Uldaho Law **Digital Commons** @ **Uldaho Law**

Hedden-Nicely Collection, All

Hedden-Nicely

7-27-1981

Trial Transcript, Vol. 91, Morning Session

Frontier Reporting Service

Follow this and additional works at: https://digitalcommons.law.uidaho.edu/all

Recommended Citation

Frontier Reporting Service, "Trial Transcript, Vol. 91, Morning Session" (1981). *Hedden-Nicely Collection, All.* 229. https://digitalcommons.law.uidaho.edu/all/229

This Transcript is brought to you for free and open access by the Hedden-Nicely at Digital Commons @ UIdaho Law. It has been accepted for inclusion in Hedden-Nicely Collection, All by an authorized administrator of Digital Commons @ UIdaho Law. For more information, please contact annablaine@uidaho.edu.

Case # 4993

File # 198

1	IN THE DISTRICT COURT FOR THE FIFTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT
2	WASHAKIE COUNTY, STATE OF WYOMING
3	
4	IN RE:
5	THE GENERAL ADJUDICA-)
6	TION OF ALL RIGHTS TO) USE WATER IN THE BIG) Civil No. 4993
7	HORN RIVER SYSTEM AND) ALL OTHER SOURCES,)
8	STATE OF WYOMING.)
9	······································
10	
11	8/3 01
12	Margaret V. Hampton CLERY
13	DEPUTY
14	
15	VOLUME 91
16	
17	
18	
19	BE IT REMEMBERED that on this 27th day of July,
20	1981, at Room 302, State Capitol Building, Chevenne,
21	Laramie County, Wyoming, the above-entitled matter
22	resumed for hearing before the Honorable Teno Roncalio,
23	Special Master Presiding, whereupon the following proceed-
24	ings were had, to wit:
25	PROCEEDINGS: ORGE

1	<u>7</u>	APPEARANCES
2		
3	FOR THE STATE OF WYOMING:	HALL & EVANS 2900 Energy Center Building
4		717 17th Street Denver, CO 80202
5		BY: MR. JAMES MERRILL
6	FOR THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA:	MR. JAMES CLEAR Attorney at Law
7		Land and Natural Resources Division Department of Justice
8		P.O. Box 7415 Benjamin Franklin Station
9		Washington, DC 20044
10	FOR THE SHOSHONE TRIBE:	SONOSKY, CHAMBERS & SACHSE 2030 M. Street, N.W.
11		Washington, DC 20006 BY: MR. HARRY SACHSE and
12		MR. WILLIAM PERRY
13	FOR THE ARAPAHOE TRIBE:	WILKINSON, CARGUN & BARKER 1735 New York Ave., N.W.
14		Washington, DC 20006 BY: MR. R. ANTHONY ROGERS
15		
16	CLERK TO THE SPECIAL MASTER:	MR. LEO SALAZAR and MS. BILLY EDWARDS Attorneys at Law
17		701 Rocky Mountain Plaza Cheyenne, WY 82001
18		
19	FOR THE PRIVATE WATER HOLDERS:	MR. GEORGE RADOSEVICH Attorney at Law
20		910 15th Street, Suite 866 Denver, CO 80202
21		,,,
22		
23		
24		

September 19 Contraction of the Contraction of the

-

•

2

4

6

7

9

10

12

11

13

14

15

16

18

17

19

20

22

21

23

24

25

THE SPECIAL MASTER: May we come to order, please. We have one item for disposition before we begin this morning, and that is a pending motion for expedited discovery filed by the United States. I have given this some thought and I cannot believe that it would inconvenience or seriously impair the State's case and continuing preparation of its case to comply with the Motion for Expedited Discovery, so I do hereby grant it.

MR. MERRILL: Your Honor, may I be heard on the motion? I understand you've already ruled, but --

THE SPECIAL MASTER: Because I really believe it -- If you wish to make an argument that you think can reopen my mind, you're welcome to, Jim, but it's not all that serious an impairment, I think it's a routine procedure, but if you'd like to do so, you may.

MR. MERRILL: I'd just like to make a couple points, if I might, Your Honor, and before beginning, I'd like to introduce to the Court two of the law clerks who are working with our firm this summer in helping prepare this case for trial. First is Mary Ellen Hoffman is a

2

.

6

•

مينشش

10

9

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

19

18

20

21

22

24

25

23

third year law student at the University of

Idaho in Moscow, and Joe Dischinger is a third

year student at the University of Virginia and --

THE SPECIAL MASTER: What is his name?

MR. MERRILL: Joe Dischinger, and he's studying law at Charlottesville, at the University of Virginia.

THE SPECIAL MASTER: Very fine. I hope you enjoy the experience of this lawsuit. It's one of the unique ones in America: We don't intend to make another United States versus A T & T out of this, but we hope the machinery in the law of the west can be up to the job of adjudicating these water rights in a United States Court, which we're attempting to do.

All right, Mr. Merrill.

MR. MERRILL: Thank you, Your Honor. Very briefly, Your Honor, I would remind the Court of a stipulation that was entered into between the State of Wyoming and the United States, but which the Tribes did not join in. That stipulation was made between the Federal Government and the State of Wyoming on April 18, 1980. You'll recall that during the boundaries trial, Wyoming served a rather large set of interrogatories on the

and the second s

ET STORY

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

-

ميسن

ويست

بيينن

ليوشك

لييس

فيبين

ليوسن

United States and moved for expedited discovery with respect to those. And the parties reached a stipulation in which you later approved and adopted as an order allowing the United States 45 days to answer those interrogatories, and that same stipulation allowed the United States 45 days after the filing of Wyoming's response to serve Federal interrogatories upon the State without regard to the 30 interrogatory limitation that's contained in the uniform rules for the District Court. And pursuant to that stipulation, the State was also to have 45 days to answer that unusually large volume of interrogatories.

I think first, in light of this stipulation, that it is inappropriate to require the State to answer these interrogatories which contain over 300 questions including subparts, within 20 days of their service.

Secondly, I'd like to point out that the
United States has already scheduled, and you've
probably received the notice of depositions of
approximately four full weeks of depositions
to commence in August right before the State
begins putting on its case in chief, and there
are yet another six witnesses or so to be scheduled.

(307) 635 8280

COMPANIES RESEARCH

n 44m &1 8. 601

3 1 1 4 6 1 3 4 1 3

We believe that the information that the Federal Government is seeking in these interrogatories is the same information that they'll be getting during the depositions and that what we have going on here is duplicative

discovery.

The last point that I want to make with respect to expedited discovery on these interrogatories is the very nature of the questions contained in them. For example, Question No. 5 which looks like a very innocent, easy to answer question in an interrogatory and in fact asks the State to list every document, memorandum, report, treatise, book or other writing including any exhibit already in evidence and any document obtained from the United States or its witnesses, utilized by each expert to form his opinion or used to support his opinion. That amounts to literally thousands of documents. And Interrogatory No. 6 asks us to describe for of those documents the nature of the document, the substance of its contents, and manner in which it is thought to support each expert opinion.

THE SPECIAL MASTER: Mr. Merrill, may I only

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

22

23

24

22

23

24

25

السينون

يسني

إستين

اسس

-

0-4

0

السنيه

Birth

Sec. 2

5

فيتسنسك

يبين

ويتسني

interrupt to say No. 5 can be answered with one beginning statement; of the 610 State exhibits already in evidence we propose that virtually all of them may be used by our experts upon our case.

They know what they are, they saw them presented, they voir dired them, so you've taken care of 5.

MR. MERRILL: Your Honor, I would propose that if we're held to the 20-day limit, that is exactly the kind of answers the United States is going to get.

THE SPECIAL MASTER: I rather suspect -- I rather imagine that's what the United States is expecting on answers to questions like No. 5 at this posture in the lawsuit.

MR. MERRILL: As long as that's the understanding, then we don't really have --

THE SPECIAL MASTER: I don't make that understanding. You may have some new document, you know, you may have some new study, some of your new -- your witnesses may have, and then they have a right to know what that is, but I assume that the bulk of the exhibits in this case are already in evidence and if there are some others that are not that qualify or contradict or impeach

CHEYFINE, WY 82001

(307) 635 8280

existing materials and then I think that's what the questions like 5 and 6 are addressed to, but go ahead, I want to hear you out.

* * * *

يد کا در در کا در در کا در کا

50

جني

3

9-#

(Link

المناه

04

23

24

25

MR. MERRILL: Well, I only wanted to make a couple other points, Your Honor. First, as long as there is an understanding given about the haste with which answers to these interrogatories are requested, and I presume to be ordered by you to be answered, which will be by this Wednesday, that that will be largely illustrative of the kinds of answers we will give. We won't have the typists to put together the specificity I expect the United States is hoping to get in this time period. I would point out to the Court's attention Interrogatory No. 11, which says, "What areas depicted on United States Exhibit C-41 through C-54, 56 through 136 and 158 through 231 do you contend are non-arable?" Well, it seems to me that it's largely answered already through the cross-examination of the Federal Government's experts, and with the understanding we will largely incorporate that cross-examination into our answers, again we can answer them within the time provided.

The last kind of interrogatory I want to point out to the Court is No. 15 on Page 5 which asks us to describe all studies conducted or relied upon by the State regarding lands outside

22

23

24

25

日日日日

4

ييلس

-

1

the exterior boundaries of the Reservation. As the Court will recall, we had quite a flap about introducing land classification standards from other Reservations, other areas, and the United States objected strenuously and you upheld their objection. It seems to me rather ungainly for the United States to then turn around and demand the same information of Wyoming that they so strenuously objected to producing themselves. I will point out to the Court and to the United States on the record now that we will have some objection to some of these interrogatories as well, and if they want to come in and move to compel discovery, we can cross that bridge when we get to it with the understanding we will do the best we can in the time we have. We will try to answer them in the 20 days, but I will point out to the Court and to the Federal Government that if we have another 10 days, the 30 days allowed under the rules, that they will get a lot more information.

THE SPECIAL MASTER: I would say -- well, Mr. Sachse, you were not involved. I will say, Mr. Clear, you ought to give some thought to granting them a few days.

くきったいもまり おそおの

4

MR. CLEAR: Yes, sir. I think at the time our motion was made we felt there was a problem with scheduling depositions because of vacations, and I think there was some feeling on Mr. Echohawk's part there was a threat that the answers would not be given at all. But I think Mr. Merrill and Mr. Echohawk have reached a schedule of depositons which are basically in the last two weeks of August, and if we have ten more days I think we would have these answers before the crucial depositions are taken.

THE SPECIAL MASTER: Would you grant a tenday extension to Mr. Merrill on the expedited motion to --

MR. CLEAR: Why don't we just go to the normal 30 days under the rules and we will withdraw the motion with the understanding --

THE SPECIAL MASTER: The motion is withdrawn. Thank you. Let's proceed before we have any changes of mind.

MR. MERRILL: Thank you, Your Honor.

MR. PERRY: If there are no more preliminaries, Your Honor, the Tribes call Mr. Keith Higginson.

THE SPECIAL MASTER: Mr. Higginson, let's swear you in again. I forgot if we did before or

<u> </u>			
1		not.	-2
2		KEITH HIGGINSON	
3	was	called as a witness by the Tribes, and, having been	
4	firs	t duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows	,
5	to w	7it:	
6		DIRECT EXAMINATION	
7	BY M	IR. PERRY:	
8	Q	Would you state your name, please?	
9	A	My name is Keith Higginson.	
10	Q	What is your address, Mr. Higginson?	
11	A	2890 South 450 East in Bountiville, Utah.	
12	Q	What is your occupation?	
13	A	I'm a consulting civil engineer.	
14	Q	Where do you work, Mr. Higginson?	
15	A	I have an office in Salt Lake City, Utah.	
16	Q	Are you self-employed?	
17	A	I am, yes.	
18	Q	And prior to being self-employed, where did you	
19		work?	
20	A	I worked for the Sutron Corporation in Fairfax,	
21		Virginia.	
22	Q	And your work with respect to this case began	
23		while you were employed with Sutron?	
24	A	That's correct.	
25	higg	jinson-direct-perry	

1	Q	And you were also a private consulting engineer
2		with Sutron?
3	A	I was employed under a title of principal
4		engineer for Sutron Corporation, assigned
5		entirely to this particular study.
6	Q	Okay. And before you were in private consulting,
7		what was your job?
8	A	I was previously the Commissioner of the U.S.
9		Bureau of Reclamation.
10	Q	What years did you serve in that capacity?
11	A	From 1977 to January, 1981.
12	Q	Okay. And what were your duties as Commissioner
13		of Reclamation?
14	A	My responsibility was the conduct of all of the
15		business of the Bureau of Reclamation, the
16		direction of the activities of that agency and
17		its approximately 8,500 employees who operate in
18		the 17 western states; the review of all new
19		projects coming to Washington for recommendation
20		to the Secretary, to the Administration, to the
21		Congress for appearances before Congressional
22		Committees in support of or in opposition to
23		legislation and budgets and so forth.
24	Q	Thank you. And prior to being Commissioner, did
25	higo	ginson-direct-perry

1		you hold positions in state governments with
2		respect to water use and water rights?
3	A	Yes, I did in two states.
4	Q	Would you explain what those positions were?
5	A	I was Director of the Idaho Department of Water
6		Resources and under other titles, Director of
7		the State Water Agency in the State of Idaho
8		for a total period of 12 years, and prior to that
9		was Chief of the Water Rights Branch of the Utah
10		State Engineer's office for eight years.
11	Ω	And what were your duties in those respective
12		positions?
13	A	Well, as Director of Water Resources in Idaho
14		I was responsible for the administration of the
15		state water rights system, for adjudication and
16		recommendation to the Court on determination
17		of water rights, for the management of the State
18		Water Master System, the distribution of water
19		to those entitled to its use.
20	Q	So this position is akin to being State Engineer?
21	A	It was the equivalent to State Engineer. As a
22		matter of fact, at one time it was called State
23		Reclamation Engineer prior to a change in title.
24	Q	And as engineer in Utah, what were your
25	higginson-direct-perry	

1		responsibilities?
2	A	As chief of the water rights branch I was
3	<u>.</u>	responsible for the processing and the handling
4		of all applications for new water right permits,
5		approval of all construction of new dams, the
6		drilling of wells, licensing of well drillers,
7		preparation of proposed determinations for
8		adjudication of water rights, and the distributio
9		of water to those under court decrees.
10	A	And what years did you serve in that position
11		in Utah?
12	A	From 19 I was not in that position the entire
13		time, but I was in the office from 1957 to 1965,
14		and held that position about six of the eight
15		years.
16	Q	Okay. And in these jobs did you have experience
17		working with diversion requirements, cropping
18		patterns, irrigation efficiencies, water
19		availability, soils?
20	A	Yes, it was very much a part of the jobs that
21		I have held. I have prepared a number of studies
22		of irrigation demand, water requirements,
23		recommendations to the court of the amount of
24		water to be awarded in connection with court
25	higg	inson-direct-perry

decrees. And have you ever served as a witness in a water Q rights adjudication prior to this? Yes, I have, on a number of occasions. One Α particular occasion I served as a witness for the State of Utah in the Arizona-California lawsuit. Q What was the subject of that testimony? I had worked for two years gathering information 10 in southwestern Utah in Utah's portion of the lower Colorado River Drainage, had prepared 11 information concerning water supplies and their 12 use in the three-county area bordering on 13 Arizona and Nevada, which includes the Virgin River, 14 Kanab Creek and Johnson Creek Run, and they are 15 the Utah tributaries below Lee's Ferry on the 16 17 Colorado. And as a result of that gathering 18 of that field information concerning present use 19 of water in Utah, I was called as a witness in 20 the Arizona-California case. 21 Have you ever served as a Master in a water Q 22 rights adjudication? Yes, I did on one occasion. 23 Would you explain that situation? 24 higginson-direct-perry 25

(3) C

لطيشتك

وللنشنف

بيليش (

(Links

سلينين

سلينشف)

سلمنشق

والمستنق

1	A	The occasion was the a lawsuit that had been
2		filed for the determination of water rights on
3		the Hayden Lake Drainage in Northern Idaho. The
4		Court requested of the department that we gather
5		field information and prepare maps of present
6		uses of water and determine who was using the
7		water. The Judge subsequently appointed me as
8		Special Master for the purpose of hearing witnesses
9		and taking testimony in preparing a final
10		recommended determination of rights for the
11		Court's determination.
12	Q	And was that recommended determination that you
13		made adopted by the Court?
14	A	It was, yes.
15		MR. PERRY: Your Honor, at this time we will
16		offer Mr. Higginson as an expert in the field of
17		water resources engineering.
18		THE COURT: I'm going to admit him. Is there
19		any voir dire?
20		MR. MERRILL: Yes, Your Honor.
21		THE COURT: Let me ask a question while we
22		are waiting, Mr. Higginson. Is La Verkin Springs
23		a tributary to one of the three springs you
24		mentioned?
25	hiaa	inson-direct-perry

Α Yes, La Verkin is in the virgin river drainage near the town of Herriman, Utah. THE SPECIAL MASTER: I recall a tremendous annual discharge of salts from that sulphur. THE WITNESS: Nearly 12,000 parts per million. THE SPECIAL MASTER: Into the Colorado River, and in addition to those caused from Big Sandy all the way down. 9 THE WITNESS: Yes. 10 THE SPECIAL MASTER: That provoked Mexico 11 into finally getting a desalinization plant 12 down at the border? 13 THE WITNESS: Yes. 14 15 THE SPECIAL MASTER: Thank you. 16 MR. MERRILL: Thank you, Your Honor. 17 VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION 18 BY MR. MERRILL: Mr. Higginson, I note in listening to your 19 Q answers to Mr. Perry's questions concerning your 20 experience in the water resources field, it 21 sounds like for the past 25 years or so your 22 experience has been largely as an administrator 23 of water resources planning agencies and bodies, 24 higginson-voir dire-merrill

30

1

4

1		is that largely true?
2	A	Its been part of my duties, yes.
3	Q	During your tenure, for example, as Commissioner
4		of the United States Bureau of Reclamation, now
5		the Water and Power Resources Service
6	A	I would correct you, it is the Bureau of
7		Reclamation again.
8	Q	Oh, it is, okay. I stand corrected.
9		THE SPECIAL MASTER: As of this week.
10	Q	(By Mr. Merrill) During your tenure with the
11		Bureau of Reclamation, did you do any hands-on
12		engineering work, in other words, designing
13		systems or evaluating soils, or was your work
14		confined to more administrative type matters?
15	A	Well, I can't say I can't say I did a whole
16		lot of hands-on detailed design engineering, no.
17		It was largely administrative, but in connection
18		therewith I was required to understand the
19		engineering and the other professional work that
20		came to my attention in order to review it and
21		approve it and pass on it.
22		
23		* * * *
24		
25	higg	jinson-voir dire-merrill

550

Q (By Mr. Merrill) As part of your work with the bureau, did you do any soils surveys or land classification studies in which you actually participated in the formulation of the basic information involved? Α I would not go in the field and take a soil sample, no. 6 \mathbf{O} Did you do any of this type of work, with the hands on engineering, let's call it, while you were the director of the Idaho Department of Water Resources? 9 Yes. Α 10 What kind of work did you do there that involved hands Q 11 on engineering? I made calculations of water requirements, of consumptive 12 A use irrigation, water demand. I reviewed in detail the 13 soils information brought in by the soil's individuals 14 5 and made calculations of requirements for the irrigation 15 of some state water projects we were planning. 16 استلميش المناها Thank you. Do you have any previous experience with $\ddot{\mathbf{U}}$ 17 بيطيسي سطيسي مطيسي engineering or soils work within the State of Wyoming? 18 I worked on one small project, an interbasin diversion Α 19 project in the Wheatland Reservoir area with Mr. Wayne 20 Criddle, who was then the State Engineer of Utah, and 21 we were hired to review the uses of water in an area from 22 which the water was to be taken and diverted into another 23 basin. 24 higginson - voir dire - merrill 25

When was that work? Q, A. I would quess it was in the early '60s. Q. Mr. Higginson, as a Water Resource Engineer, do you have a professional opinion as to the definition of irrigable land? Yes. A. What is that definition, please? Q. It is land that is of a soil type and texture and the A. slopes are such and it is within reasonable proximity 9 to a water source that with a usual amount of effort 10 water could be brought to the land and it could grow 11 agricultural crops. 12 I'm going to read you a statement concerning the defi-Ŭ. 13 nitions of arable and irrigable lands and I'd like to 14 ask you, before I read it, to think about whether you 15 agree with the two definitions and the distinction that 16 this statement makes between them. "Arable is a deter-17 mination of lands which physically and chemically sustain 18 long term irrigation. Irrigable are those lands that 19 meet the test of economics and design in the other portions 20 of the studies that we talked about." 21 MR. PERRY: Your Honor, I object to this. I don't 22 see what this has to do with Mr. Higginson's qualifica-23 tions; this is voir dire. 24 وعشدن. وعشدن. وعشدون higginson - voir dire - merrill 25

The state of the s

		
49	1	THE SPECIAL MASTER: Objections are overruled, he
	2	may answer.
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	3	THE WITNESS: I wonder if you'd read those again
	4	for me.
	5	Q (By Mr. Merrill) Sure. "Arable is a determination of
وبسين	6	lands which physically and chemically sustain long term
3	7	irrigation. Irrigable are those lands that meet the
	8	test of economics and design in the other portions of
الله الله الله الله الله الله الله الله	9	the studies that we talk about."
وي المالي	10	A. Well, I understand the first definition, I don't under-
الله الله الله الله الله الله الله الله	11	stand the second because I don't know what study we're
الماسين الماسيني	12	talking about and I don't know what design and other
3	13	considerations are built into the second one. The
وستش	14	first one I certainly agree that arable lands are those
الاستنشاع) المستنسسا	15	that can sustain irrigation.
	16	O Do you agree that irrigable lands are those lands that
لا	17	meet the test of economics and design?
2	18	A. Certainly economics and design are part of the determin-
نداره	19	ation of whether lands can be irrigated.
Charles .	20	MR. MERRILL: Your Honor, we have no objection to
العاملة	21	the tender.
العالمة	22	THE SPECIAL MASTER: Mr. Higginson, for my own in-
میسنده میسندسین	23	formation, what was your formal education as a young
٠ - با <u>دائ</u>	24	man right out of high school?
0	25	higginson - voir dire - merrill
Circles		·



THE WITNESS: I'm a graduate in Civil Engineering with a Bachelor's Degree from Utah State University.

THE SPECIAL MASTER: What year?

THE WITNESS: 1957.

THE SPECIAL MASTER: When you were a young man.

All right. I'm going to admit Mr. Higginson as an expert

in this lawsuit in the field of water resources' engineer
ing.

DIRECT EXAMINATION (RESUMED)

BY MR. PERRY:

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

0-

- Q Mr. Higginson, generally what was the scope of your work for the Tribes in this case?
- M. The -- We were asked to make a determination of the -with relation to the lands held in fee by individual
 tribal memebers or their descendants within the Wind
 River Indian Reservation, and to determine whether those
 lands were presently irrigated, practicably irrigable,
 nonirrigated; the amount of water that would be required
 to serve those lands and whether there were any presently
 existing state water rights held in connection with such
 lands.
- Q Okay. Mr. Higginson, I show you what has been marked as Tribes' Exhibit 8 and ask you to identify that, please.
- A. Tribes' Exhibit 8 is a copy of the report that I prepared as a result of the contract with the Tribe.

higginson - direct - perry

409 West 24th Street Cheyenne, WY 82001 (307) 635-8280



The same of the sa

<u> </u>	1	Q And it shows your conclusions with respect to the areas	
- 4	2	you've just described?	
5	3	A. Yes, it does.	
4	4	Ω Okay. I'll also show you what has been marked as	
র ব	5	Tribes' Exhibit Number 9 and ask you to identify it.	
ম ব	6	A. Tribes' Exhibit 9 are several pages from the report	
3	7	that have been corrected to take care of typographical	
3	8		
3		and other errors.	
3	9	Q Okay. So these are, in effect, replacement pages that	
3	10	supercede the existing pages	
3	11	λ. Yes.	
၁ ၁	12	Q in Exhibit 8?	
3	13	Okay. When Mr. Merrill asked you for a definition	
3	14	of irrigable, is the definition you gave, does that	
さつ	15	correspond to the way in which you used the term practi-	
e e	16	cably irrigable in this report and in your work for the	
فتار	17	Tribes in this case?	
يد	18	A. Yes, it does.	
لعد	19	MR. MERRILL: Your Honor, I didn't have a chance	
ليد فيد	20	to get my objection in there. I'd ask that the witness	
مید ما		define practicably irrigable as he used it for	
يد	21		
بود	22	MR. PERRY: I was only trying to	
-7	23	MR. MERRILL: To incorporate that term in the	
-	24	question.	
- 	25	higginson - direct - perry	
-y	 		

409 West 24th Street

Cheyenne, WY 82001

(307) 635-8280

	_	•	
y			1
•			2
•			3
•			4
•			5
•			6
3			
)			7
9			8
•			9
•			10
•			11
>			
•			12
•			13
•			14
•			15
\$			16
7			
¥			17
Ŋ			18
N N			19
او خ			20
, i			21
¥,			
Ŋ			22
			23

وسنو

والنسيق

24

25

MR. PERRY: I was only trying to clarify --

THE SPECIAL MASTER: It is of no moment, gentlemen.

Go ahead with your question.

- (By Mr. Perry) Okay. In making your determination of whether lands were practicably irrigable, did you use any sort of formal benefit cost analysis or other formal economic analysis?
- A. No, I did not.
 - Q And why is that?
 - Mell, there's a couple of reasons. First, it's my belief that, and my experience that Indian lands, from an irrigation standpoint, are treated differently than you would treat normal federal project or the irrigation of land under the reclamation program. They come under a different procedure, under the principles and standards of the Water Resources Council. There are considerations that are both social and political that take them beyond this type of determination that you would make for a federal reclamation project, which must be based upon benefit cost analysis.

And secondly, in connection with the lands that I looked at in connection with this study, for the most part those lands are adjacent to, within the confines of existing canals and ditches serving already irrigated higginson - direct - perry

Frontier Reporting Service

409 West 24th Street Cheyenne, WX 82001 (307) 635-8280



للنسنسة والسنسة

ليستنسن ا

التسنيس ا

الاستان

land. And I felt it unnecessary to make an extensive economic analysis to determine whether water could be brought to that land because it was simply, in most cases, a matter of extending the ditch or a lateral in order to bring water to the land. 6 Q. In other words, it was clear without doing any formal economic analysis in your professional opinion that these --For the most part. A. 9 Q. Mr. Higginson, where did you get the list of 10 fee lands owned by members of the Tribes or their 11 direct descendants which were the subject of your 12 study? 13 I obtained it from Elsie Kolstad through the tribal A. 14 attornėys. 15 Did you exclude from your study any of the tracts Q. 16 which were identified by Mrs. Kolstad as being owned in 17 fee by members of tribes or their direct descendants? 18 The list was modified from the original list I Yes. A. 19 There was some tracts eliminated, I assume obtained. 20 on the basis of lack of evidence of tribal membership. 21 Were certain tracts also eliminated on the basis of size? Q. 22 In consultation with the attorneys, we did elimin-A. 23 ate all of those tracts that were five acres or less in 24 higginson - direct - perry 25

		}
~ `	1	size that are located in the vicinity of communities,
	2	where there were community water systems.
-	3	Q. Okay. And the basis of that exclusion?
	4	A. The basis was that we assumed that those lands would
	5	receive domestic water if necessary, and they were pri-
-	6	marily domestic tracts; not through the community water
	7	system and it would not be appropriate to claim a dupli-
~C	8	cate water right for them under the fee lands claim.
-	9	Q. Thank you. Is a legal description of the lands which
	10	you studied found in your report which has been designated
-	11	Exhibit 8?
	12	λ. Yes, in table 6.
	13	Ω That contains a legal description of each tract which
Ť	14	was the subject of your study?
	15	A. Yes, within the description of each tract there is a
٠	16	section, township and range and then a subdivision of
والستور	17	that section, township and range indicated.
-	18	THE SPECIAL MASTER: Were there corrections to that
	19	list that required being noted in your Exhibit 9?
	20	THE WITNESS: Two pages, Your Honor, that of
	21	that table 6 that are corrected because of inadvertent
	22	ommissions of information.
	23	THE SPECIAL MASTER: All right, thank you.
1	24	Ω (By Mr. Perry) Okay. Mr. Higginson, next to you on your
1	25	higginson - direct - perry
4-1-		

· _	
3-9	
	1
	0
	2
	3
	4
	5
	6
3	7
	8
	9
-	10
	11
3	12
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	13
	14
	15
ر. دع	16
التيسيس م.	
هنشسوس د .	17
•	18
Maria Ma	19
المسائس ا	20
كعلسيهما	40

21

22

23

24

25

مالسنان المساق الماليان المساق المساق

ร

left are a series of photographs which have been marked as Tribes' Exhibit 10-1 through 10-40. I'd ask you to identify those, please.

- Those are photographs that I used in reviewing the present A. irrigation and the status of the fee lands on the Wind River Reservation.
- Q Okay. When did you obtain those photographs?
- I obtained these copies through HKM Associates in Billings, Α. Montana.

higginson - direct - perry

409 West 24th Street Cheyenne, WY 82001 (307) 635-8280



1	Q	(By Mr. Perry) And are these what are commonly
2		referred to in this case as the hydrographic
3		pliotographs?
4	A.	It's my understanding from discussing with Mr.
5		Billstein that these are copies of the hydro-
6		graphic photo maps that we used.
7	Q	And did you locate on these photographs and
8		plot the fee tracts which were identified as
9		being owned by members of the Tribes or their
10		direct descendants?
11	A	Yes, I did.
12	Q	And are overlays of those plottings found in
13		your report?
14	A	Yes, in Appendix B, I believe it is in the back
15		of the report are copies of the hand-sketched
16		overlays that I used for each tract which
17		correspond with what's on the aerial photos.
18	Q	Now did you determine the acreage for these
19		plots that were identified?
20	A	The acreage of the irrigated land and the arable
21		land I determined by planimeter.
22	Q	Let me hand you what has been marked for
23		identification as Tribes Exhibit 11.
24		THE SPECIAL MASTER: Where is oh, here it
25	higginson-direct-perry	

is. Appendix B is a rather large exhibit, is it not -- Appendix, is it not? THE WITNESS: Yes, it is. It has about 120 little sketch maps. THE SPECIAL MASTER: All right, thank you. Go ahead. THE WITNESS: Tribes Exhibit 11 is a list giving the photo identification numbers and the exhibit numbers for those photos. MR. PERRY: Okay. This is just a tool, 10 Your Honor, for helping to identify which 11 photos correspond to which exhibit numbers. 12 THE SPECIAL MASTER: All right. 13 (By Mr. Perry) And how were these -- were Q 14 these photos numbered Exhibits 10 -- 1 through 15 10-40 used by you as a tool in interpreting 16 if lands were being irrigated currently? 17 Yes, I used them in the Office as an Office 18 Α study to review the apparent condition of the 19 lands, whether there was any evidence of ditches, 20 evidence of current irrigation on those lands, 21 and I also used them in the field to help me 22 further identify the tracts in the field and to 23 make further notations concerning what I found 24

higginson-direct-perry

1		On those field visits.
2	Q	In addition to these photos in determining
3		which lands were currently in irrigation and
4		which were practicably irrigable, what published
5		sources and materials did you rely on?
6	A	I used reports published by several Federal
7		Government agencies, particularly the Soil
8		Conservation Service, the Bureau of Indian
9		Affairs and the Bureau of Reclamation.
10	Q	Okay. And how were these sources used in your
11		analysis?
12	A	Well, in connection with any tract of land that
13		was not currently in irrigation, I reviewed the
14		soils and land classification data from reports
15		of these government agencies to determine if
16		there had been any prior classification of those
17		lands and soils as far as their irrigability,
18		and I made notations on my worksheets and on
19		the photo maps of the results of that investigation.
20	Q	Okay. And are these sources the kinds of sources
21		which you have relied on previously in your
22		career in making similar determinations if lands
23		are being irrigated or irrigable?
24	A	Yes, they are the standard sort of thing I would
25	higg	inson-direct-perry

	·· • ·· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
1		use, sources from which I would get information
2		concerning the character of land and its
3		irrigability, yes.
4	Q	Now, you mentioned that you did get out in the
5		field to visit these tracts. How many days did
6		you spend in the field?
7	A	It was a total of seven or eight days in the
8		field over a period of some three months.
9	Q	And how many of these tracts did you of the
10		120 tracts that you studied, did you personally
11		visit?
12	A	I personally visited all but four of the 120
13		tracts.
14	Q	In visiting these tracts and the Reservation
15		generally, did you form a professional opinion
16		on the nature of farming practices by Indians
17		on the Reservation.
18	A	Yes, I did.
19	Q	And what was that opinion?
20		MR. MERRILL: Objection, foundation.
21		THE SPECIAL MASTER: That's awfully general.
22		You got 120 tracts and he saw them all in a
23		ten-day not a casual, but certainly a hurriedly
24		visit to touch that many in seven days, and he's
25	higg	inson-direct-perry

asking you to form a judgment on irrigating practices and I would think that is based on something more than that.

MR. PERRY: I'm not asking for a specific appraisal of each tract, in fact, I'm asking for a general impression of what his view of farming practices on the Reservation is.

MR. MERRILL: Your Honor, I'm wondering now if the question goes to farming practices on the Reservation or farming practices by Indian fee owners who are farming their own lands on the Reservation. There is quite a distinction involved, and there are still foundation objections such as how does he know who owned the particular tracts of land he was looking at and whether they were Indian.

THE SPECIAL MASTER: I appreciate that. I think it is a very, very generalized question, but we will permit it. I presume it applies to the fee land parcels, not to the general practice of the entire Tribes on the trust lands, too.

MR. PERRY: Well, what I'm asking, he did specifically focus on the fee lands tracts, and I'm wondering whether he has an opinion of higginson-direct-perry

409 WEST 24TH STREET

CHEYENNE, WY 82001

(307) 635 8280

6

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

22

23

24

25

how farming is done on those tracts in relation to his -- other things he has seen in his career elsewhere on the Reservation or in other places.

MR. MERRILL: Well, Your Honor --

THE SPECIAL MASTER: That asks for comparability, and I suppose that's permissible. Go ahead and answer it.

MR. MERRILL: May I object? He based that on three different things, what he has seen in his career, elsewhere on the Reservation, and maybe if we could focus on the Reservation, what these practices are as opposed to another set of practices, the question and answer would both have more meaning.

MR. PERRY: If you will, the comparison I would like to bring out is between the fee land owned by members of the Tribes and other lands on the Reservation.

THE SPECIAL MASTER: All right, go ahead and try to answer that.

THE WITNESS: Well, I was able to observe
the practices on the fee lands and I found them
to not be any different than the adjacent lands
that were not owned in fee and were not those I
higginson-direct-perry

1		was looking at. In other words, they appeared
2		to be comparably managed and irrigated.
3	Q	(By Mr. Perry) Thank you. Did you also meet
4		with and interview certain Tribal members in
5		connection with your work?
6	A	Yes, I did.
7	Q	And when was that?
8	A	I attended a meeting that had been called by the
9		Tribal attorneys at Fort Washakie on February 24th,
10		at which time I spent time visiting with and
11		interviewing a number of Tribal members, then I
12		subsequently made individual contacts with
13		several Tribal members in the field and by
14		telephone.
15	Q	And what kinds of information did you obtain from
16		these
17		THE SPECIAL MASTER: Mr. Perry, are these
18		Tribal members who owned fee land or Tribal
19		members who did not own fee land or some of both?
20		THE WITNESS: The Tribal members who owned
21		fee lands.
22	Q	(By Mr. Perry) And what was the sort of
23		information that you derived from these interviews?
24	A	I was interested in information concerning the
25	higg	inson-direct-perry

1		description of their lands, the legal description
2		of their lands, what crops they were growing on
3		those lands, if the lands were currently irrigated,
4		the amount of water they applied and information
5		concerning yields and farming practice.
6	Q	And how was all this information from the photos,
7		from the published sources, from the field work
8		and from the interviews and so forth, howwas all
9		this compiled by you to form an opinion as to
10		whether the lands studied were in irrigation or
11		practicably irrigable?
12	A	For each tract of land that had been identified
13		as individually Indian-owned fee land, I prepared
14		a worksheet on which I then summarized the
15		information gathered in the field from the soil
16		and land classification studies from my personal
17		inspection of the tracts of land, and from
18		the interviews and discussions with the individual
19		fee owners.
20	Q	Okay. And are the results of that analysis
21		summarized in Table 6?
22	A	Yes. The results of that are Table 6 in my
23		report.
24	Q	Perhaps we could go to Table 6 and you could
25	higg	inson-direct-perry

explain how that is set up. Table 6 is a lengthy tabulation summarizing the Α results of my investigation of each of the 120 tracts in which the tract is identified by a number which I assigned to it. Numbers were put on there simply in alphabetical order by name of fee owner. MR. PERRY: Excuse me just a minute, Mr. Higginson. 10 THE SPECIAL MASTER: Okay, Table 6 begins on the page after Page 15, does it not, that is 11 12 unnumbered? 13 THE WITNESS: That's correct. MR. PERRY: 14 Right. THE WITNESS: The tract owner's name is 15 given, the section, township and range, and then 16 there are four symbols, an "I" which indicates 17 irrigated land, a "P" for practicably irrigable, 18 "N" for non-irrigated, and then a "WR" symbolizing 19 whether there was any state water right found, 20 21 and then across the table under the headings of 22 the quarter section of land within that particular 23 section are the acreages that are determined from my inspection of the lands and aerial photos, total 24

higginson-direct-perry

given at the end of that tabulation, column which says "Other uses" and where the symbols "D" and "S" and "R" are used to symbolize that there is domestic and stock water or recreational use of water on that land, then the state water right information is given in the final column on the right-hand side.

MR. PERRY: Thank you.

higginson-direct-perry

22

23

24

1		THE WITNESS: 3,943. And the balance is non-
2		irrigated.
3	<u></u>	(By Mr. Perry) Okay. Once you identified these
4		irrigated and irrigable tracts, did you then go on
5		to determine diversion requirements needed to serve
6		those lands?
7	A.	Yes, I did.
8		THE SPECIAL MASTER: Mr. Higginson, that total
9		of the 6,431 irrigated and 3,943 that you felt were
10		practicably irrigable, I get 10,374. Is that what
11		you get?
12		THE WITNESS: Yes, that's correct.
13		THE SPECIAL MASTER: And the difference
14		between that and the 14,544 is accountable in what?
15		THE WITNESS: Non
16		THE SPECIAL MASTER: Type VIIs that have never
17		been irrigated?
18		THE WITNESS: Right, nonirrigable.
19		THE SPECIAL MASTER: Nonirrigable. All right,
20		thank you.
21	Q.	(By Mr. Perry) In making your determination of
22		diversion requirements, how did you determine
23		which crops are appropriate for use in your analysis?
24	A.	I gathered information from a number of sources
25	higg	inson - direct - perry

1		concerning the crops normally grown in the area,
2		crop reports of the Bureau of Indian Affairs,
3		information from Bureau of Reclamation concerning
4		the reclamation project on the Reservation, and
5		from work done by other consultants in this case.
6	Q.	Okay. And using that information, did you develop
7		cropping patterns for the Reservation?
8	A.	Yes, I did.
9	Q.	And what were they?
10	A.	Cropping pattern is included in my report in Table
11		III on Page 10.
12	Q.	And why, Mr. Higginson, did you divide the Reserva-
13		tion into an upper and a lower area for these pur-
14		poses?
15	A.	Well, there is a slight difference in the type of
16		agriculture practice in the upper portion of the
17		Big Wind River system and the lower area near the
18		Riverton area and near Fort Washakie. There are
19		some crops that are grown in the low area that
20		are not grown in the upper area, and the ratio of
21		the hay and pastureland is slightly different in
22		the upper and lower area.
23	Ω.	Okay. Let's move now to the climate data you
24		relied on. What were your sources of climate
25	higg	inson - direct - perry

1		information?
2	A.	I used information from the National Weather
3		Service of the Department of Commerce, the pub-
4		lished weather data there and also relied upon a
5		report published by the State of Wyoming as part
6		of its planning series, Planning Report No. 5, I
7		believe.
8	Q.	And these provided data with respect to what
9		weather stations, where are those weather stations
10		located?
11	A.	Used information from weather stations at Dubois,
12		Diversion Dam, Fort Washakie and Riverton.
13	ζ.	Okay. Taking this cropping information, cropping
14		pattern you developed in this climate information
15		from these sources you described, what formula did
16		you use to determine consumptive use requirements?
17	A.	Well, there are a number of formulas available,
18		but I'm generally familiar with the, and have used
19		extensively, the Blaney-Criddle formula, and I use
20		the Blaney-Criddle formula for estimating irriga-
21		tion water requirements.
22	Q.	And did you determine the consumptive irrigation
23		requirement for each crop for the upper and the
24		lower?
	•	

higginson - direct - perry

	L	
1	A.	Made a determination for both the upper and lower
2		areas, and I weighted that requirement by crop use,
3		a cropping pattern mix that I had determined, and
4		came up with a weighted consumptive irrigation re-
5		quirement for the upper area and lower area.
6	Q.	And what were the results, what were the weighted
7		irrigation requirements?
8	A.	For the upper area, the weighted requirement is
9		18.33 acre-inches per acre. For the lower area,
10		it's 19.93 acre-inches per acre.
11	Q.	Okay. Now, we have the consumptive irrigation
12		requirements. To obtain diversion requirements,
13]	we need
14	A.	Need need to look at the efficiencies?
15	Q.	need to look at the efficiencies?
16	A.	Need to look at the efficiencies and examine avail~
17		able published reports. I made observations of the
18		area and from an examination of those reports, from
19		my personal experience over the years, it was my
20		opinion that the irrigation practices were about 35
21		percent efficient in delivering the water from the
22		streams to the land.
23	Q.	Is that efficiency you're describing for gravity
24	[irrigation?

higginson - direct - perry

1	A.	That's for gravity irrigation.
2	Q.	And what about for sprinkler?
3	A.	For sprinkler it would not be a whole lot better
4		than that because the current ditch and canal
5		system would be used as the main conveyance to
6		bring the water to the vicinity of the land, and
7		the on-farm irrigation efficiency would be im-
8		proved from the present practice, but the total
9		efficiency would not be much above about 40 per-
10		cent.
11	Q.	Okay. And these efficiencies you're describing
12		now are combined, total conveyance and on-farm?
13	A.	On-farm and conveyance combined total efficiency.
14	Q.	Okay. And using these efficiencies as the consump-
15		tive irrigation requirements, which you discussed,
16		would you then calculate the diversion requirements?
17	A.	I did. You take the irrigation requirement and
18		divide it by the efficiency and you get a diversion
19		requirement.
20	Q.	And what were your diversion requirements?
21	A.	For the lower area, 4.75 acre-feet to the acre
22		for gravity irrigation and 4.15 acre-feet per acre
23		for sprinkler. And for the upper area, 4.36 acre-
24		feet per acre for gravity and 3.82 acre-feet per
25	higg	jinson - direct - perry

1		acre for sprinkler.
2	Q.	Are your conclusions with respect to the annual
3		diversion requirements for each tract which you
4		studied reflected in a table in your report?
5	A.	Yes. That's in Appendix C, which are the last
6		three pages of the report, but they are in the
7		corrected pages that are part of Exhibit 9.
8	Q.	Fine. And how much water in total is needed to
9		irrigate all of the fee lands owned by members of
10		the Tribes or their direct descendants which you
11		determined to be currently irrigated or practi-
12		cably irrigable?
13	A.	The total is shown in Appendix C, a total of
14	•	46,724 acre-feet per year.
15		THE SPECIAL MASTER: What page do you give
16		that?
17		THE WITNESS: It would be in the corrected
18		pages, the separate exhibit, the very last page.
19		THE SPECIAL MASTER: Can I have just a minute,
20		Mr. Perry?
21		Total, 46,724, did you say?
22		THE WITNESS: Yes, sir.
23		THE SPECIAL MASTER: And you break it down
24		by
25	higg	inson - direct - perry

	
1	THE WITNESS: Individual tracts.
2	THE SPECIAL MASTER: And that's the first
3	number. The first column on the left of that is
4	the tract number?
5	THE WITNESS: Tract number. Diversion require-
6	ment is next.
7	THE SPECIAL MASTER: And then the diversion
8	requirement is next, and the third column?
9	THE WITNESS: That's currently irrigated land.
10	THE SPECIAL MASTER: Next column?
11	THE WITNESS: Practicably irrigable.
12	THE SPECIAL MASTER: Next column?
13	THE WITNESS: The total of those two.
14	THE SPECIAL MASTER: Next column?
15	THE WITNESS: And the water requirement for
16	that tract.
17	THE SPECIAL MASTER: And the last column
18	is source?
19	THE WITNESS: Most logical source from which
20	the water might be obtained.
21	THE SPECIAL MASTER: All right, thank you.
22	We've been at it an hour. Anybody want a break?
23	All right, let's take five minutes.
24	(Thereupon a five-minute recess
25	(was taken.

	_ }	
1		THE SPECIAL MASTER: We will come to order,
2	j	please. Will the record show the appearance
3		of Mr. George Radosevich in these proceedings,
4		Counsel from Lander, City of Lander and other
5		defendants. All right.
6	Q	(By Mr. Perry) Mr. Higginson, did your work for
7		this case also include some work with respect to
8		the availability of water to serve these fee
9		lands?
10	A	Yes, it did.
11	Q	Okay. With respect to the Big Wind System,
12		first of all, how many acres of fee land owned
13		by members of the Tribes or the direct descendants
14		did you find to be in irrigation?
15	A	In the Big Wind System there are a total of 4,451
16		acres currently in irrigation.
17		MR. MERRILL: Your Honor, I would object to
18		the question and move to strike the answer.
19		THE SPECIAL MASTER: May I hear the question
20		and answer, please?
21		(Whereupon, the Reporter (read back, "Q Okay. With
22		(respect to the Big Wind (System, first of all, how
23		(many acres of fee land (Owned by members of the
24		(Tribes or the direct (descendants did you find to
25		(be in irrigation?

1	(A In the Big Wind System
2	(there are a total of 4,45) (acres currently in
3	(irrigation."
4	THE SPECIAL MASTER: That is permissible.
5	Based on one of the streams is all.
6	MR. MERRILL: I'm wondering what the
7	definition of the Big Wind System is. The word
8	"system" really has me bothered because I don't
9	know how many tributaries that includes of the
10	Big Wind.
11	THE SPECIAL MASTER: Well, what acres did
12	that include, did that include the
13	THE WITNESS: Your Honor, this was these
14	acres are irrigated directly from the Big Wind
15	River or from its principal tributaries. It
16	does not include what the HKM study determined
17	were minor tributaries coming in from the north.
18	THE SPECIAL MASTER: It did not include the
19	Popo Agie, the Little Wind, North Fork?
20	THE WITNESS: No.
21	THE SPECIAL MASTER: Did it include the five
22	from the north, like the Crow Creek?
23	THE WITNESS: It did not.
24	THE SPECIAL MASTER: Big Pasup?
25	higginson-direct-perry

1		THE WITNESS: Did not.
2		THE SPECIAL MASTER: Is that better? Is that
3		okay?
		MR. MERRILL: That helps, Your Honor. I
4		
5		still have an objection since we don't know
6		exactly what boundaries.
7		THE SPECIAL MASTER: It's such a vague
8		question and the answer really doesn't help us,
9		but proceed with the question and see if you can
10		clarify it.
11	Q	(By Mr. Perry) Mr. Higginson, did you define
12		Big Wind System the same as Mr. Billstein did in
13		his systems operations?
14		THE SPECIAL MASTER: He would really have to
15	 	know what Mr. Billstein testified before he can
16		answer that, obviously. If you know the definition
17		of the Big Wind System, you can ask him if that's
18	; ;	what he had.
19		MR. PERRY: Well, I can't describe what the
20		Big Wind System is.
21		THE SPECIAL MASTER: You should be able to,
22		but forgive me for making that observation at
23		this stage in the lawsuit.
24		Do you want to pull the exhibit so we can have

higginson-direct-perry

a look at it or do you want to take a minute to get the exhibit you are talking about, or do you have a better way of proceeding? MR. PERRY: Your Honor, maybe we can go about this in a different way. (By Mr. Perry) Mr. Higginson, let's refer to your Appendix C to your report. Could you describe with reference to your Appendix C, and in particular what you list as the most convenient 10 source, what you categorized as the Big Wind 11 System? The Big Wind System would be all of those tracts 12 Α that I have identified as the most logical source: 13 The Big Wind River and certain tributaries of the 14 Big Wind River, and those tributaries would be --15 16 would include Willow Creek, Muddy Creek, Dry 17 Creek, Red Creek, and I believe that's it. 18 MR. MERRILL: Your Honor, I'm still a little 19 unclear. I hate to keep making a point of this, 20 but I think it's a fairly important point. Are 21 we talking about lands that are located within the drainages of these systems, or are we talking 22 about lands that are served out of these systems? 23 24 That's my first question.

higginson-direct-perry

The second is where are the boundaries -where does the Big Wind River System stop and
some other side creek drainage system start?

THE SPECIAL MASTER: I would sustain that observation as an objection to this line of questioning because I, too, am confused, and the answer would not mean anything. If they are not meaningful, they have no probative value and aren't serving any good purpose. Do you want to take a minute and get any one of the last three or four large maps as an exhibit an put it on the tripod so the Witness can refer specifically to whether this acreage was included or whether it was not included?

MR. PERRY: If it would be helpful, Your
Honor. I'm not sure what the source of confusion
is. We are talking about what the source is to
serve particular tracts which are located by
Mr. Higginson's study. Maybe I should -- maybe
I should not have used the word "system." Maybe
that is what is throwing everybody off. But I'm
not --

THE SPECIAL MASTER: Well, do you want the Witness to give the total in acres that are higginson-direct-perry

	·	# Mar as said a 4 said of the
1		served from the mainstem of the Big Wind River.
2		MR. PERRY: And the tributaries that he
3		just listed.
4		THE SPECIAL MASTER: And that's the figure
5		he gave you, I believe.
6		THE WITNESS: Yes.
7		MR. PERRY: That's right.
8		THE SPECIAL MASTER: All right. Now, what
9		is your next question?
10	Q	(By Mr. Perry) Okay. With respect to these
11		lands which you have just described as being
12		served out of the Big Wind and these listed
13	•	tributaries, did you supply certain information
14		to Mr. Bleisner, another Tribal expert, for his
15		use in analyzing water availability?
16	A	Yes, I did.
17	Q	And what information did you provide to Mr.
18	ļ i	Bleisner?
19	A	I provided information concerning my determination
20		of the currently irrigated land in these tracts,
21		the practicably irrigable land in the tracts,
22		my calculation of diversion requirement of
23		consumptive irrigation requirement, an estimate
24		of the percent of the return flow, and consulted
25	higg	inson-direct-perry

الأسالة الأسا

	with him concerning the location from which the
	water could be diverted to the land and where
	you might reasonably expect the return flow to
	return to the system.
Q	Okay. You have already described for us how you
	determined where these irrigated or irrigable
	lands are located, and the acreage figures and
	the diversion requirements, that has been the
	subject of your testimony already. How did you
	determine the monthly consumptive irrigation
	requirements which you provided to Mr. Bleisner?
A	I again made a calculation using the same source
	data from which I had determined the annual
	un anno de la compansión de la compansió
	requirements, broke it down by crop, by month,
	weighted it again according to the cropping
:	pattern for the upper and the lower area and came
- - 	up with a weighted consumptive irrigation
	requirement on a monthly basis for each of the
• •	areas.
Q	Okay. Could you give us those figures, your
	results with respect to the monthly consumptive
	irrigation requirements for the upper and lower
	areas?
A	Yes. For the upper area, April, two-tenths of
higg	jinson-direct-perry
	Q

1	<u> </u>	an acre inch per acre; May, 1.95; June, 4.06;
2		July, 5.5; August, 4.14; September, 1.94; and
3		October, 0.55.
4		MR. MERRILL: Your Honor, I would move to
5		strike the answer, including the figures the
6		Witness just gave on the grounds there has been
7	,	no foundation at all for how those numbers were
8		developed thus far.
9		THE SPECIAL MASTER: Oh, I will let them in
10		the record.
11	Q	(By Mr. Perry) Mr. Higginson, what was the
12		source of your calculation for determining those
13	•	monthly figures?
14	A	I thought I indicated I used the same source
15		data I used for the annual figures that are in
16		the report. I used the State of Wyoming's planning
17		report No. 5, I used the Blaney-Criddle formula,
18		I used the same information I had used to develop
19		the other annual figures, and broke it down on
20		a monthly basis.
21	Q	Could you get for us now your figures with respect
22		to the lower area?
23	A	To the lower area, April, 0.38; May, 2.14;
24		June, 4.48; July, 5.93; August, 4.38; September, 2.06;
25	higg	inson-direct-perry

1		and October, 0.57.
2	Q	Okay. And with respect to return flows, you
3		said you gave an estimation to Mr. Bleisner of
4		how to calculate return flows for these lands.
5	-	What would that be?
6	A	Its been my experience that you can't simply
7		take the difference between the diversion
8		requirement and the consumptive irrigation
9		requirement and assume that all of that water
10		returns to the river system. Based upon my
11		observation of the area and some prior measure-
12		ments that I have made over the years of return
13		flow, I assumed that about 25 percent of the
14		difference between diversion and consumptive use
15		would not return to the system, it would be non-
16		recoverable water.
17	Q	Okay. And is this a professionally one of the
18		professionally recognized methods for calculating
19		return flows?
20	A	It's a rule of the thumb that I would use and
21		have used in similar situations elsewhere.
22		THE SPECIAL MASTER: How much of the non-
23		recoverable do you think has been lost by
24	} j	evaporation, how much of it returns to deeper
25	hig	ginson-direct-perry

aguifers or THE WITNESS: I think in this area, Your Honor, most of it is evaporated or consumed 4 by vegetation, wet areas, cat tails. (By Mr. Perry) Okay. If we could move now to 6 the Little Wind. Again, with reference to Appendix C, could you describe for us which 8 lands, and with respect to the most logical source column? 10 All of those that are identified in there as 11 either the Little Wind River or tributaries of 12 the Little Wind River, I'm trying to -- I don't 13 see -- no, there would be Sage Creek Tributary 14 which would also be a Little Wind Tributary, 15 but all of those acres were determined to be irrigable from the Little Wind River System, and 16 I made calculations there of the depletion that 17 would result from the irrigation of those lands. 18 19 20 21 22 23 24

1	Q.	(By Mr. Perry) Okay. And how many lands are we
2	¥.	
Ì		talking about, how many acres are we talking about?
3	A.	In the Little Wind system the total is 1,583 acres
4	•	of irrigated and irrigable lands.
5	Q.	How many of those are actually in irrigation there
6		in the Little Wind?
7	A.	1312 acres.
8	Q.	Okay. And the remainder is?
9	λ.	271 of irrigable lands.
10	Q.	Okay. And how did you determine the depletions for
11	N	serving the Little Wind?
12	A.	Again, I used the consumptive irrigation requirement
13		for the lower area. I then determined that differ-
14		ence between that requirement on a monthly basis
15		and the diversion requirement, and applied my 25
16		precent reduction for return flows and came up with
17		the depletion on a monthly average basis in cubic
18		feet per second.
19	Q.	Okay. And what were those monthly average deple-
20		tions for the Little Wind?
21	A.	For April, 1.22 cubic feet per second; in May, 6.69;
22		in June, 14.47; July, 18.54; August, 13.70; Septem-
23	 	ber, 6.66; and October, 1.78.
24	Q.	Okay. And using these depletions, did you make any
25	hig	ginson - direct - perry

assessment of water availability to serve --

THE SPECIAL MASTER: Let me interrupt you, please, before I get more confused than I am. On the earlier set you asked for the monthly consumptive requirements in the two areas, the upper and the lower. Now, you're asking questions about the depletions --

MR. PERRY: That's correct, Your Honor.

THE SPECIAL MASTER: -- on the Little Wind.

Is there a relation to these two or what is the rationale?

MR. PERRY: With respect to the Little Wind, the depletions will be done by Mr. Bliesner, and he was providing only certain input. With respect to the input, he did the depletions.

- Q. (By Mr. Perry) Okay. Mr. Higginson, did you then go to make an assessment of water availability to serve these lands on the Little Wind?
- A. I reviewed the study done by HKM Associates, their depletion study of the effects upon the stream flow of the irrigation of trust lands, and compared my numbers to the data obtained in those computer printouts, particularly at control point or Node No. 29, which is at the mouth of the Little

5 | higginson - direct - perry

21

22

23

24

25

Wind River system.

- Q. Okay. And what were the results of your comparison?
- A. It appeared that there was an adequate water supply on the average and most years to provide for the irrigation of these additional fee-owned lands.

MR. MERRILL: Your Honor, I would object to the question and move to strike the answer on two separate grounds. First, there is no foundation for what he actually did. He said he looked at a computer printout and it appears in most years there was enough water. It would be helpful to know for what years, what exact kinds of calculations and analyses were performed to determine whether water is available, how many years out of ten, or some more meaningful information other than saying most of the time we can get some water to these lands.

MR. PERRY: I think those are acceptable questions.

THE SPECIAL MASTER: Normally, I would sustain, but on this I'm going to overrule because I believe it is a sufficient corolary or substantial supplemental statement to the HKM matters already in evidence. Go ahead.

higginson - direct - perry

(By Mr. Perry) Okay. Have you -- Would you again Q. repeat what --THE SPECIAL MASTER: Please don't repeat anything. Why don't you go on with the next thing; what's in the record is in the record. He said he found there is adequate water supply. (By Mr. Perry) Did you make any assessment of Q. water availability to serve fee lands to be listed to be served out of the Owl Creek? With regard to Owl Creek, I reviewed the testimony 10 of Mr. Billstein earlier in this case and compared 11 that with the requirements to serve the two tracts 12 13 of land that are held in fee, Tract Nos. 99 and 100, and determined that the total of 477 acres could be 14 15 irrigated and would deplete the system in the peak use month of July by something like 4 second feet 16 additional beyond what Mr. Billstein testified to. 17 And how would you characterize the effect of addi-Q. 18 tional depletion of 4 c.f.s.? 19 Well, the additional depletion of 4 second feet 20 in that system would be, have an effect of slightly 21 increasing the shortages that would otherwise be 22 experienced that he testified to. But again, I 23

to represent the same of the contract of the c

higginson - direct - perry

CHEAEMNE MA 95001

13071 635 8280

فلسك

24

25

agree with his testimony that those shortages are

manageable.

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

23

24

25

MR. PERRY: Okay. Your Honor, at this time we would like to offer into evidence Tribes' Exhibit No. 8, which is Mr. Higginson's report; Exhibit No. 9, which are certain amended pages to that report; Exhibits 10-1 through 10-40, which are the aerial photographs; and Exhibit 11, which is a list of those photographs by exhibit number correlating with photograph number.

THE SPECIAL MASTER: Give me a better definition or a better description, will you, of those hydrographs once more. Are there comparable numbers of what they are in evidence?

MR. PERRY: If we could show you one, Your Honor.

THE SPECIAL MASTER: Are they marked by a prior exhibit number?

For example, 10-1 you find is H-5264, and that will go by the photo number on them, all right, just as you have done.

All right, thank you. If a man were to chase down from the -- if a person were to attempt to find from the tract number the photo on which that tract appears, how would you proceed, Mr. Higginson?

higginson - direct - perry

1	THE WITNESS: Your Honor, he would go to the
2	Appendix B, which has the sketch overlays, and at
3	the bottom of that there is an overlay photo num-
4	ber identification.
5	THE SPECIAL MASTER: And he takes that number
6	THE WITNESS: And you go to the photo.
7	THE SPECIAL MASTER: For example, if I were
8	looking at the 6-acre tract that I would want to
9	find, Tract No. 64, I would go to 279-223?
10	THE WITNESS: Yes.
11	THE SPECIAL MASTER: 2-7-223, all right,
12	thank you.
13	As long as we have a way to work.
14	Do you wish to voir dire these, United States?
15	MR. CLEAR: No, Your Honor.
16	THE SPECIAL MASTER: Do you wish to voir dire,
17	Mr. Radosevich?
18	MR. RADOSEVICH: No, Your Honor.
19	THE SPECIAL MASTER: The State of Wyoming?
20	MR. MERRILL: No, Your Honor. I'll reserve
21	my voir dire as I have done in the past until my
22	cross-examination and make Motions to Strike at
23	that time.
24	THE SPECIAL MASTER: All right, very fine.
25	I'll hold up on these until we are through with

1	cross-examination.
2	MR. PERRY: I have no further questions,
3	Your Honor.
4	THE SPECIAL MASTER: All right, thank you
5	very much.
6	United States?
7	MR. CLEAR: No cross-examination, Your Honor.
8	THE SPECIAL MASTER: Other defendants?
9	MR. RADOSEVICH: Yes, Your Honor, I have a
10	few questions. First of all, I have to apologize
11	for missing the first part of Mr. Higginson's
12	testimony.
13	CROSS-EXAMINATION
14	BY MR. RADOSEVICH:
15	Q. Mr. Higginson, I note in Tribes' Exhibit No. 9
16	and from the testimony, you described present land
17	uses including that that's irrigable and that that
18	is practicably irrigable. How does your definition
19	of practicably irrigable What is your definition
20	of practicably irrigable?
21	A. Well, I gave it earlier. Practicably irrigable
22	lands are those lands that are of a soil character
23	or type, quality, texture, slopes or such and are
24	in reasonable proximity to a water supply so that
25	higginson - cross - radosevich

والمستان المستان المست

1		with the usual amount of effort you could bring
2		water tothe land and it could grow agricultural
3		crops.
4	Q.	Is it based upon crops that you normally find in
5		an agricultural In other words, it's just not
6		the growth of vegetation, it's the growth of
7	A.	The growth of agricultural crops to the area.
8	Q.	In that definition is there any inclusion of the
9		economic liability of the use of that land for that
10		crop?
11	A.	That's a consideration, yes.
12	Q.	Was this included then in your consideration of
13		determining the practicably irrigable acreage?
14	A.	I did not make an economic calculation for these
15		lands for reasons that I previously gave.
16	Q.	All right. Your study then is based upon an obser-
17		vation of the water supply and the soil texture for
18		plant growth?
19	A.	Soil determination based upon published reports of
20		government agencies.
21	Q.	In your determination of this, did you go over these
22		tracts of land, did you make a physical observation
23		of the lands?
24	A.	Yes, I did in most cases.
25	hia	ringon - cross - radosevich

1	Q.	And in what season did you or what time of year did
2		you go over there on the land?
3	А.	I was there earlier in the year, but I was also
4		back there the first couple of days of the month
5		of May.
6		
7		
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		•
16		* * * *
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25	1	

	·····		
3	8-1 mn-sm		8098
-	1	Q.	(By Mr. Radosevich) Early in the year, you mean by
∵	2	A.	February and early March.
**** ****	3	Q.	All right. And during the month of May had the crops
~	4		already been planted?
	5	A.	Some crops are perennial crops, other annual had not
13	6		been planted in all cases.
T) T)	7	Q.	Was there any observation at all made during the
T	f G		cropping during the growing season, say, in August
T	8		around harvest time of the soils?
T	9	A.	Well, obviously not August since we haven't had
T	10	,	
すっ	11		August yet since I got on the study.
T T	12	Ω.	I guess this should be a question. What year did
ক	13		you make this observation?
ব	14	A.	Early spring this year.
T	15	Q.	You didn't observe the crops last year, then?
4	16	A.	No.
.	17	Q.	Did you use photos in determining the crop water
زهر زهر	18		requirements?
رگر.		λ.	Yes, 'I did.
زهر	19	Ω.	And what types of photos were used?
وهر	20	A.	The hydrographic surveys of photos I obtained from
.	21		HKM Associates.
نور ه	22		
ر زهر	23	Q.	Were these colored, black and white or
جر	24	A.	Black and white.
	25	higo	inson - cross - radosevich

1	σ̈́	Were any color photos used at all?				
2	A.	No.				
3	Q.	O. No color photos were used in determining either the				
4		plant growth or determining the run-off characteristics?				
5	A.	No.				
6	Q	These tracts of land, and according to this heading				
7		on appendix c, states that it's a water supply for				
8		Indian owned fee lands. Your study only included				
9		Indian fee lands?				
10	A.	That's correct.				
11	Ŏ.	In your calculation for the irrigation efficiency				
12		and depletion allowances of water supply, all of				
13		this was only done on Indian fee land?				
14	A.	Well, a calculation would be usable for any of the				
15		lands in the area, but I applied it only to the				
16		fee lands that I was studying.				
17	Q.	All right. On the observations you made and the				
18		studies that you made, were there ever situations				
19		in which there was water supply serving Indian fee				
20		land and non-Indian fee land?				
21	A.	Yes, that's there were several situations like				
22		that.				
23	Q.	Did you make any distinction between the crop water				
24		requirements on Indian fee land versus non-Indian				
25	higg	inson - cross - radosevich				
1	!					

1		fee land?
2	A.	No, I did not.
3	ζ.	To your knowledge is there any difference in the
4		water use requirements for Indian fee land and non-
5		Indian fee land?
6	A.	I don't see any basis for any difference.
7	Č.	Are the lands treated the same?
8	A.	They appear to have the same quality of appearance
9		and quality of agriculture, yes.
10	Q.	I see, okay. As far as the lands are treated in
11		dispersing water, is there any difference to your
12		knowledge between the use of water on Indian lands
13		and non-Indian lands?
14	A.	Well, I'm not familiar with the distribution prac-
15		tices, whether there's any distinction made as to
16	 	whether the land is owned in fee or not owned in
17	[fee in someone's delivery of water to the land,
18		but I see no basis for any difference between fee
19		and nonfee lands as far as one acre versus another
20		acre.
21	Ç.	Okay. And the practices appear to be the same on
22	<u> </u> 	adjoining lands?
23	A.	I could see no basic difference.
24	Q.	All right. You testified on return flow methods used
	L .	wingen - awege - radegewich

A CAMBRA AND THE STATE OF THE S

1		to estimate, you mentioned that you made an obser-
2		vation of the area and you came up with apparently
3		25 percent of the return flow that is nonrecoverable.
4		Is that
5	A.	25 percent of the difference between the diversion
6		and the consumptive use of the crops would be non-
7		recoverable as return flow.
8	Q.	So then 75 percent is recoverable to downstream
9	:	users then, is that correct?
10	A.	Comes back into the system at some point downstream
11		from the point where it's diverted.
12	Ç).	Was this included in the water supply in the lower
13		portion and other acres downstream?
14	A.	I'm not sure I understand the question.
15	Ü	Well, you have the upper and lower portion of the
16		Wind, and you've got presumably, because I haven't
17		had a chance to observe the map you were looking at,
18		but presumably there were lands located below where
19		return flow would possibly have been included in the
20		water supply. Was the return flow included in your
21		water supply availability study or were you using
22		virgin flows?
23	A.	The water supply availability study in the Big Wind,
24		I supplied information to Mr. Bliesner and I understand
	higg	ringon - croce - radocevich

APER AREA SEC

<u> </u>	
! 	he's going to be a subject witness in this case.
}	I made no particular determination myself of deple-
	tions in the water supply availability study. That
	information was all supplied to him, and I consulted
	with him concerning my numbers, and I presume he will
	testify concerning return flows and so forth on the
	Big Wind.
Q.	None of the land you testified to is located far
	enough upstream to where return flow from that land
	would be a source of water supply to à downstream
	user?
A.	Oh, yes, definitely there were lands in the Upper
	Big Wind system where the return flows would be
	back in the system, but I didn't make any determination
	or study or calculation of that.
Ç.	I see. Okay. Did you in your observations of these
	lands, did you observe the drainage, the phreato-
	phytes, the method in which return flows return back
	to the stream if it's surface return flow?
A.	Yes, I do.
Q.	In determining these tracts of land that you have
	identified here, how did you go about identifying
	the tracts?
A.	They were identified by the legal description provided
higg	ginson - cross - radosevich
	ρ. Α.

to me by Elsie Kolstad. And were photographs used in terms of making the Q. map, I believe which is a portion of appendix 8? Yeah. The map that is a part of appendix b, the A. sketch maps that you are referring to, were taken as overlays from the aerial photos on which I had previously platted the legal descriptions that Mrs. Kolstad had provided me with, and those photos had a land net, section, township, range net already on them placed on them by HKM Associates as part 10 of their hydrographic survey. 11 MR. RADOSEVICH: I see. Your Honor, I have 12 no further questions. 13 THE SPECIAL MASTER: Thank you, Mr. Radosevich. 14 Mr. Merrill. 15 While Mr. Merrill is going to the podium, Mr. 16 Higginson, I'm going to ask a question or two. 17 Do you feel in your work there was a margin for 18 error that should be recognized by the Court in doing 19 the planimetering from the overlays to the actual 20 acreage in an area of total of this size? THE WITNESS: I think there's always some room 22 for error when you're taking information, but I think 23 within the realms of reasonable engineering practice, 24

25

higginson - cross - radosevich

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

the error that might be there is not going to be an unreasonable error.

THE SPECIAL MASTER: I was hoping there might be something in the record for some basis of taking a little four or five percent deduct, but I don't see it yet. There might be some basis for it.

The legal description didn't give acreage totals also, did it?

THE WITNESS: Yes, it did.

THE SPECIAL MASTER: Did the legal description acreage totals compare with your planimetered acreage totals?

THE WITNESS: Yes.

THE SPECIAL MASTER: Was there a difference?

THE WITNESS: No, we came out with basically the same. In areas where I had done planimetering and I came up with any kind of a measurable difference,

I would go back and redo it and look at other information, other plans, the photo -- soil photo maps and so forth to determine where the problems were and make sure it was corrected.

THE SPECIAL MASTER: Okay, thank you very much. Go ahead, Mr. Merrill.

MR. MERRILL: Thank you, Your Honor.

CROSS-EXAMINATION

409 4851 2418 51881

CHE 44 May 1, 55 x 82001.

11071 6 Ps 8240

FRONTIER REPORTING SERVICE

	· 	
1	ву м	R. MERRILL:
2	Ď.	Mr. Higginson, in your study of the 120 Indian owned
3		fee tracts of land, did you make any confirmation
4		as part of your own work that these 120 tracts are
5		actually Indian owned tracts in fee simple status?
6	A.	No, I didn't.
7	Q.	Did you examine the records of the Bureau of Indian
8		Affairs title plan in Billings with respect to
		questions of ownership on any of these lands?
0	A.	No.
1	Q.	Did you investigate during your study whether at
2		any time any of these 120 tracts were owned in fee
3		simple by anyone other than the United States govern
4		ment or the Indian tribes or an individual Indian?
5	A.	No.
6	ር	As part of your study did you investigate whether an
7		of the areas that you determined are currently irri-
8		gated are being irrigated by the Indian fee owner as
9		opposed to a lessee?
0	A.	No, I didn't make that distinction.
1	Q.	So you didn't investigate who was irrigating the
2		land, just whether it was irrigated?
3	A.	That's correct.

higginson - cross - merrill

24

25

Did you make any investigation as to whether any of

these 120 tracts are leased or under lease status? No. On page 1 of your report, Exhibit 8, I notice that Q. in the first paragraph the report says that it's 4 purpose is to investigate irrigability and water requirements for lands owned in fee by individual 6 members of the Shoshone and Arapahoe tribes or their direct descendants. Did you investigate the irrigability and water requirements of any lands owned by 9 the Tribes as a whole? 10 THE SPECIAL MASTER: Can I hear that guestion 11 again, please? 12 (Whereupon, the reporter read 13 (back, "Q. On page 1 of your report, (Exhibit 8, I notice that in the 14 (first paragraph the report says (that it's purpose is to investigate 15 (irrigability and water requirements (for lands owned in fee by individual 16 (members of the Shoshone and Arapahoe (tribes or their direct descendants. 17 (Did you investigate the irrigability (and water requirements of any lands 18 (owned by the Tribes as a whole?" 19 No, to my knowledge. The list THE WITNESS: 20 of the fee owners was provided to me, and I assume 21 that the list is an accurate list, and therefore, 22 I relied upon it. If there are inaccuracies in that 23 list and there are some lands that are owned by the 24

25

higginson - cross - merrill

8-10	0		8107
	1	Tribe, I'm not aware of that.	
	2		
	3		
	4		
	5		
	6		
	7		
•			
	8		
	9		
	10		
	11		
	12		
)	13		
	14		
	15	•	
	16		
	17	* * * *	
	18		
	19		
	20		
	21		
	22		
>			
•	23		
3	24		
3	25	higginson - cross - merrill	
		409 MEST 24TH STREET FRONTIER REPORTING S	ERVICE 201 M UALUT B ILLUNG CASEER WY 82601

	Į	
1	Q	(By Mr. Merrill) Okay. Mr. Higginson, when
2		were the aerial photos supplied to you by Mr.
3		Billstein actually taken, if you know?
4	A	You can read the dates on most of the photos
5		and generally they were taken in 1979 and 1980
6		during, I think generally in July.
7	Q	Would you please explain to the Court how using
8		a black and white aerial photograph you can
9		determine whether lands are currently irrigated
10		at the time that the photograph was taken.
11	A	Well, the coloration of that black and white
12		photo is an indication of that, but I wouldn't
13	•	rely entirely upon the interpretation of the
14		photo, although I have used aerial photos for
15		20 some years in land surveys and adjudications,
16		water rights studies. You can get an indication
17	.	from that, from the location of irrigation
18		canals and ditches which are fairly apparent on
19		the photos, and then with the photo in the field
20		you can examine the land up close and confirm the
21		determination that you may have made in the
22		office.
23	Q	Are there any of the 120 tracts which you studied
24		which you do not have aerial photo coverage of?
25	higg	inson-cross-merrill

1	A	Yes, there are.
2	Q	What tracts are those?
3	A	I'd have to refer to my notes and basically the
4		worksheets that I used is the basis for the
5		determination.
6	Q	You're welcome to take a minute and find those
7		if you like.
8		(Brief pause.
9	A	Tract No. 4, Tract No. 7, Tract No. 29, Tract
10		No. 47, Tract No. 103, Tract No. 107.
11		THE SPECIAL MASTER: Is that a sufficient
12		answer without continuing the search?
13	•	MR. MERRILL: I think he's about through
14		the list, Your Honor. It would be helpful to
15		have a complete list.
16		THE SPECIAL MASTER: All right.
17		THE WITNESS: That's it.
18	Q	(By Mr. Merrill) Thank you very much, I appreciate
19		your going through those.
20		THE SPECIAL MASTER: And I appreciate your
21		not asking for copies. I guess that's my own
22		Off the record.
23		(Off-the-record discussion.
24		THE SPECIAL MASTER: Okay, back on the record,
25	higg	inson-cross-merrill

1	} 	please.
2	Q	(By Mr. Merrill) I just noticed, Mr. Higginson,
3		I've been calling these tracts 120 and there
4		are 125 of them.
5	A	No, there are 120. You'll notice there are five
6		numbers missing.
7	Q	Oh. What happened to those five?
8	A	They were deleted in the latter end of the study
9		after I placed my final numbering, and I didn't
10		go back and try and renumber and have three sets
11		of numbers on the tracts; two is confusing enough.
12	Q	I don't blame you.
13		Okay. I believe you mentioned during your
14		direct testimony that there were several of
15		these fields that you were not able to personally
16		visit; is that correct?
17	A	That's correct.
18	Q	Would you please tell the Court which fields you
19		were not able to visit?
20	A	I did not visit Tracts No. 4, 85, 89 and 120.
21	Q	Thank you. I notice with respect to Tract 4
22		that you neither had aerial photograph coverage
23		nor were you able to make a field visit.
24		Would you please tell the Master how you made
25	hig	ginson-cross-merrill

1	<u> </u> 	your determination that Tract 4 contains 47
2		acres of practicably irrigable land?
3	Α	I had other information with regard to that
4		tract that indicated that it was irrigable.
5	Q	What information was that?
6	A	There is a certificate issued by the State of
7		Wyoming and an adjudicated right. Certificate
8		No. 59/346.
9	Q	What does the existence of that certificate tell
10	{ {	you about the irrigability of Tract No. 4?
11		MR. CLEAR: Objection, Your Honor, we've
12		been
13	,	THE SPECIAL MASTER: I'm going to overrule
14		that objection.
15		THE WITNESS: Tells me that at least at
16		some point in time water was applied to the
17		land, crops were grown and the State of Wyoming
18		recognized that use and issued a Certificate of
19		Water Right.
20		MR. CLEAR: I withdraw the objection.
21		MR. MERRILL: I don't blame him, Your Honor.
22	Q	(By Mr. Merrill) According to your definition
23		of practicably irrigable acreage, is it sufficient
24		that land at one time had water applied to it and
25	higg	inson-cross-merrill

1		was used to grow crops?
2		THE SPECIAL MASTER: Now, can I hear that
3		question again?
4		(Thereupon the following (question was read back as
5		(follows: "Q According (to your definition of
6		(practicably irrigable (acreage, is it sufficient
7		(that land at one time had (water applied to it and
8		(was used to grow crops?"
9		MR. MERRILL: I should say sufficient to
10		qualify that land as irrigable acreage, Your
11		Honor.
12		THE WITNESS: Are you asking that specifically
13	•	with regard to Tract 4 or as a general matter?
14	Q	(By Mr. Merrill) Let's start with Tract 4.
15	A	I assumed the certificate was the best evidence
16		I could get as to the irrigability of that land
17		and its practicability to be irrigated.
18	Q	Did you make any determination concerning whether
19		Tract 4 is currently irrigated?
20	A	No, I did not.
21	Q	Isn't it true that according to Appendix C of
22		your report there are no acres of irrigated
23		currently irrigated land in Tract 4?
24	A	I could not report that the land was irrigated
25	hig	ginson-cross-merrill

because it's a tract I did not visit and did not observe and therefore I observed the tract was practicably irrigable rather than irrigated. It may in fact be irrigated. In general, do you regard the existence of a Q valid State Certificate of Appropriation covering a tract of land to be proof of its irrigability? MR. CLEAR: Objection, Your Honor. THE SPECIAL MASTER: I was going to object myself, but I was going to say that I think that 10 11 carries the matter far beyond his explicit and 12 accurate and limited definition of what he 13 interprets the value of an existing adjudicated 14 water right. And I think you're getting into an 15 argumentative position with him because he didn't --16 he didn't bite the first time you waved that bait across, I don't think you ought to be waving 17 that bait across him too many times, so I'm 18 going to sustain it. 19 MR. MERRILL: Your Honor, I'm not trying to 20 bait the witness. I'm interested in -- As you 21 know, this is a major controversy concerning --22 THE SPECIAL MASTER: And we've been on this --23 24 MR. CLEAR: Your Honor, he's arguing after

higginson-cross-merrill

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

the ruling's been made.

MR. MERRILL: No, I'm not, Your Honor. I'm wondering, this is another resource engineer whose been qualified as such who made an investigation of lands, and I'm wondering if it is his findings and his professional opinions as a result of his work, line up with the rulings that the Master has made. If not, I believe the Court would be interested in hearing it.

THE SPECIAL MASTER: The Master's ruling --Our ruling on it has simply been that the existence of it is a prima facie case; it can be rebuttable. And if you got some evidence that one of your good people got on that Tract 4 and it's a mess, hasn't been looked at for 30 years and ought not be considered practicably irrigable land, I'll listen to that. And the fact that its got an adjudicated water right doesn't bind me to say its got to be given some water, but until that kind of evidence has been presented, I think the prima facie fact that it was granted a permit at one time or another makes a fairly strong case that I have to listen to some type of water for that land even though it may have been removed from higginson-cross-merrill.

> 409 WEST 24TH STHEET CHEVENNE, WY 82001 (307) 635 8280

FRONTIER REPORTING SERVICE

201 M DAEST 8 (F.DMG - CASPER - A Y 82601 - 1307/247 1494 that which is irrigated to that which is nonirrigated. So I don't think he's off track, I
think professionally he was right on with what
we've done with this matter, and I think that the
facts regarding each tract is going to determine
whether they get any reserved water or not.

MR. MERRILL: Your Honor. I'm not trying

MR. MERRILL: Your Honor, I'm not trying to argue the motion after the fact, and as you're aware I didn't know the answer that the witness was going to give since I was obviously surprised by the answer. But he has been qualified in this area and I wanted to see what his professional opinions were for the benefit of the Court more than anything else.

THE SPECIAL MASTER: I appreciate that.

علم علم علم علم علم علم

* * * * * *

24

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

22

23

25

409 WEST 24TH STREET

CHEYENNE, WY 82001

(307) 635-8280

1	Q	(By Mr. Merrill) Mr. Higginson, you testified
2		in your direct examination that you were in the
3		field a total of seven or eight days in 1981,
4		is that correct?
5	A	Yes.
6	Q	And only a couple of days in early May?
7	A	Three days.
8	Q	Three days? How much actual irrigation was going
9		on in early May when you visited these lands?
10	A	Oh, there was a small amount of it. Some of the
11		minor tributary ditches had water running in
12		them. For the most part the main canals were not
13	•	full.
14	Ď	You say now that the minor tributary ditches
15		were charged with water? Was water actually being
16		applied to the fields?
17	A	Yes.
18	Q	How many cases did you observe of actual irrigation
19		in early May?
20	A	Oh, I don't have an exact number, but half a dozen
21		to ten, something of that nature.
22	Q	And during this total of seven or eight days, you
23		investigated between ten and 14 thousand acres
24		of land, is that correct?
25	hig	ginson-cross-merrill
	t	

والمست وا

1	A	That's correct.
2	Q	Approximately what percentage of that land would
3		you say that you actually physically investigated
4		and got a good look at?
5	Α	Got a good look at?
6	Q	Yes.
7	A	Say 90 to 100 percent of it.
8	Q	Is that of the 10,000 acres that you classified
9		as irrigated or irrigable or of the 14,000 that
10		you studied?
11	A	Of the 14,000.
12	Q	How did you get around on the Reservation when
13		you were doing your field work?
14	A	By automobile.
15	Q	Did you ever use a helicopter to investigate
16		any of these lands?
17	A	No.
18	Q	You stated also in your direct examination that
19		you relied on some interviews, is that correct?
20	A	Yes.
21	Q	How many interviews did you make?
22	A	I believe I spoke with 15 to 20 individual fee
23		owners.
24		THE SPECIAL MASTER: Just a half a minute,
25	hig	ginson-cross-merrill

I'm not going to recess unless somebody wants a recess. (No response. THE SPECIAL MASTER: We will go on until lunch break. Go ahead. (By Mr. Merrill) Approximately how much time did you spend conducting interviews? Total time wouldn't have exceeded three hours. Were other people interviewed than the folks you personally had an opportunity to speak with? 10 A Yes. 11 12 MR. SACHSE: I have an objection to this 13 question. I would like to ask the attorney to make clear of what he means by "interview" so that 14 15 we can tell in the answers to the question whether the answers refer to formal interviews where notes 16 were taken or to informal talks with the farmers 17 in the fields because there may be different 18 answers depending on what the questioner means 19 by "interview." It's too unclear a guestion. 20 MR. MERRILL: Well, Your Honor, I will agree now that Mr. Sachse suggests there is an ambiguity, 22 but I'll point out there is no direct testimony 23

higginson-cross-merrill

24

25

indicating what kinds of interviews, where they

took place or anything else. (By Mr. Merrill) Let's talk about the interviews to find out who you talked to, when and where. THE SPECIAL MASTER: Maybe if there was no testimony on direct indicating what kind of interviews or where they took place, that I ought not permit any questions on cross. MR. PERRY: There were questions about that. MR. MERRILL: They were listed as a source of information, Your Honor, but there weren't 10 many specifics provided. 11 (By Mr. Merrill) What different kinds of Q 12 interviews did you conduct? I take it there 13 were some you conducted personally and some you 14 probably didn't? 15 That's correct. A meeting was held at Fort Α 16 17 Washakie on February 24th. I understand that 18 invitations had been sent I assume by the Tribe to owners of fee land. At that meeting I was 19 20 present, as well as the Tribal attorneys, and we 21 individually met with fee landowners who provided us with information. Now, some of them I met 22 with personally, and some of them the Tribal 23 attorneys talked to and I did not visit with. 24

higginson-cross-merrill

25

information of a company of the grammer control of the property of the property of the company of the property of the property

1	Q	And you met with 15 or 20 fee owners at that time?
2	A	Not at that time. A total that I have talked
3		with during the course of my study, including
4		those at that meeting and others that I encountered
5		as I would visit their places in the field, and
6		some that I called on the phone to get some
7		information, was 15 or 20 total.
8	Q	I'm sorry, I misunderstood your earlier testimony.
9		How many people did you have an opportunity to
10		visit with during the meeting in Fort Washakie in
11		February?
12	A	I think there were about 12.
13	į Q	Do you know how many fee landowners in all
14		participated in these meetings and were interviewed
15		by someone else?
16	A	I would just estimate there were 40. Now, there
17		were more individuals present, but they were in
18		perhaps family groups and there were 40 kinds of
19		interviews conducted there.
20	Q	Okay. Who conducted the interviews that you were
21	1 	not able to conduct?
22	A	The Tribal attorneys.
23	Q	As a result of your interviews with the 12 or so
24		people that you have interviewed, did you make
25	hig	ginson-cross-merrill

1		notes or fill out some sort of an interview form?
2	A	Yes, I did.
3	Q	Do you have those forms with you?
4	A	NO.
5		MR. MERRILL: Your Honor, I would move for
6		an order compelling the Witness to produce the
7		interview forms that he filled out as a result
8		of those meetings in order we can see the facts
9		and data upon which he relied to determine the
10		lands that are currently irrigated.
11		THE SPECIAL MASTER: Was the request made
12		during the deposition?
13	}	MR. MERRILL: Yes, it was, Your Honor, and
14		it was denied on the grounds there was attorney-
15		client privilege involved.
16		THE SPECIAL MASTER: I better be apprised
17		of that, too, before I rule. Go ahead.
18		MR. MERRILL: Your Honor, are the Tribes
19		still making any objection? If they have, I'm
20		not sure where we are procedurally with my
21		motion.
22		MR. PERRY: Your Honor, with respect to
23		those interview forms, there were a variety of
24		purposes in conducting these interviews. One of
25	hi	gginson-cross-merrill
	1	

the purposes was for the attorneys to discuss with some of these members potential for being witnesses in the case, and other matters that we consider to be privileged between the attorney — between us and them.

THE SPECIAL MASTER: Were the forms prepared by the attorneys or by the engineers?

MR. PERRY: In some cases one, and in some cases the other. With respect to Mr. Higginson's work, he has individual worksheets on each tract where he has listed the relevant information that he relied on, and that has been provided to Mr. Merrill.

THE SPECIAL MASTER: That should be provided.

MR. PERRY: That has been provided.

THE SPECIAL MASTER: These are the forms you gentlemen prepared, the attorneys have prepared?

MR. SACHSE: Let me address that, I may have had more to do with it.

Mr. Higginson and the attorneys together

prepared a blank form to get information about

the tracts of land. We then at this public meeting
interviewed people, and we interviewed them for

higginson-cross-merrill

several purposes, as Mr. Perry has stated, to see who would be a good witness, to see what kinds of problems people had had that ought to be raised in this lawsuit and to get data from them about their irrigation practices. Whereever there was a question of data about irrigation practices, that information was turned over to Mr. Higginson, who recorded it on his worksheets which have already been turned over to the State.

So it's our opinion that the State is trying to go three levels back on this. They have Mr. Higginson's report, they have his worksheets that have the information pertaining to his report, and they are now trying to get a third level back to the handwritten filled out forms, some of which the lawyers did and some of which Mr. Higginson did where we were all looking for other matters, too. We think that is excessive for the State to ask for those forms since they have gotten all data that has been relied upon in Mr. Higginson's expert testimony.

THE SPECIAL MASTER: What perceivable information could that form have that you haven't had access to, Mr. Merrill? Was your particular

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

ам можь а выраж

107 . 37 14 15

A ASSESS AND ASSESSED.

TO ABOVE BURNEYOR

14 17 + 45 Pa PG

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

22

23

24

25

tract not irrigated for a number of years, this sort of thing? MR. MERRILL: Yes, Your Honor. THE SPECIAL MASTER: Just flood it in the spring and not use it anymore, something of that kind? MR. MERRILL: Yes. THE SPECIAL MASTER: The Witness admitted there was that sort of thing, some non-use, and he put that in the non-use category, some with a limited irrigation and he observed it accordingly. MR. MERRILL: We would like to get the information from the interview sheets that would show, for example, whether the Indian owned tracts of land are those that are actually irrigated. THE SPECIAL MASTER: Well, now, let me ask about that. I almost did when you touched on that in your question about leasee. Is it the position of the State of Wyoming, that as an

a season or even long-term to someone else, Indian or non-Indian, that that terminates his rights to a reserved water right?

Indian who owned land in fee has leased it out for

and Mark Section (1984)

18 m 2 17 1 4 15

人名伊林 医毛头病

position that if Congress intended to reserve water only for the use of the Indians themselves --

THE SPECIAL MASTER: That is, that an

Indian can't lease something he owns like a non-

Indian can lease something he owns?

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. MERRILL: Your Honor, as I'm sure you are aware, there is a lot of controversy on whether reserved rights are leasable property rights, and the question always boils back to what Congress intended if and when they reserved that water on behalf of the Indians. It's the State's position in this case that Congress didn't intend to reserve water at all, but if they did, they intended to reserve water for the use of the Indians themselves to develop the arts of agriculture and not to lease out for other purposes, that the primary purpose that Congress had in mind was the Indians becoming farmers on their own land and not inviting other people to come in and develop the resources and develop the resources using reserved water rights to do it.

THE SPECIAL MASTER: Well, if the Indian -
If the evidence shows that Atlantic Oil Company
or Standard of Indiana came on that Reservation
62 years ago and 55 years ago respectively and
drilled some water and drilled some oil wells,
and beginning 30, 35 years ago needed water for
secondary recovery and was taking water from deep
aquifers and we find that there's a reserved right
to that deep water for the Indians, do you maintain
that they do not have a right to let their lessee
and co-venturer use it to bring oil to the surface?

MR. MERRILL: That's correct, Your Honor.

THE SPECIAL MASTER: Even though it's been going on for 40 years? Are you serious?

MR. MERRILL: Yes, I am, Your Honor. It's our position that the state permit would be required for any diversion by non-Indians even though diversions might be on the Reservation.

And I'm sure you're aware it's a point of considerable controversy. The Coalville opinion issued by the Ninth Circuit in June directly addresses it. However, we don't believe the matter is resolved --

THE SPECIAL MASTER: In that either.

All right. Well, I've got a problem with

these work papers because, you know, you had a deposition chance to get them. And if some of them were attorney-client work papers, then I will sustain the objection to them, but you've already been supplied with the ones that were not attorney-client work forms. I really don't believe they're going to contain a lot of information that you can't elucidate from questions from this witness.

I'll ask the attorneys -- I suppose that you have a right, I believe Wyoming has a right to know if some of these tracts are being leased out or whether they're not being. That's a part of the factual evidence that should be in the record, and I won't hide and allow that to be rat holed if that information is available.

MR. SACHSE: I can address this this way. I know from general information that very little of the, of the fee land claimed by non-Indians is under lease to anyone else. These are generally the group of people who own their land themselves. To the best of my recollection, that was not even a question that we asked on these forms, but I can't recall in the interviews I've had anyone saying that he was leasing out his land; that the

POLICE CONTROL OF THE

ASSESS ASSESSED.

(4) (7) 247 349 6

people were talking about what they were doing themselves with that land.

THE SPECIAL MASTER: All right. But --

MR. SACHSE: Now, the State, if it wanted to raise leasing out land as an issue -- Let me just say this, and I think it's an absolutely red herring false issue because Indians have leased land as long as there's been reserved water rights and no court has ever held, as far as I know, that that interferes with reserved water. But if the State had wanted to pose to us, in an interrogatory, how much of your land claimed is under lease to non-Indians, we, I suppose, could have made some efforts to determine that, but it's a bit late to do that now.

THE SPECIAL MASTER: It is, indeed, but it hasn't been foreclosed because it's late, and that's the point I want to make. If it's under lease, the State has a right to know how much of it. I think, gentlemen, you ought to be able to get together, too, and answer a matter that might be again an issue, and that is that if some of these tracts were at one time owned by -- first, they were in trust to the Tribes, then they went to an Indian by deed and then to a non-Indian by warranty

deed, and then back in the hands of the Indian by deed, we'd like to know how much of those acres, just in the event that we're going to rule that a reserved right got cut off when it was conveyed to a non-Indian. There may be a state water right on it that didn't get cut off and it can survive, but I think you gentlemen ought to have that material in evidence for me, too, on this ruling. And there may only be 5 or 10 acres or 20 acres, but it ought to be in evidence anyway.

Now, if these work papers would bring that out, I'd order them into court, but the witness has already said that's kind of the exact legal material that was not his concern.

MR. MERRILL: I don't think the work papers would show that, Your Honor, and I'm not trying to use the argument to drag them into court. My concerns are twofold. First, we've seen time and time again and the Tribes have pointed this out to me, getting things pushed in the Federal Register, that the original core information made from field notes, interview forms and so forth gets a little scrambled up in its transfusion into the next series, which will be Mr. Higginson's worksheets, which we have, and we're

entitled to go back and look at the original information on which he relied in developing his worksheets and his reports to check the basis for the conclusions that he made.

A second thing that I want to bring out is not with respect to leases, but with respect to the types of irrigation service on the irrigated lands, and I'm going to get into this later on in my cross-examination.

THE SPECIAL MASTER: What if many of these are part of the FIPs or part of the Midvale Project? It really doesn't make much difference, does it?

MR. MERRILL: No. What I'm speaking of,
Your Honor, is whether all of these lands are
used to grow crops and receive full irrigation
service throughout the entire irrigation season
or is there irrigated hay pasture that maybe
should get a little smaller duty of water, or
some variant like that; more information concerning the actual use of the land and the history of
the use of the land. In other words, is the fact
that it was irrigated the one year that it was
looked at enough to call it irrigable land or
do we want to take a little longer time span to

CHEYERAE, WY 82001

13071 635 8280

look at these pieces of land, find out if they were irrigated two years ago.

THE SPECIAL MASTER: I appreciate what you are saying, but something keeps turning back in my mind saying that we must keep in mind that if every water right granted in Water Division No. 3 is subjected to the same test you're subjecting every acre of this Reservation, I'll bet you that 50 percent of the water rights would be limited in some way or another.

MR. MERRILL: That may very well be.

THE SPECIAL MASTER: And this has got to be a general mainstream adjudication which deals with some degree of equitable evenhandedness with the inquiry into the rights of a rancher in the Hanover Project and Worland and an Indian on the Reservation. And when you get that minute an inquiry, I'm beginning to believe you're top-heavying this thing in a way that would not stand judicial review and evenhandedness.

MR. MERRILL: Your Honor, we're not trying to suggest to the Court that you subject the reserved rights to a more searching factual scrutiny than will be subjected to the state-awarded water rights. They will come under the

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

409 WEST 24th STHEET

CHEYENNE WY 82001

1307) 635 8280

microscope in their own time in this adjudication.

THE SPECIAL MASTER: They may not in this adjudication. They ought to, if justice is going to prevail, they ought to sooner or later. They may not in this adjudication, but we're going to try to do it only because there's stipulations that set it to one side and said it's not necessary.

All right, we got off on a little legal discussion, but it was necessary.

Okay. We've concluded that you don't really care to look at those other papers that have an attorney-client relationship as they did in the deposition.

MR. MERRILL: Your Honor, I do care to look at those papers, and I believe that a privilege has been asserted. I would ask the Court to order that they be produced with any materials that the tribal attorneys consider to be cloaked with the privilege excised from those terms.

THE SPECIAL MASTER: Okay. Gentlemen, I'm directing that you take out of the work papers anything that was of an attorney-client relationship regarding what you were searching for, but if there is evidence of a lease relationship or material regarding a chain of title as to this

409 WEST 24TH STREET

CHETERNE WY 82001

1307/ 635 8260

2 of M DWeb Conserves

CASHER MARKER

73 170 237 TA33

establishment having taken place of a conveyance to non-Indian, that should be provided to Mr. Merrill; and if you will do that, I'll be grateful that you see that it's complied with. Go ahead, Mr. Merrill. 6 MR. MERRILL: Thank you, Your Honor. (By Mr.Merrill) Mr. Higginson, I take it these interviews are part of the information on which you relied in determining whether land is currently irrigated; is that correct? 10 That's correct. 11 As a water resources engineer, is a statement by 12 Q. an individual concerning what he does with his 13 land the type of information that you would reason-14 ably rely on in determining whether that land is 15 irrigated? 16 I would use it as part of the input. I wouldn't A. 17 rely upon it with -- without having other sources 18 of information from which he could check it and 19 cross-check it. 20 With respect to each tract of land which was 21 indicated to be irrigated as a result of the 22 interviews, were you able to confirm, either by 23 reference to the aerial photographs or by fieldwork, 24

higginson - cross - merrill

25

えが 禁 強いたい しち

A A • (H. β × A) × ·

1]	that the tract was, indeed, irrigated?
2	A.	I can't recall specifically what is on each one
3		of those interview forms, but I think the answer
4		to the question is a general yes.
5	Q.	Can you recall any instance in which the statement
6		of an Indian who was interviewed was not substan-
7		tiated by the photographs?
8	A.	No, I cannot.
9	Q.	Do you know if the information taken as a result
10		of these interviews was in the form of an affi-
11		davit or other sworn testimony?
12	A.	It was not.
13	Q.	It was not. Did you rely on any aerial photographs
14		taken before 1979?
15	A.	Yes, to the extent that some of the soils survey
16		and land classification reports of the federal
17		agencies are photomaps, and the information is on
18		photographs that were taken prior to 1979.
19	Q.	Would that be photomaps within the BIA or Bureau
20		of Reclamation of SCS studies?
21	A.	That's correct.
22	Q.	Okay. I'm curious about the standards or guide-
23		lines that you used in making your determination,
24		whether a tract of land is currently irrigated.
25	hia	ginson - cross - merrill

TOTAL AL THOUGH A TARREST ATTACK TOTAL

Were there any specific criteria that you had in mind in looking at a tract before you would call it currently irrigated? In other words was being irrigated in 1980 alone enough proof to you that you would call that tract irrigated or did you look for a longer term history of successful irrigated agriculture on that tract? Well, if I found a tract of land, and I don't recall that there was any single tract of land that showed 9 evidence that it had only been irrigated in 1980, a 10 one year irrigation, that would be fairly apparent 11 in observing the land, I would still consider that to 12

> THE SPECIAL MASTER: Let me ask a question, Mr. Merrill, it follows directly on what you're doing.

part, the lands that I recall looking at had evidence

be irrigable or irrigated land. But for the most

of a longer period of irrigation than that.

Did you find evidence of sodium absorption deficiency, alkali, what we used to call it when I was a kid around the Rock Springs area, Enid or Farson?

THE WITNESS: There's many alkali areas throughout the Wind River drainage, and a few spots that I could observe on some of the fee lands.

THE SPECIAL MASTER: What did you do about those higginson - cross - merrill

25

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

1	spots you observed on the fee land?
2	THE WITNESS: Generally if there were some
3	very apparent large spots, they were excluded.
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
13 14 15 16	* * * *
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	higginson - cross - merrill

1	Ď.	(By Mr. Merrill) Mr. Higginson, would you take out
2		the areal photographs that have been marked as Exhibits
3		10-1 through 10-40?
4	A.	Yes. They are here.
5		THE SPECIAL MASTER: They are over there in the
6	<u> </u> 	corner.
7	Q.	(By Mr. Merrill) May we pull out one of those and
8		take a look at it?
9	A.	Any particular one?
10		(Brief pause.
11	Q.	(By Mr. Merrill) Are those photographs that were
12		supplied to you by Mr. Billstein and what are known
13		as the hydrographic set of photos?
14	A.	It's my understanding they are.
15		MR. MERRILL: Your Honor, I would like to ask
16		we break for lunch at this time. I have some cross-
17		examination concerning specific tracts of land, and
18		I had intended to use photographs of another series,
19		but now that we have the Exhibit 11 that correlates
20		his photographs with his markings with specific tracts,
21		I would like some time to correlate that information
22		and use his own work.
23		THE SPECIAL MASTER: Would you also want to hold
24		in reserve your voir dire of remaining exhibits until
25	hig	ginson - cross - merrill

}	
1	we come back from lunch?
2	MR. MERRILL: If we could, Your Honor, I would
3	appreciate it.
4	THE SPECIAL MASTER: We will stand in recess
5	until 1:30. That gives you 30 minutes to work with
6	after lunch.
7	MR. MERRILL: Thank you, Your Honor, I appreciate
8	it.
9	(Noon recess.
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
	1

the second of th