe they told us that we could expect full water up to I can't remember the exact period, but it was in early July sometime. 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 ***** 18 19 20 21 22		23	
they informed us as to what quantities we could expect and when. What quantities did they tell you to expect? I believe they told us that we could expect full water up to I can't remember the exact period, but it was in early July sometime. 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 ******		22	
they informed us as to what quantities we could expect and when. What quantities did they tell you to expect? I believe they told us that we could expect full water up to I can't remember the exact period, but it was in early July sometime. 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 * * * * * * * 18 19		21	
they informed us as to what quantities we could expect and when. What quantities did they tell you to expect? I believe they told us that we could expect full water up to I can't remember the exact period, but it was in early July sometime. 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 *****		20	
they informed us as to what quantities we could expect and when. What quantities did they tell you to expect? A I believe they told us that we could expect full water up to I can't remember the exact period, but it was in early July sometime. 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 * * * * * *		19	
they informed us as to what quantities we could expect and when. What quantities did they tell you to expect? I believe they told us that we could expect full water up to I can't remember the exact period, but it was in early July sometime. 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16		18	
they informed us as to what quantities we could expect and when. Q What quantities did they tell you to expect? A I believe they told us that we could expect full water up to I can't remember the exact period, but it was in early July sometime. 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15		17	* * *
they informed us as to what quantities we could expect and when. Q What quantities did they tell you to expect? A I believe they told us that we could expect full water up to I can't remember the exact period, but it was in early July sometime. 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14		16	
they informed us as to what quantities we could expect and when. What quantities did they tell you to expect? A I believe they told us that we could expect full water up to I can't remember the exact period, but it was in early July sometime. 7 8 9 10 11		15	
they informed us as to what quantities we could expect and when. Q What quantities did they tell you to expect? A I believe they told us that we could expect full water up to I can't remember the exact period, but it was in early July sometime. 7 8 9 10 11 12		14	
they informed us as to what quantities we could expect and when. What quantities did they tell you to expect? A I believe they told us that we could expect full water up to I can't remember the exact period, but it was in early July sometime. 7 8 9 10 11		13	
they informed us as to what quantities we could expect and when. Q What quantities did they tell you to expect? A I believe they told us that we could expect full water up to I can't remember the exact period, but it was in early July sometime. 7 8 9		12	
they informed us as to what quantities we could expect and when. What quantities did they tell you to expect? A I believe they told us that we could expect full water up to I can't remember the exact period, but it was in early July sometime.		11	
they informed us as to what quantities we could expect and when. What quantities did they tell you to expect? A I believe they told us that we could expect full water up to I can't remember the exact period, but it was in early July sometime.		10	
they informed us as to what quantities we could expect and when. What quantities did they tell you to expect? A I believe they told us that we could expect full water up to I can't remember the exact period, but it was in early July sometime.		9	
they informed us as to what quantities we could expect and when. What quantities did they tell you to expect? A I believe they told us that we could expect full water up to I can't remember the exact period, but it was in early July sometime.	9	8	
they informed us as to what quantities we could expect and when. What quantities did they tell you to expect? A I believe they told us that we could expect full water up to I can't remember the exact period, but it was	3	7	
they informed us as to what quantities we could expect and when. What quantities did they tell you to expect? A I believe they told us that we could expect full water			
they informed us as to what quantities we could expect and when. What quantities did they tell you to expect?		}	
they informed us as to what quantities we could expect and when.	7		
they informed us as to what quantities we could expect	70 24		
3		2	
1.0-7	-2	•	
6163		10-7	·



1	Q (By Mr. Merrill) And no water thereafter or some
2	limited amount?
3	A And I believe it was no water thereafter until
4	that's by irrigation until the rains later in
5	the season.
6	Q Did you use those assumptions in computing all of
7	the water short yields shown on Table 8?
8	A Yes, I believe we did, that's right.
9	Q Did you consult any published sources concerning
10	yields in water shortages?
11	A I believe that I already referred you to two of
12	the articles, and there are possibly more.
13	Q I direct your attention to Table 12 on Page 23 of
<u>;</u> 14	Exhibit C-278.
15	A Yes, I see that table.
16	Q Okay. Did you use the same method you described
17	with respect to the Type VIII lands to determine
18	the on-farm irrigation costs for the Type VII lands?
19	A Yes, I did. I believe it's, in fact, the same table
20	excuse me, I beg your pardon, I was answering too
21	quickly. I was answering just for the sprinklers,
22	and I neglected to look at the portion of the table
23	that refers to flood, and we did not do a calculation
24	for flood irrigation for the Type VIII lands, only
25	dornbusch-cross-merrill
<u></u>	**************************************

A TABLE

1	for VII.
2	Q Will you please explain how you did the flood
3	irrigation costs for Type VII lands? Let's start
4	with full irrigation.
5	A All right. For each crop we first recognized the
6	fact that we were going to need equipment for which
7	we had not already calculated the fixed costs and
8	the variable costs.
9	THE SPECIAL MASTER: Are you talking now about
10	the crops on Page 23, Table 12 in answer to Mr.
11	Merrill's earlier question on that table?
12	Are we still on Table 12, Mr. Merrill?
13	MR. MERRILL: Yes, Your Honor, we are.
14	THE SPECIAL MASTER: Yes?
15	THE WITNESS: Yes, Up to this point we have
16	only been talking about sprinkler irrigation, and
17	my calculations have only been for sprinkler irrigation.
18	We are now talking about flood irrigation. To
19	operate a flood irrigation system, you require
20	equipment that I have not already discussed and
21	calculated the fixed and variable costs. So it
22	requires some additional equipment.
23	Q (By Mr. Merrill) Why don't you describe to the
24	Court what kind of equipment you are talking about.
25	dornbusch-cross-merrill
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·



A

المسطي

المسالي

Okay. For the flood irrigation you require a ditcher, siphon, and gaited pipes, siphon tubes and gaited pipe. It's just -- I need the fixed and variable costs for this equipment I hadn't gotten already.

THE SPECIAL MASTER: Those cost figures are considerably lower certainly than the full irrigation sprinkler for the same place, are they not?

THE WITNESS: That's right.

THE SPECIAL MASTER: Why then are they listed higher on Page 23? If you can grow alfalfa for \$7.48 an acre with full irrigation sprinkler, why would you want to use flood surface and have it cost seven eighty?

THE WITNESS: I believe the problem is you have some constraints of the land.

THE SPECIAL MASTER: Constraints of the land?

Couldn't it be you got some constraints on some

water use? You may have it flooded in some months

and it's scarce two months later?

THE WITNESS: Well, I think the question was better directed to the engineers. They were the ones that advised us as to where we would use flood irrigation and where sprinkler irrigion, and they

dornbusch-cross-merrill

Frontier Reporting Service

409 West 24th Street Cheyenne, WY 82001 (307) 635-8280



21

22

23

24

25

were the ones that made that decision.

THE SPECIAL MASTER: On idle lands why would it cost \$5.70 with hand-move and side-roll sprinkler systems ---

THE WITNESS: I believe hand-move.

THE SPECIAL MASTER: -- and end up paying \$7.21 when you are flooding the same place and you can flood it with shovels and some siphons and a ditch and nothing else? Why would it cost \$2 more?

of labor in there. There is that extra -- there's that equipment that is required. You must open the ditch, perform the irrigations, close the ditch. You have the tractors and the implements to do that and you have the labor.

THE SPECIAL MASTER: Is there a breakdown of the figures that you have in additional costs when you surface irrigate compared to the cost when you sprinkler -- which would show the sprinkler costs run an awful lot of energy cost for your pumping?

THE WITNESS: Oh, okay. The energy costs, the energy costs, show up in that system cost that you have for delivering the water.

THE SPECIAL MASTER: So it would be included dornbusch-cross-merrill

Frontier Reporting Service

409 West 24th Street Cheyenne, WY 82001 (307) 635-8280



in the surface figure also, is that right, the energy costs? THE WITNESS: Yes. To get the water to the THE SPECIAL MASTER: ditches? 5 THE WITNESS: To provide the energy required 6 to get water to the ditches. THE SPECIAL MASTER: Okay. Thank you, Mr. Merrill. 8 (By Mr. Merrill) Mr. Dornbusch, in determining the Q 9 labor requirements for surface irrigation, did you 10 opportunity cost them in the same methods and using 11 12 the same proportions as for sprinkler irrigation? 13 I handled the labor the same way, that's right. A 14 Would you please describe the distinctions --Q 15 A Wait, I'm thinking about your question. I'm 16 wondering exactly what you meant. Could you restate your question? 17 Yes. In determining the irrigation on -- on-farm Q 18 irrigation costs for the Type VII lands -- I'm 19 referring to Table 12, Page 23 of Exhibit C-278 --20 did you opportunity cost the labor required for 21 flood irrigation in the same and using the same 22 proportions -- I'm referring to the 80 percent and 23 so forth --24



25

dornbusch-crossymerrill

The second secon

1	A	Just one proportion, that's what confused me.
2		All right.
3	Q	as you did in computing the sprinkler irrigation
4		labor costs?
5	A	Well, let me just answer simply that I used an 80
6		percent opportunity cost of the labor in the flood
 7		irrigation.
8	Q	That's for all labor and flood irrigation, is that
9		correct?
10	A	Not the management labor. The management labor I
11		handled the same way as I explained before.
12	Q	Okay. Could you please describe to the Court the
13		distinctions in your analysis to determine the:
14		on-farm irrigation costs for flood irrigation in
15		water short years?
16	A	Well, if I understand your question, the distinction
17		is in the number of times you irrigate; When you run
18		out of water you stop irrigating. Is that what you
19		are referring to?
20	Ω	Uh-huh. How many flood irrigations do your figures
21		on Table 12 assume, first, for full irrigation?
22	A	For malt barley, five irrigations, full water;
23		for the nurse barley and nurse oat hay, full water,
24		six irrigations; for alfalfa, full water, four
25	dor	nbusch-cross-merrill
······································	 	

The state of the same of the s



i	
ì	irrigations; for alfalfa and hay land, water short,
2	two irrigations; for
3	THE SPECIAL MASTER: Alfalfa and hay lands,
4	water short?
5	THE WITNESS: Right.
6	THE SPECIAL MASTER: You don't have a category
7	like that on Table 12, but go ahead.
8	MR. MERRILL: I believe he does, Your Honor,
9	under Class 4.
10	THE WITNESS: Grass hay lands, Your Honor.
11	THE SPECIAL MASTER: All right, thank you. How
12	many, four times?
13	THE WITHESS: For partial water, two times.
14	THE SPECIAL MASTER: Okay.
15	THE WITNESS: For nurse oat hay, partial water,
16	three times.
17	Q Are the number of irrigations for nurse oat hay the
18	same for Class 4 lands as for Classes 1 through 3?
19	That would apply only to the water short.
20	A I'm sorry, would you repeat that question, please?
21	Q Let me rephrase it because I saw part of it didn't
22	make sense upon looking at your table. Did you
23	also use you said you used three irrigations for
24	nurse oat hay, and I'm wondering for water short
25	dornbusch-cross-merrill

1		surface irrigations. My question is: Is that the
2		same number of irrigations for Classes 1 through 3
1 2 3 4 5		as Class 4? I note you have the same cost figure.
4	A	For water short?
5	Q	Yes.
6	A .	Yes, I believe that's right.
7	Q	Okay. I think the one figure you haven't told me
8		is grass hay land, full irrigation.
9	A	Hay land, full irrigation, five times.
10	Q	Thank you. I direct your attention to Table 14 on
11		Page 35 of Exhibit C-278.
12	A	Page excuse me?
13	Q	Thirty-five. Would you please describe to the Court
14		how you determined the transportation costs shown on
15		Table 14?
16		
17		
18		
19		* * * *
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		•
25	doı	nbusch-cross-merrill

1		how those clusters might conceivably be farmed and then
2		checked the distances, the added distances, that might be
3		expected and measured the distances, the average distances.
4		We found that we'd be hauling equipment an extra 5
5		miles each way, so it was necessary, we felt, to add a cost
6		for moving equipment an additional 10 miles.
7	Q.	Is that for each acre of land over the whole season?
8	A.	It's 10 miles for each time you have to move the equipment
9		to that acre for its operation on that acre. It comes out
10		to be .33 miles per acre.
11	Q	Does that apply to all of the pieces of equipment shown
12		on Table 14?
	A.	Yes, that's right, it does.
14	Q.	Do these figures include all of the variable costs
15	A.	associated with moving the equipment?
16	A.	That's right, variable cost.
17	- II	Do I understand correctly that the distance you would have
18		to move each piece of equipment is the same over the grow-
19		ing season, or would you have to move, for example, a
20		truck more often than a tractor?
21		THE SPECIAL MASTER: I don't understand that ques-
22		tion. I can't imagine Do you understand the question?
23		THE WITNESS: I'm not sure I do.
24		MR. MERRILL: Value : I'll rephrase it, Your Honor.
25	đoi	rnbusch - cross - merrill



.;≥,,

11	
1	Q (By Mr. Merrill) Is it correct that you Strike that and
2	let me start over.
3	THE SPECIAL MASTER: These are all fields not in the
4	Federal Irrigation Project, you know?
5	MR. MERRILL: I understand that, Your Honor. The
6	witness has testified, as I understand it, that he would
7	move each piece of equipment .33 miles per acre.
8	THE SPECIAL MASTER: Of his mean.
9	THE WITNESS: That was the average, right.
10	THE SPECIAL MASTER: The average figure.
11	MR. MERRILL: My question is: Does that .33 apply
12	to all of the equipment
13	THE SPECIAL MASTER: He answered that and said, yes,
14	it does.
15	A. That's the miles per acre times the number of and then
16	you must multiply that by the number of movements that
17	each piece of equipment requires.
18	THE SPECIAL MASTER: And I think Mr. Merrill's ques-
19	tion dealt with does every piece of equipment get moved
20	the same distance each year?
21	MR. MERRILL: That's correct.
22	A. And the answer is obviously no. Each piece of equipment
23	is required a different number of times for each crop
24	operation, and we moved it according to what you see in
25	dornbusch - cross - merrill



1		the crop budgets.
2	Q.	(By Mr. Merrill) In determining the additional variable
3		costs for transportaion, did you use the same costs of the
4		inputs, for example, fuel and so forth, as you did in
5		computing the variable costs in your crop budgets?
6	A.	Let me check. I think for the most part we did. I think
7		there might have been some exceptions.
8		THE SPECIAL MASTER: Next question, Mr. Merrill.
9	Q.	(By Mr. Merrill) Do you know what the exceptions are?
10	A.	Well, when you are hauling the equipment, you are not
11		operating it on a field and you are requiring less fuel
12		consumption, so the concept was the same, but we are using
13		the lower fuel consumption rate according to Doug Agee.
14	Q	Did you adjust any other variable costs besides fuel for
15		the transportation costs?
16	A.	I don't believe so.
17	Q	I direct your attention to Table 18 on Page 41 of Exhibit
18		C-278.
19		THE WITNESS: Excuse me, Your Honor. I was wondering
20		if we might take a short break?
21		THE SPECIAL MASTER: Do you want to take a break?
22		It's fifteen to twelve. Let's go to lunch. We will be
23		back at 1:30. I'm sorry about that. We should have taken
24		another break.
25	dor	nbusch - cross - merrill



	
1	THE WITNESS: Are we going to be going tomorrow?
2	THE SPECIAL MASTER: I don't think we are going to go
3	tomorrow.
4	MR. MERRILL: My understanding was that we were not,
5	Your Honor.
6	THE SPECIAL MASTER: We'll be back at 1:30.
7	MR. ECHOHAWK: May I inquire of Mr. Merrill, are we
8	going to finish today?
9	MR. MERRILL: Your Honor, I'm almost done with Table
10	18. What I was about to start on were the last group of
11	questions that I have with respect to Mr. Dornbusch's
12	analysis shown in Exhibit C-278.
13	I have several other areas of questioning, and I pre-
14	sume that we'll come back
15	THE SPECIAL MASTER: I don't want to order that the
16	cross-examination must stop at 5:00 this afternoon, but I
17	know that if Mr. Merrill can get it done today, that he
18	will.
19	Is that not about right?
20	MR. MERRILL: Absolutely.
21	MR. ROGERS: But if it does not finish at 5:00
22	today, we still will not go tomorrow?
23	THE SPECIAL MASTER: That is the way I want to do it
24	unless I get somebody hitting me over the head to show
25	that I'm doing an unjust act.



MR. ROGERS: I just wanted to be sure. (Proceedings recessed until (1:30 p.m.

> 409 West 24th Street Cheyenne, WY 82001 (307) 635-8280



