UIdaho Law Digital Commons @ UIdaho Law

Idaho Supreme Court Records & Briefs

5-31-2011

Bach v. Dawson Respondent's Brief Dckt. 38380

Follow this and additional works at: https://digitalcommons.law.uidaho.edu/ idaho_supreme_court_record_briefs

Recommended Citation

"Bach v. Dawson Respondent's Brief Dckt. 38380" (2011). *Idaho Supreme Court Records & Briefs*. 2883. https://digitalcommons.law.uidaho.edu/idaho_supreme_court_record_briefs/2883

This Court Document is brought to you for free and open access by Digital Commons @ UIdaho Law. It has been accepted for inclusion in Idaho Supreme Court Records & Briefs by an authorized administrator of Digital Commons @ UIdaho Law. For more information, please contact annablaine@uidaho.edu.

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF IDAHO

1

JOHN N. BACH,	
Plaintiff-Respondent,	
v. WAYNE DAWSON, Defendant-Appellant, and	Supreme Court No. 38380-2010 Teton County Case No. 2002-208
KATHERINE D. MILLER, aka KATHERINE M. MILLER, Individually & dba R.E.M., and CACHE RANCH, ALVA A. HARRIS, Individually & dba SCONA, INC., a sham entity, JACK LEE McLEAN, BOB FITZGERALD, Individually & dba CACHE RANCH, OLY OLESEN, BOB BAGLEY & MAE BAGLEY, husband and wife, BLAKE LYLE, Individually & dba GRANDE TOWING, and also GRANDE BODY & PAINT, GALEN WOELK & CODY RUNYAN, Individually & dba RUNYAN & WOELK, ANN-TOY BROUGHTON, WAYNE DAWSON, MARK LIPONIS, EARL HAMLIN, STAND NICKELL, BRET & DEENA R. HILL, DOES 1 through 30 Inclusive, Defendants.	
R E S P O N D E N T ' S	BRIEF ¹

Appeal from the District Court of the Seventh Judicial District of the State of Idaho, in and for the County of Teton

Honorable Darren B. Simpson

Attorneys for Appellant Wayne Dawson Jared M. Harris, Esq. BAKER & HARRIS 266 West Bridge Street Blackfoot, Idaho 83221 Respondent John N. Bach, Pro Se John N. Bach PO Box 101 Driggs, ID 83422

JOHN N. BACH, Plaintiff-Respondent,	
v.	Supreme Court No. 38380-2010 Teton County Case No. 2002-208
WAYNE DAWSON,	
Defendant-Appellant,	
and	
KATHERINE D. MILLER, aka KATHERINE M. MILLER, Individually & dba R.E.M., and CACHE RANCH, ALVA A. HARRIS, Individually & dba SCONA, INC., a sham entity, JACK LEE McLEAN, BOB FITZGERALD, Individually & dba CACHE RANCH, OLY OLESEN, BOB BAGLEY & MAE BAGLEY, husband and wife, BLAKE LYLE, Individually & dba GRANDE TOWING, and also GRANDE BODY & PAINT, GALEN WOELK & CODY RUNYAN, Individually & dba RUNYAN & WOELK, ANN-TOY BROUGHTON, WAYNE DAWSON, MARK LIPONIS, EARL HAMLIN, STAND NICKELL, BRET & DEENA R. HILL, DOES 1 through 30 Inclusive,	

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF IDAHO

Defendants.

RESPONDENT'S BRIEF

Appeal from the District Court of the Seventh Judicial District of the State of Idaho, in and for the County of Teton

Honorable Darren B. Simpson

Attorneys for Appellant Wayne Dawson Jared M. Harris, Esq. BAKER & HARRIS 266 West Bridge Street Blackfoot, Idaho 83221 Respondent John N. Bach, Pro Se John N. Bach PO Box 101 Driggs, ID 83422

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF IDAHO

JOHN N. BACH,

Plaintiff-Respondent,

v.

WAYNE DAWSON,

Defendant-Appellant,

and

KATHERINE D. MILLER, aka KATHERINE M. MILLER, Individually & dba R.E.M., and CACHE RANCH, ALVA A. HARRIS, Individually & dba SCONA, INC., a sham entity, JACK LEE McLEAN, BOB FITZGERALD, Individually & dba CACHE RANCH, OLY OLESEN, BOB BAGLEY & MAE BAGLEY, husband and wife, BLAKE LYLE, Individually & dba GRANDE TOWING, and also GRANDE BODY & PAINT, GALEN WOELK & CODY RUNYAN, Individually & dba RUNYAN & WOELK, ANN-TOY BROUGHTON, WAYNE DAWSON, MARK LIPONIS, EARL HAMLIN, STAND NICKELL, BRET & DEENA R. HILL, DOES 1 through 30 Inclusive,

Defendants.

RESPONDENT'S BRIEF

Appeal from the District Court of the Seventh Judicial District of the State of Idaho, in and for the County of Teton

Honorable Darren B. Simpson

Attorneys for Appellant Wayne Dawson Jared M. Harris, Esq. BAKER & HARRIS 266 West Bridge Street Blackfoot, Idaho 83221 Respondent John N. Bach, Pro Se John N. Bach PO Box 101 Driggs, ID 83422

Supreme Court No. 38380-2010

Teton County Case No. 2002-208

TABLE OF CONTENTS

- 1. OBJECTION TO ENTIRE APPELLANT'S BRIEF, ALL PARTS I. THROUGH V; P. 1. AS NOT ONLY IN VIOLATIONS OF I.A.R., FAILURE TO PROVIDE CLERK'S TRANSCRIPT ON APPEAL FAILURE TO PROVIDE CITATIONS TO RECORD, ANDIDENTIFY ARGUMENTS MADE ON HEARING BEFORE THE SEVENTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT JUDGE, BUT ALSO, BECAUSE APPELLANT'S APPEAL AND BRIEF, AS A MATTER OF LAW, IS SPECIOUS, FRIVOLOUSWITHOUT FOUNDATION, USED FOR HARRMENT PURPOSES ONLY, AND JUSTIFIES AWARDING AGAINST APPELLANT AND HIS ATTORNEY, JOINTLY AND SEVERALLY COSTS, ATTORNEY FEES AND ALL RELATED APPEAL EXPENSES INCURRED BY RESPONDENT JOHN N. BACH, PER I.C. 12-121, IRCP, Rule 11(a)(1), etc. & I.A.R. 41.
- 2. CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

TABLE OF CASES AND AUTHORITIES

I.C. sect. 12-121	2.
I.A.R. Rule 11(a) 14 & k4(a) 41	1. 1. 1. 2
I.R.C.P. Rule 54(a)	1.
Rule 54(b)	1

Southland Produce	Co. v. Belson,	
95 Idaho 776,	536 P2d 1126 (1975)	1.

I. OBJECTIONS (and opposition/refutations) TO ENTIRE APPELLANT'S BIREF, ALL PARTS I. TRHOUGH V, AS NOT ONLY IN VIOLATION OF I.A.R.. RULES, FAILURE TO POOVIDE CLERK" S TRANSCRIPT ON APPEAL, FAILURE TO PROVIDE CIT-ATIONS TO RECORD, AND IDENTIFY ARGUMENTS MADE ON HEARING BEFORE SEVENTH JUDICTAL DISTRICT COURT JUDGE, BUT ALSO, BECAUSE APPELLANT'S APPEAL AND BRIFE, AS A MATTER OF LAW, IS SPECIOUS, FRIVOLOUS, WITHOUT FOUNDATION, USED FOR HARRASSMENT PURPOSE ONLY, AND JUSTIFIES AWARDING AGAINST APEELLANT WAYN DAWSON AND HIS ATTORNEY, JOINT AND SEVERALLY, COST, ATTORNEY FEES, PARALEGAL AND RELATED EXPENSES INCUR-RED HEREIN BY RESPONDENT JOHN N. BACH.

In further support of the aforesaid objections-opposition and refutations, Respondent cites to this Honorable Idaho Supreme Court, the following:

- 1. The definition of "Judgments is set forth in IR.C.P., Rule 54(a), esp. to wit: "A judgment is final if either it has been certified as final pursuantto subsection (b)(1) of this rule or judgment as been entered on all claims for relief, except costs and fees, asserted by or against all parties in the action."
- 2. I.R.C.P. Rule 54(b) Providing for Certificate of Interlocutory Judgment as Final, (No such certificate every issued from trial court on the three (e) interlocutory rendered judgment of default, which Wayn Dawson, never/ever appealled, nor could he.)
- 4. Southland Produce Co. v. Belson, 95 Idaho 776, 536 P2d 1126 (1975) (Partial summary judgment against 3 of the5 defedants, all sought to be jointly and severally liable is not a final judgment against all.)

1.

No relevant cases, statutes, rules of court nor even legal nor factual analysis are made in Appellant's Openigg Brief. Most deceptively and intentionaly mistatingly inaccurately stated is the sole issue presented on appeal.

All the three (3) earlier non final Default Judgments, including that against Wayne Dawson, was not made final, until FEbruary 11, 2005 Appellant admits and removes all basis of his appeal and brief thereby, by confessing but ignoring the fact, that Respondent's motion to Renew the default judgment against Dawson, was made on or before February 2, 2011 which motion was timely, some over nine (9) days beforethe five (5) year time limit had expired.) Dawson's last argument sentence is wholly illusory, frivolous and specious, that: "Nowhere in the statute is there any requirement that the judgment be final and appealable as a condition of the time to renew running." Sanctions are in order.

THIS COURT SHOULD AWARD COSTS, ATTORNEYS FEES, PARALEGAL AND PREPALRATION EXPENSES, FEES AND OTHER INCURRING OF MONEYS TO RESPONDENT IN HAVING TO FILE THESE OBJECTIONS, OPPOSITION AND REFUTATION PER 12-121, I.A.R. 41, etc.

DATED: May 26, 2011

JÓHN N. BACH Pro Se

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE BY MAIL: I hereby certify that on May 26, 2011, I did serve via first class mail the following copies to: (1) Original & seven copies to: Clerk Idaho Supreme Court, R.O. 83720, Boise, ID 83720-0101'and (2) Two Copies to Jared M. Harris, 266 W. Bridge Street, Blackfoot, ID 83221.

2.