

7-31-1981

Trial Transcript, Vol. 97, Afternoon Session

Frontier Reporting Service

Follow this and additional works at: <https://digitalcommons.law.uidaho.edu/bighorn>

Recommended Citation

Frontier Reporting Service, "Trial Transcript, Vol. 97, Afternoon Session" (1981). *Bighorn*. 255.
<https://digitalcommons.law.uidaho.edu/bighorn/255>

This Transcript is brought to you for free and open access by the Hedden-Nicely at Digital Commons @ UIIdaho Law. It has been accepted for inclusion in Bighorn by an authorized administrator of Digital Commons @ UIIdaho Law. For more information, please contact annablaine@uidaho.edu.

Case # 4993

File # 204

4455

FILE BOX
4455
Box 13

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

IN THE DISTRICT COURT FOR THE FIFTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT
WASHAKIE COUNTY, STATE OF WYOMING

IN RE:)
)
THE GENERAL ADJUDICATION OF)
ALL RIGHTS TO USE WATER IN)
THE BIG HORN RIVER SYSTEM)
AND ALL OTHER SOURCES,)
STATE OF WYOMING.)

Civil No. 4993

FILED

Aug 3, 1981
Margaret V. Hampton CLERK
DEPUTY

VOLUME 97

Afternoon Session

Thursday, July 31, 1981

ORIGINAL

409 West 24th Street
Cheyenne, WY 82001
(307) 635-8280

Frontier Reporting Service



201 Midwest Building
Casper, WY 82601
(307) 237-1493

1 THE SPECIAL MASTER: All right, ladies and
2 gentlemen, let's come to order, please.

3 The next witness, Mr. Keller, has not been sworn,
4 has he?

5 JACK KELLER

6 was called as a witness by the Tribes, and, having been first
7 duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows, to wit:

8 DIRECT EXAMINATION

9 BY MR. SACHSE:

10 Q Mr. Keller, would you give the Court your full name
11 and address?

12 A. My name is Jack Keller. My address is 35 River Park
13 Drive, Logan, Utah.

14 Q. Dr. Keller, where are you currently employed?

15 A. Currently I'm employed at Utah State University as
16 the Department Head of Agricultural and Irrigation
17 Engineering, and in addition to that, I have a con-
18 sulting engineering practice which goes under the
19 name of Keller Engineering.

20 Q. I assume that some of your duties as head of the
21 Department of Agricultural and Irrigation Engineering
22 are administrative; is that correct?

23 A. Yes, sir.

24 Q. Now, would you describe your duties as department head
25 keller - direct - sachse



1 and as a professor at Utah State, other than your
2 administrative duties?

3 A. Well, besides the obvious administrative duties of
4 being department head, I also teach in the field --
5 I have courses in the field of sprinkler irrigation,
6 engineer' design, ri kle irrigation at the
7 upper engineering division levels, and then I teach
8 a course in the graduate level in sprinkler irrigation
9 system and project design.

10 Q I assume that sprinkler engineering includes side roll
11 and center pivot --

12 A. Oh, yes, sprinkler irrigation is the l of all the
13 types of ramifications where we squirt the water out
14 of a nozzle and it more or less rains on the ground,
15 so it can be done with center pivot, side rolls, little
16 sprinklers -- in fact, it's sprinkler irrigation when
17 you hold a hose and sprinkle your garden.

18 Q Do you have other roles as head of the department besides
19 your teaching and administrative duties?

20 A. Yes, besides teaching and administration, I also am
21 a project leader on a project known as the Water
22 Management Synthesis Project, and that's a rather
23 comprehensive project that's funded by U. S. AID, and
24 the purpose of that project is to review irrigation

25 keller - direct - sachse



1 technology, transfer and applications around the world,
2 particularly -- with particular emphasis on the activities
3 that our government has been involved in through its
4 U. S. AID Program.

5 Q. U. S. AID is the United States Agency for International
6 Development?

7 A. Yes, Agency for International Development, and what we
8 have done is first, we've -- that project is rather
9 interesting in the fact that we have looked through the
10 paper documents of all the projects that dealt with
11 soil and water development that AID has been involved in
12 over its history.

13 Then we visited certain of these projects over
14 a worldwide basis, and he visited those projects to
15 give a critique and analysis and then to come back to
16 AID to suggest how best to approach irrigation development
17 on a worldwide basis.

18 So you might say the final objective of that
19 piece of the study is to give AID directive orders --
20 or directive insights as to how best to progress for
21 the next decade in our various programs worldwide,
22 and in this study, we have visited -- Last year, we
23 visited projects -- actually did sector analysis in
24 India, Pakistan, Thailand, Bangladesh, and Nepal, and that
25 keller - direct - sachse



1 particular region of the world probably represents --
2 it doesn't probably, it does represent -- close to
3 maybe two hundred million acres of irrigated land,
4 and that's really about, oh, three or four times as
5 much irrigated land as we have in the entire United
6 States, so that's a very definitely densely irrigated
7 area, you might say, and I am the project director of
8 that.

9 Part of the project is operated in conjunction
10 with Colorado State University, and I'm one co-director
11 and Mr. Wayne Clyma, Colorado State, is the co-
12 director there.

13 THE SPECIAL MASTER: Does the University of
14 Wyoming participate?

15 THE WITNESS: The University of Wyoming is not
16 involved in this particular project.

17
18 * * * * *

19
20
21
22
23
24
25 keller - direct - sachse



1 Q (By Mr. Sachse) As part of your duties with the
2 Water Management Synthesis Project and your teaching
3 duties and indeed your consulting work too, do you
4 keep up with both costs involved in irrigating
5 land and the technologies available for irrigating
6 land?

7 A. Yes. I really feel that the -- that keeping a handle
8 on both costs and technological development is absolutely
9 essential to my performance, both as an administrator
10 at the university, to direct where we might be doing
11 our next research. Certainly it's important in terms
12 of the work on such projects as the Water Management
13 Synthesis Project, which I just explained, and is
14 absolutely essential in my consulting business because
15 as a consultant, I'm involved with, from time to time,
16 with the actual design of systems and advice to clients
17 who are planning on irrigating a piece of land, and so
18 if they want advice and if they wanted actual designs
19 made, it's essential that we be on top of both the costs
20 and also on -- in gear with what's available, what's
21 really not only; managably available, but what's really
22 proven availability, what works well, how it works and
23 so on.

24 Q How long have you been involved in this Water Management
25 keller - direct - sachse



1 Synthesis Program?

2 A. The Water Management Synthesis Program has been going
3 in actual full flow state where the funds were moving
4 through the system right at two years now, it's going
5 into its third year, and it has, the remainder of this
6 year and approximately another, it will be over in about
7 eighteen months.

8 Q. And how long have you been head of the Department of --

9 A. I've also been head of the department for two years.
10 This is, I'm into my third year at this point.

11 Q. How long have you taught at Utah State University,
12 School of Agricultural Engineering?

13 A. I've taught at Utah State since 1960, which would be
14 what, twenty, twenty-one years, and in this teaching
15 experience, I've taught several courses, but predominately
16 I've developed and taught the courses I referred to
17 because heretofore they hadn't been taught in universities
18 throughout the country. In fact, Utah State happens to be
19 the only school that has such an extensive program in
20 teaching actual practical design operations of irrigation
21 systems.

22 Q. Is the question of infiltration water and measurement of
23 infiltration of water part of the subject matter of your
24 courses and research?

25 keller - direct - sachse



1 A. Oh, yes. And it's -- I've done my earlier research,
2 before I was doing this more or less general analysis
3 of systems with water management, for a number of years
4 had a project that dealt with more laboratory and more
5 field oriented technical research of infiltration, flow
6 of water in soils effect. If you looked in my publica-
7 tion list, effective water application rate on the soils,
8 stability, the soiltilth and such items as that. So I've
9 been involved quite heavily in a scientific sense as
10 well as a practical sense.

11 Q. Before you came to Utah State, what had you done in
12 the field of irrigation engineering?

13 A. Just prior to Utah State, I was -- worked for W. R. Ames
14 Company, who was -- who was and still is in existence,
15 but they've been bought and sold several times since,
16 but they were one of the, I believe, most important
17 or I felt at the time, most important irrigation equip-
18 ment manufacturers in the country. And I was with W. R.
19 Ames Company for four years, working in their Denver
20 office for two of the years and then two years in the
21 California office, and at that time, the time I left
22 the company, I was the person responsible for the use
23 of the equipment in the field. In other words, produc-
24 tive application and feedback in to redesign a product
25 keller - direct - sachse



1 to make new products fit well. And also one of the
2 things that a company like Ames provided to their
3 dealers was, or potential client, they provided a
4 design service, so during those days I was totally
5 involved in product application or, or the design
6 of systems that, many of which, are in existence still
7 today.

8 Q I don't think I've asked you your educational background.
9 Would you give us the degrees that you've received and
10 universities that they're from.

11 A. I have a Bachelor of Science Degree from, in Civil
12 Engineering, from the University of Colorado and a
13 Master of Science Degree in Irrigation Engineering
14 from Colorado State University, and a PhD. in Irrigation
15 and -- Agricultural Engineering is really what it's
16 listed under, from Utah State University.

17 Q Thank you. Now, would you tell me about your consulting
18 activities, starting with the beginning of your career
19 as a consultant.

20 Q Well, my consulting activities, starting from the beginning,
21 I -- I've kind of been going backwards, but going --
22 starting from --

23 Q If you'd prefer, you can start at the end and work back
24 to the beginning.

25 keller - direct - sachse



1 A. I think it goes well either way. In a consulting
2 sense, my whole life, in terms of what I've done,
3 has been a mixture of consulting and teaching and
4 industrial experience, and so the processes have
5 been rather well dovetailed together. I mean that's
6 one pleasant thing that's happened.

7 Consulting-wise, when I left, when I quit work
8 at W. R. Ames Company, they asked me, they asked me
9 if I would go, stay and work with them on a retainer
10 when I went to the university, and so you might say
11 immediately upon leaving, that was my first real
12 consulting activity, and upon leaving W. R. Ames
13 Company, then I worked as a consultant for them for
14 approximately ten years after I came to the university,
15 and in the meantime, they were bought and sold by
16 some conglomerates and perhaps you know how smaller
17 companies fare when all of this happens.

18 But anyhow, from there on, my consulting activi-
19 ties expanded and I've worked as a consultant on a
20 retainer type basis for companies like Rain Bird
21 Manufacturing Company, Toro Sprinkler Manufacturing
22 Company.

23 I do advisory type consulting, and I've worked
24 on a project in Algeria for Bechtel Corporation, and I
25 keller - direct - sachse



1 suggested what systems to set up. It's a hundred and
2 twenty, thirty thousand acre project.

3 I've worked as a consultant for Harza Engineering
4 and suggested, in the designs that they're using in the
5 field, are based on, you might say the parameters that,
6 you know, I suggested and developed. And also, besides
7 that, I helped train some of their people that did the
8 design technician work for the Jordan Valley Project,
9 not the West Bank that we hear so much about, but the
10 Jordan side, the East Bank, where there's a project of
11 some sixty thousand acres. Before that, I did consul-
12 ting on a project called the INCORA Project in Columbia,
13 South America, hundred and twenty-five thousand acre
14 project put in by Resource Development Corporation.
15 Perhaps you recall the people that run -- own that
16 corporation started by Lillianthal from Tennessee Valley,
17 and that was his corporation.

18 A recent project of interest I was consulted for
19 was the on-farm development aspects of a Navajo project,
20 Navajo Indian project, which is a hundred and ten thousand
21 acre sprinkler project in the four corners, actually,
22 in New Mexico.

23 Other than that, we've done various types of, I
24 personally or as a team, Keller Engineering works in
25 keller - direct - sachse



1 team affairs, we've done software development for
2 irrigation scheduling, irrigation management, pipe line
3 design, programming in general. That's one thing we
4 particularly like to do, some high technological things
5 in fields of irrigation as an advisory service to other
6 engineering clients in the business. So this is just
7 a thumbnail sketch of some consulting activities.

8 Q. Which of the western states have you done work in?

9 A. I think -- I, you know, I might have missed one, but
10 I'm almost certain I've worked in every state.

11 Q. Including Wyoming?

12 A. Including Wyoming. I worked in Wyoming. My work was
13 with Marlon Kurtz, a gentleman from Cody, Wyoming, a
14 Pawnee supplier, irrigation supply company, and maybe
15 some people here know Marlon. He's passed away since.
16 His son's in the company now, Don Kurtz. And when I
17 was with W. R. Ames Company, this is the type of thing
18 I did. I travelled with Marlon and we helped Marlon
19 in design of systems throughout the state. Wyoming
20 State Sprinkler Systems, a sprinkler irrigation supply
21 house in Cody, so that was my work.

22 Probably spent several months of my life in Wyoming
23 in those days.

24 Q. Have you published in the field of sprinkler irrigation?

25 keller - direct - sachse



1 A. Yes. I've -- My -- I have a large number of what we
2 call journal publications, both refereed and popular
3 type publications, and in addition to that, I've written
4 the, I was the co-author of the Ames Irrigation Handbook,
5 which Dr. Mesghinna actually used in part of this design
6 process here, I noted.

7 I was the editor on revision of that in 1967, and
8 then since then I was contacted by the Soil Conservation
9 Service and asked to revise the, the handbook, the
10 engineering handbook that the Soil Conservation Service
11 uses for sprinkler irrigation, and so I've completed
12 that process. And that would be, that's in the line of
13 textbooks. It's a three hundred or so paged transcript,
14 and I've also written a document or a handbook for the
15 Soil Conservation Service dealing with trickle irrigation,
16 which is a new form of irrigation under contract with
17 Soil Conservation. And preliminarily, I'm under contract
18 with AVI, a publishing company out of Connecticut to
19 produce a textbook combining the textbooks I've written
20 in sprinkle and trickle irrigation into a college series
21 standard text for use in teaching.

22 Q. Have you done any writing concerning this procedure
23 for optimizing costs such as the pipe line optimization
24 program that was used in this case?

25 keller - direct - sachse



1 A. Yes. Really, what struck me when I became involved
2 in sprinkler irrigation was perhaps sometimes the
3 designs really weren't done as optimally as they
4 might be, and I've been interested -- I think the
5 first time I published an optimization was in '56,
6 and I did some work in pipe line optimization, and
7 then in this INCORA job, the one in Columbia, South
8 America, I had -- I was given the task or it appeared
9 that that's what we were going to do, of actually
10 designing the farm systems individually for a hundred
11 and twenty-five thousand acres, as I said, something
12 like that.

13 And that was rather overwhelming.

14 Now, I was working as a consultant's consultant
15 for Development Resources Corporation, and I sat down
16 and looked at a hundred and twenty-five thousand acres
17 to design, and I said, "There must be a better process
18 to do this", and at that time, you might say I had this
19 innovation, I think I took the first month staring at
20 the project to figure out how to do it easier so that,
21 so that I wouldn't have to grind through every little
22 thing in the more difficult ways. And so then I developed
23 a process and published that process just -- It was --
24 It's in the public domain. I taught the process to students

25 keller - direct - sachse



13-10

1 and so on, and when computers came on the market later on
2 in the sixties, decided that that would be a wonderful
3 way to design, is to get into computer designs. So I
4 moved on from there into computer designs and so on.

5
6 * * * * *

7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

409 West 24th Street
Cheyenne, WY 82001
(307) 635-8280

Frontier Reporting Service



201 Midwest Building
Casper, WY 82601
(307) 237-1493

1 MR. SACHSE: Thank you. We submit that Dr. Keller
2 is qualified to testify as an expert witness in
3 agricultural and irrigation engineering.

4 MR. WHITE: May I voir dire, please?

5 THE SPECIAL MASTER: You may.

6 VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION

7 BY MR. WHITE:

8 Q Dr. Keller, you've testified as an expert previously
9 in water cases, have you not?

10 A Yes.

11 Q What cases were those?

12 A I testified in the Aamodt case, which is the -- some
13 Pueblos down near Santa Fe, and I have testified in
14 the Alpine Land Company case, which was held in Reno
15 and has to do with Newland's Project and the general
16 water rights, and actually it eventually gets and
17 involves water into Pyramid Lake, but our part was on
18 the water in the region.

19 Q On whose behalf did you appear as an expert in those
20 cases?

21 A In those two cases I appeared as an expert in behalf of
22 the Justice Department.

23 Q Have you ever appeared as an expert on behalf of -- with
24 the exception of this case -- any other parties besides
25 keller-voir dire-white



1 the United States?

2 A No, sir.

3 THE SPECIAL MASTER: Were you involved in Central
4 Utah?

5 THE WITNESS: No, sir.

6 Q (By Mr. White) Do you consider that agricultural and
7 irrigation engineering constitute a traditional branch
8 of professional engineering or is it professional
9 engineering?

10 A Yes.

11 Q And has your work in this case been or constitutes a
12 practice of agricultural or irrigation engineering?

13 A Constitutes the practice of agricultural --

14 Q Yes.

15 A Did my work constitute the practice --

16 Q In this case.

17 A Yes.

18 Q Are you registered as a professional engineer in Wyoming?

19 A Not in Wyoming.

20 MR. WHITE: Your Honor, we would ask the Court not
21 to allow this witness to testify in spite of his
22 qualifications since, pursuant to Wyoming Statute 33-29-105,
23 it would be unlawful.

24 In fact, it would be a criminal act, and I would
25 keller-voir dire-white



1 suggest that the Witness have an opportunity to review
2 the statute with his counsel before a continuance if
3 you overrule my motion, but at this time I would move
4 that the Witness not be allowed to testify since by his
5 own testimony his work falls squarely within the terms
6 of the statute which prohibits this sort of activity,
7 the practice of engineering by a person not qualified --
8 not registered as an engineer in the State of Wyoming,
9 and I would be glad to share that statute with the Court
10 and with Counsel.

11 THE SPECIAL MASTER: You scare me because I would
12 like to avoid a criminal act or condoning one, so I
13 am going to have some authority -- my thought was doing
14 this work at the request of the Tribes on a Reservation
15 in Central Wyoming could quite legally and I think
16 factually be argued to be not practicing law in the
17 jurisdiction of the State of Wyoming.

18 The State of Wyoming doesn't have jurisdiction over
19 the United States --

20 MR. WHITE: I have the Witness' own testimony,
21 Your Honor.

22 THE SPECIAL MASTER: And we failed to ask that
23 question on about the last seven or eight, so, Dr. Keller,
24 you got hit.

25 MR. SACHSE: Your Honor, may I respond to this?



1 MR. WHITE: I haven't finished yet.

2 THE SPECIAL MASTER: One at a time.

3 MR. WHITE: We may have to challenge the testimony
4 of other engineers. I have got to say that there is an
5 exception in the statute.

6 The exception applies to engineers working for the
7 United States. As a result, it's not at all clear
8 whether or not the registration requirements would apply
9 to those experts appearing on behalf of the United
10 States.

11 At this time we're taking a very close look at that
12 particular question, and it's been only recently that the
13 problem has been brought to our attention and, in fact,
14 Dr. Keller has the distinct honor of being the first
15 person qualified since the issue was raised with the
16 Attorney General's office, and I raise the issue now and
17 make a record.

18 THE SPECIAL MASTER: And he's not working for the
19 United States, is that the reason --

20 MR. ROGERS: He is the third, and this is the first
21 time the State has brought it to our attention.

22 MR. WHITE: It makes no difference.

23 THE WITNESS: Your Honor, I would like to --

24 THE SPECIAL MASTER: Just a minute, Mr. Keller.

25 MR. WHITE: I present the statute to the Court and



1 advise the Court that if the position of the State of
2 Wyoming that the actions sought -- or the testimony
3 sought to be elicited from the Witness as well as
4 perhaps his preliminary work constitutes a violation of
5 Wyoming State Law, and there are criminal sanctions as
6 well as civil sanctions attached to that violation.

7 It is the State's position that an unlawful act
8 ought not to be allowed by the Court, even if it
9 constitutes the testimony by an expert witness.

10 We would suggest that a small recess be taken so
11 that Counsel for the Tribes could read the statute
12 and discuss it with their witness.

13 THE SPECIAL MASTER: Let me ask you a few questions
14 before we recess, Mr. White.

15 If the only work that Mr. Keller did in this case
16 was on the Wind River Indian Reservation and his only
17 client in this case were the Tribes, or the United States
18 of America or one of the federal agencies like BIA paying
19 the fees for the Tribes and he's paid with federal
20 money, in some way, let's assume, will you listen to my
21 argument that he is exempt from the Wyoming Statutes as
22 not having jurisdiction to extend that far?

23 MR. WHITE: I have always listened to your argument,
24 Your Honor. On this one I can't agree.

25 And I think there are two activities that are



1 involved, the preliminary work which was on the
2 Reservation apparently, at least in part, which, if
3 your argument were correct, would fall within -- or
4 without the jurisdiction of the State, but there is
5 the separate question of whether or not his activity
6 or his testimony as an expert witness qualified in
7 irrigation and agricultural engineering also constitutes
8 the practice of professional engineering within the state.

9 There is a problem that's been addressed by the
10 Mined Land Reclamation Board recently, and it's a
11 hot issue right now.

12 I don't want either Counsel for the Tribes or the
13 Witness for the Tribes to think that it's a red herring
14 because it isn't. It's a very hot issue in the State.

15 THE SPECIAL MASTER: If I were to say to you that
16 your objection comes too late, two witnesses too late,
17 what would you say to that?

18 MR. WHITE: I would say that I don't have to make
19 an objection -- I'm the captain of my own objections.
20 There is law in virtually every jurisdiction that by not
21 making an objection you don't waive the right to make the
22 objection later.

23 In fact, Your Honor, if it turns out that the experts
24 called on behalf of the United States do not fall within
25 the exception because they are consultants rather than



1 employees, we will probably move to strike their
2 testimony.

3 We'll also, I'm sure, move to strike the testimony
4 of other experts for the United States -- for the Tribes
5 at such time -- before the close of their case.

6 THE SPECIAL MASTER: That being the case, we will
7 take a five- or ten-minute recess.

8 MR. SACHSE: We don't need a recess, Your Honor.
9 We are prepared --

10 THE SPECIAL MASTER: All right. We don't need a
11 recess. I will listen to your argument.

12 The motion is before the Court that this Witness
13 be denied admission as an expert and that this Court
14 cannot hear his testimony.

15 MR. WHITE: That's right.

16 THE SPECIAL MASTER: That's the motion that's before
17 us now?

18 MR. WHITE: Yes, sir.

19 THE SPECIAL MASTER: Let's hear what you have to
20 say.

21 MR. SACHSE: To start off with, I think this is all
22 too cute for Mr. White to come up not at the first, not
23 at the second, not at the third, but at the fourth
24 witness.

25 We've had Mr. Higginson, former State Engineer;



1 we've had Mr. Bliesner; we've had Dr. Willardson --
2 all registered engineers.

3 And now we put on our fourth registered engineer,
4 and Mr. White for the first time raises this objection.

5 Secondly, I think if the Court were to rule in
6 favor of Mr. White on this, that it would cast a very
7 serious question on the jurisdiction of this Court to
8 handle this water rights case because, to start off with,
9 it's a rather touchy question as to whether a state
10 court can handle a water rights case in which the interests
11 of the United States and of the Indian Tribes would be
12 determined.

13 THE SPECIAL MASTER: That will be determined when
14 this case is reviewed by the Supreme Court, I guess.

15 MR. SACHSE: The State has argued throughout this
16 case that it has an adequate Court system to do this
17 and there would be no prejudice to the Tribe or to the
18 United States in having this case done in state court.

19 Generally, a state is without jurisdiction inside
20 an Indian Reservation, and the only importance of Dr.
21 Keller's testimony here and of his work here is to pre-
22 pare testimony to be used in Court in determining what
23 is the practicably irrigable acres in a water rights
24 lawsuit where an Indian Tribe's rights are at issue.

25 Nobody is saying that Dr. Keller is going around the



1 State of Wyoming and holding himself out as a professional
2 engineer to design projects that are to be built in the
3 State of Wyoming without anything further going on.

4 In other words, he is here as an expert witness in
5 a case where the rights of an Indian Tribe are involved.

6 Now, it seems to me a virtual certainty that the
7 legislature of the State of Wyoming in making an
8 exception for persons working for the United States,
9 though it may never have brought to mind an Indian Tribe,
10 could not have intended to preclude someone working for
11 an Indian Tribe working for them without having a state
12 license.

13 The law is very well established that any kind of
14 work can be done for a Tribe, both within and without
15 the Reservation, if it's principally concerned with the
16 Reservation, without having to get state licenses. The
17 Tribe is free to hire somebody to come in to build the
18 project without having a Wyoming State License.

19 But the other thing is this: There have been
20 decisions after decisions that afford to the Indian Tribes
21 the same protections afforded to the United States. For
22 instance, Indian Tribes' immunity from suit is generally
23 parallel to the immunity from suit of the United States.
24 The Indian Tribes' immunity from state statutes of
25 limitations parallel to the immunity of the United States



1 from statutes of limitations.

2 I think there would be serious constitutional
3 questions if the State of Wyoming attempted to apply the
4 statute to a witness for an Indian Tribe appearing in a
5 state court to testify on behalf of the Tribe, particularly
6 if it has already made an exception for witnesses on behalf
7 of the United States, but I give all these arguments --
8 and it makes it sound more serious than it is -- the real
9 thing here and the place I think Mr. White is being much
10 too cute is without bringing this statute to the witness'
11 attention and without putting it in any frame of
12 reference, the question that he's asking -- he asks, "Are
13 you operating as a professional engineer in doing this
14 work for the Tribe?"

15 The Witness hesitates. How do you answer this
16 question? You know, what I'm really doing is preparing
17 testimony for a case in court, but he answers yes.

18 Then after he answers yes Mr. White pulls out his
19 statute and says, "This is in violation of the statute."

20 Well, I just think there is nothing to this and that
21 if we are going to try this water rights case, we have to
22 have the right to bring in the witnesses of our choosing
23 to testify in this case and not have the State of Wyoming
24 control who can be our witnesses in a case against the
end 14 25 State of Wyoming in this Court, and I think I've said enough.



1 MR. ROGERS: I'd like to add one point, Your
2 Honor, that even -- I fully agree with Mr. Sachse
3 on everything he said, including the fact that this
4 is a serious question, whether this is even practicing
5 engineering in the State of Wyoming by testifying at
6 trial, when most of the work was done even out of
7 state, some visits to the reservation, which I think
8 we correctly contend is outside the jurisdiction of
9 --

10 THE SPECIAL MASTER: I think Mr. White's meaning
11 the appearance of the witness in this case is what
12 constitutes, if indeed there has been a breach of the
13 statute, it was in doing the engineering work in the
14 state, not in coming to this trial.

15 MR. ROGERS: But furthermore, Your Honor, even if
16 everything else said here were true and obviously
17 Mr. Keller would want to consider that if it were true,
18 which I think it's fairly true that it isn't; even if
19 it were true, I don't think there's any remedy in the
20 statute that involves striking the witness' testimony
21 as a remedy.

22 MR. SACHSE: That's a good point. I have one other
23 thing I want to say while we're up, and that's this,
24 I think the Court should realize that if you were to
25 hold with Mr. White here, which would then mean striking



1 the testimony for witnesses of the United States on
2 an issue never before raised in this case, there would
3 have to be a continuance of this case while we sought
4 review of that ruling, and if the State prevailed on
5 that ruling, we would then have to be afforded the
6 opportunity to have all of this work done again, which
7 would be a question of a continuance of months to have
8 it done again, and anything short of that would be a
9 denial of due process of law.

10 MR. WHITE: Well, I'd like to respond --

11 THE SPECIAL MASTER: In view of all of that, I
12 think you'll want to withdraw that, Mr. White, and hear
13 what this man's got to say.

14 MR. SACHSE: Go ahead and get to the case.

15 THE SPECIAL MASTER: Let's take a ten-minute
16 recess --

17 MR. WHITE: May I make one statement, Your Honor?

18 THE SPECIAL MASTER: Yes.

19 MR. WHITE: In response to Mr. Sachse's argument,
20 very energetic argument, I'm beginning to think there's
21 even more to this than meets the eye. But first I'd like
22 to ask whether or not the Tribes will stipulate that
23 their previous witnesses were not registered in the
24 State of Wyoming since that question was not asked?

25 MR. SACHSE: I frankly don't know.



1 MR. WHITE: Second point, Your Honor, if the
2 Tribes have brought forth witnesses which, would
3 under State law, cannot lawfully testify, then --

4 THE SPECIAL MASTER: Does the statute say they
5 cannot lawfully testify?

6 MR. WHITE: They may not practice.

7 THE SPECIAL MASTER: Doesn't say anything about
8 testifying in a Court of law, refers to the professional
9 practice.

10 MR. WHITE: But if this is a violation of the
11 statute, then there is no right to come in and try
12 it again. You get one shot. And Mr. Sachse's waving
13 about his famous red herring when he says all sorts of
14 outrageous things will happen because it will have to
15 take place all over again. I think there are two impor-
16 tant things to be done today, first, to make the record
17 with respect to this particular issue, however the Master
18 rules, and second, to afford the witness an opportunity
19 to review the statute, obtain the advice of counsel and
20 determine whether or not he wishes to continue. And I
21 think the ten-minute break you suggested is appropriate.

22 THE SPECIAL MASTER: I was hoping that these kind
23 of delayed action bombs, what we used to call these in
24 World War II, torpedoes, bangalore torpedoes, wouldn't
25 hit us in the middle of our operation.



1 MR. CLEAR: Your Honor, as you recall, Mr.
2 White's list of witnesses, he has all the engineers
3 that the United States called listed as witnesses for
4 the State. Now, when he calls them back, is he going
5 to throw them in jail?

6 MR. WHITE: We'll just have to wait and see.

7 THE SPECIAL MASTER: These are exemptions, he
8 does not list these four witnesses, including -- he
9 does not list them as his witnesses here, I don't
10 believe.

11 MR. WHITE: We're not going to ask them to bring
12 a toothbrush, Your Honor.

13 THE SPECIAL MASTER: I might just check the list
14 to make sure of that, though I don't think he does.
15 Toedter, Keene, most of the HKM people, down through --
16 Well, I'm ready to rule on this, but I ought to read
17 the statute before I do. Let's take a five-minute
18 recess, please. I'll go sit someplace else and read
19 it.

20 (Thereupon, a five-minute recess
21 was taken.)

22 * * * * *



1 THE SPECIAL MASTER: Okay. All right. I thank
2 Counsel for your legal arguments in this matter, and I'm
3 going to rule against the motion, Mr. White, for the
4 following reasons, but I'm going to ask for briefs from
5 the Tribal Counsel, short briefs, for research to sustain
6 me on appeal. This may very well take next.

7 I believe that the law, Wyoming Statute 33-29-105,
8 106, does indeed, as Mr. White set forth, provide some
9 rather stringent prosecution and penalties for those
10 who violate its requirements for professional engineers
11 as it does with doctors, and lawyers and others that
12 enjoy the same statutory benefit, but I believe that
13 in this case the United States of America as parties
14 defendant find themselves aligned with the Tribes of
15 the Shoshone -- and of the Wind River Indian Reservation
16 also as defendants and merged as defendants in this
17 case, the United States of America and the Tribes find a
18 common position vis-a-vis the State of Wyoming, and I
19 believe that the guardian ward traditional -- federal
20 statutory guardian/ward relationship of the United
21 States of America and the Tribes makes even further and
22 closer the affinity of the Tribes and the United States,
23 even though at this particular posture in this lawsuit
24 the evidence is head knocking, but I believe that identity
25 in interest is sufficient -- of interest as defendants



1 is sufficient in this particular general adjudication
2 to permit that the Tribes' professional engineers can
3 enjoy the same statutory exemptions as is enjoyed by
4 the United States' professional engineers, and I so rule.

5 MR. SACHSE: Thank you, Your Honor.

6 MR. ROGERS: Mr. Master --

7 THE SPECIAL MASTER: And I do want briefs.

8 MR. ROGERS: We will prepare them to the extent
9 there is much state law.

10 I would like to point out, Your Honor, something
11 that I just noticed in the annotation to the statute
12 which I think supports what I regard as still our
13 principal argument here, that the witness is not really
14 practicing professional engineering in the state when
15 he merely testifies at a trial.

16 THE SPECIAL MASTER: But the record has also shown
17 that he and his firm have actually not only testified.
18 They have been on the Reservation.

19 MR. ROGERS: But for preparing the papers to testify
20 at trial, but I would like to point Your Honor to the
21 case -- it's cited in the annotation under this statute,
22 Section 33-29-105, United States versus Buttner, Wyoming --

23 THE SPECIAL MASTER: City Engineer --

24 MR. ROGERS: That says that a land surveyor accepting
25 the office of City Engineer is guilty of no crime unless



1 he practices or offers to practice professional
2 engineering.

3 Here is a man who reviews papers in the role of
4 engineering, and he's exempt.

5 THE SPECIAL MASTER: I do welcome Shepardizing of any
6 state cases --

7 MR. WHITE: I would just caution the Court and Counsel
8 that the annotation is not the whole case, and when the
9 whole case is read, perhaps a different light might be
10 shed on the holding.

11 THE SPECIAL MASTER: Well, I just hope that my
12 ruling will be sustained.

13 So that being the case, we can proceed with your
14 testimony, Mr. Keller, legally or otherwise.

15 MR. SACHSE: I assume then, Mr. White is finished
16 with his voir dire of the qualifications of the Witness?

17 MR. WHITE: I am, Your Honor.

18 THE SPECIAL MASTER: All right. Any further
19 questions on voir dire?

20 MR. CLEAR: No, Your Honor.

21 MR. RADOSEVICH: No, Your Honor, I have none.

22 THE SPECIAL MASTER: All right. The Witness is
23 admitted for the purposes requested as an expert witness.

24

25



DIRECT EXAMINATION (RESUMED)

1
2 BY MR. SACHSE:

3 Q Mr. Keller, can you identify the document that I'm
4 giving you designated as Tribes' Exhibit No. 23?

5 A Yes, that's my professional bio-data or resume.

6 MR. SACHSE: At this time I offer Tribes' Exhibit
7 No. 23, the Witness' resume.

8 THE SPECIAL MASTER: Copies have been distributed?

9 MR. SACHSE: And the other parties have also received
10 a copy.

11 MR. WHITE: Could I ask the purpose of the offer?
12 Illustrative, the proof of its contents or what?

13 MR. SACHSE: Illustrative of the --

14 MR. WHITE: No objection, Your Honor.

15 MR. SACHSE: I'm informed by my co-counsel that we
16 will offer this for the truth of its contents inasmuch
17 as all the other bio-data have been offered for that --

18 MR. WHITE: Then I would like to voir dire, Your
19 Honor.

20 THE SPECIAL MASTER: All right. Mr. White, you may
21 voir dire.

VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION

22
23 BY MR. WHITE:

24 Q On Page 4 of the exhibit, Dr. Keller, Exhibit 23, you
25 keller-direct-sachse
keller-voir dire-white



1 list a project beginning in '78 and continuing to the
2 present at Antonito, Colorado. Would you please describe
3 that project?

4 A The project at Antonito, Colorado?

5 Q Yes.

6 A It's a farming operation that I'm involved in, and it's
7 a project with -- we have 10 center pivots irrigating
8 small grains.

9 Q And from what source do those center pivots obtain the
10 water?

11 A Those center pivots obtain their water from a combined
12 source. During spring runoff they get some surface
13 waters, and after the spring runoff our water rights are
14 not very, you know, high priority, and so we run out of
15 water early, and so we pump.

16 Q And as a practical matter, the reliable source of water
17 is an aquifer in the San Luis Valley, isn't it?

18 A You know, I really don't -- I'm not very knowledgable
19 about the aquifer system in there, but it is part of the
20 aquifers of the San Luis Valley because Antonito is in
21 the San Luis Valley.

22 Q Are they deep wells or shallow wells?

23 A The pumping lifts are a couple hundred feet. The wells
24 are probably 250 feet, so they would be considered deep

25 keller-voir dire-white



1 wells.

2 THE SPECIAL MASTER: They would be considered what,
3 sir?

4 THE WITNESS: Deep wells.

5 Q (By Mr. White) Did you in your work in this tract near
6 Antonito find it to be economically feasible to irrigate
7 deep wells through sprinklers?

8 A We found from a commercial standpoint that we have been,
9 like farming operations typically, borderline.

10 Q You made the economic or financial decision to go to
11 deep groundwater?

12 A The farm was there before I came. The farm asked me to
13 come in as an advisory service. The farm has existed
14 many years before I had anything to do with it. All of
15 the wells and the systems were in place before I arrived
16 on the scene.

17 MR. WHITE: I have no further questions. We would
18 object for the lack of foundation, Your Honor, to
19 many items which are listed in here, only a few of which
20 are supported by testimony, and again if it's offered for
21 illustrative purposes, I have no objection.

22 If it's offered for the truth of its contents, I
23 would object on lack of foundation.

24 THE SPECIAL MASTER: I will overrule the objection
25 keller-voir dire-white



1 and admit the exhibit for whatever value it may have.

2 Go ahead, Mr. Sachse.

3 DIRECT EXAMINATION (RESUMED)

4 BY MR. SACHSE:

5 Q Now, Dr. Keller, would you describe the team put
6 together for the work that Keller Engineers did for the
7 Shoshone and Arapahoe Tribes and the role of each
8 person including yourself in that team?

9 A The group I put together, as we unfolded the objectives,
10 what we were trying to do, was to -- I asked Ron
11 Bliesner to act as a team leader because I felt he had
12 both the competence to do this and the time to devote
13 to doing the work in the time frame which we were
14 operating, so he functioned as the team leader, and you
15 have heard from him.

16 There was obviously a drainage component in the
17 system to be looked at, and I conferred with Mr. Bliesner
18 and we concluded that we would use or at my suggestion
19 we used or asked Dr. Willardson to join with us to
20 serve as the drainage expert to review the drainage
21 thing because my personal opinion of Dr. Willardson
22 is that he would be considered in my mind probably the
23 world's outstanding applied drainage engineer, so we
24 were very fortunate to have him.

25 keller-direct-sachse



1 My personal role in the operation was to coordinate
2 the activities to help assemble the team, to discuss
3 with Mr. Bliesner from time to time the approach, the
4 strategy of attacking the problem within our particular
5 activity level, and to review the site to see -- to
6 present -- or make sure we were moving according to my
7 opinion as to what would be sensible design procedures
8 as another expert in issue to look over what Stetson
9 had done, to see my impression in terms of applicability
10 of those practices like the side roll practices and the
11 design in general, so I was doing that type of an over-
12 view statement to the project in a coordinating role,
13 and then the technical assistance team that was involved --
14 I was involved in the selection of those teams, and they
15 are qualified beginning junior engineers who have had
16 a certain amount of practical and field experience, and
17 they are in the developmental stage, but the people that
18 helped were graduate engineers who had been out working
19 and who had returned to school and were working on their
20 PhD. programs at the time.

21
22 * * * * *

23
24
25 keller-direct-sachse

409 West 24th Street
Cheyenne, WY 82001
(307) 635-8280

Frontier Reporting Service



201 Midwest Building
Casper, WY 82601
(307) 237-1493

1 Q (By Mr. Sachse) Would you describe your own involvement
2 in the work in more detail, please?

3 A Well, my own involvement in better detail is after we
4 assembled ourselves as a group to go, Mr. Bliesner and
5 I visited the project site, he had been there earlier
6 and issued around the site and shown his way around so
7 he could physically move around the site and knew the
8 site.

9 We spent three days, two to three days, actually
10 two and a part of a day reviewing these sites, looking,
11 going out on the land, particularly the North Crowheart
12 Unit, looking at the soils in an impression state as
13 well as looking at cuts and seeing how the soil generally
14 looked to us; seeing if, in fact, we liked center pivot,
15 you know, we liked the idea of side roll systems,
16 etcetera; seeing what kind of crops and irrigation
17 practices were being used in the area.

18 We, after a field or ground survey, we did rent an
19 airplane and flew over the project to get that bird's
20 eye type of a view of it, to get that type of an
21 impression, to give a general feeling, again, of the
22 feasibility of the project.

23 In more particular, I spent somewhat more time in
24 looking at what I might refer to as the Big, we could
25 keller-direct-sachse



1 call it the Big Horn Flats Mesa, if you want to so say
2 mesa to imply that we are talking about this particular
3 table and piece. And we did spend quite a bit of time,
4 or extra time on that particular element of the project,
5 looking, first of all, at the reconnaissance studies
6 and reconnaissance level activities that Stetson had
7 provided, then checking that out and deciding that we
8 also did not like the way things looked for the
9 reconnaissance, by that approach to designs. So we
10 moved around and looked for other approaches to reaching,
11 getting water to the area.

12 Q Dr. Keller, would you explain what you mean by the
13 reconnaissance level study that Stetson had done to the
14 Big Horn Flats Mesa.

15 A The reconnaissance level is where you sort of go
16 preliminary to the preliminary design level, where you
17 look at a possible way to approach a design problem
18 and then you do a very rough calculation as to how you
19 like that approach and then you decide to either go
20 further or not. And he discontinued the design based on
21 his rough approach to it.

22 So then we looked, we moved around and looked for
23 other approaches to the design and we discovered what
24 we ended up using as an approach to the design, which was
25 keller-direct-sachse



1 taking water from the Bull Lake Creek side and then Lily
2 Pond and we located a suitable pipe routing up the hill
3 by looking at the hill, the topography and actually
4 driving out on the scene. And then carried the project
5 up on the hill, and then after we decided it looked very
6 interesting to, to go ahead with a more full design,
7 preliminary design level or design level for the
8 feasibility level design.

9 Then we strategized as to how we'd get into that
10 piece of project, what we'd do. We decided to use
11 center pivot and we said, how will we lay these out.
12 That led to the fact that we wanted to do some field
13 studies to check on infiltration to see how we designed
14 the center pivots center.

15 Q Now, the State, in cross-examination, has raised some
16 questions about the infiltration tests that were done
17 to design the length of the arms of the center pivots
18 on Big Horn Flats Mesa.

19 Would you describe why you picked that technique
20 and what information you got from the technique of
21 infiltration tests that you used.

22 A Well, we decided the soils were relatively thinner up
23 there and we liked center pivots, so they allow us more
24 frequent irrigation and optimizing crop production.

25 keller-direct-sachse



1 The way to select center pivots, the sort of
2 traditional way is to go to -- Perhaps you're familiar
3 with a soil triangle and you look at a soil triangle
4 and you say I think these soils are more clay, we can't
5 do this; these soils are sandy, it might work in. In
6 fact, in one of the publications in the SCS publication,
7 I'd gone so far to take a soil triangle and draw some
8 contours that suggested, based just on soil texture,
9 where center pivots would work and where they wouldn't.
10 But that's just a general thing, well, you will or you
11 won't have problems with center pivots. For a long time,
12 in a design mode, I've been trying to figure out a way
13 to determine a rational approach to center pivot design
14 short of just looking at it and saying I think we'll
15 put a center pivot here, and getting one there and then
16 saying, well, if it doesn't work we'll change it, that
17 kind of approach. And that's really been the design
18 approach.

19 Some people have been trying to say, well, we'll
20 put in some ring infiltraumeters on?

21 THE SPECIAL MASTER: What?

22 THE WITNESS: Ring infiltraumeters. They take a
23 steel band and they bang it into the ground and typically
24 they put another band around the outside of it, and so

25 keller-direct-sachse



1 they have two bands. And they put water in the space
2 inside the inner band and some more water in the outer
3 band as a buffer, and they measure against time the rate
4 at which water seeps into the soil. But a problem with
5 ring infiltraometer tests is that there is flooding
6 irrigation and then they're trying to predict, from
7 flooding, what's going to happen when you sprinkle. Well,
8 this just doesn't look like, and it hasn't been proven
9 to be very good. So we, from time to time, have tried
10 and we have made simulation models and stuff to predict
11 what would happen under sprinkle irrigation, and what
12 we used on this particular thing was an infiltraometer,
13 and I -- Perhaps it's already been described. Do you
14 want a description of that?

15 Q (By Mr. Sachse) It really hasn't been described in much
16 detail. It might be well that you describe it.

17 A This particular apparatus was the second generation of an
18 apparatus developed by some research students at Utah
19 State. The first one was Al Colamood, and I might not
20 be able to spell that. And he made a try, and he did
21 make an infiltraometer. And Robert Baggs, B-a-g-g-s, was a
22 graduate student who had his undergraduate degree in
23 mechanical engineering. He was really quite a good
24 mechanical genius as well as he became in our department

25 keller-direct-sachse



1 a very good irrigation engineer. And he innovated, as
2 his Master thesis, this spray, this simulator for
3 sprinkle irrigation. Now, other people have made
4 sprinkler irrigation, Royce Tovey, who since passed away,
5 who lived in Nevada, made a sprinkler infiltration
6 machine; Claud Pear used one up in Kimberly, Idaho, so
7 we didn't invent the whole world, by any means. But we
8 did come up with a portable thing that you could take
9 out to the field and not have a semi, you know, a big
10 truck and everything to go out there, and this apparatus
11 was very workable and it's a published thesis, and it's
12 in the public domain for anybody who would like to use it.
13 And this particular apparatus is not, is nothing more
14 than a sprinkler device that sprays water on the soil,
15 on a piece of soil about a yard in circumference. It has
16 some excess water around the soil to act as a buffer
17 zone does in the double ring infiltraumeter test.

18 And we observe using different application rates,
19 which we use rates that are, would occur along a center
20 pivot. We observe at one point the surface begins to
21 show that first sign, it's slicking, getting shiny,
22 that water would begin to perhaps pond.

23 And then we developed, which had -- We developed
24 the curves that are actually presented in here, that's
25 keller-direct-sachse



1 the average curve of the data we particularly collected.

2 And we took that, ran with a, what we call a model
3 in engineering, to simulate the center pivot happening
4 against this piece of field data like all the engineering
5 models to design other things, using in that model some
6 technique and stuff that were -- actually part of the
7 technique was developed by some people in Texas, I think
8 Hiller, I may be wrong on that, just off. Using pretty
9 conventional practices and techniques and stuff that have
10 been substantiated quite well in making our new model,
11 using this particular piece of apparatus, and feel very
12 definitely confident that we have evolved a process and
13 used a process that has good backing and it is much
14 better than this, go to the triangle and guess it's okay
15 here approach.

16 So that's really what we did, and I think it was
17 a, I personally felt very good about what we did to, to
18 that process because I think we've done more than I've
19 ever seen other people do, and I think we did it very
20 well.

21 Q In contribution to the planning of the Big Horn Flats
22 Mesa, what you talked about so far that I recall is the
23 siting of the pipeline going up, general consultations
24 about it, the work with the infiltration device. Did you
25 keller-direct-sachse



1 any other work in the design or completion of the
2 figures for Big Horn Flats Mesa?

3 A I think the, the only thing I did -- Actually Mr. Bliesner
4 basically did the work and was on top of details. We
5 discussed the approach using an optimization program
6 to make the selection of the pipe sizes. We did this
7 together, and a general layout discussion and so on.

8 Q Did you --

9 A But I did not do the design.

10 Q Did you visit Stagner Ridge?

11 A I really did not go up on Stagner Ridge. We drove around
12 it and I conferred with Mr. Bliesner and he said
13 conditions were similar, and I know he was up on Stagner
14 Ridge and I did not.

15 Q All right. Now, I want to move away from the Big Horn
16 Flats Mesa and Stagner Ridge and get into your role in
17 the review of the work that the Stetson firm had done
18 for engineering design for the five future projects.

19 One concept that's been talked about a lot this
20 week is your pipeline optimization program, and I'd
21 like you to explain your role in the invention and
22 development of this program. And I think we can start
23 with that.

24 MR. WHITE: I would object to the question, Your
25 keller-direct-sachse



1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

Honor, because the program was one of those that we've not been allowed to see, and I think if the Witness is going to testify about the program we ought to have a program listing so that we can effectively cross-examine.

* * * * *

keller-direct-sachse



1 MR. SACHSE: Your Honor, the Court has already ruled
2 on that. The program has been described in detail and
3 you have ruled that the computer program itself did
4 not have to be produced, but I think the testimony may
5 go even further in giving Mr. White access to similar
6 computers.

7 Q (By Mr. Sachse) Would you explain the optimization pro-
8 gram, and in your explanation, the extent to which that
9 is available in the public domain

10 A. As you recall, I mentioned developing the theory of the
11 process in conjunction with the INCORA Project in Colombia,
12 and let's just start from there because the actual -- if
13 you can write a computer program, you also have to be
14 able to do it by hand, and the computer program doesn't
15 do anything that you can't do. It just does what you can
16 do easier and faster in the sense of this particular pro-
17 gram.

18 When computers came into the engineering domain,
19 one of our -- you might say one of our tools or one of
20 our playthings--somebody might accuse us of--we decided--
21 or I decided that I would computerize this and W. R. Ames
22 Company -- I had left W. R. Ames Company by this time, as
23 you recall.

24 W. R. Ames Company contracted with me to design a
25 keller - direct - sachse



1 computer program which we -- "we" being Gary Watters and
2 I designed the under contract for W. R. Ames Company with
3 the stipulation in our contract that we could do what we
4 wanted with our knowledge.

5 We then took the program and made another version
6 or an enhanced version of it, and we sold a design service
7 using this program, and probably I think in an approximate
8 sense we have designed some 20,000 acres of high density
9 sprinkler systems which, if you think in terms of the
10 extended density of the center pivot system, it would be
11 like over a couple million acres perhaps, and we've
12 designed in California, in Spain, in Russia -- and these
13 are places where systems with this design are in place --
14 engineers and others have gone out and checked to make
15 sure that, in fact, the pressures, the system had worked
16 well.

17 We have taken the program and competed with someone
18 else designing the program and the contract, and we com-
19 peted with that program and won in kind of like a chess
20 game.

21 We have then -- Well, we took the design and at one
22 point the Department of Energy was wanting to enhance
23 and prove the efficiency of irrigation, the reduction
24 in energy use in irrigation systems, and Keller Engineering

25 keller - direct - sachse



1 had a contract with the Department of Energy to make this
2 program public, and so the essence of our program was to
3 make the program public. And we completed that contract
4 with the Department of Energy, and at this time the
5 Department of Energy has the program. Actually, we can
6 send somebody a copy of this program that is part of the
7 public domain with a user's manual in great detail to
8 explain how to use it.

9 We had three seminar work courses in which time we
10 taught various consulting engineers and such to use the
11 program. I believe four different consulting engineering
12 firms have -- three -- four -- I think four -- four people
13 have the program, and for all -- whether they are using it
14 or what they are doing, I don't know, but they have it.

15 In the meantime, Bob Hill and I developed a program
16 which we -- a smaller version of the program which has
17 been used by Patterson Engineering in Denver, John Patter-
18 son. He bought that program.

19 Ron Bliesner is an expert computer person himself.
20 He has the strategies that were used in the large pro-
21 gram.

22 He developed and tailor-made a program for doing what
23 we were doing here, so in a sense, while Mr. Bliesner's
24 program is not a part of the public domain and not

25 keller - direct - sachse



1 available for giving to people, the general program which
2 contains the same logic system, the same strategy, in
3 fact, is available, and this larger program which is the
4 one available has some 12,000 -- I think 12,000 cards --
5 it's a big program. It takes a big box of cards and this
6 is what we can make available, and it's available if
7 someone wants to pay -- send their computer tapes to us.
8 We will print their tape and they can have a copy of the
9 user's manual, and the only fee is just the fee for the
10 service of sending this out.

11 Q. Did you work with Mr. Bliesner in determining how to use
12 the optimization program that was used in reviewing Stet-
13 son's pipeline costs?

14 A. To the point that we discussed using an optimization pro-
15 gram to check out the pipelines, on a selected basis on
16 some of the systems, and so we did discuss how -- we had
17 already accepted -- we thought the systems were fine. I
18 mean, the amount of water, the efficiencies, the side-
19 roll systems, so we are really only talking about getting
20 two contractors are going to build the same building, and
21 one contractor has a different way to do something, and
22 it's just a matter of how he's optimizing. So we are
23 only talking about optimization, not changing the whole
24 system designs.

25 keller - direct - sachse



1 And we discussed within our time frame taking a
2 certain selected set of systems that Stetson had, and
3 then it was approximately 10 percent, as I recall of
4 the systems, and then trying to have a representative
5 set, checking those out in detail, and then saying,
6 "Okay, the world is sort of like this," and we had the
7 assistance of Stetson in selecting the 10 percent that
8 we selected.

9 In other words, they said -- Dr. Mesghinna said,
10 "Okay," and he said on these fields and these fields and
11 these fields --

12 MR. CLEAR: Excuse me, Your Honor, He's saying what
13 Dr. Mesghinna is saying.

14 MR. WHITE: I will join in the objection, Your Honor,
15 on hearsay.

16 THE SPECIAL MASTER: Just don't go into what he said.
17 A. It was my understanding -- I know that we stopped to get
18 what were representative fields and we then used those to
19 test -- to run out and analyze using the optimization pro-
20 gram that I have described and figuring out the cost
21 analysis of the pipe distribution networks based on that
22 thing.

23 It did not change the amount of water that we were
24 developing. It did not change the kind of systems. It

25 keller - direct - sachse



1 didn't change the efficiencies. These things were not in
2 question.

3 We were merely saying, "Should the price be smaller
4 or bigger?"

5 It would be the same kind of idea as if you were
6 going to put a bigger furnace in the house and less
7 insulation or a smaller furnace with more insulation.
8 It's that kind of an optimization.

9 THE SPECIAL MASTER: What facts govern the decisions
10 either made by you or your associates to change the drain-
11 age patterns in given fields when you changed them and
12 pulled the plan for certain pipe or cut in half the amount
13 of pipe, what physical facts?

14 THE WITNESS: What I'm speaking of here is the
15 sprinkler distribution networks, not the drainage net-
16 works.

17 THE SPECIAL MASTER: I see. You are speaking only
18 of sprinklers so far.

19 THE WITNESS: And it really didn't eliminate any
20 pipes. It just changed the sizes of the pipes.

21 THE SPECIAL MASTER: So you are still talking about
22 sprinkler?

23 THE WITNESS: Yes, sir.

24 THE SPECIAL MASTER: Did it even change some of the
25 keller - direct - sachse



1 sprinklers?

2 THE WITNESS: It doesn't do anything to the aluminum
3 pipe and to the sprinklers.

4 THE SPECIAL MASTER: But you see the Stetson plan
5 had absolutely no sprinklers on the mesa.

6 THE WITNESS: Oh, on the mesa there were no sprinklers,
7 so what we did, we actually physically designed the whole
8 thing. We were not comparing anything with anybody else's.
9 We did our own.

10 THE SPECIAL MASTER: Did your own design?

11 THE WITNESS: I'm only speaking of the comparative
12 studies like on the North Crowheart and so on.

13 THE SPECIAL MASTER: Go ahead.

14 Q. (By Mr. Sachse) Were you involved in Mr. Bliesner's re-
15 design of the pumping stations in the Stetson future
16 project areas? For instance, the decision not to build
17 pump houses over the stations?

18 A. Yes, I discussed this with Mr. Bliesner in some detail,
19 and really the thing that goes on in the current practice,
20 the U.S. Bureau of Reclamation's current practice for
21 electric pumping plants of this nature is actually no
22 shade at all even.

23 The pumping plants on the Navajo Project going in
24 have no shade. There are literally millions -- and I

25 keller - direct - sachse



1 mean millions -- of pumps throughout all of the irrigated
2 acreage you see in the region that are electric pumps
3 with no shade at all. Building houses actually adds the
4 cost in two ways, and so we would not recommend building
5 a house over such a pumping station.

6 One problem you have is that the pump doesn't need
7 a house and so you have the additional first cost of the
8 house, but in addition to that, you've actually, since
9 the pump doesn't need the house, it doesn't reduce the
10 maintenance on the pump to have the house, so you have
11 the house to maintain instead of something that really
12 was a little thing that maybe needed painting once in a
13 while, you have a big thing to worry about. So that
14 actually houses on pumping plants of this nature are
15 really not required, nor are they an item which we would
16 suggest putting in. So that from that standpoint --
17 which changes the price of the pumping plant immensely
18 by striking this structural element in the plans. I was
19 involved in discussing this with Mr. Bliesner.

20 Q. Have you seen pumps of the general size and sort to be
21 used here used in the western states with climates
22 similar to this?

23 MR. CLEAR: I think this pumping plant thing is
24 cumulative of Mr. Bliesner's. We went through this once

25 keller - direct - sachse



1 on his direct and once on his redirect.

2 THE SPECIAL MASTER: But this is direct, so maybe
3 not too much repetition.

4 MR. SACHSE: I won't go much further, but since the
5 question was raised of Mr. Bliesner --

6 MR. CLEAR: I think Mr. Bliesner should be the one
7 to testify.

8 THE SPECIAL MASTER: You may answer.

9 A. The answer is yes, if we want to keep it short.

10 In fact, many, many -- and, in fact, the pumping
11 plants along the Columbia River and stuff, they usually
12 have some shelters. It's not unusual to see shelters over
13 them, but no houses, and the smaller pumping plants around
14 on canals and such would not have any shelter.

15 THE SPECIAL MASTER: I feel like a Shakespearean
16 character in that I protest too much. Where did Dr.
17 Mesghinna learn to put houses on these? Who were his
18 professors? Where were we with the criticism when all the
19 this came into the record the first time that we can sit
20 here and beat the man's work so badly? It doesn't require
21 all that much repetition.

22 MR. WHITE: He probably learned it driving from here
23 to Denver, Your Honor. You see them all along the highway.

24 THE SPECIAL MASTER: So much for that. Go ahead.

25 keller - direct - sachse



1 Q (By Mr. Sachse) Did you review and contribute to the
2 report that has been admitted into evidence as Tribes'
3 Exhibit 13 in this case?

4 A. Yes.

5 Q. Would you describe your role in that?

6 A. My role in that aspect was in the element of review,
7 editing some re-changes, questioning, reviewing in the
8 process of in-depth review in looking into making certain
9 that we had covered the bases, so to speak, and had, in
10 fact, a satisfactory report.

11 Q. Do you agree with the conclusions in the report?

12 A. Yes.

13 Q. I want to ask you, because the Master has been asking about
14 -- I want to ask you to give your opinion of the work
15 that the Stetson firm did in general in designing.

16 MR. CLEAR: Objection, Your Honor.

17 THE SPECIAL MASTER: Objection sustained. I really
18 don't believe -- well, maybe you can lay a foundation
19 for it.

20

21

22

23

* * * * *

24

25



1 MR. SACHSE: Well, Your Honor, I think we have
2 laid the foundation. We've had Dr. Keller describe
3 in detail his really complete experience in this area,
4 his review of such projects.

5 THE SPECIAL MASTER: But not with the Stetson report.
6 Dr. Willardson hadn't even seen the Stetson report.

7 MR. SACHSE: Let me ask a few more questions ,
8 Your Honor.

9 Q (By Mr. Sachse) As part of your work on this project,
10 Dr. Keller, did you review the Stetson report and the
11 Stetson designs?

12 A I reviewed the Stetson report of April, the April report.

13 Q Did you go out in the field to look at the, the fields
14 as to which there were designs in the Stetson report?

15 A Yes. As I said earlier I did a field reconnaissance
16 review.

17 Q Did you participate in the review of the pipeline
18 designs and pumping designs?

19 THE SPECIAL MASTER: For irrigating or for drainage?

20 MR. SACHSE: For irrigating the future projects
21 set forth in the Stetson report.

22 THE WITNESS: My participation was to the extent I've
23 already explained.

24 Q (By Mr. Sachse) That you've described.

25 keller-direct-sachse



1 Now, can you state your, your general conclusions
2 as to the adequacy of the work that Stetson did?

3 MR. WHITE: Objection.

4 THE SPECIAL MASTER: I'll permit that.

5 MR. WHITE: I'd like to object on the basis of
6 foundation, Your Honor. If he reviewed the material
7 which is not in the record of this case and which we've
8 not been entitled to look at ourselves, then I think
9 there's an inadequate foundation established and we
10 ought to know that.

11 THE SPECIAL MASTER: What I was about to say, the
12 report of last April of Stetson, I presume he meant
13 C-245 and if you'll wave that, we can get an affirmative --

14 THE WITNESS: This is the final report. I have not
15 reviewed all of the backup materials.

16 THE SPECIAL MASTER: When he said he saw the other
17 document, I presume he may have seen the same document
18 that Dr. Willardson worked with, which was in fact the
19 document of Wind River Drainage Analysis Depth to Barrier
20 and Average Weight, Hydraulic Conductivity. Was that
21 document available and did you work with that?

22 THE WITNESS: I did not work with that.

23 THE SPECIAL MASTER: One of them anyway.

24 MR. WHITE: Your Honor, I would renew the objection
25 on foundation, even though the Witness has indicated that



1 he reviewed nothing other than that report, for the
2 reason that unless the Witness is able to state that
3 he's able to reach a conclusion by only reviewing the
4 final report and not the preliminary and more detailed
5 documents, I don't think he should be allowed to state
6 that conclusion.

7 MR. SACHSE: Let me --

8 THE SPECIAL MASTER: I'll overrule the objection.
9 He may answer.

10 Q (By Mr. Sachse) Please give your impression.

11 A I thought that Dr. Mesghinna did a very good job of the
12 report. I though he did well in selecting systems, the
13 processes he selected. The total system conceptually
14 was very workable, it was standard practice, he used
15 good judgment in, in the element of -- I think side
16 roll systems were very appropriate for what he did, his
17 efficiencies, his sprinkler spacings, his sprinkler
18 analysis in general. So in a summary statement, I think
19 that it was a good report.

20 Q So your disagreements are only in the particular areas
21 where you and Dr. Mesghinna and Dr. Willardson have
22 testified?

23 A We're speaking of the disagreements, not in the edifice,
24 we're speaking of some techniques. It would be like
25 keller-direct-sachse



1 getting two contractors to judge building a site or
2 something. It's really the possibilities for some
3 optimization, some streamlining here and there and so
4 on, but not in the conceptual statement to say we found
5 error in the report in that sense. I mean the system
6 is very workable, it's not an unworkable thing by any
7 means.

8 THE SPECIAL MASTER: But wasn't there a conclusion
9 or removal of the 30 percent of his drainage, applied
10 drainage facilities?

11 THE WITNESS: Well, Your Honor --

12 THE SPECIAL MASTER: Overall?

13 THE WITNESS: The changes were looking with a
14 different set of judgments.

15 THE SPECIAL MASTER: I can appreciate that.

16 THE WITNESS: And not professional criticism in
17 that sense. Dr. Mesghinna's drainage system would work
18 too, and it was said this morning, the drainage is the
19 judgmental area of the drainage is to look, to suggest
20 what may be needed in the future, and you don't put it
21 in until you get nearer to the problem because you don't
22 know where the leaks are going to show up or what the
23 problems were going to be, as already stated. And then
24 you're working on the judgment as based on what scientific
25 keller-direct-sachse



1 information you have, the soils tests and all of these
2 things that we described, as to what is the proper level
3 of that particular element. And that's merely what was
4 done.

5 THE SPECIAL MASTER: All right, very good.

6 Q (By Mr. Sachse) Thank you. Now, I want you to bear in
7 mind the designs for future projects on the Reservation.
8 By that I mean the five areas designed by Stetson
9 Engineers, the two additions that have been put in by
10 Keller Associates, and then looking at the Stetson
11 projects, and for the question I'm about to ask, I want
12 you to consider it both the way Stetson designed it
13 without any modifications and the way it is with the
14 optimizations and so forth that you've contributed. And
15 I want to ask you now some questions about the future
16 projects planned for the Reservation.

17 First question is, is there any technology in these
18 projects that is speculative or cannot be found
19 successful in operation elsewhere?

20 A Dr. Mesghinna nor we used any such technologies. All
21 technologies were very appropriate. They're used
22 elsewhere, they're even used locally. You can see all
23 the things we're talking about in the immediate vicinity
24 in operation. So it's very, very common; no experimentation,

25 keller-direct-sachse



1 no risk taking in terms of techniques and technology
2 involved.

3 Q Did you review the crop mixes that are proposed for these
4 projects?

5 A Yes. The alfalfa and small grain is the basic crop
6 mix, and it's a very, it's essentially a very conservative
7 or very simple crop mix with minimum kinds of trouble,
8 and those are the same crops that you see up there now
9 being grown.

10 Q Do you know the elevations of the future projects?

11 A Yes. I'm quite certain they range between a little shy
12 of 5,000 feet to up on the Big Horn Flat Mesa, the
13 highest I think it touches about 6,500 feet.

14 Q Are these elevations in any way unusual for successful
15 irrigated agriculture?

16 A No. There are many projects in the Rocky Mountain region
17 that would fit into that elevation such as the Antinito
18 Project I mentioned to you. Our elevation is just shy
19 of 8,000 feet, we're 7,900, just touching on 8,000.

20 Q What do you grow there?

21 A We grow all small grains. It would grow alfalfa too.
22 I mean it wouldn't be any trouble with alfalfa, and they
23 can grow potatoes there, about the same growing season
24 as up on the Wind River.

25 keller-direct-sachse



1 The Navajo Project is around 6,000, it's a bit
2 less.

3 MR. WHITE: Your Honor, I would move to strike
4 any reference to any project including the Navajo
5 Project on another Indian Reservation. You may recall
6 we had a small dispute or a series of disputes earlier
7 in this case concerning the Crow Reservation where we
8 sought to obtain information that the same experts, HKM
9 essentially, had done or about the same types of work
10 that they'd done on a different Reservation, same river
11 system, different state. We were not allowed to have
12 that information. You indicated that it had no probative
13 value or would have no probative value and I think it
14 would be appropriate for the Court to make the same
15 ruling with respect to any reference to other Indian
16 Reservations.

17 THE SPECIAL MASTER: I have to make some distinction
18 on subject matter. I don't think you can exclude
19 professional comparison of techniques, and methodology
20 and that material from Reservation to Reservation or
21 from place to place anymore than I could say I'll object
22 to your questions on the Antonito thing, but it's not of
23 any great moment, it's a general question and he can give
24 a general answer.

25 keller-direct-sachse



1 MR. WHITE: I want to make sure what the Court's
2 ruling is.

3 THE SPECIAL MASTER: It depends on what you want to
4 show, you know, if you've got a -- Why don't we wait
5 until we get into some more problems.

6 MR. SACHSE: Your Honor --

7 MR. WHITE: . . . Maybe I ought to wait and see
8 what his testimony will be about the Navajo Reservation.

9 THE SPECIAL MASTER: Sure.

10 MR. WHITE: And then maybe we can get the same
11 information.

12 MR. ROGERS: That wasn't the basis of the objection
13 to the Crow Reservation anyway, Your Honor, it's an
14 entirely different situation.

15 MR. SACHSE: I was going to explain that, that the
16 objection --

17 THE SPECIAL MASTER: It's not necessary.

18 MR. SACHSE: It would take just a minute -- was
19 that there was -- there is a lawsuit underway there and
20 the information sought was part of what was developed
21 for that lawsuit. We're not offering information that's
22 part of some other lawsuit or in any way claimed as
23 privileged.

24 THE WITNESS: Well, to add to that, there is just
25 keller-direct-sachse



1 lots of areas throughout the region that are that high
2 or higher.

3 Q (By Mr. Sachse) Do you know the growing season on
4 Big Horn Flats, which you've testified is the highest
5 area in these projects?

6 A The growing season for alfalfa, according to the numbers
7 that I'm familiar with, is somewhere around 125 days for
8 alfalfa.

9
10
11 * * * * *
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24

25 keller-direct-sachse



1 Q (By Mr. Sachse) Is there anything unusual about irrigated
2 agriculture with this kind of growing season?

3 A. Well, there's a lot of irrigated agriculture with this
4 kind of growing season, so no.

5 Q Could you give some examples?

6 THE SPECIAL MASTER: Oh, I think that's almost common
7 knowledge, Mr. Sachse.

8 MR. SACHSE: All right. Thank you.

9 THE SPECIAL MASTER: In the Rocky Mountain West.

10 Q (By Mr. Sachse) In reviewing the Stetson future plans
11 and your own, the two areas, did you run into any problems
12 with accessibility of water or water quality?

13 MR. WHITE: Objection, Your Honor. The question is
14 ambiguous. First of all, what location? What projects
15 are we talking about? And, second, what is meant by
16 accessibility of water? Is it groundwater? Is it sur-
17 face water? And what sort of water quality problems
18 does he have in mind? Too broad.

19 THE SPECIAL MASTER: He meant all projects, I
20 assume, the futures and these two --

21 Q (By Mr. Sachse) In connection with the totality of the
22 future project plans which we have been discussing in
23 this whole series of questions, did you run into any
24 problems with the quality of the surface water for

25 keller: - direct: - sachse



20-2

1 irrigation?

2 A. I did very little on quality except I did see one report
3 on quality, and the quality was excellent, as you would
4 expect from a mountain stream.

5 I mean, it's coming out of the mountains. The Wind
6 River has Class 1 water, or whatever classification you
7 want to have.

8 Q. Now, one requisite of irrigation, I would think, is to
9 be able to get the water from the stream to the fields
10 that are to be irrigated, and that's what I mean by
11 accessibility of the surface water.

12 Did you find any unusual problems or out of the
13 ordinary of irrigation in the west for accessibility
14 of water in these projects?

15 A. If you mean the proximity of water to the land and the
16 difficulty, terrain you would have to reach to go into
17 the water surface supplies, we are talking about here --

18 Q. That's what I mean, yes.

19 A. No.

20 Q. Would you elaborate on that?

21 A. I merely mean that the water is relatively close to the
22 land, the topography between the water and the land is
23 not severe or unusual, and the elevations involved are
24 not -- you know, the lifts involved such as the Big Horn
25 keller - direct - sachse



1 Flats are not out of what's being done out of practice.

2 Q. Have you reviewed the quality of the soils in the future
3 projects?

4 A. In a very brief way I know by the observation of cuts
5 and such in the field. By discussion on the soil --
6 you know, reports -- the soils in the area range between
7 very fine sandy loams and the clay loams with most of
8 them in the sandy loam to loam class, which is really
9 considered an excellent soil from the agricultural stand-
10 point because it has good traffic ability. I mean, you
11 can drive out on it. You don't get stuck on it when it's
12 a little bit moist so easily. They hold water well, and
13 they receive water well, so they are nice soils to deal
14 with.

15 Q. In terms of engineering and the physical characteristics
16 that you have been mentioning of these projects, do you
17 see anything that makes these projects not practicable
18 for irrigation?

19 MR. WHITE: Objection, Your Honor. I believe that
20 it calls for a legal conclusion because what is practi-
21 cally irrigable land is a legal conclusion. It's not
22 an engineering conclusion, as Mr. Kersich has already
23 testified.

24 The United States has indicated in Exhibit -- the
25 keller - direct sachse



1 Billstein cross-examination Exhibit 80 that land capa-
2 ble of sustaining irrigation, long-term irrigation, at
3 a reasonable cost -- there's been no discussion of cost
4 associated with these projects. All of the facts go
5 together for you to make a legal conclusion as to
6 practicably irrigable acreage, and it certainly isn't
7 an engineering conclusion, as Mr. Kersich so eloquently
8 stated.

9 THE SPECIAL MASTER: I'm going to make an assumption
10 because if he thought there was something that wasn't
11 practical, he wouldn't have approved it, so I would
12 have to presume the answer has to be yes. What more
13 can we say? I'll make my mind up whether I concur or
14 don't concur on the basis of all the evidence.

15 MR. WHITE: Let me add one more grounds, and that
16 is there is a lack of foundation with respect to the
17 long-term nature of the irrigation involved and the
18 reasonable cost element of the PIA definition, and un-
19 til this witness is able to deal with those two aspects,
20 an adequate foundation --

21 THE SPECIAL MASTER: He has to limit his answer in
22 those fields in which he has expertise.

23 MR. SACHSE: I would bring to the Court's attention
24 that I phrased the question carefully in terms of
25 keller - direct - sachse



1 engineering and physical characteristics. I'm not
2 asking this witness to make the legal decision at
3 this point. That's obviously the decision you have to
4 make later.

5 THE SPECIAL MASTER: All right.

6 MR. WHITE: One more objection, Your Honor, and
7 that's on competence with respect to nonengineering aspects.

8 This witness has been qualified by the Court as an
9 expert in agricultural engineering. He has not been
10 qualified as an expert in soils, for example, and if
11 his testimony includes or his conclusion includes any
12 conclusions concerning soils, any nonengineering aspect,
13 then I think that it would be inappropriate, and if the
14 question were limited to engineering, I would have no
15 objection.

16 MR. SACHSE: I would differ with that, Your Honor,
17 in that the point you made earlier that Dr. Keller has
18 been qualified as an agricultural and irrigation en-
19 gineer. All of these disciplines concern knowledge about
20 the soil.

21 That was brought out very carefully in Mr. Kersich's
22 testimony. Mr. Kersich is also an agricultural engineer,
23 and I submit --

24 THE SPECIAL MASTER: Yes, it's a technical and a
25 keller - direct - sachse



1 theoretical thing we are discussing. Kersich was on
2 the 'arability, not irrigability, as I recall, and
3 his figures were honed down accordingly, but in your
4 own expertise and area of knowledge, would you answer
5 his question?

6 THE WITNESS: The answer to the question is yes,
7 as you suspected, and the answer is saying that the land
8 is irrigable by using traditional practices, by using
9 things we know how to do. It is not out -- it's not
10 wild. It's not out of the ordinary. It's in common
11 practice.

12 There's land just like it being irrigated just
13 like we propose to irrigate that land. It is workable.
14 The agriclimatical conditions are such that it will
15 grow crops.

16 MR. SACHSE: Could we have a five-minute break
17 now? I think we have been on for about --

18 THE SPECIAL MASTER: Fine, we will take a five-
19 or ten-minute break.

20 (Recess.

21

22

23

* * * * *

24

25

keller - direct - sachse



1 THE SPECIAL MASTER: Come to order, please. Mr.
2 Sachse.

3 Q (By Mr. Sachse) Dr. Keller, would you turn to page 35
4 of Tribes' Exhibit 13, that's Table 14.

5 (Witness complied.)

6 Q Would you give me the total investment figure per acre
7 for the five future projects under the costs submitted
8 by Keller Engineers.

9 MR. WHITE: Objection, Your Honor, the document's
10 already in evidence and it speaks for itself.

11 THE SPECIAL MASTER: It does --

12 MR. SACHSE: Your Honor, the last objection was
13 that it wasn't in evidence and I couldn't refer to it.
14 Now that it's in evidence it certainly can be referred
15 to.

16 THE SPECIAL MASTER: Covering all sides of the
17 street.

18 MR. WHITE: It's also good law, Your Honor.

19 THE SPECIAL MASTER: I'll permit him to answer.

20 THE WITNESS: On that page, the number 1470 is the
21 revised cost, average total investment.

22 Q (By Mr. Sachse) That's \$1,470?

23 A. 1,470, and coming on down the Stetson cost, using their,
24 their numbers and then averaging across all of the subparts,
25 keller - direct - sachse



1 the project is \$1,891.

2 Q Now, would you turn to page 36.

3 MR. CLEAR: Your Honor, I'm a little confused.

4 THE SPECIAL MASTER: I lost him too. What page
5 were you reading from?

6 THE WITNESS: I'm on page 35, Table 14, the furthest
7 column to the --

8 THE SPECIAL MASTER: Right.

9 THE WITNESS: -- right, and it's about mid, a little
10 past midway down. Total investment.

11 THE SPECIAL MASTER: Thank you.

12 THE WITNESS: And then again in the repeated section
13 of that same table.

14 THE SPECIAL MASTER: Right.

15 Q (By Mr. Sachse) So your prices are \$1,470 per acre,
16 weighted average; Stetson's prices are \$1,891 per acre,
17 weighted average. Now, would you turn to Table 15
18 where you've added in your two new parts of the project,
19 the Big Horn Flats addition and the Stagner Ridge part.
20 What is your weighted average, total investment price
21 there for all projects?

22 A. That's slightly moved our average up to 1,517, that's
23 the number just above the upper dotted line on page
24 36, in the furthest right hand column.

25 keller - direct - sachse



1 Q Now, would you identify the highest total investment
2 cost that you find under any of these projects for a
3 particular future project.

4 A. If you'll give me a moment, I'll see. That should be
5 easy.

6 You mean regardless of which person, Stetson or
7 Keller?

8 Q Yes.

9 A. Looks like the highest numbers come on Table 14, don't
10 they?

11 Highest number in there is \$2,067 for the small,
12 lower parts of Big Horn Flats.

13 Q So the range of these costs is from \$2,067 per acre down
14 to, averages of around \$1470 -- Well, just using the
15 Stetson figures, \$2,067 an acre down to \$1837 an acre
16 seems to me.

17 A. Correct.

18 MR. WHITE: Objection, Your Honor; leading, dupli-
19 cative and the document speaks for itself.

20 THE SPECIAL MASTER: It does, but it's late in
21 the afternoon.

22 MR. SACHSE: This is just laying a basis for the
23 questions --

24 THE SPECIAL MASTER: Go ahead.

25 keller - direct - sachse



1 MR. SACHSE: -- that comes next.

2 Q (By Mr. Sachse) Now, you've already testified that as
3 part of your work, both under contract for the Agency
4 for International Development and your teaching and
5 consulting, that you keep up with the prices of irri-
6 gation generally worldwide.

7 Are these prices outlined with irrigation per acre,
8 for land being irrigated today in the, in the west?

9 A. For new developments?

10 Q. Yes, new developments.

11 A. Current developments, not at all. They would be for
12 projects, they would be considered in the very com-
13 fortable side, good side.

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25



1 Q Are you -- Do you know the per acre costs of the portions
2 of the Navajo Project being built at the current time?

3 THE SPECIAL MASTER: That I will sustain an objection
4 to.

5 MR. WHITE: Thank you, Your Honor.

6 THE SPECIAL MASTER: That doesn't give me any pro-
7 bative value whatsoever without a very thorough under-
8 standing of soils conductivity, plateau, mesas, topo-
9 graphy, everything else of the two units, and then a
10 comparative figure that would give me something to com-
11 pare it to, Mr. Sachse, so I'm going to sustain.

12 MR. SACHSE: Well, I'd like to go into the basis a
13 bit so we can have a comparison because I think there are
14 figures that will be useful to you and I think you should
15 know.

16 THE SPECIAL MASTER: The witness has said that this,
17 by comparison to other programs similarly in the West, is
18 a very, very comfortable figure.

19 MR. SACHSE: That's right.

20 THE SPECIAL MASTER: And that makes the point, I
21 think.

22 Q (By Mr. Sachse) You have consulted on the Navajo, you've
23 been a consultant on the Navajo Project; is that correct?

24 A Yes.

25 keller - direct - sachse



1 Q Do you know the division of costs in the Navajo Project in
2 terms of on-farm costs, delivery costs and dam costs and
3 so forth?

4 A Yes, I have some knowledge of the numbers.

5 Q Do you know the -- I'm not asking you to give it to me
6 now, I'm asking do you know the cost per acre for the
7 delivery and on-farm application at Navajo for the most
8 recent costs being put into operation?

9 THE SPECIAL MASTER: Costs per acre?

10 MR. SACHSE: Costs per acre, yes.

11 THE WITNESS: Yes.

12 Q (By Mr. Sachse) You do know that.

13 Are you familiar -- You've already testified that
14 the altitude at Navajo is 6-- approximately 6,000 feet.
15 Are you familiar with the kind of terrain that the water
16 has to cross at Navajo as compared to the present project?

17 MR. WHITE: Your Honor, so I don't have to jump up
18 time and time again, I'd like a continuing objection --

19 THE SPECIAL MASTER: Continuing objection.

20 MR. WHITE: -- with respect to any comparison with
21 another Indian project when the Master has already dis-
22 allowed our attempt to get a comparison with Crow
23 Reservation work, which is probably the most similar
24 reservation to the Wind River Reservation.

25 keller - direct - sachse



1 It's simply inappropriate to deny us access in one
2 case and to allow the Tribes to come on and put on evi-
3 dence in the other. In other words, there appears that
4 there's something being hidden concerning the Crow and
5 that's been successfully hidden, there's something to be
6 gathered from the Navajo and that's being gathered.

7 MR. ROGERS: Your Honor, may I say I am the attorney
8 of record for that case and there is something to be
9 hidden, it's a privileged document. There has been no
10 discovery in the Crow case, The State of Montana, which
11 is the principal opposition in that case, has not sought
12 any of the data on which we have relied. So, in fact,
13 it is to be hidden and is inappropriate.

14 THE SPECIAL MASTER: Gentlemen, we can avoid this
15 patch and thistle if we'll just keep in mind what Mr.
16 Sachse wants to do is get a professional statement com-
17 paring the costs of this with the project of the Navajos
18 and is it favorable in comparison. I think that's what
19 he's leading up to. He doesn't want the figures, he
20 wants to know the professional opinion and its favorable
21 comparability, and that's appropriate, he may go on that
22 way.

23 MR. SACHSE: I would like to get a figure because I
24 would like you to see, I think I can say this to you --

25 THE SPECIAL MASTER: The figures would have virtually



1 no probative value because we've got a range now with
2 wide disparity from 1937 for North Crow under one set of
3 engineering to down to 1430 on another one, up as high --
4 And this is the thing, this is the ballpark I'm interested
5 in, not so much somebody else's --

6 MR. SACHSE: Your Honor, I'll make one more question
7 and then I'll read into the record an offer of proof.

8 THE SPECIAL MASTER: All right.

9 Q. (By Mr. Sachse) My question is: Are the costs per acre
10 for the Navajo Project undertaken in, being done now,
11 considerably higher than the costs for any of these future
12 projects?

13 A. Yes.

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

* * * * *

21

22

23

24

25



1 MR. SACHSE: Now, I'm making my offer of proof now.

2 If the witness were allowed to testify as to the
3 cost per acre on the Navajo Project, the witness would
4 testify that the cost of the most recent part would be
5 approximately \$4,000 per acre, and that is exclusive of
6 any costs in connection with the storage of water.

7 THE SPECIAL MASTER: It's probably just as well we
8 are getting as much as we can of the cost figures in the
9 record because they are going to be more important in
10 negotiations for you gentlemen in the case after I'm gone
11 than they are in determining what quantity of water is
12 going to be granted on the Reservation, I think.

13 Q (By Mr. Sachse) Now, Dr. Keller, I want you to turn to
14 the energy costs shown in the Stetson tables and in the
15 Keller tables as part of Table XIV, Page 35.

16 MR. WHITE: Your Honor, could I also have a continuing
17 objection on the grounds that the document speaks for it-
18 self?

19 THE SPECIAL MASTER: You may.

20 MR. WHITE: And that the questions are duplicative
21 of the material already in evidence?

22 MR. SACHSE: Then I'll rephrase the question to:

23 Q (By Mr. Sachse) I refer you to the document already in
24 evidence which shows the annual energy costs weighted

25 keller - direct - sachse



1 average under Keller figures as \$13.42 per acre and under
2 Stetson figures as \$14.92 per acre, and I ask you if these
3 costs are well within the range of energy costs actually
4 being expected in the West today for the delivery of
5 water.

6 MR. WHITE: Objection. Foundation, Your Honor. How
7 does this witness know that? What costs is he comparing
8 them with?

9 MR. SACHSE: The witness has already testified that
10 part of his professional background has been in the program
11 for Agency for International Development that reviews
12 irrigation programs and that in his teaching duties he
13 keeps up with costs as well as technology in irrigation.
14 That's the foundation.

15 THE SPECIAL MASTER: Well, the only difference I
16 find is that in quoting one set of figures on annual
17 energy costs of a comparison between Stetson and Keller
18 is appropriate. When you go to the total, you have a
19 little problem because the totals for all the units add-
20 ing the two lumps to these into electric power dollars
21 per year, and I don't read that the same as the total of
22 the energy costs, and the demand costs.

23 MR. SACHSE: I think perhaps I should ask a different
24 question and get to the point the Master has raised.

25 keller - direct - sachse



1 Q (By Mr. Sachse) Would you review Table XIV and see what
2 the highest and lowest energy costs are that you see in
3 your program or the Stetson program?

4 A Well, the highest energy cost shows up on Stetson's,
5 Big Horn Flats, the smaller part, with \$37.80 for energy
6 and an annual demand cost \$7.50, which is the cost of
7 having energy available to you.

8 Q Will you review Table XV also, which shows the two new
9 Keller --

10 A And going to Table XV I find -- XV, the power cost in
11 XV is of the single item, underlined, highest one is
12 \$57.77, and that is what's given in XV.

13 Q How did these costs compare with energy costs actually
14 being paid for irrigated agriculture today?

15 A These energy prices are -- of course, there are people
16 that have no energy, but they are not high for pumping
17 energy costs.

18 For instance, in Antonito our energy bill is pro-
19 bably -- is not probably, it is -- over \$50 a year.

20 Q Over -- \$50 an acre?

21 A \$50 an acre per year for electric power. Average in the
22 West -- it would be hard for me to give the average, but
23 the amount of lift in the West averages a couple hundred
24 feet, which would give you comparable energy costs to

25 keller - direct - sachse



1 these averages for the whole entire western 17 states
2 with some places obviously much higher than others.

3 Like on the Columbia Basin, the Snake and stuff
4 where lifts are seven or eight hundred feet high, if
5 you can go out to Israel and stuff, you will find
6 thousand-foot lifts.

7 Q You have done some work on costs in Israel?

8 A I haven't done any work, but, you know, I have studied the
9 systems and all the water in Israel is lifted over 600
10 feet, and then it's boosted from there up to 1,000 feet
11 higher than the thing that we call the Sea of Galilee.
12 That's where their water comes from. It's lots of high
13 lifts and very expensive lifts.

14 Prices get up -- some of the newest developments that
15 they claim they have prices that approach \$1,000 per acre
16 for water for energy per year.

17 Q How do they justify those figures?

18 MR. WHITE: Objection, Your Honor. Calls for
19 speculation and hearsay.

20 THE SPECIAL MASTER: He may answer if he knows.

21 A The way they undoubtedly justify it is because that's
22 their homeland and that's their water and they are going
23 to use it, and then they try to raise very valuable things
24 with it to make it pay the best they can, and they go

25 keller - direct - sachse



1 ahead and do some not too valuable things with it just to
2 secure their land and their water.

3 MR. SACHSE: I have no further questions.

4 THE SPECIAL MASTER: Okay. Questions by the United
5 States?

6 MR. CLEAR: No, Your Honor.

7 THE SPECIAL MASTER: Questions by the other defendants
8 counsel?

9 MR. RADOSEVICH: Excuse me, Your Honor. Do you mind
10 if I don't have a jacket on?

11 THE SPECIAL MASTER: That's quite all right.

12 MR. RADOSEVICH: Your Honor, I just have a few
13 questions.

14 CROSS-EXAMINATION

15 BY MR. RADOSEVICH:

16 Q. Mr. Keller, how much time did you actually spend in the
17 field on the Big Horn and Stagner Ridge Projects?

18 A. Well, I told you the Stagner Ridge I didn't go up. We
19 drove around it and I don't know how much time it might
20 have taken to look at it, but the Big Horn, twelve hours
21 or so, something in that neighborhood.

22 Q. Approximately how much time did you spend reviewing the
23 reports prepared by either Dr. Mesghinna or HKM?

24 A. 20-30 hours.

25 keller - cross - radosevich



- 1 Q Did you have any discussions with Dr. Mesghinna after you
2 prepared -- after you had a chance to review the reports
3 that he prepared?
- 4 A I had an opportunity to discuss with Dr. Mesghinna and,
5 you know, about our report and his report after that time.
- 6 Q You discussed that -- or you stated today that you have
7 become very much aware of costing figures. Did you pro-
8 vide Dr. Mesghinna with any figures on costs of materials
9 or installation?
- 10 A You mean during discussions?
- 11 Q Yes, during discussions with him.
- 12 A Actually, my discussions with Dr. Mesghinna were after,
13 you know, our report was done and our report was done,
14 and we just discussed about the report, so there was no
15 specific elements.
- 16 Q Regarding the cost figures that are reflected on Table
17 XIV, Page 35 of your report, what year are those cost
18 figures provided?
- 19 A The cost figures in this report are based on '79 -- I
20 mean, trying to do it for '79 prices.
- 21 Q Okay. Has there been an increase in costs of pipe and
22 pump since 1979 to the present date, to your knowledge?
- 23 A An increase since '79 to now in materials?
- 24 Q Yes.
- 25 keller - cross - radosevich



1 A. Certainly.

2 Q. Is it significant?

3 A. What do you mean by significant?

4 Q. Would you venture a guess as to the percentage of increase
5 in PVC pipe or perforated pipe?

6 A. PVC jumps around quite a bit, sometimes goes up and some-
7 times, believe it or not, goes down.

8 It depends on how the supply and demand picture is
9 looking at the moment as to where it would be, so it
10 could jump up and down.

11 Your mechanical devices pretty well take a steady
12 increase. Depending upon the irrigation market, we've
13 had kind of a flat irrigation market this last year, the
14 Russian grain embargo and things like that, so when the
15 irrigation market is down, people sell things a little
16 cheaper, so it looks lower, so we didn't take so much --
17 even though there has been general inflation, we didn't
18 take -- I would say the changes have been in the 10-15
19 percent range in the last couple of years, but these are
20 very difficult things and that guesses as to where they
21 are going to be.

22 Q. Is the Navajo Project being constructed right now, the
23 drains being installed, the sprinkler systems being
24 installed?

25 keller - cross - radosevich



1 A. The Navajo Project is about half through its construction
2 in terms of land under irrigation, so, yes. but it's
3 still moving forward.

4 The project -- it's my understanding now they
5 finished putting in the sprinkler systems on what they
6 call Block 5. The project is 110,000 acres. They are
7 farming 40,000 -- they are roughly 10,000 a shot. Each
8 block is 10,000 acres, so they grew that way and they put
9 on the latest piece of land, but they didn't get -- the
10 installation was not done in time for planting.

11 It's my understanding that it's not planted, so
12 it's 40,000 acres planted, another 10,000 acres sitting
13 there ready to go with fall crops.

14 Q. Do you know when that project was planned?

15 A. I don't recall the planning history of that. That project started
16 as a surface irrigation project, and it's got into --
17 you know, it's on the Colorado system and that's a tight
18 river system, as you are well aware, and they decided at
19 some stage downstream to convert it to a sprinkler irriga-
20 tion project, and the planning is certainly, you know, in
21 the 10-20 year bracket, but I don't know the dates on
22 that.

23 I have not done recent research back into that
24 history.

25 keller - cross - radosevich



1 Q (By Mr. Radosevich) Would you happen to know what the
2 cost per figure, say in 1979 for construction of that
3 project would be on a per acre basis?

4 A The latest figure that I had, the latest figure that I
5 was ever given, and it was based on the '80 figures, and
6 I really am not going to speculate the '79 figures, but
7 I can tell you the '80 figures and what they would be
8 if you'd like me to.

9 Q Yes.

10 A You want that?

11 Q Well, does the '80 figure differ from the offer of proof
12 offered by Mr. Sachse?

13 A It's pretty close, his number is pretty close.

14 Q Okay.

15 A It's supposed to be -- Well, just say it, the price is
16 supposed to be just short of five hundred million dollars
17 for the 110,000 acres.

18 THE SPECIAL MASTER: Who is paying for that project,
19 Dr. Keller?

20 THE WITNESS: U.S. Government.

21 THE SPECIAL MASTER: How did the Indians get that
22 money? Was there a judgment or legislation, part of a
23 program or some private power plants contributing to
24 that?

25 keller-cross-radosevich



1 THE WITNESS: No. This piece of the project was
2 based on just, for irrigation. It does not include the
3 dam. The dam was put on the Colorado River System by
4 the System, so the dam is separate. This was just for
5 the irrigation, diversion works, the canal to the project
6 lands.

7 THE SPECIAL MASTER: And the on-farm.

8 THE WITNESS: And the distribution system. And on
9 top of that, then there's another piece that the actual
10 sprinkle systems on the land are in addition to this.
11 This is just to get the water to the corner.

12 THE SPECIAL MASTER: It's a delivery.

13 THE WITNESS: Delivery system, but the sprinkler
14 systems are, you know, they're more or less like numbers
15 in here. If you'll notice, the on-farm systems are not
16 that huge and item outside of over \$4,000.

17 THE SPECIAL MASTER: Does that project depend upon
18 annual appropriations to stay alive and be fulfilled?

19 THE WITNESS: I think that's a fully appropriated
20 project that's in process because it goes on and on.

21 THE SPECIAL MASTER: Okay, thank you.

22 Q (By Mr. Radosevich) Dr. Keller, you were asked several
23 times with respect to your experience in reviewing
24 projects for U.S. AID, the answer will be obvious, but
25 keller-cross-radosevich



1 are there any of those projects located in the United
2 States?

3 A No, those are all international projects.

4 Q Is it relevant at all to compare the cost of energy
5 and materials for the project in foreign countries to
6 a cost per acre in the United States?

7 A Well, it might be relevant from the standpoint saying
8 what's the world willing to do to develop irrigated
9 land, and the answer would be -- I just was going over
10 the Thailand report, and I'm sorry I can't remember all
11 the numbers and things like that, but the average for
12 the next two million acres of irrigated land that's
13 going to be brought on stream in Thailand, the average
14 for their five-year plan is roughly \$1,500, \$1,600 an
15 acre, so that's what -- that's what the world bank and
16 so on is putting into, it's to supplement the rainfall
17 for rice irrigation, for the most part. There is some
18 upland crops involved.

19 So it is somewhat appropriate to say that rather
20 large numbers are being used for land development world-
21 wide, Indians and so on, and these numbers are in this
22 \$1,000, \$2,000 class and higher.

23 Q In fact, isn't it also true then whether they construct
24 a project is probably more of a function of their
25 keller-cross-radosevich



1 alternatives for food supply than cost per acre in many
2 cases?

3 A I think that -- That's right. You know, they're sovereign
4 units that have these resources and their option is to
5 develop those resources.

6 MR. RADOSEVICH: Your Honor, I have no further
7 questions.

8 THE SPECIAL MASTER: Okay, thank you.
9 Mr. White, cross-examination, if any?

10

11

12

13

14

* * * * *

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

keller-cross-radosevich



CROSS-EXAMINATION

1

2

BY MR. WHITE:

3

Q. Dr. Keller, could you please describe the investigation which you made or your team made in its development of these systems for the use of groundwater as a primary source of supply.

4

5

6

7

A. We did nothing, I did nothing on groundwater.

8

MR. WHITE: No further questions.

9

10

11

12

THE SPECIAL MASTER: Let me ask a question or two before you go on because it's opened up a whole new world of things that sooner or later we have to spend more time on.

13

14

15

16

17

In all of the work you've done, can you give us a lead or guide as to whether or not where groundwater is available and plentiful and surface water is scarce and short, of converting to groundwater to supply the center pivots?

18

19

20

THE WITNESS: That -- Unfortunately that question, not to hedge or anything, just doesn't have a simple answer.

21

22

23

24

25

The systems in a basin like this are interlocked, the surface and the groundwater system. You're not, until you do quite a study, and I have not done the study, so I am not even going to speculate in that system, until you've done quite a study, you have to decide if



1 you're taking groundwater or are you in fact taking
2 surface water.

3 THE SPECIAL MASTER: Are you taking somebody's
4 return flows.

5 THE WITNESS: What are you doing, so until --

6 THE SPECIAL MASTER: Percolation.

7 THE WITNESS: Until you get that analysis, you
8 have no answer to that question.

9 THE SPECIAL MASTER: You know of no analysis that's
10 been made on the Wind River Reservation?

11 THE WITNESS: I am not aware, I have not looked or
12 I haven't hunted for it either.

13 THE SPECIAL MASTER: It's not been a part of this
14 project or parameters of your work?

15 THE WITNESS: No.

16 MR. WHITE: Can I ask one question that was prompted
17 by yours?

18 THE SPECIAL MASTER: You might spend another three
19 weeks on this because this is where the future is, as
20 you know.

21 RE-CROSS-EXAMINATION

22 BY MR. WHITE:

23 Q Dr. Keller, isn't it true that the development near
24 Antinito in the San Louis Valley that you testified,
25 keller-- recross - white



1 enjoys its primary source of supply from groundwater,
2 is located in the Rio Grande drainage where Judge
3 Eakes recently wrote his opinion concerning the con-
4 junctive use concerning groundwater and surface water?

5 A. Antinito, it's in the drainage, yes.

6 MR. WHITE: Yes. That's the only question I have,
7 Your Honor.

8 THE SPECIAL MASTER: Okay, thank you very much.

9 Dr. Keller, you -- This is to remind you that you
10 are to remain under the jurisdiction of this Court,
11 which is the District Court of Worland, Wyoming, even
12 though we are sitting here. And if you're asked to
13 come again you won't -- you'll still be under the
14 jurisdiction of this Court and still under oath.

15 THE WITNESS: Yes, sir.

16 THE SPECIAL MASTER: Thank you very, very much.

17 Next witness? If we have none, or can you put
18 a man on?

19 MR. SACHSE: Well, perhaps I can say for everyone's
20 benefit that we have no further witnesses for this week,
21 and accordance with the agreement that was reached
22 earlier, we have two further witnesses in our direct
23 presentation of the case as a whole. We -- One is Dr.
24 Ronald Cummings, the other is Dr. Omar Stewart. We
25 will present those starting Tuesday, September 1st.



1 Depending upon the amount of cross-examination, we may
2 well finish that within two days.

3 MR. WHITE: Your Honor, I think the record should
4 reflect that because the witnesses of the Tribes were
5 not available, not ready, we lost about three quarters
6 of a day here. Mr. Sachse has volunteered previously,
7 by making up that time, by starting on Monday of that
8 week which would be August 31st. I'm feeling very much
9 in a bind about getting my case on and off. And I would
10 like to ask that we start on August 31st or that three
11 quarters of a day that we are talking about is not de-
12 ducted from my case but deducted from the rebuttal case
13 of the 'Tribes', perhaps. I don't see why we couldn't
14 start on Monday and it's already been suggested by the
15 Tribes.

16 THE SPECIAL MASTER: Do you want to try that on
17 August 31st?

18 MR. SACHSE: Well, let me be straight forward
19 about this. If the Court orders us to start on August
20 31st, of course we'll do it and we have offered that --

21 THE SPECIAL MASTER: I won't order you to do that,
22 but the next go round I would probably cede.

23 MR. SACHSE: What it really amounts to is, is more
24 weekend time.

25 THE SPECIAL MASTER: I know.



INDEX TO EXAMINATION

			<u>PAGE</u>
1			
2			
3	WITNESS: LYMAN S. WILLARDSON		
4	Cross-Examination	By Mr. Clear	8635
5	Cross-Examination	By Mr. Radosevich	8690
6	Cross-Examination	By Mr. White	8706
7	Redirect Examination	By Mr. Sachse	8713
8	Redirect Examination	By Mr. Sachse	8720
9	Recross-Examination	By Mr. White	8721
10	Recross-Examination	By Mr. Radosevich	8723
11			
12	WITNESS: JACK KELLER		
13			
14	Direct Examination	By Mr. Sachse	8729
15	Voir Dire Examination	By Mr. White	8743
16	Direct Examination	By Mr. Sachse	8760
17	Voir Dire Examination	By Mr. White	8760
18	Direct Examination (Resumed)	By Mr. Sachse	8763
19	Cross-Examination	By Mr. Radosevich	8812
20	Cross-Examination	By Mr. White	8819
21	Recross-Examination	By Mr. White	8820
22			
23			
24			
25			



INDEX TO EXHIBITS

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

IDENTIFIED

RECEIVED

Tribes' Exhibit RB-13

8726

Tribes' Exhibits RB-13-4 through 13-10

8727

Tribes' Exhibit 23

8760

409 West 24th Street
Cheyenne, WY 82001
(307) 635-8380

Frontier Reporting Service



201 Midwest Building
Casper, WY 82601
(307) 237-1493

1 MR. SACHSE: We're only talking about three quarters
2 of a day, and we've put in a pretty full day today.

3 THE SPECIAL MASTER: I will not order you to, but
4 the next time there's a situation like this I will not
5 yield from my position in the case. All of this must
6 be submitted by that date in December that's in our
7 schedule. In order to be fair about that, I cannot
8 permit a compression of the time left for the State
9 of Wyoming's case, and that's what I wanted to avoid,
10 but I did compress a half a day or three quarters,
11 but we'll try to make that up for you.

12 I am firmly convinced that there will be drops
13 in evidence and open gaps that will make this up to
14 you.

15 MR. WHITE: Your Honor, I should say at this time
16 we anticipate that our case in chief will comprise
17 of approximately 20 to 23 days. It may change, make
18 it slightly larger, slightly smaller on direct.

19 I'm not sure how much cross there will be, but
20 that's what we anticipate for our direct and I would
21 hope that we would have that opportunity.

22 THE SPECIAL MASTER: All right. I will rely on
23 your professionalism, all of you, to see that we could
24 proceed through that, get what remaining exhibits we
25 have and we will rely on the cross-examination people



1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

not to unduly delay that, because we're getting so close to the definition of the issues.

I thank you all very, very much, and we'll see you at the next session, and we are in adjournment.

(Thereupon the proceedings were recessed at 3:30 p.m.)



REPORTERS' CERTIFICATE

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

State of Wyoming)
: SS
County of Laramie)

We, Merissa Racine, Monika S. Fleischli and Viola J. Lundberg, Registered Professional and Certified Shorthand Reporters and Notaries Public, hereby certify that the facts as stated in the caption hereof are true; that we did at the time, date and place, as set forth, report the proceedings had before the Honorable Teno Roncalio, Special Master Presiding, in stenotype; that the foregoing pages, numbered 8633-8824, inclusive, constitute a true, correct and complete transcript of our stenographic notes as reduced to typewritten form under our direction.

We further certify that we are not agents, attorneys or counsel for any of the parties hereto, nor are we interested in the outcome thereof.

Dated this 30th day of July, 1981.

Merissa Racine
MERISSA RACINE
Registered Professional
Reporter

Monika S. Fleischli
MONIKA S. FLEISCHLI
Certified Shorthand
Reporter

Viola J. Lundberg
VIOLA J. LUNDBERG
Registered Professional
Reporter

