## Uldaho Law Digital Commons @ Uldaho Law

Idaho Supreme Court Records & Briefs

12-21-2012

## Farmers Nat. Bank v. Green River Dairy, LLC Respondent's Brief 4 Dckt. 40101

Follow this and additional works at: https://digitalcommons.law.uidaho.edu/idaho supreme court record briefs

#### Recommended Citation

"Farmers Nat. Bank v. Green River Dairy, LLC Respondent's Brief 4 Dckt. 40101" (2012). *Idaho Supreme Court Records & Briefs*. 787. https://digitalcommons.law.uidaho.edu/idaho\_supreme\_court\_record\_briefs/787

This Court Document is brought to you for free and open access by Digital Commons @ Uldaho Law. It has been accepted for inclusion in Idaho Supreme Court Records & Briefs by an authorized administrator of Digital Commons @ Uldaho Law.

### IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF IDAHO

FARMERS NATIONAL BANK,	
Plaintiff-Counterdefendant-Appellant, vs.  GREEN RIVER DAIRY, LLC; HERCULANO J. ALVES and FRANCES M. ALVES, husband and wife, dba GREEN RIVER DAIRY,  Defendants-Cross Defendants- Respondents,	Supreme Court Docket No. 40101-2012 Twin Falls County Docket No.2011-5226  RESPONDENT TIM THORNTON'S BRIEF  Description:
and	)
ERNEST DANIEL CARTER dba CARTER HAY AND LIVESTOCK; LEWIS BECKER; JACK MCCALL,	) ) )
Defendants-Counterclaimants- Cross Claimants-Respondents,	) ) )
and	
HULL FARMS, INC.; TIM THORNTON,	with affiliation to the control of t
Defendants-Respondents.	
	The company and the contract of the contract o

Appeal from the District Court of the Fifth Judicial District Of the State of Idaho for the County of Twin Falls

Honorable G. Richard Bevan, District Judge, presiding.

John S. Ritchie COLEMAN, RITCHIE & CLUFF P.O. Box 525 Twin Falls, Idaho 83303-0525 Attorney for Plaintiff-CounterDefendant-Appellant

William R. Hollifield Attorney at Law P.O. Box 66 Twin Falls, Idaho 83303-0066 Attorney for Defendant-Respondent Tim Thornton

Brent T. Robinson ROBINSON, ANTHON & TRIBE P.O. Box 396 Rupert, Idaho 83350 Attorney for Defendants-Cross Defendants-Respondents Green River Dairy, LLC; Herculano J. Alves and Frances M. Alves, Husband and wife, dba Green River Dairy

Gary W. Edson Attorney At Law P.O. Box 448 Boise, Idaho 83701-0448 Attorney for Defendant-Respondent Hull Farms, Inc. Andrew B. Wright
WRIGHT BROTHERS LAW
OFFICE, PLLC
P.O. Box 226
Twin Falls, Idaho 83303-0226
Attorney for Defendants-CounterclaimantsCross Claimants-Respondents Ernest
Daniel Carter dba Carter Hay and
Livestock; Jack McCall

William F. Nichols
WHITE, PETERSON, GIGRAY,
ROSSMAN, NYE & NICHOLS, P.A.
5700 E. Franklin Rd., Ste. 200
Nampa, Idaho 83687-7901
Attorney for Defendant-CounterclaimantCross Claimant-Respondent Lewis Becker
William F. Nichols

Scott A. Tschirgi SCOTT A. TSCHIRGI, CHTD. 209 W. Main St. Boise, Idaho 83702 Attorney for Idaho Dairyman's Association Amicus Curiae

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

<u>Page</u>
TABLE OF CASES AND AUTHORITIESiv
STATEMENT OF THE CASE
A. NATURE OF THE CASE1
B. COURSE OF THE PROCEEDINGS
C. STATEMENT OF THE FACTS
ISSUES ON APPEAL
ATTORNEY FEES ON APPEAL
ARGUMENT3
CONCLUSION5

## TABLE OF CASES AND AUTHORITIES

## Page Citation

CASES
<u>Lockheed Martin Corp. v. Idaho State Tax Comm'n</u> 142 Idaho 790, 793, 134 P.3d 641, 644(2006)
<u>VFP VC v. Dakota Co.</u> , 141 Idaho 326, 331, 109 P.3d 714, 719 (2005)
Stonebrook Construction, LLC v. Chase House Finance, LLC., 152 Idaho 927, 929 (2012)
Moses v. Idaho State Tax Comm'n, 118 Idaho 676, 680 (1990)5
Treasure Valley Concrete, Inc. v. State of Idaho, 132 Idaho 673, 676 (1999)5
STATUTES
Idaho Code §45-1802
Idaho Code §10-12103
Idaho Code §45-18093
Idaho Code §12-1203
IRCP 54(e)(1)3

#### **STATEMENT OF THE CASE**

#### NATURE OF THE CASE

This is a dispute between Farmers National Bank and five (5) Agricultural Commodity Dealers over the proceeds from the sale of cattle Farmers National Bank repossessed and sold pursuant to its security documents. The Trial Court ruled in favor of the Agricultural Commodity Dealers holding their lien attached to the cattle and to the proceeds from the sale of the cattle.

#### **COURSE OF PROCEEDINGS**

Farmers National Bank filed a complaint seeking declaratory judgment against five (5) Agricultural Commodity Dealers who had filed Agricultural Commodity liens with the Secretary of State claiming a lien in milk cows Farmers National Bank had repossessed after Green River Dairy had defaulted on its loans with Farmers National Bank. The Trial Court entered declaratory judgment in favor of the five (5) Agricultural Commodity Dealers holding that Idaho Code §45-1802 extended the commodity dealers' lien to livestock which consumed the agricultural product.

#### STATEMENT OF FACTS

The facts are not disputed. Farmers National Bank made ten (10) loans to Green River Dairy, which were personally guaranteed by Herculano J. Alves and Frances M. Alves, husband and wife, and The Mary Rose Haagsma Revocable Living Trust.

Farmers National Bank was granted a security interest in all cattle owned by the Alves.

UCC-1F financing statements were filed.

After the UCC-1F filings, the Agricultural Commodity Dealers provided hay to Green River Dairy and then filed Commodity Dealer liens with the Idaho Secretary of State's office, when they did not receive payment in full.

The Green River Dairy defaulted on its loans with Farmers National Bank. Farmers National Bank took possession of the cattle. Farmers National Bank then filed suit against the Agricultural Commodity Dealers seeking declaratory judgment that it held lien priority to the proceeds of sale of the cattle as against the Agricultural Commodity Dealers. All of the proceeds from the sale of the cattle were paid into the Court by stipulation of the parties.

During the hearing on summary judgment, the parties agreed that pursuant to Rule 56 summary judgment may be rendered for any party, not just for the moving party, on any and all causes of action involved in the lawsuit.

Subsequently, the Trial Court ruled against Farmers National Bank and in favor of the Agricultural Commodity Dealers granting a declaratory judgment in their favor, concluding that the Agricultural Commodity Dealers' liens, specifically Idaho Code §45-1802, was unambiguous, the lien attached to the livestock, and entitled the lien claimants to priory status over funds held by Farmers National Bank from the sale of the dairy cows.

Farmers National Bank filed a timely appeal.

#### **ISSUES PRESENTED ON APPEAL**

Whether an Agricultural Commodity Producer's lien created by Chapter 18 of Title 45 of the Idaho Code continues to exist and attaches to the livestock that consumes the agricultural product?

#### ATTORNEY FEES ON APPEAL

Agricultural Commodity Dealer, Tim Thornton, requests an award of attorney fees on appeal pursuant to Idaho Code §10-1210, §45-1809, §12-120, and IRCP 54(e)(1).

#### <u>ARGUMENT</u>

#### STANDARD OF REVIEW

In this matter before the Court, there are no disputed issues of material fact. The Trial Court granted declaratory judgment to the Claimants. As this Court has stated....Where "the evidence reveals no disputed issues of material fact, then only a question of law remains, over which the Court exercises free review." Lockheed Martin Corp. v. Idaho State Tax Comm'n 142 Idaho 790, 793, 134 P.3d 641, 644 (2006). This Court exercises "free review over interpreting a statute's meaning and applying the facts to the law." VFP VC v. Dakota Co., 141 Idaho 326, 331, 109 P.3d 714, 719 (2005); Stonebrook Construction, LLC v. Chase House Finance, LLC., 152 Idaho 927, 929 (2012).

#### THE 1989 AMENDMENT EXTENDED THE LIEN TO CATTLE

The second sentence of Idaho Code §45-1802, which was added in 1989, reads as follows:

The lien created in this chapter may attach regardless of whether the purchaser uses the agricultural product purchased to increase the value of his livestock or whether he uses the agricultural product purchased to maintain the value, health or status of his livestock without actually increasing the value of his agricultural product.

Farmers National Bank argues that the second sentence of Idaho Code §45-1802 does not expand the lien to livestock. This position is not reasonable.

If the purchase of the agricultural product uses the product to increase the value or maintain the value, to what is the lien attaching to within the meaning of this sentence? It has no other purpose than to extend the lien to livestock which consume the agricultural product.

# THE INTERPRETATION OF FARMERS NATIONAL BANK RENDERS THE 1989 AMENDMENT SUPERFLUOUS

If the purchaser uses the agricultural product as feed, the product disappears and thus no lien for the agricultural product producer. The second sentence of Idaho Code §45-1802 does not add anything to the first sentence as interpreted by Farmers National Bank. It is meaningless and useless. As the Trial Court noted the interpretation advocated by Farmers National Bank "renders the statute superfluous when it stands alone."

# THE EXTENSION OF THE LIEN TO CATTLE PROTECTS AGRICULTURAL COMMODITY DEALERS

If the lien does not attach to the livestock, which consumes the agricultural product, it does not make any difference whether it is used to increase the value or to maintain its value. The lien created by Idaho Code §45-1802 would not provide any protection to the Agricultural Commodity Dealer. It would not protect the class of individuals it was intended to protect. The lien would have no value when the livestock consumes the agricultural product.

The lien created in Chapter 45 would not protect the Agricultural Producer if it does not attach to the livestock, which consumes the agricultural product. It is inconceivable that as soon as the product is consumed the lien disappears. There is no protection to the Agricultural Producer.

#### **THE 1989 AMENDMENT ADDS TO §45-1802**

It is a well-established rule of this Court that "where an amendment is made it carries with it the presumption that the legislature intended the statute thus amended to have a meaning different than theretofore accorded it." Moses v. Idaho State Tax Comm'n, 118 Idaho 676, 680 (1990); Treasure Valley Concrete, Inc. v. State of Idaho, 132 Idaho 673, 676 (1999).

Farmers National Bank maintains the 1989 Amendment did not extend the lien to the livestock which consumes the agricultural product. This interpretation renders the 1989 Amendment meaningless. This is an incorrect reading of the sentence. The word "uses" in the sentence extends the scope of the lien after the product is used to increase or maintain the value of the livestock. This interpretation of the 1989 Amendment extending to the livestock provides meaning to the statute which was not there prior to 1989. If the legislature did not intend the 1989 Amendment to extend to livestock, i.e., whose value was increased or maintained by the

#### **CONCLUSION**

use of the agricultural product, the existing statute would not change.

The Trial Court in a thoughtful and analytical opinion examined both Farmers National Bank's and the Agricultural Commodity Dealers' interpretation with the rules of statute construction and case law. The Trial Court found that Farmers National Bank's interpretation was meaningless and not reasonable. The Trial Court held that Idaho Code §45-1802 extends

to the livestock which consumes the agricultural product and that the lien is a first priority line in the proceeds from the sale of the cattle. The Trial Court's decision should be affirmed.

RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED This \_\_\_\_\_\_ day of December, 2012.

HOLLIFIELD LAW OFFICE

William R. Holliffeld

Attorney for Defendant-Respondent Tim Thornton

### **CERTIFICATE OF MAILING**

WILLIAM R. HOLLIFIELD hereby certifies that on the \_

- 29		
20	day	of

December, 2012, he served true and correct copies of the foregoing document by the following method(s) and addressed as follows:

John Ritchie Coleman, Ritchie & Robertson PO Box 525 Twin Falls, ID 83303-0525	<ul><li>( ) U.S. Mail</li><li>( ) Hand-delivery</li><li>( ) Fax</li><li>( ) Courthouse Box</li></ul>
Brent T. Robinson ROBINSON, ANTHON & TRIBE PO Box 396 Rupert, ID 83350	<ul><li>( ) U.S. Mail</li><li>( ) Hand-delivery</li><li>( ) Fax</li><li>( ) Courthouse Box</li></ul>
Gery W. Edson PO Box 448 Boise, ID 83701	<ul> <li>( ) U.S. Mail</li> <li>( ) Hand-delivery</li> <li>( ) Fax</li> <li>( ) Courthouse Box</li> </ul>
Andrew B. Wright WRIGHT BROTHERS LAW OFFICE PO Box 226 Twin Falls, ID 83303-0226	<ul><li>U.S. Mail</li><li>Hand-delivery</li><li>Fax</li><li>Courthouse Box</li></ul>
William F. Nichols WHITE, PETERSON, GIGRAY, et al. 5700 E Franklin Rd, Ste. 200 Nampa, ID 83687-7901	U.S. Mail Hand-delivery Fax Courthouse Box
Scott A. Tschirgi Scott A. Tschirgi, Chartered 209 West Main Street Boise, Idaho 83702	( ) U.S. Mail ( ) Hand-delivery ( ) Fax ( ) Courthouse Box  WILLIAM R. HOLLIFIELD