### Uldaho Law Digital Commons @ Uldaho Law

Idaho Supreme Court Records & Briefs, All

Idaho Supreme Court Records & Briefs

2-5-2016

### Green River Ranches v. Silva Land Co. Clerk's Record Dckt. 43547

Follow this and additional works at: https://digitalcommons.law.uidaho.edu/idaho\_supreme\_court\_record\_briefs

#### Recommended Citation

"Green River Ranches v. Silva Land Co. Clerk's Record Dckt. 43547" (2016). *Idaho Supreme Court Records & Briefs, All.* 6270. https://digitalcommons.law.uidaho.edu/idaho\_supreme\_court\_record\_briefs/6270

This Court Document is brought to you for free and open access by the Idaho Supreme Court Records & Briefs at Digital Commons @ UIdaho Law. It has been accepted for inclusion in Idaho Supreme Court Records & Briefs, All by an authorized administrator of Digital Commons @ UIdaho Law. For more information, please contact annablaine@uidaho.edu.

### IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE FIFTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT OF THE STATE OF IDAHO, IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF TWIN FALLS

GREEN RIVER RANCHES, LLC, Idaho Limited Liability Company,  Plaintiff/Respondent,	) SUPREME COURT NO. 43547-2015 ) 43548-2015 ) DISTRICT COURT CASE NO. CV 13-1263 ) CV 13-3154
r laintiin/Nespondent,	) CV 13-4732
VS.	
SILVA LAND COMPANY, LLC, an Idaho Limited Liability Company;	) ) )
Defendant.	
JACK MCCALL,	) )
Plaintiff/Respondent,	) )
VS.	
SILVA DAIRY, LLC, an Idaho Limited Liability Company,	
Defendant/Appellant,	
and	
MAX SILVA, an Individual,	
Defendant.	) <u>)</u>
GREEN RIVER RANCHES, LLC, an Idaho Limited Liability Company,	) ) )
Plaintiff/Counterdefendant,	
vs.	) )
SILVA LAND COMPANY, LLC, et al.,	) ) )

Defendants/Counterclaimants.)		
JACK MCCALL,		
Plaintiff/Respondent, )		
vs.		
MAX SILVA, an individual,		
Defendant/Appellant, )		
and )		
SILVA DAIRY, LLC, an Idaho Limited ) Liability Company, )		
JACK MCCALL,		
Plaintiff/Respondent, )		
Vs. )		
SILVA LAND COMPANY, LLC, et al.,		
Defendant/Appellant, )		
JACK MCCALL, an Individual and ) d/b/a JT LIVESTOCK, )		
Plaintiff/Respondent, )		
vs. )		
MAX SILVA,		
) <pre>Defendant/Appellant.</pre>		

#### **CLERK'S LIMITED RECORD ON APPEAL**

Appeal from the District Court of the Fifth Judicial District of the State of Idaho, in and for the County of Twin Falls

### HONORABLE RANDY J. STOKER District Judge

Nathan Olsen PETERSEN MOSS HALL & OLSEN 485 "E" Street Idaho Falls, ID 83402 Bradley Dixon GIVENS PURSLEY 601 W. Bannock Street P. O. Box 2720

Boise, ID 83701-2720

ATTORNEY FOR APPELLANT

ATTORNEY FOR RESPONDENT

### CASE SUMMARY CASE NO. CV-2013-1263

Green River Ranches, LLC, Jack McCall ts.

Bilva Land Company, LLC, Manuel M Silva, Maria O Silva, Anthony Silva, Lindsi Silva, Alberto John Silva, Heilo Silva, Lori Silva, Maximaino Silva, Mona Charice West-Silva, Max Silva, Silva Dairy, LLC

Ü Location: Twin Falls County District Court
Ü Judicial Officer: Stoker, Randy J.
Ü Filed on: 03/27/2013
Ü Appellate Case Number: 43547
42886

#### CASE INFORMATION

**Related Cases** 

CV-2013-3154 (Default - Conversion) CV-2013-4728 (Consolidated Case) CV-2013-4732 (Default - Conversion)

Statistical Closures 09/10/2014 Closed Case Type: AA- All Initial District Court Filings (Not E, F, and H1)

DATE

#### CASE ASSIGNMENT

**Current Case Assignment** 

Case Number Court Date Assigned

Judicial Officer

CV-2013-1263

Stoker, Randy J.

Twin Falls County District Court 03/27/2013

Plaintiff Green River Ranches, LLC Dixon, Bradley James
Retained
208-338-1200(W)

McCall, Jack Dixon, Bradley James
Retained
208-338-1200(W)

Defendant Silva Dairy, LLC Taggart, Steven Lyle

Silva Land Company, LLC

Silva Land Company, LLC

Olsen, Nathan Miles

Retained

208-523-4650(W)

Silva, Alberto John
Olsen, Nathan Miles
Retained
208-523-4650(W)

Silva, Anthony
Olsen, Nathan Miles
Retained
208-523-4650(W)

Silva, Heilo Olsen, Nathan Miles

Retained
208-523-4650(W)

Silva, Lindsi
Olsen, Nathan Miles
Retained
208-523-4650(W)

Silva, Lori Olsen, Nathan Miles
Retained

Retained 208-552-6442(W)

### CASE SUMMARY CASE No. CV-2013-1263

208-523-4650(W)

Silva, Manuel M Olsen, Nathan Miles Retained 208-523-4650(W) Silva, Maria O Olsen, Nathan Miles Retained 208-523-4650(W) Silva, Max Olsen, Nathan Miles Retained 208-523-4650(W) Silva, Maximaino Olsen, Nathan Miles Retained 208-523-4650(W) West-Silva, Mona Charice Olsen, Nathan Miles Retained 208-523-4650(W) Counter Claimant Silva Land Company, LLC Olsen, Nathan Miles Retained 208-523-4650(W) Silva, Alberto John Olsen, Nathan Miles Retained 208-523-4650(W) Silva, Anthony Olsen, Nathan Miles Retained 208-523-4650(W) Silva, Heilo Olsen, Nathan Miles Retained 208-523-4650(W) Silva, Lindsi Olsen, Nathan Miles Retained 208-523-4650(W) Silva, Lori Olsen, Nathan Miles Retained 208-523-4650(W) Silva, Manuel M Olsen, Nathan Miles Retained 208-523-4650(W) Silva, Maria O Olsen, Nathan Miles Retained 208-523-4650(W) Silva, Maximaino Olsen, Nathan Miles Retained 208-523-4650(W) West-Silva, Mona Charice Olsen, Nathan Miles Retained 208-523-4650(W)

DATE	EVENTS & ORDERS OF THE COURT	INDEX
03/27/2013	New Case Filed Other Claims New Case Filed-Other Claims	
03/27/2013	Notice of Appearance	

	CASE NO. CV-2013-1263
	Plaintiff: Green River Ranches, LLC Appearance Bradley J Dixon
03/27/2013	Miscellaneous Filing: A - All initial civil case filings of any type not listed in categories B-H, or the other A listings below Paid by: Stoel Rives Receipt number: 1308128 Dated: 3/27/2013 Amount: \$96.00 (Check) For: Green River Ranches, LLC (plaintiff)
03/27/2013	Complaint Filed  Complaint Filed
03/27/2013	Summons Issued Summons Issued
04/11/2013	Affidavit of Service Affidavit Of Service Alberto Silva 4/1/13
04/11/2013	Summons Returned Summons Returned
04/11/2013	Affidavit of Service Affidavit Of Service Manuel Silva 4/1/13
04/11/2013	Affidavit of Service Affidavit Of Service Lindsi Silva 4/3/13
04/11/2013	Summons Returned Summons Returned
04/11/2013	Affidavit of Service Affidavit Of Service Mona Charice West-Silva w/ Maximaino 4/1/13
04/11/2013	Affidavit of Service Affidavit Of Service Silva Land Compant LLC 4/1/13
04/11/2013	Summons Returned Summons Returned
04/11/2013	Affidavit of Service Affidavit Of Service Maximaino Silva 4/1/13
04/11/2013	Summons Returned Summons Returned
04/11/2013	Affidavit of Service Affidavit Of Service Maria O Silva w/ Manuel Silva 4/1/13
04/11/2013	Summons Returned Summons Returned
04/11/2013	Affidavit of Service Affidavit Of Service Lori Silva w/ Helio Silva 4/1/13
04/11/2013	Affidavit of Service Affidavit Of Service Helio Silva 4/1/13
04/11/2013	Summons Returned Summons Returned
04/16/2013	Affidavit of Service Affidavit Of Service, Anthony Silva, 04/11/2013

	CASE No. CV-2013-1263
04/24/2013	Miscellaneous Filing: II - Initial Appearance by persons other than the plaintiff or petitioner Paid by: Petersen, Moss & Hall Receipt number: 1310711 Dated: 4/24/2013 Amount: \$66.00 (Check) For: Silva Land Company, LLC (defendant), Silva, Alberto John (defendant), Silva, Anthony (defendant), Silva, Heilo (defendant), Silva, Lindsi (defendant), Silva, Lori (defendant), Silva, Manuel M. (defendant), Silva, Maria O. (defendant), Silva, Maximaino (defendant) and West- Silva, Mona Charice (defendant)
04/24/2013	Notice of Appearance Notice Of Appearance
04/24/2013	Notice of Appearance Defendant: Silva Land Company, LLC Appearance Nathan M Olsen
04/24/2013	Notice of Appearance Defendant: Silva, Manuel M. Appearance Nathan M Olsen
04/24/2013	Notice of Appearance  Defendant: Silva, Maria O. Appearance Nathan M Olsen
04/24/2013	Notice of Appearance Defendant: Silva, Anthony Appearance Nathan M Olsen
04/24/2013	Notice of Appearance Defendant: Silva, Lindsi Appearance Nathan M Olsen
04/24/2013	Notice of Appearance Defendant: Silva, Alberto John Appearance Nathan M Olsen
04/24/2013	Notice of Appearance Defendant: Silva, Heilo Appearance Nathan M Olsen
04/24/2013	Notice of Appearance Defendant: Silva, Lori Appearance Nathan M Olsen
04/24/2013	Notice of Appearance Defendant: Silva, Maximaino Appearance Nathan M Olsen
04/24/2013	Notice of Appearance Defendant: West-Silva, Mona Charice Appearance Nathan M Olsen
05/02/2013	Notice Notice Of Intent to Take Default
05/06/2013	Answer Answer and Counterclaim
05/13/2013	Notice of Service  Notice Of Service
05/22/2013	Answer Answer To Counterclaim
05/24/2013	Notice of Hearing  Notice Of Hearing on Plaintiff's Motion for Prejudgment Writ of Attachment
05/24/2013	Motion Motion for Prejudgement Writ of Attachment
05/24/2013	Hearing Scheduled Hearing Scheduled (Motion 06/17/2013 10:00 AM) Motion for Pre-Judgment Writ

### CASE SUMMARY CASE NO. CV-2013-1263

	CASE NO. C V-2013-1203	
06/10/2013	Objection Objection to Prejudgment Writ of Attachment	
06/10/2013	Affidavit Affidavit of Counsel in Support of Objection to Prejudgment Writ of Attachment	
06/11/2013	Notice of Service  Notice Of Service	
06/14/2013	Hearing Vacated  Hearing result for Motion scheduled on 06/17/2013 10:00 AM: Hearing Vacated Motion for Pre-Judgment Writ	
06/14/2013	Hearing Scheduled  Hearing Scheduled (Motion 07/29/2013 10:00 AM) Motion for Pre-Judgment Writ	
06/14/2013	Notice of Hearing  Amended Notice Of Hearing	
06/17/2013	Motion Hearing (10:00 AM) (Judicial Officer: Stoker, Randy J.)  Motion for Pre-Judgment Writ Hearing result for Motion scheduled on 06/17/2013 10:00 AM:  Hearing Vacated	
07/01/2013	Notice of Hearing  Notice Of Hearing Re Plaintiff's Motion for Summary Judgment	
07/01/2013	Motion for Summary Judgment  Plaintiff's Motion For Summary Judgment	
07/01/2013	Memorandum  Memorandum in Support of Plaintiff's Motion for Summary Judgment	
07/01/2013	Affidavit Affidavit of James McCall in Support of Motion for Summary Judgment and Prejudgment Attachment	
07/15/2013	Notice of Hearing  Notice Of Hearing	
07/15/2013	Motion to Compel  Defendants' Motion To Compel Discovery	
07/15/2013	Motion Defendants' Motion to Strike Certain Portions of the Affidavit of James McCall in Support of Motion for Summary Judgment and Prejudgment Attachment	
07/15/2013	Response Response in Opposition to Plaintiff's Motion for Summary Judgment	
07/15/2013	Affidavit Affidavit of Counsel in Support of Response in Opposition to Plaintiff's Motion for Summary Judgment	
07/15/2013	Affidavit Affidavit of Steven L. Taggart, Esq. in Support of Response in Opposition to Plaintiff's Motion for Summary Judgment	
07/15/2013	Affidavit Affidavit of Max Silva	

PAGE 5 OF 33

	CASE No. CV-2013-1263
07/15/2013	Motion Defendants' Motion to Deem Admissions Admitted
07/15/2013	Affidavit Affidavit of Counsel in Support of Motion to Deem Admissions Admitted and Motion to Compel Discovery
07/22/2013	Objection Plaintiff's Objection to Defendants' (1) Motion to Compel; (2) Motion to Deem Requests for Admissions Admitted; and (3) Motion to Strike Certain Portions of James McCall's Affidavit in Support of Summary Judgment
07/23/2013	Reply Plaintiff's Reply to Defendants' Repsponse in Opposition to Plaintiff's Motion for Summary Judgment
07/23/2013	Affidavit Affidavit of Bradley J. Dixon in Support of Plaintiff's Reply to Defendants' Response in Opposition to Plaintiff's Motion for Summary Judgment
07/23/2013	Order Civil Pre-Trial Order
07/24/2013	Reply  Defendants' Reply in Support of (1) Motion to Compel (2) Motion to Deem Requests for Admissions Admitted; and (3) Motion to Strike Certain Portions of James McCall's Affidavit
07/24/2013	Motion Defendants' Motion to Strike the Affidavit of Bradley J. Dixon in Support of Plaintiff's Reply to Defendants' Response in Opposition to Plaintiff's Motion for Summary Judgment
07/29/2013	DC Hearing Held: Court Reporter: # of Pages:  Hearing result for Motion scheduled on 07/29/2013 10:00 AM: District Court Hearing Held Court Reporter: Barksdale Number of Transcript Pages for this hearing estimated: Motion for Pre-Judgment Writ- Also Motion for Summary Judgment
07/29/2013	Court Minutes Court Minutes
07/29/2013	Motion Hearing (10:00 AM) (Judicial Officer: Stoker, Randy J.)  Motion for Pre-Judgment Writ- Also Motion for Summary Judgment Hearing result for Motion scheduled on 07/29/2013 10:00 AM: District Court Hearing Held Court Reporter: Barksdale Number of Transcript Pages for this hearing estimated:
08/01/2013	Complaint Filed Complaint for Claim and Delivery and for Damages (Copy from CV13-3154)
08/01/2013	Petition Petition For Order to Show Cause (Copy from CV13-3154)
08/02/2013	Order Order to Show Cause Issued - Retained (Copy from CV13-3154)
08/12/2013	Notice of Service  Notice Of Service
08/12/2013	Affidavit of Service Affidavit Of Service (Copy from CV13-3154)
08/12/2013	Court Minutes  Court Minutes (Copy from CV13-3154)

	CASE NO. CV-2013-1263
08/12/2013	Order Order Quashing Order to Show Cause (Copy from CV13-3154)
08/15/2013	Motion Stipulated Motion for Protective Order
08/16/2013	Order Order Granting Stipulation Motion for Protective Order
08/16/2013	Order Order Re (1) Plaintiff's Motion for Summary Judgment; (2) Motion for Prejudgment Attachment; (3) Motion to Deem Requests for Admissions Admitted (4) Motion to Strike Certain Portions of James McCall's Affidavit of Summary Judgment; and (5) Motion to Compel
09/05/2013	Hearing Scheduled  Hearing Scheduled (Scheduling Conference 11/04/2013 10:00 AM)
09/05/2013	Order Civil Pre-Trial Order
09/05/2013	Order Order for Scheduling Conference Civil Cases
09/06/2013	Answer Answer, Counter-Claim and Third Party Complaint (Copy from CV13-3154)
09/23/2013	Affidavit Affidavit (Copy from CV13-3154)
09/23/2013	Return of Service Sheriff's Return, Terry Hollifield, 09/10/2013 (Copy from CV13-3154)
09/23/2013	Return of Service Sheriff's Return, Jean McCall, 09/11/2013 (Copy from CV13-3154)
09/23/2013	Return of Service Sheriff's Return, Jack McCall for JT Livestock, 09/10/2013 (Copy from CV13-3154)
09/23/2013	Return of Service Sheriff's Return, Hiram Finney for Green River Ranches, 09/09/2013 (Copy from CV13-3154)
10/01/2013	Answer Third-Party Defendant's Green River Ranches LLC's Answer To Third-Party Complaint (Copy from CV13-3154)
10/02/2013	Note of Issue & Request for Trial  Note Of Issue And Request For Trial Setting (Copy from CV13-3154)
10/02/2013	Order Order for Scheduling Conference-Civil Cases (Copy from CV13-3154)
10/02/2013	Order Civil Pre-Trial Order (Copy from CV13-3154)
10/03/2013	Reply to Counterclaim  Jack McCall, Jean McCall And JT Livestock's Reply To Counterclaim And Answer To Third  Party Complaint (Copy from CV13-3154)
10/03/2013	Notice of Appearance

	CASE NO. CV-2013-1263	
	Notice Of Appearance (Copy from CV13-3154)	
10/07/2013	Response to Request for Trial Setting  Response To Note Of Issue and Request For Trial Setting (Copy from CV13-3154)	
10/08/2013	Notice of Hearing  Notice Of Hearing	
10/08/2013	Motion to Consolidate  Motion to Consolidate Cases and Intervene	
10/16/2013	Answer Terry Hollifield's Answer to Third Party Complaint (Copy from CV13-3154)	
10/17/2013	Miscellaneous  Joinder to Motion to Consolidate	
10/28/2013	Miscellaneous Plaintiff's Opposition to Defendants' Motion to consolidate Cases and Intervene	
10/30/2013	Letter  Letter from Mr. Olsen	
10/31/2013	Continued (Judicial Officer: Stoker, Randy J.)  Continued (Scheduling Conference 11/18/2013 10:00 AM) Motion to Consolidate and Intervene	
11/04/2013	Affidavit Affidavit of Jack McCall in Support of Objection to Motion to Consolidate/Joinder (Copy from CV13-3154)	
11/04/2013	Miscellaneous  Opposition to Motion to Consolidate/ Joinder (Copy from CV13-3154)	
11/15/2013	Complaint Filed Complaint For Damages (Copy from CV13-4732)	
11/18/2013	Notice of Hearing  Notice Of Hearing on Plaintiff's Renewed Motion for Summary Judgment	
11/18/2013	Motion to Renew Judgment Plaintiff's Renewed Motion for Summary Judgment	
11/18/2013	Memorandum  Memorandum in Support of Plaintiff's Renewed Motion for Summary Judgment	
11/18/2013	Affidavit Affidavit of Kersti H. Kennedy in Support of Memorandum in Support of Plaintiff's Renewed Motion for Summary Judgment	
11/18/2013	Reply Reply in Support of Motion to Consolidate Cases and Intervene	
11/18/2013	Affidavit Affidavit of Counsel in Support of Motion to Consolidate Cases and Intervene	
11/18/2013	DC Hearing Held: Court Reporter: # of Pages:  Hearing result for Scheduling Conference scheduled on 11/18/2013 10:00 AM: District Court  Hearing Held Court Reporter: Trac Barksdale Number of Transcript Pages for this hearing  estimated: Motion to Consolidate and Intervene, Scheduling Conference	

	CASE No. CV-2013-1263
11/18/2013	Court Minutes Court Minutes
11/18/2013	Hearing Scheduled  Hearing Scheduled (Motion for Summary Judgment 12/16/2013 10:00 AM)
11/18/2013	Scheduling Conference (10:00 AM) (Judicial Officer: Stoker, Randy J.)  Motion to Consolidate and Intervene, Scheduling Conference Hearing result for Scheduling  Conference scheduled on 11/18/2013 10:00 AM: District Court Hearing Held Court Reporter:  Trac Barksdale Number of Transcript Pages for this hearing estimated:
12/02/2013	Continued (Judicial Officer: Stoker, Randy J.)  Continued (Motion for Summary Judgment 01/21/2014 10:00 AM)
12/03/2013	Notice of Hearing Amended Notice of Hearing on Plaintiff's Renewed Motion for Summary Judgment
12/03/2013	Continued (Judicial Officer: Stoker, Randy J.)  Continued (Motion for Summary Judgment 02/03/2014 10:00 AM)
12/09/2013	Transcript Filed Transcript Filed
12/18/2013	Transcript Filed Reporter's Transcript
12/30/2013	Notice of Appearance Notice Of Appearance (Copy from CV13-4732)
01/02/2014	Notice of Taking Deposition  Notice of Deposition Duces Tecum of Jack McCall
01/02/2014	Notice of Taking Deposition  Notice of Deposition of Scott E. Plew
01/03/2014	Notice of Taking Deposition Amended Notice of Deposition Duces Tecum of Jack McCall
01/07/2014	Order Order to Consolidate Cases and Intervene
01/07/2014	Notice of Appearance Plaintiff: McCall, Jack Appearance James C. Meservy
01/07/2014	Notice of Appearance  Defendant: Silva, Max Appearance Nathan M Olsen
01/07/2014	Notice of Appearance Defendant: Silva Dairy, LLC Appearance Robert John Maynes
01/07/2014	Notice of Service Notice Of Service (Copy from CV13-4732)
01/07/2014	Notice of Service Notice Of Service (Copy from CV13-3154)
01/08/2014	Affidavit of Service Affidavit Of Service, Max Silva for Silva Land Company, LLC., 12/10/2013 (Copy from CV13-4732)
01/15/2014	Continued (Judicial Officer: Stoker, Randy J. )

### CASE SUMMARY

### CASE NO. CV-2013-1263

	CASE NO. CV-2013-1263  Continued (Motion for Summary Judgment 02/21/2014 10:00 AM)
	Continuea (Motion for Summary Juagment 02/21/2014 10:00 Ain)
01/15/2014	Hearing Held  Hearing Held
01/15/2014	Court Minutes Court Minutes
01/15/2014	Notice of Taking Deposition  Amended Notice of Deposition Duces Tecum of Scott E. Plew
01/15/2014	Continued (Judicial Officer: Stoker, Randy J.)  Continued (Motion for Summary Judgment 02/21/2014 09:30 AM)
01/15/2014	Miscellaneous  Notice Of Hearing
01/17/2014	Notice Notice Vacating the Depositions of Jack McCall and Scott Plew
01/21/2014	Notice Notice Of Filing (Copy from CV13-3154)
02/06/2014	Notice of Hearing  Notice Of Hearing on Motion to Dismiss Pursuant to I.R.C.P. 17(a)
02/06/2014	Motion to Dismiss Case  Motion To Dismiss Pursuant to I.R.C.P. 17(a)
02/06/2014	Memorandum  Memorandum in Support of Motion to Dismiss Pursuant to I.R.C.P. 17(a)
02/07/2014	Notice Notice of Deposit
02/10/2014	Response Response in Opposition to Plaintiff's Renewed Motion for Summary Judgment
02/10/2014	Affidavit Affidavit of Nathan M. Olsen
02/10/2014	Affidavit Affidavit of Max Silva
02/10/2014	Notice Notice of Compliance (Copy from CV13-4732)
02/13/2014	Notice of Hearing Notice Of Hearing (Copy from CV13-4732)
02/13/2014	Motion for Summary Judgment  Defendant's Motion For Summary Judgment (Copy from CV13-4732)
02/13/2014	Memorandum  Memorandum in Support of Defendant's Motion for Summary Judgment (Copy from CV13-4732)
02/13/2014	Affidavit Affidavit of Max Silva (Copy from CV13-4732)
02/13/2014	

	CASE No. CV-2013-1263
	Affidavit Affidavit of Nathan M. Olsen (Copy from CV13-4732)
02/13/2014	Affidavit Affidavit of Scott Plew (Copy from CV13-4732)
02/21/2014	DC Hearing Held: Court Reporter: # of Pages:  Hearing result for Motion for Summary Judgment scheduled on 02/21/2014 09:30 AM:  District Court Hearing Held Court Reporter: Barksdale Number of Transcript Pages for this hearing estimated: Ptf Motion to Dismiss
02/21/2014	Court Minutes Court Minutes
02/21/2014	Motion for Summary Judgment (9:30 AM) (Judicial Officer: Stoker, Randy J.)  Ptf Motion to Dismiss Hearing result for Motion for Summary Judgment scheduled on 02/21/2014 09:30 AM: District Court Hearing Held Court Reporter: Barkasdale Number of Transcript Pages for this hearing estimated:
02/27/2014	Notice Notice Re Withdrawal of Motion to Modify Confirmed Plan
03/07/2014	Decision or Opinion  Memorandum Opinion Re Motion for Summary Judgment and Motion to Dismiss
03/13/2014	Motion  Motion to Reconsider
03/24/2014	Letter  Letter with Attached Exhibits "A" and "B" (Copy from CV13-4732)
03/27/2014	Notice of Hearing Notice Of Hearing
03/27/2014	Memorandum  Memorandum in Support of Defendants' Motion to Reconsider
03/27/2014	Hearing Scheduled  Hearing Scheduled (Motion 04/28/2014 10:00 AM) Motion to Reconsider
03/31/2014	Affidavit Affidavit of Jack McCall in Oppostion to Defendant's Motion for Summary Judgment and in Support of Motion to Continue Hearing on Motion for Summary Judgment (Copy from CV13- 4732)
03/31/2014	Affidavit Affidavit of Counsel in Oppostion to Defendant's Motion for Summary Judgment and in Support of Motion to Continue Hearing on Motion for Summary Judgment (Copy from CV13- 4732)
03/31/2014	Motion Motion to Strike Affidavit of Scott Plew (Copy from CV13-4732)
03/31/2014	Motion to Continue  Motion To Continue Hearing on Defendant's Motion for Summary Judgment (Copy from  CV13-4732)
04/14/2014	Motion Silva Dairy, LLC's Joinder in Silva Land Company LLC's Motion to Reconsider
04/21/2014	Miscellaneous

	CASE NO. CV-2013-1263
	Green River Ranches, LLC's Opposition to Defendants' Motion to Reconsider
04/22/2014	Notice Notice of Deposit
04/23/2014	Reply Reply in Support of Defendant's Motion for Summary Judgment (Copy from CV13-4732)
04/23/2014	Memorandum Plaintiff's Memorandum in Response to Reply in Support of Defendant's Motion for Summary Judgment
04/28/2014	DC Hearing Held: Court Reporter: # of Pages:  Hearing result for Motion scheduled on 04/28/2014 10:00 AM: District Court Hearing Held Court Reporter: Barksdale Number of Transcript Pages for this hearing estimated: Motion to Reconsider
04/28/2014	Order Order Re Motion to Reconsider Summary Judgment Ruling
04/28/2014	Court Minutes Court Minutes
04/28/2014	Court Minutes Court Minutes (Copy from CV13-4732)
04/28/2014	Motion Hearing (10:00 AM) (Judicial Officer: Stoker, Randy J.)  Motion to Reconsider Hearing result for Motion scheduled on 04/28/2014 10:00 AM: District Court Hearing Held Court Reporter: Barksdale Number of Transcript Pages for this hearing estimated:
04/29/2014	Hearing Scheduled  Hearing Scheduled (Pretrial Conference 06/09/2014 09:00 AM)
04/29/2014	Hearing Scheduled Hearing Scheduled (Court Trial 06/26/2014 08:30 AM)
04/29/2014	Miscellaneous Notice Of Hearing
04/29/2014	Notice of Hearing Notice Of Hearing (copy from CV13-4732)
05/02/2014	Order Order Denying Defendant's Motion for Summary Judgment and Order of Consolidation
05/09/2014	Motion Ex Parte Motion for Telephonic Hearing
05/12/2014	Order Order for Telephonic Hearing
05/23/2014	Memorandum  Memorandum In Support of Motion in Limine
05/23/2014	Motion  Motion In Limine
05/23/2014	Notice of Hearing Notice Of Hearing RE: Motion In Limine

	CASE NO. CV-2013-1263
05/29/2014	Notice Notice of Taking Deposition of Eilo Silva
05/29/2014	Notice Notice of Taking Deposition of Tony Silva
05/29/2014	Notice Notice of Taking Deposition of Max Silva
05/29/2014	Objection Objection to Motion to Quash and for Protection Order
05/29/2014	Affidavit Affidavit of Counsel in Support of Objection to Motion to Quash and for Protection order
05/30/2014	Notice of Hearing  Notice Of Hearing
05/30/2014	Motion  Motion to Quash and for Protective Order
05/30/2014	Affidavit Affidavit of Nathan M. Olsen
06/02/2014	Objection Objection and Response in Opposition to Green River Ranches' Motion in Limine
06/02/2014	Brief Filed  Defendants' Joint Pre-Trial Brief
06/03/2014	Affidavit of Service Affidavit Of Service, Tony Silva, 05/27/2014
06/03/2014	Affidavit of Service Affidavit Of Service, Max Silva, 05/27/2014
06/03/2014	Affidavit of Service Affidavit Of Service, Eilo Silva, 05/27/2014
06/04/2014	Reply Reply to Objection and Response in Opposition to Green River Ranches' Motion in Limine
06/04/2014	Notice of Service Notice Of Service of Plaintiff's Second Supplemental Responses to Defendants' First Set of Discovery Requests to Plaintiff
06/04/2014	Affidavit Affidavit of Nathan M. Olsen in Support of Motion to Quash and for Protective Order
06/05/2014	Miscellaneous  Jack McCall's Exhibit and Disclosure
06/06/2014	Miscellaneous  Notice of Deposit
06/09/2014	DC Hearing Held: Court Reporter: # of Pages:  Hearing result for Pretrial Conference scheduled on 06/09/2014 09:00 AM: District Court  Hearing Held Court Reporter: Barksdale Number of Transcript Pages for this hearing  estimated: also Motion in Limine & Motion to Quash Motion in Limine
06/09/2014	Court Minutes

	CASE No. CV-2013-1263
	Court Minutes
06/09/2014	Witness List Plaintiff and Counterdefendants' Witness List
06/09/2014	Miscellaneous Plaintiff and Counterdefendants' Trial Exhibit List
06/09/2014	Notice of Hearing Notice Of Hearing
06/09/2014	Motion Motion To Amend Complaint or in the Alternative, Motion to Substitute Named Defendants for John Does
06/09/2014	Affidavit Affidavit of Jack McCall
06/09/2014	Pre-trial Conference (9:00 AM) (Judicial Officer: Stoker, Randy J.) also Motion in Limine & Motion to Quash Motion in Limine Hearing result for Pretrial Conference scheduled on 06/09/2014 09:00 AM: District Court Hearing Held Court Reporter: Cmin Number of Transcript Pages for this hearing estimated:
06/10/2014	Order Order on Motion in Limine and Pre-Trial Order
06/11/2014	Complaint Filed Amended Complaint For Damages
06/11/2014	Summons Issued Another Summons Issued
06/12/2014	Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law  Green River Ranches, LLC's Proposed Findings Of Fact And Conclusions Of Law
06/13/2014	Notice of Hearing  Notice Of Hearing
06/13/2014	Motion Joint Motion to Strike and for Clarification of Certain Issues in the Court's June 10, 2014, Pre-Trial Order
06/13/2014	Notice of Hearing  Notice Of Hearing on Motion to Quash Subpoena and for Protective Order
06/13/2014	Miscellaneous  Defendants' and Counterclaimants' Joint Amended Exhibit List
06/13/2014	Memorandum Trial Memorandum and Memorandum in Opposition to Motion to Quash
06/13/2014	Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law  McCall's Proposed Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law
06/16/2014	Motion  Motion to Quash Subpoena and for Protective Order
06/17/2014	Witness List Plaintiff and Counterdefendants' Amended Witness List
06/18/2014	Affidavit of Service

	CASE NO. CV-2013-1263
	Affidavit Of Service, Heather Eames, 06/17/2014
06/18/2014	Memorandum  Memorandum in Opposition to Defendant Jack McCall's Motion to Amend Complaint
06/18/2014	Brief Filed Pretrial Brief and Supplemental Memorandum on Motion to Quash
06/19/2014	Miscellaneous Plaintiff and Counter-Defendants' Amended Trial Exhibit List
06/19/2014	Miscellaneous Green River Ranches, LLC's Joinder to Jack McCall's Opposition to Motion to Quash Subpoena and for Protective Order
06/20/2014	Affidavit of Service Affidavit Of Service, Heather Eames, 06/17/2014
06/20/2014	Reply Reply to Memorandum in Opposition of McCall's Motion to Amend Complaint
06/20/2014	Notice of Hearing Notice Of Hearing on Request for Judicial Notice
06/20/2014	Request Request for Judicial Notice
06/20/2014	Reply Reply in Opposition to Joint Motion to Strike and for Clarification of Certain Issues in the Court's June 10, 2014, Pre-Trial Order
06/24/2014	Motion  Motion to Quash Subpoena and for Protective Order
06/24/2014	Notice Notice of Deposit
06/24/2014	Miscellaneous  Defendants' and Counterclaimants' Supplement to Joint Amended Exhibit List
06/26/2014	DC Hearing Held: Court Reporter: # of Pages:  Hearing result for Court Trial scheduled on 06/26/2014 08:30 AM: District Court Hearing Held Court Reporter: Barksdale Number of Transcript Pages for this hearing estimated:  Motion to Amend
06/26/2014	Court Trial (8:30 AM) (Judicial Officer: Stoker, Randy J.) 06/26/2014-06/27/2014  Motion to Amend Hearing result for Court Trial scheduled on 06/26/2014 08:30 AM: District Court Hearing Held Court Reporter: Barksdale Number of Transcript Pages for this hearing estimated:
06/27/2014	Miscellaneous Pages Estimate
06/27/2014	Court Minutes  Court Minutes
06/30/2014	Miscellaneous Pages Estimate
06/30/2014	Witness List

	CASE No. CV-2013-1263
	Court Trial Witness List
07/03/2014	Miscellaneous  Defendant's Exhibit List
07/03/2014	Miscellaneous  Defendant-Counterclaimant's Exhibit List
07/03/2014	Miscellaneous  Plaintiff-Counterdefendant's Exhibit List
07/03/2014	Miscellaneous Plaintiff's Exhibit List
07/14/2014	Transcript Filed Reporter's Transcript Filed
07/14/2014	Transcript Filed Reporter's Transcript Filed
07/24/2014	Stipulation Stipulation to Extend Time to File Post-Trial Briefs
07/25/2014	Order Order Extending Time to File Post-Trial Briefs
07/28/2014	Brief Filed  McCall's Post-Trial Brief
08/11/2014	Brief Filed  Green River Ranches, LLC's Closing Brief
08/11/2014	Brief Filed  Defendants' Post-Trial Brief
08/14/2014	Decision or Opinion  Memorandum Opinion
08/22/2014	Hearing Scheduled  Hearing Scheduled (Court Trial 11/20/2014 08:30 AM)
08/22/2014	Hearing Scheduled  Hearing Scheduled (Pretrial Conference 10/14/2014 09:00 AM)
08/22/2014	Miscellaneous Notice Of Hearing
08/22/2014	Notice of Hearing Notice Of Hearing (Copy from CV13-3154)
08/22/2014	Notice of Hearing Notice Of Hearing (Copy from CV13-4732)
08/25/2014	Memorandum  Memorandum of Fees
08/28/2014	Notice of Service  Notice Of Service
08/28/2014	Petition

CASE No. CV-2013-1263		
	Petition for Attorneys' Fees and Costs	
08/28/2014	Affidavit Affidavit of Bradley J. Dixon in Support of Petition for Attorneys' Fees and Costs	
09/03/2014	Notice of Service  Notice Of Service	
09/03/2014	Affidavit Affidavit of Bradley J. Dixon in Support of Proposed Judgment	
09/04/2014	Miscellaneous  Jack McCall's Witness Disclosure	
09/04/2014	Miscellaneous  Jack McCall's Exhibit List	
09/08/2014	Judgment Judgment and Order Dismissing Party Defendant with Prejudice - Terry Hollifield	
09/09/2014	Motion  Motion to Disallow	
09/10/2014	Judgment  Judgment	
09/10/2014	Civil Disposition Entered Civil Disposition/Judgment entered: entered for: Silva Dairy, LLC, Defendant; Silva Land Company, LLC, Defendant; Silva, Alberto John, Defendant; Silva, Anthony, Defendant; Silva, Heilo, Defendant; Silva, Lindsi, Defendant; Silva, Lori, Defendant; Silva, Manuel M., Defendant; Silva, Maria O., Defendant; Silva, Max, Defendant; Silva, Maximaino, Defendant; West-Silva, Mona Charice, Defendant; Green River Ranches, LLC, Plaintiff; McCall, Jack, Plaintiff, Filing date: 9/10/2014	
09/10/2014	Notice of Service  Notice Of Service	
09/10/2014	Notice of Hearing  Notice Of Hearing	
09/10/2014	Hearing Scheduled  Hearing Scheduled (Motion 10/14/2014 10:00 AM) Motion to Disallow Fees	
09/10/2014	Objection Objection to Memorandum of Fees	
09/10/2014	Judgment (Disposed through Conversion)  Converted Disposition: \$145,742.48  Party (Silva Dairy, LLC)  Party (Green River Ranches, LLC)  Party (Silva Land Company, LLC)  Party (Silva, Manuel M)  Party (Silva, Maria O)  Party (Silva, Anthony)  Party (Silva, Lindsi)  Party (Silva, Alberto John)  Party (Silva, Heilo)  Party (Silva, Lori)  Party (Silva, Maximaino)  Party (Silva, Maximaino)  Party (West-Silva, Mona Charice)	

	CASE No. CV-2013-1263
	Party (McCall, Jack) Party (Silva, Max)
09/15/2014	Miscellaneous  Miscellaneous Payment: For Comparing And Conforming A Prepared Record, Per Page Paid by: Stoel Rives, LLP Receipt number: 1423187 Dated: 9/15/2014 Amount: \$1.50 (Check)
09/15/2014	Miscellaneous  Miscellaneous Payment: For Certifying The Same Additional Fee For Certificate And Seal Paid by: Stoel Rives, LLP Receipt number: 1423187 Dated: 9/15/2014 Amount: \$1.00 (Check)
09/16/2014	Notice of Service Notice Of Service of Judgment Creditors' Interrogatories and Requests for Production in Aid of Execution to Judgment Debtors
09/18/2014	Miscellaneous  Jack McCall's First Supplemental Witness Disclosure
09/18/2014	Notice of Service  Notice Of Service
09/25/2014	Memorandum  Memorandum in Support of Motion to Disallow
10/02/2014	Notice of Taking Deposition  Notice Of Taking Deposition of Max Silva
10/02/2014	Notice of Taking Deposition  Notice Of Taking Deposition of Max Silva
10/02/2014	Miscellaneous  Jack McCall's Second Supplemental Witness Disclosure
10/07/2014	Miscellaneous Opposition to Motion to Disallow Fees
10/08/2014	Application Application and Affidavit of Kersti H. Kennedy in Support of Issuance of Writ of Execution and Continuing Garnishment
10/09/2014	Affidavit Affidavit of Bradley J. Dixon in Support of Plaintiff/Counterdefendant's Opposition to the Motion to Disallow Costs
10/14/2014	Notice Notice of Compliance
10/14/2014	DC Hearing Held: Court Reporter: # of Pages:  Hearing result for Pretrial Conference scheduled on 10/14/2014 09:00 AM: District Court  Hearing Held Court Reporter: Barksdale Number of Transcript Pages for this hearing  estimated:
10/14/2014	DC Hearing Held: Court Reporter: # of Pages:  Hearing result for Motion scheduled on 10/14/2014 10:00 AM: District Court Hearing Held Court Reporter: Baraksdale Number of Transcript Pages for this hearing estimated: Motion to Disallow Fees
10/14/2014	Hearing Vacated  Hearing result for Court Trial scheduled on 11/20/2014 08:30 AM: Hearing Vacated
10/14/2014	Court Minutes

	CASE NO. CV-2013-1263
	Court Minutes
10/14/2014	Court Minutes Court Minutes (Copy from CV13-3154 & CV13-4732)
10/14/2014	Pre-trial Conference (9:00 AM) (Judicial Officer: Stoker, Randy J.)
10/14/2014	Motion Hearing (10:00 AM) (Judicial Officer: Stoker, Randy J.)  Motion to Disallow Fees Hearing result for Motion scheduled on 10/14/2014 10:00 AM:  District Court Hearing Held Court Reporter: Baraksdale Number of Transcript Pages for this hearing estimated:
10/16/2014	Notice Notice of Compliance
10/21/2014	Motion to Compel  Motion To Compel
10/24/2014	Notice of Hearing Notice Of Hearing Re: Motion to Compel Judgment Debtors to Provide Full and Complete Answers to Plaintiff's Judgment Creditors' Interrogatories and Requests for Production in Aid of Execution to Judgment Debtor Served on Judgment Debtor on September 16, 2014
10/24/2014	Notice Notice of Debtor's Exam - Silva Land Company, LLC
10/24/2014	Notice Notice of Debtor's Exam - Maximaino "Max" Silva, as Managing Member of Silva Land, and as an Individual
10/24/2014	Notice Notice of Debtor's Exam - Anthony "Tony" Silva
10/27/2014	Hearing Scheduled  Hearing Scheduled (Debtors Examination 11/10/2014 10:00 AM) 13-3154 (4)
10/28/2014	Notice Notice of Debtor's Exam- Lori Silva
10/28/2014	Notice Notice of Debtor's Exam- Lindsi Silva
10/28/2014	Notice Notice of Debtor's Exam- Manuel M Silva
10/28/2014	Notice Notice of Debtor's Exam- Heilo Silva
10/28/2014	Hearing Scheduled  Hearing Scheduled (Debtors Examination 12/08/2014 10:00 AM)
10/28/2014	Notice of Hearing  Notice Of Hearing on Motion to Exclude David M. Cooper as an Expert Witness for Jack  McCall
10/28/2014	Notice Notice of Debtor's Exam - Mona-Charise West Silva aka Charle Silva
10/28/2014	Notice Notice of Debtor's Exam - Alberto John Silva aka John Silva

	CASE No. CV-2013-1263
10/28/2014	Notice Notice of Debtor's Exam - Maria O. "Olinda" Silva aka Olinda Silva
10/29/2014	Hearing Scheduled  Hearing Scheduled (Motion 12/08/2014 10:00 AM) Motion to Exclude Expert Witness
10/29/2014	Notice of Hearing  Notice Of Hearing
10/29/2014	Motion  Motion for Stay of Judgment
10/29/2014	Affidavit Affidavit of Nathan M. Olsen in Support of Motion for Stay of Judgment
10/29/2014	Motion  Motion to Exclude David M. Cooper as an Expert Witness for Jack McCall
10/29/2014	Affidavit Affidavit of Steven L. Taggart
10/30/2014	Reply  Reply in support of motion to Disallow
10/30/2014	Affidavit Affidavit Of Nathan M. Olsen In Support of Reply In Support of Motion to Disallow
11/03/2014	Objection Objection to Motion to Compel
11/04/2014	Memorandum  Memorandum in Opposition to Motion for Stay of Judgment
11/04/2014	Response Plaintiff/Counterdefendant's Response to Defendants' Reply in Support of Their Motion to Disallow
11/07/2014	Notice of Hearing  Notice Of Hearing
11/07/2014	Motion for Summary Judgment  Motion For Summary Judgment
11/07/2014	Memorandum  Memorandum in Support of Motion for Summary Judgment
11/07/2014	Affidavit Affidavit of Jack McCall in Support of Motion for Summary Judgment
11/07/2014	Affidavit Affidavit of Gregory C. Garatea in Support of Motion for Summary Judgment
11/07/2014	Affidavit Affidavit of Ray Broner in Support of Motion for Summary Judgment
11/07/2014	Objection Objection to Motion to Exclude David M. Cooper as an Expert Witness and Memorandum in Support of Objection
11/07/2014	Affidavit  Affidavit of David M. Cooper

	CASE NO. CV-2013-1263
11/07/2014	Hearing Scheduled  Hearing Scheduled (Motion for Summary Judgment 02/16/2015 10:00 AM)
11/10/2014	DC Hearing Held: Court Reporter: # of Pages:  Hearing result for Debtors Examination scheduled on 11/10/2014 10:00 AM: District Court Hearing Held Court Reporter: Barksdale Number of Transcript Pages for this hearing estimated: Motion to Compel Judgment Debtors to Provide Full & Complete Answers
11/10/2014	Court Minutes  Court Minutes
11/10/2014	Order Order on Plaintiff's Motion to Compel and Defendant's Motion for Stay of Judgment
11/10/2014	Decision or Opinion  Memorandum Opinion Awarding Costs and Attorney Fees
11/10/2014	Debtor Exam (10:00 AM) (Judicial Officer: Stoker, Randy J.)  Motion to Compel Judgment Debtors to Provide Full & Complete Answers Hearing result for Debtors Examination scheduled on 11/10/2014 10:00 AM: District Court Hearing Held Court Reporter: Barksdale Number of Transcript Pages for this hearing estimated:
11/12/2014	Notice Notice of Vacating Debtor's Exams
11/13/2014	Hearing Vacated  Hearing result for Debtors Examination scheduled on 12/08/2014 10:00 AM: Hearing Vacated
11/13/2014	Judgment Amended Judgment
11/13/2014	Notice of Hearing  Amended Notice Of Hearing
11/14/2014	Notice of Hearing Amended Notice of Hearing on Motion to Exclude David M. Cooper as an Expert Witness for Jack McCall
11/14/2014	Continued (Judicial Officer: Stoker, Randy J.)  Continued (Motion 02/09/2015 10:00 AM) Motion to Exclude Expert Witness
11/17/2014	Judgment Final Judgment - Jack McCall vs Silva Land
11/17/2014	Judgment Final Judgment - Jack McCall vs Max Silva
11/20/2014	Miscellaneous Miscellaneous Payment: For Comparing And Conforming A Prepared Record, Per Page Paid by: Stoel Rives LLP Receipt number: 1428426 Dated: 11/20/2014 Amount: \$1.50 (Combination)
11/20/2014	Miscellaneous  Miscellaneous Payment: For Certifying The Same Additional Fee For Certificate And Seal Paid by: Stoel Rives LLP Receipt number: 1428426 Dated: 11/20/2014 Amount: \$1.00 (Combination)
11/20/2014	Court Trial (8:30 AM) (Judicial Officer: Stoker, Randy J.) 11/20/2014-11/21/2014

	CASE No. CV-2013-1263
11/24/2014	Advisement of Rights  Memorandum Of Costs and Attorneys' Fees and Affidavit of Attorney
12/01/2014	Notice of Service Notice Of Service of Amended Judgment Creditors' Interrogatories and Requests for Production in Aid of Execution to Judgment Debtors
12/02/2014	Objection  Objection to Silva Land company, LLC.'s and "Silva's Individually" Memorandum of Costs and Attorney Fees
12/08/2014	Debtor Exam (10:00 AM) (Judicial Officer: Stoker, Randy J.)
12/19/2014	Memorandum  Memorandum in Support of McCall's Objection to Silva Land Company, LLC.'s and "Silva's Individually" Memorandum of Costs and Attorneys Fees
12/19/2014	Notice of Service Notice Of Service of Amended Judgment Creditors' Interrogatories and Requests for Production in Aid of Execution to Silva Land Company, LLC
12/19/2014	Notice of Hearing  Notice Of Hearing
12/19/2014	Objection Response and Objection to Notice of Waiver of Objection to Silva's Memorandum of Fees and Costs and Proposed Judgment
12/19/2014	Notice Notice Of Waiver Of Objection To Silva's Memorandum Of Fees And Costs
12/22/2014	Notice of Hearing  Amended Notice Of Hearing
12/24/2014	Miscellaneous  Filing: L4 - Appeal, Civil appeal or cross-appeal to Supreme Court Paid by: Petersen, Moss & Hall Receipt number: 1430989 Dated: 12/24/2014 Amount: \$129.00 (Check) For: Silva Land Company, LLC (defendant), Silva, Alberto John (defendant), Silva, Anthony (defendant), Silva, Heilo (defendant), Silva, Lindsi (defendant), Silva, Lori (defendant), Silva, Manuel M. (defendant), Silva, Maria O. (defendant), Silva, Max (defendant), Silva, Maximaino (defendant) and West-Silva, Mona Charice (defendant)
12/24/2014	Miscellaneous  Miscellaneous Payment: For Making Copies Of Transcripts For Appeal Per Page Paid by: Petersen, Moss & Hall Receipt number: 1430991 Dated: 12/24/2014 Amount: \$100.00 (Check)
12/24/2014	Notice of Appeal NOTICE OF APPEAL
12/24/2014	Appeal Filed in Supreme Court  Appealed To The Supreme Court
01/05/2015	Request Request for Additional Record on Appeal
01/05/2015	Notice of Hearing  Notice Of Hearing
01/05/2015	Notice of Hearing  Amended Notice Of Hearing

	CASE No. CV-2013-1263
01/05/2015	Motion for Summary Judgment  Motion For Summary Judgment
01/05/2015	Memorandum  Memorandum in Support of Motion for Summary Judgment
01/09/2015	Clerk's Certificate of Appeal  Clerk's Certificate Of Appeal
01/21/2015	Notice Notice of Compliance
01/26/2015	Notice of Hearing  Notice Of Hearing
01/26/2015	Motion for Reconsideration  Motion to Reconsider
01/26/2015	Response Response in Opposition to Jack McCall's Motion for Summary Judgment Against Max Silva
01/26/2015	Affidavit Affidavit of Max Silva in Support of Response in Opposition to McCall's Motion for Summary Judgment and in Support of Motion to Reconsider
01/26/2015	Response Response in Opposition to McCall's Motion for Summary Judgment
01/26/2015	Affidavit Affidavit of Steven L. Taggart in Support of Response in Opposition to McCall's Motion for summary Judgment
01/29/2015	Petition Petition Requesting Trial Setting for McCall V. Max Silva and Severance, if Necessary; Petition Seeking Clarification on Counsel's Association and Whether Same May Continue
01/29/2015	Motion  Motion to Shorten Time
01/30/2015	Reply McCall's Reply to Silva Dairy's Response in Opposition to McCall's Motion for Summary Judgment
01/30/2015	Reply McCall's Reply to Max Silva's Response in Opposition to McCall's Motion for Summary Judgment, and Response to Motion to Reconsider
02/02/2015	Notice of Hearing Notice Of Hearing
02/02/2015	Reply Reply in Support of Notice of Waiver of Objection to Silva's Memorandum of Fees and Costs
02/02/2015	Response Silva's Response to McCall's "Objection to Silva Land Company, LLC.'s and 'Silva's Individually' Memorandum of costs and Attorneys' Fees
02/03/2015	Reply Surreply in Opposition to McCall's Motion for Summary Judgment
02/04/2015	Certificate of Service

CASE NO. CV-2013-1263			
	Amended Certificate Of Service		
02/04/2015	Notice of Hearing  Notice Of Hearing		
02/04/2015	Motion Motion to Shorten Time for Hearing		
02/04/2015	Motion  Motion for Sanctions Under IRCP 11		
02/04/2015	Memorandum  Memorandum in Support of Motion for Sanctions Under IRCP 11		
02/04/2015	Affidavit Affidavit of Nathan M. Olsen in Support of Motion for Sanctions Under IRCP 11		
02/05/2015	Affidavit Affidavit of James C. Meservy in Response to Motion for Sanctions		
02/05/2015	Order Order to Shorten Time		
02/06/2015	Reply Reply Affidavit of Nathan M. Olsen in Support of Motion for Sanctions Under IRCP 11		
02/09/2015	DC Hearing Held: Court Reporter: # of Pages:  Hearing result for Motion for Summary Judgment scheduled on 02/09/2015 10:00 AM:  District Court Hearing Held Court Reporter: Barksdale Number of Transcript Pages for this hearing estimated:		
02/09/2015	DC Hearing Held: Court Reporter: # of Pages:  Hearing result for Motion scheduled on 02/09/2015 10:00 AM: District Court Hearing Held Court Reporter: Barkdale Number of Transcript Pages for this hearing estimated: Motion to Exclude Expert Witness, Objection to Memorandum of Costs and Attorney's Fees		
02/09/2015	Court Minutes  Court Minutes		
02/09/2015	Motion Hearing (10:00 AM) (Judicial Officer: Stoker, Randy J.)  Motion to Exclude Expert Witness, Objection to Memorandum of Costs and Attorney's Fees Hearing result for Motion scheduled on 02/09/2015 10:00 AM: District Court Hearing Held Court Reporter: Barkdale Number of Transcript Pages for this hearing estimated:		
02/09/2015	Motion for Summary Judgment (10:00 AM) (Judicial Officer: Stoker, Randy J.)		
02/10/2015	Order Order on Pending Motions and Petitions and Pre-Trial Order		
02/10/2015	Hearing Scheduled  Hearing Scheduled (Pretrial Conference 05/18/2015 09:00 AM)		
02/10/2015	Hearing Scheduled  Hearing Scheduled (Court Trial 06/24/2015 08:30 AM)		
02/10/2015	Miscellaneous Notice Of Hearing		
02/10/2015	Order Order Re Fees and Costs (Copy From CV13-3154 & CV13-4732)		

CASE No. CV-2013-1263		
02/13/2015	Transcript Filed Transcript Filed	
02/17/2015	Supreme Court Document Filed-Misc Supreme Court Filed Notice of Appeal Clerk's Record and Reporter's Transcript Due 4-17-2015	
02/18/2015	Judgment  Judgment (Copy From CV13-3154 & CV13-4732)	
02/20/2015	Notice Notice of Compliance	
02/23/2015	Notice of Service  Notice Of Service	
02/23/2015	Notice Notice of Position	
02/24/2015	Application  Application for Charging Order Pursuant to Idaho Code 30-6-503 RE: Silva Land Company,  LLC	
02/24/2015	Affidavit Affidavit of Kersti H. Kennedy In Support of Plaintiff's Application for Charging Order Pursuant to Idaho Code 30-6-503 RE: Silva Land Company, LLC	
02/24/2015	Application Application for Charging Order Pursuant to Idaho Code 30-6-503 RE: Silva Dairy, LLC	
02/24/2015	Affidavit Affidavit of Kersti H. Kennedy In Support of Plaintiff's Application for Charging Order Pursuant to Idaho Code 30-6-503 RE: Silva Dairy, LLC	
03/02/2015	Order Supplemental Order	
03/05/2015	Notice Notice of Balance Due on Clerk's Record	
03/10/2015	Notice Notice of Compliance	
03/10/2015	Supreme Court Document Filed-Misc Supreme Court Filed Notice of Balance Due on Clerk's Record (\$146.90); Appellant Must Pay Fees for Preparation of Clerk's Record within Seven (7) days or Thursday 03-12-15	
03/11/2015	Miscellaneous  Miscellaneous Payment: For Making Copies Of Transcripts For Appeal Per Page Paid by: Peterson Moss & Hall Receipt number: 1506572 Dated: 3/11/2015 Amount: \$146.90 (Check)	
03/16/2015	Application Application and Affidavit of Bradley J. Dixon in Support of Issuance of Writ of Execution and Garnishment to Nathan Olsen	
03/16/2015	Miscellaneous  Miscellaneous Payment: Writs Of Execution Paid by: Stoel rives Receipt number: 1506962  Dated: 3/16/2015 Amount: \$2.00 (Check)	
03/16/2015	Writ Issued Writ Issued Bonneville	

CASE NO. CV-2013-1263			
03/23/2015	Motion to Compel  Motion To Compel Discovery		
03/30/2015	Supreme Court Document Filed-Misc Supreme Court Note Received Receipt from District Court Clerk that Fees Were Paid (Peterson Moss & Hall) \$146.90. No Transcripts Requested in Notice of Appeal; Therefore Due Date Reset For Clerk's Record (Only) 05-13-2015		
04/06/2015	Return of Service Sheriff's Return, Petersen Moss Hall & Olsen, 03/23/2015		
04/06/2015	Writ Returned Writ Returned		
04/07/2015	Notice of Hearing Notice Of Hearing on Applications for Charging Orders		
04/09/2015	Notice of Hearing  Notice Of Telephonic Hearing		
04/09/2015	Motion Ex Parte Stipulated Motion to Appear by Telephone		
04/13/2015	Order Order Allowing Parties to Appear by Telephone		
04/15/2015	Notice Notice of Compliance		
04/15/2015	Miscellaneous  Idaho Rule of Civil Procedure 26 (b)(4)(A) Disclosure of Experts		
04/17/2015	Affidavit Affidavit of Nathan M. Olsen in Opposition to Plaintiff Jack McCall's Motion to Compel		
04/20/2015	Hearing Held  Hearing Held		
04/20/2015	Court Minutes  Court Minutes		
04/20/2015	Order Order Re: Motion to Compel Discovery		
04/24/2015	Order Order Re: Motion to Compel Discovery		
04/27/2015	Notice Notice of Compliance		
05/01/2015	Notice of Service Notice Of Service of Defendant Silva Dairy, LLC's Second Set of Interrogatories and Requests for Production		
05/04/2015	Notice of Hearing  Notice Of Hearing		
05/04/2015	Motion  Motion in Limine		

# CASE SUMMARY

CASE NO. CV-2013-1263		
05/04/2015	Memorandum  Memorandum in Support of Max Silva's Motion in Limine	
05/06/2015	Hearing Scheduled  Hearing Scheduled (Motion in Limine 05/18/2015 10:00 AM)	
05/11/2015	Response Response in Opposition to Green River Ranches, LLC's "Application for Charging Order Pursuant to Idaho Code 30-6-503 Re: Silva Land Company, LLC"	
05/14/2015	Reply Reply to Opposition to Green River Ranches, LLC's "Application for Charging Order Pursuant to Idaho Code 30-6-503 Re: Silva Land Company, LLC"	
05/14/2015	Affidavit Affidavit of Kersti H. Kennedy in Support of Green River Ranches, LL's Reply to Defendant's Opposition to Green River Ranches, LLC's "Application for Charging Order Pursuant to Idaho Code 30-6-503 Re: Silva Land Company, LLC"	
05/14/2015	Objection Objection to Request for Charging Order Against Silva Dairy, LLC	
05/15/2015	Stipulation Stipulation to Appear by Telephone for Hearing on Application for Charging Order	
05/18/2015	DC Hearing Held: Court Reporter: # of Pages:  Hearing result for Motion in Limine scheduled on 05/18/2015 10:00 AM: District Court  Hearing Held Court Reporter: Barksdale Number of Transcript Pages for this hearing  estimated:	
05/18/2015	DC Hearing Held: Court Reporter: # of Pages:  Hearing result for Pretrial Conference scheduled on 05/18/2015 09:00 AM: District Court  Hearing Held Court Reporter: Barksdale Number of Transcript Pages for this hearing  estimated:	
05/18/2015	Court Minutes  Court Minutes	
05/18/2015	Pre-trial Conference (9:00 AM) (Judicial Officer: Stoker, Randy J.)	
05/18/2015	Motion in Limine (10:00 AM) (Judicial Officer: Stoker, Randy J.)	
05/20/2015	Order Final Pretrial Order	
05/21/2015	Notice of Taking Deposition  Notice Of Taking Deposition of Terry Hollifield	
05/21/2015	Notice of Taking Deposition  Notice Of Taking Deposition of Rick Onaindia	
05/21/2015	Notice of Taking Deposition  Notice Of Taking Deposition Gregory C Garatea	
05/21/2015	Notice of Taking Deposition  Notice Of Taking Deposition of John Reitsma	
05/22/2015	Order Order for Continuing Garnishment of Silva Dairy LLC Distributions	
05/22/2015	Order	

### CASE SUMMARY

CASE No. CV-2013-1263		
	Charging Order Re: Silva Land Company LLC	
05/22/2015	Notice Notice of Compliance	
05/22/2015	Notice Notice of Compliance	
05/22/2015	Notice of Taking Deposition  Notice Of Taking Deposition of Ray Broner	
05/27/2015	Notice of Taking Deposition  Notice Of Taking Deposition of Jack McCall	
05/28/2015	Notice Notice of Compliance	
05/28/2015	Miscellaneous Supplemental Idaho Rule of Civil Procedure 26 (b)(4)(A) Disclosure of Experts	
05/28/2015	Notice of Taking Deposition  Amended Notice of Taking Deposition of Ray Broner	
05/28/2015	Notice Notice of Compliance	
05/28/2015	Notice of Taking Deposition  Notice Of Taking Deposition of Gregory C Garatea	
05/29/2015	Miscellaneous Second Supplemental Idaho Rule of Civil Procedure 26 (b)(4)(A) Disclosure of Experts	
06/01/2015	Notice Notice of Compliance	
06/01/2015	Notice Notice of Compliance	
06/01/2015	Notice Notice of Compliance	
06/01/2015	Supreme Court Document Filed-Misc Supreme Court Clerk's Record Filed	
06/02/2015	Motion Motion to Consolidate Cases and Intervene	
06/04/2015	Writ Issued Writ Issued Bonneville	
06/04/2015	Miscellaneous  Miscellaneous Payment: Writs Of Execution Paid by: Stoel Rives Receipt number: 1513959  Dated: 6/4/2015 Amount: \$2.00 (Check)	
06/04/2015	Application Application and Affidavit of Kersti H. Kennedy in Support of Issuance of Writ of Execution and Garnishment to Nathan Olsen	
06/16/2015	Notice of Hearing  Notice Of Hearing	

	CASE NO. CV-2013-1263
06/18/2015	Miscellaneous  Max Silva's Witness and Exhibit Disclosure To The Court
06/18/2015	Miscellaneous  Jack McCall's Third Supplemental Witness Disclosure and Exhibit Disclosure
06/19/2015	Memorandum Plaintiff's Pretrial
06/19/2015	Brief Filed  Defendants/Counterclaimants' Joint Pre-Trial Brief
06/19/2015	Stipulation to Consolidate
06/19/2015	Stipulation of the Parties as to Admission of Exhibits
06/19/2015	Witness List Silva Dairy, LLC's Disclosure of Witnesses and Exhibits
06/22/2015	Sheriff's Return  Nathan C/O Petersen Mos Olsen
06/22/2015	Writ Returned  Bonneville County
06/24/2015	Court Trial (8:30 AM) (Judicial Officer: Stoker, Randy J.)
06/24/2015	Affidavit  Affidavit of Nonsignature
06/24/2015	Court Minutes
06/24/2015	Court Trial Started
06/24/2015	Miscellaneous Pages Estimate
06/25/2015	Court Trial (8:30 AM) (Judicial Officer: Stoker, Randy J.)
06/25/2015	Court Minutes
06/26/2015	Court Trial (8:00 AM) (Judicial Officer: Stoker, Randy J.)
06/26/2015	Miscellaneous Pages Estimate for June 25, 2015, 224 pages
06/26/2015	Miscellaneous Pages Estimate for June 26, 2015, 203 pages
06/26/2015	Witness List

CASE NO. C V - 2013-1203		
06/26/2015	Court Minutes	
07/01/2015	Oral Argument (1:30 PM) (Judicial Officer: Stoker, Randy J.)	
07/01/2015	Court Minutes	
07/06/2015	Affidavit of Counsel to Correct Certain Post-Trial Remarks	
07/14/2015	Memorandum Supplemental Memorandum	
07/15/2015	Motion  Motion to Foreclose Charging Order Pursuant to Idaho Code Section 30-25-503	
07/15/2015	Memorandum  Memorandum in Support of Motion to Foreclose Charging Order Pursuant to Idaho Code Section 30-25-503	
07/16/2015	Exhibit List	
07/16/2015	Decision or Opinion  Memorandum Opinion	
07/16/2015	Judgment	
07/16/2015	Dismissed With Prejudice (Judicial Officer: Stoker, Randy J.) Party (Silva Dairy, LLC; McCall, Jack) Silva Dairy's claims against Jack McCall DISMISSED WITH PREJUDICE	
07/16/2015	Dismissed With Prejudice (Judicial Officer: Stoker, Randy J.) Party (Silva Dairy, LLC; McCall, Jack) Jack McCalls's claims against Silva Dairy, LLC DISMISSED WITH PREJUDICE	
07/16/2015	Final Judgment (Judicial Officer: Stoker, Randy J.)  Monetary/Property Award  In Favor Of: McCall, Jack Against: Silva, Max Entered Date: 07/16/2015 Current Judgment Status: Status: Active Status Date: 02/09/2015  Monetary Award: Amount: \$104,770.55 Comment: Jack McCall against Max Silva, individually for \$104.770.55 nunc pro tunc 2/9/15 - all other claims against Max Silva DISMISSED WITH PREJUDICE	
07/16/2015	Dismissed With Prejudice (Judicial Officer: Stoker, Randy J.) Party (McCall, Jack; Silva, Max) All other claims against Max Silva are DISMISSED WITH PREJUDICE	
07/16/2015	Civil Disposition Entered	
07/17/2015	Notice of Hearing	

### CASE SUMMARY

### CASE NO. CV-2013-1263

CASE No. CV-2013-1263			
	Notice of Hearing on Motion to Foreclose Charging Order Pursuant to Idaho Code Section 30-25-503		
08/24/2015	CANCELED Motion Hearing - Civil (10:00 AM) (Judicial Officer: Stoker, Randy J.)  Vacated		
08/27/2015	Notice of Appeal		
08/27/2015	Appeal Filed in Supreme Court		
09/02/2015	Supreme Court Document Filed-Misc  Remittitur		
09/04/2015	Supreme Court Document Filed-Misc Filed Appellants' Voluntary Motion to Dismiss Appeal With Prejudice ** All Due Dates Vacated - Dismissal / Remittitur Pending		
09/04/2015	Supreme Court Document Filed-Misc  Entered Order Granting Appellants' Voluntary Dismissal Filed Dismissal Remittitur		
09/04/2015	Supreme Court Document Filed-Misc Order Granting Motion to Dismiss		
09/16/2015	Sheriff's Return Silva Dairy, 10/23/2015		
09/16/2015	Writ Returned TF		
09/30/2015	Notice of Appearance		
10/01/2015	Substitution of Counsel		
10/14/2015	Supreme Court Document Filed-Misc Filed Notice(s) of Appeal in Docket Nos. 43547 and 43548 Transcript Requested Entered Order Consolidating Appeal Nos. 43547 and 43548 for Purposes of Record and Transcripts Only Set Due Date - Reporter's Transcripts (Lodging Date 11-02-15) and Clerk's Record Due 12-07-15		
10/14/2015	Supreme Court Document Filed-Misc  Order Consolidating Appeal Nos 43547 and 43548 for Purposes of Record and Transcripts Only		
10/30/2015	Notice of Substitution of Counsel		
11/10/2015	Supreme Court Document Filed-Misc Filed Notice of Sustitution of Counsel		
11/10/2015	Notice of Lodging T. Barksdale June 24, 2015 Court Trial Day One, June 25, 2015 Court Trial Day Two, June 26, 2015 Court Trial Day Three by email		
11/10/2015	Supreme Court Document Filed-Misc		

	CASE NO. CV-2013-1203	
	Filed Notice of Transcript Lodged - By T. Barksdale (718 pages)	
11/10/2015	Notice of Lodging T. Barksdale June 26, 2014 Court Trial Day One, June 27, 2014 Court Trial Day One, Motions for Summary Judgment - Arguments and Orders dated February 9, 2015	
11/10/2015	Supreme Court Document Filed-Misc Filed Notice of Transcript Lodged - By T. Barksdale (604 pages)	
12/04/2015	Application Application and Affidavit of Kersti H. Kennedy in Support of Issuance of Writ of Execution and Continuing Garnishment	
12/07/2015	Writ Issued Twin Falls, Maximaino Silva	
12/10/2015	Supreme Court Document Filed-Misc  Entered Order to Augment Prior Appeal No. 42886 (same Order entered 43547) Transcripts and Clerk's Roord Shall be Srved on Counsel by 12-18-15 and Thereafter Filed with this Court by 1-22-16	
12/10/2015	Supreme Court Document Filed-Misc  Order to Augment Prior Appeal No. 42886	
12/10/2015	Supreme Court Document Filed-Misc  Entered Order to Augment Prior Appeal No. 42886 (same Order entered 43548) Transcripts and Clerk's Roord Shall be Srved on Counsel by 12-18-15 and Thereafter Filed with this Court by 1-22-16	
12/10/2015	Supreme Court Document Filed-Misc  Order to Augment Prior Appeal No. 42886	
12/10/2015	Notice of Balance Due on Clerk's Record	
12/18/2015	Notice Amended Notice of Balance Due on Clerk's Record	
01/04/2016	Supreme Court Document Filed-Misc  Amended Notice of Balance Due (146.25) for Preparation of the Clerk's Record	
02/03/2016	Supreme Court Document Filed-Misc  Note: District Court Advised that Balance Due (146.25 was Paid on 12-31-2015 **Reset Due Date - Transcript and Clerk's Record now Due 03-11-16	
DATE	FINANCIAL INFORMATION	· ————————————————————————————————————
	Defendant Silva, Alberto John Total Charges Total Payments and Credits Balance Due as of 2/3/2016	0.00 0.00 <b>0.00</b>
	Defendant Silva, Anthony Total Charges Total Payments and Credits Balance Due as of 2/3/2016	0.00 0.00 <b>0.00</b>

# TWIN FALLS COUNTY DISTRICT COURT

# CASE SUMMARY CASE NO. CV-2013-1263

Defendant Silva, Lori Total Charges Total Payments and Credits Balance Due as of 2/3/2016	0.00 0.00 <b>0.00</b>
	-
Defendant Silva, Manuel M Total Charges	0.00
Total Payments and Credits	0.00 <b>0.00</b>
Balance Due as of 2/3/2016	0.00
Defendant West-Silva, Mona Charice	0.00
Total Charges Total Payments and Credits	0.00
Balance Due as of 2/3/2016	0.00
Counter Claimant Silva Land Company, LLC	
Total Charges Total Payments and Credits	570.90 570.90
Balance Due as of 2/3/2016	0.00
Counter Claimant Silva, Heilo	
Total Charges	0.00
Total Payments and Credits  Balance Due as of 2/3/2016	0.00 <b>0.00</b>
Counter Claimant Silva, Lindsi Total Charges	0.00
Total Payments and Credits	0.00
Balance Due as of 2/3/2016	0.00
Counter Claimant Silva, Maria O	0.00
Total Charges Total Payments and Credits	0.00 0.00
Balance Due as of 2/3/2016	0.00
Defendant Silva, Max	
Total Charges Total Payments and Credits	0.00 0.00
Balance Due as of 2/3/2016	0.00
Country Chimant Silva Mavimaina	
Counter Claimant Silva, Maximaino Total Charges	0.00
Total Payments and Credits  Balance Due as of 2/3/2016	0.00 <b>0.00</b>
Datance Due as of 2/3/2010	<b>V.</b> 00
Other Party Unknown Payor	5.00
Total Charges Total Payments and Credits	5.00
Balance Due as of 2/3/2016	0.00
Plaintiff Green River Ranches, LLC	100.00
Total Charges Total Payments and Credits	100.00 100.00
Balance Due as of 2/3/2016	0.00
Plaintiff McCall, Jack	
Total Charges Total Payments and Credits	2.00 2.00
Balance Due as of 2/3/2016	0.00

DISTRICT COURT Fifth Judicial District County of Twin Falls - State of Idaho

FEB	1.0	2015	
_			10:00 AN
Ву	1	~	Clerk
		1	Deputy Clerk

# IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE FIFTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT OF THE STATE OF IDAHO, IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF TWIN FALLS

GREEN RIVER RANCHES, LLC, an Idaho limited liability company,

Plaintiff,

VS.

SILVA LAND COMPANY, LLC, a limited liability company, et al.,

Defendants.

JACK MCCALL, an individual

Plaintiff,

VS.

MAX SILVA, an individual, and SILVA DAIRY, LLC, an Idaho limited liability company,

Defendants.

JACK MCCALL an individual and d/b/a/ JT LIVESTOCK,

Plaintiff,

VS.

SILVA LAND COMPANY, LLC, an Idaho limited liability company, et al.,

Defendants.

Case Nos. CV-2013-1263 CV-2013-3154 CV-2013-4732

ORDER ON PENDING MOTIONS AND PETITIONS AND PRE-TRIAL ORDER

This matter came before the Court for hearing on various motions and petitions as itemized below. Following argument by the parties, and for the reasons stated on the record, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED as follows:

- (A) Defendant Max Silva's Motion to Reconsider this Court's Memorandum Opinion, entered on August 14, 2014, is DENIED; and Silva Land Company, LLC and the individual Silvas are entitled to costs and attorney fees with respect to the issues resolved by the Court's August 14, 2014 Memorandum Decision. The amount of costs and fees to be awarded is deemed under advisement as of the date of this Order.
- (B) McCall's Petition Seeking Clarification on Counsel's Association and Whether Same May Continue is DENIED.
- (C) Silva Dairy, LLC's Motion to Exclude David M. Cooper as an Expert Witness for McCall is DENIED.
- (D) McCall's Motion for Summary Judgment is GRANTED as to the amount of Silva's liability for purchases of 101 head of cows and 15 head of cows, plus prejudgment interest at 12% on both of those purchases. Judgment shall enter in the amount of \$85,408.22 as of October 31, 2014 for the 101 head of cows plus interest at 12%, and in the amount of \$19,362.33 as of October 31, 2014 for the 15 head of cows plus interest at 12%. McCall's Motion for Summary Judgment is DENIED as to the damages incurred relating to the pasture rental agreement entered into by McCall and Silva. Further, McCall's Motion for Summary Judgment is DENIED as to the herd management fee claim of Silva Dairy, LLC.
- (E) The Motion of Silva Land Company, LLC and the individual Silvas for Sanctions Under I.R.C.P. 11 is DENIED.

IT IS HEREBY FURTHER ORDERED that all remaining issues shall be tried to the Court on June 24 through 26, 2015. The issues to be tried at that time are:

- (1) The amount owed by McCall to Silva Dairy, LLC for Silva Dairy, LLC's herd managment claim;
  - (2) The amount owed by Silva to McCall for pasture rent; and
- (3) Liability regarding and the amount, if any, of McCall's herd mismanagement and conversion of feed claims against Silva Dairy, LLC.

In addition, a fourth potential issue to be tried in this case is a claim by McCall as to a milk loss to his herd as a result of the alleged mismanagmenet of his herd by Silva Dairy, LLC. As to this potential issue, Mr. Meservey shall file a position statement by February 20, 2015 regarding whether McCall plans to pursue such a claim at trial, either as an affirmative or offset claim.

(F) Any expert witnesses to be called at trial by any party shall be disclosed by April 15, 2015, including full and complete disclosure of the opinions held by such experts in accordance with I.R.C.P. 26(b)(4)(A). Thereafter, the parties shall have until May 31, 2015 to conduct depositions of disclosed expert witnesses and to complete all discovery in this case.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED this / day of February 2015.

Randy J. Stoker District Judge

# **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

	day of February 2015, I caused to be served a by the method indicated below, and addressed to
James C. Meservy Attorney at Law P.O. Box 168 Jerome, ID 83338	<ul><li>( ) U.S. Mail</li><li>( ) Hand delivered</li><li>( ) Faxed</li><li>( ) Court Folder</li></ul>
Robert J. Maynes Steven L. Taggart Attorneys at Law P.O. Box 3005 Idaho Falls, ID 83402	(/) U.S. Mail ( ) Hand delivered ( ) Faxed ( ) Court Folder
Nathan M. Olsen Attorney at Law 485 E Street Idaho Falls, ID 83402	<ul><li>(/) U.S. Mail</li><li>( ) Hand delivered</li><li>( ) Faxed</li><li>( ) Court Folder</li></ul>
Courtesy Copy:	
Bradley J. Dixon Kersti H. Kennedy Attorneys at Law 101 S Capitol Blvd, Ste 1900 Boise JD 83702	( ) U.S. Mail ( ) Hand delivered ( ) Faxed ( ) Court Folder

Clerk Mehulle

DISTRICT COURT
Fifth Judicial District
County of Twin Falls - State of Idaho

FEB 18 2015

4.00 PM

Nathan M. Olsen, Esq., ISB # 7373 PETERSEN MOSS HALL & OLSEN 485 "E" Street Idaho Falls, Idaho 83402

Telephone: (208) 523-4650 Facsimile: (208) 524-3391

Attorneys for Silva Land Company LLC and Max Silva

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE FIFTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT OF THE STATE OF IDAHO, IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF TWIN FALLS

GREEN RIVER RANCHES, LLC, an Idaho limited liability company,	Case Nos. CV-2013-4732 CV-2013-3154
Plaintiff-Counterdefendant,	
vs.	
SILVA LAND COMPANY, LLC, et al.,	
Defendants-Counterclaimants	) ) JUDGMENT
JACK McCALL,	
Plaintiff,	
vs.	
MAX SILVA, an individual; and SILVA DAIRY, LLC an Idaho limited liability company,	) ) )
Defendants.	) )

JACK McCALL,	`
Plaintiff,	< <
vs.	\ \
SILVA LAND COMPANY, LLC, et al.	< <
Defendants.	{

#### JUDGMENT IS HEREBY ENTERED as follows:

- Defendants Silva Land Company, LLC and Max Silva are awarded \$141.17 in costs as a matter of right against Jack McCall.
- Defendant, Silva Land Company, LLC, is awarded attorney fees against Jack
   McCall, in the sum of \$25,000 for the defense of CV-2013-4732.

Randy J. Stoker District Judge

### CLERK'S CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on the \_\_/ll\_ day of February, 2015, I served a true and correct copy of the foregoing document on the persons listed below by first class mail, with the correct postage thereon, or by causing the same to be delivered in accordance with Rule 5(b), I.R.C.P.

Persons Served:	Method of Service:
James C. Meservy, Esq. WILLIAMS, MESERVY & LOTHSPEICH, LLP 153 E. Main St. P.O. Box 168	(/) mail () hand () fax () email  Attorneys for Jack McCall
Jerome, Idaho 83338-0168 jcmeservy@cableone.net FAX: (208) 324-3135	
Robert J. Maynes, Esq.	mail () hand () fax () email
Steven L. Taggart, Esq. MAYNES TAGGART, PLLC 525 Park Ave., Ste, 2E P.O. Box 3005 Idaho Falls, Idaho 83403 staggart101@gmail.com mayneslaw@hotmail.com FAX: (208) 524-6095	Attorneys for Silva Dairy, LLC
Nathan M. Olsen, Esq.	mail () hand () fax () email
PETERSEN MOSS HALL & OLSEN 485 "E" St. Idaho Falls, Idaho 83402 nolsen@pmholaw.com FAX: (208) 524-3391	Attorneys for Silva Land Company, LLC and Max Silva  Markey McMulla  Deputy Clerk

DISTRICT COURT Fifth Judicial District County of Twin Falls - State of Idaho

> MAY 20 2015 9:00 AM

# IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE FIFTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT OF THE STATE OF IDAHO. IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF TWIN FALLS

JACK MCALL.

Plaintiff.

Case No. CV 2013-1263

FINAL PRETRIAL ORDER

VS.

MAX SILVA AND SILVA DAIRY, LLC,

Defendants.

- 1. Trial to the Court shall commence on 24, 2015 at 8:30 A.M.
- 2. McCall's herd mismanagement claim has been withdrawn.
- 3. The issues for trial are: a) What is the amount, if any, owed by McCall to Silva Dairy, LLC for a herd management fee? b) Has Silva Dairy converted some of the dairy feed supplied by McCall, and if so, what is the value of that? C) Has McCall made payments to or for the benefit of Silva Dairy (in an amount near \$55,000 that should be offset against Silva Dairy's herd management fee? d) What is the total amount of monies owed by Max Silva for pasturing cattle on McCall's property?
- 4. The order of proof at trial shall be as follows: Because McCall's claims against Silva Dairy may not exceed Silva Dairy's herd management fee, its

claim shall be tried first. Thereafter, McCall may present his claim for offsets and then his claim against Max Silva.

- 5. All discovery, including depositions, must be completed by May 31, 2015.
- 6. All parties shall disclose their proposed exhibits and final witness list by June 12, 2015 and thereafter file the actual proposed exhibits and witness lists with the court by 5 p.m. June 19, 2015. IN ADDITION, each party shall file a statement by this latter deadline stating which of the opposing parties' exhibits may be admitted by stipulation.
- 7. Silva Dairy has indicated that they may seek a pretrial order concerning whether McCall's designated experts are in fact experts. This motion, if filed, SHALL be heard at 10:00 A.M. on June 15, 2015 and timely filed and noticed as required by the rules. Other than this motion the Court will not entertain any other pretrial motions.

DATED this

Randy J Stoker

District Judge

### **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I hereby certify that on the 20 day of May 2015, I caused to be served a true and correct copy of the foregoing, by the method indicated below, and addressed to the following:

James Meservy P.O. Box 168 Jerome, Idaho 83338	(✔) U.S. Mail ()Hand delivered ()Faxed ()Court Folder
Steven Taggart P.O. Box 3005 Idaho Falls, Idaho 83402	(v) U.S. Mail ( ) Hand delivered ( ) Faxed ( ) Court Folder
Nathan Olsen 485 E. Street Idaho Falls, Idaho 83402	( ) U.S. Mail ( ) Hand delivered ( ) Faxed ( ) Court Folder

Learathy McMulla Clerk

**FINAL PRETRIAL ORDER** - 3

DISTRICT COURT I WIN FALLS CO., IDAHO FILED

2015 JUN 19 PM 4: 43

JAMES C. MESERVY
WILLIAMS, MESERVY & LOTHSPEICH, LLP
Attorneys at Law
153 East Main Street
P. O. Box 168
Jerome, Idaho 83338

Telephone: (208) 324-2303 Facsimile: (208) 324-3135 Idaho State Bar No. 2460

Attorney for Jack McCall

BY\_\_\_\_\_CLERK
SI\_\_\_DEPUTY

# IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE FIFTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT OF THE STATE OF IDAHO, IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF TWIN FALLS

GREEN RIVER RANCHES, LLC, an Idaho Limited Liability Company,

Plaintiff-Counterdefendant,

SILVA LAND COMPANY, LLC, et al.,

Defendants-Counterclaimants.

JACK McCALL,

Plaintiff,

VS.

٧.

MAX SILVA, an individual; and SILVA DAIRY, LLC an Idaho limited liability company,

Defendants.

Case No. CV-2013-1263
Consolidated Cases CV 2013-3154, CV 2013-4732

PLAINTIFF'S PRETRIAL MEMORANDUM

COMES NOW, Jack McCall, by and through his counsel of record, James C. Meservy of the law firm Williams, Meservy & Lothspeich, LLP, who submits the following Pretrial Memorandum for consideration by this Court.

#### UNJUST ENRICHMENT

This court in its Memorandum Decision dated 14 August 2014 indicated that "Silva Dairy has an unjust enrichment claim for its services." Footnote 7, p. 16. The amount of the Silva Dairy "herd management claim" is to be determined at this trial. Memorandum Decision, Para. 1, p. 20, Memorandum Decision. At the last pretrial conference, the court indicated, again, that the claim of Silva Dairy was for Unjust Enrichment.

The doctrine of unjust enrichment sounds in implied in law contract or quasi contract. Beco Construction Co., Inc. Bannock Paving Company, Inc., 118 Idaho 463, 797 P. 2d 863 (1990) A "contract implied in law is not a contract at all, but an obligation imposed by law for the purpose of bringing about justice and equity without reference to the intent or the agreement of the parties and, in some cases, in spite of an agreement between the parties. "118 Idaho at 466, 797 P.2d at 866, citing Hixon v. Allphin, 76 Idaho 327, 281 P.2d 1042 (1955); McShane v. Quillin, 47 Idaho 542, 277 P. 554 (1929).

The case of Hertz v. Fiscus, further states:

The essence of the quasi-contractual theory of unjust enrichment is that the defendant has received a benefit which would be inequitable to retain at least without compensating the plaintiff to the extent that retention is unjust. Continental Forest Products v. Chandler, supra; Bair v. Barron, 97 Idaho 26, 539 P.2d 578 (1975). Cf. Bastian v. Gifford, 98 Idaho 324, 563 P.2d 48 (1077). Id, at 457, 567 P.2d at 2. Buck, supra. Gillette v. Storm Circle Ranch, 101 Idaho 663, 619 P.2d 1116 (1980) adds, Unjust enrichment is an equitable doctrine and is inapplicable where the plaintiff in an action fails to provide the proof necessary to establish the VALUE OF THE BENEFIT CONFERRED upon the defendant. Id.

at 667, 619 P.2d at 1120 (emphasis added). See also, Brown v. Yacht Club of Coeur d'Alene Ltd., 111 Idaho 195, 722 P.2d 1062 (Ct. App. 1986).

Hertz v. Fiscus, 98 Idaho 456, 567 P.2d 1 (1977)

The court in In the Matter of the Estate of Kenneth N. Boyd stated:

In order to establish a prima facie case for an implied-in-law contract, the plaintiff must show that there was (1) a benefit conferred upon the defendant by the Plaintiff; (2) appreciation by the defendant of such benefit; and (3) acceptance of the benefit without payment to the plaintiff OF THE VALUE THEREOF. Idaho Lumber, Inc. 109 Idaho at 745, 710 P.2d at 655. The services must be rendered under such circumstances as to indicate that the person rendering them expected to be paid, and that the recipient expected, or should have expected to pay for them. 42 C.J.S. Implied Contracts Section 26 (1991). The actual intent of the party upon whom the benefit is conferred is immaterial, so long as a reasonable person in the same circumstances would have understood that a benefit had been conferred and that the conferring party did so in reasonable expectation of payment.

Kennedy v. Forest, 129 Idaho 584, 587, 930 P.2d 1026, 1029 (1997) (emphasis added).

In 2011 the Supreme Court decided Harris, Inc., v. FoxHollow Construction & Trucking, Inc., 151 Idaho 761, 264 P.3d 400. The elements of unjust enrichment: 1. Benefit conferred 2. Defendant appreciates the benefit and 3. Inequitable for defendant to accept the benefit. Citing Teton Peaks Inv. Co., LLC v. Ohme, 146 Idaho 394, 398, 195 P.3d 1207, 1211 (2008). The court went on to note: "Because this is an equitable claim, we note: "The maxim, 'HE WHO COMES INTO EQUITY MUST COME WITH CLEAN HANDS,' imposes itself alike upon him who defends, and upon him who prosecutes, a suit in equity." Witthoft v. Commercial Dev. & Inv. Co., 46 Idaho 313, 324, 268 P.31, 34 (1928) (emphasis added).

#### SILVA DAIRY IS NOT ENTITLED TO PREJUDGMENT INTEREST ON ITS UNJUST ENRICHMENT CLAIM

In Bouten Construction Company, v. H.F. Magnuson Company, 133 Idaho 756, 992 P.2d 751 (1999) stated: "It is settled law in Idaho that pre-judgment interest is available only when damages are liquidated or ascertainable by mere mathematical process. Doolittle v. Meridian Joint Sch. Dist. No. 2, 128 Idaho 805, 814, 919 P.2d 334, 343 (1996); Pocatello Auto Color, Inc. v. Akzo Coatings, Inc., 127 Idaho 41, 46, 896 P.2d 949, 954, (1995); Ervin Constr. Co. v. Van Orden, 125 Idaho 695, 704, 874 P.2d 506, 515 (1993); Barber v. Honorof, 116 Idaho 767, 770,

780 P.2d 89, 92 (1989). 133 Idaho at 762, 992 P.2d 757.

In *Bouten*, changes in a construction contract brought a suit claiming damages, (compensation for increased costs). Upon remand, the district court determined which of the claimed increased costs were reasonable. The appellate court noted that "Until the district court ruled on which of the costs were proven reasonable, the amount of Bouten's damages was not readily ascertainable. This court has held that when damages are not readily "ascertainable prior to the proceedings on remand," they are not liquidated. *Long v. Hendricks*, 117 Idaho 1051, 1054, 793 P.2d 1223, 1226 (1980) Id. at 763, 758.

In *Ervin* cited above, the parties entered into a contract to build a log home. The trial courts prejudgment interest award was reversed where,

At the time of the Van Ordens' breach of the contract, the net contract amount was readily ascertainable but the value of materials installed was not. The trial court found that the numerous defects existed not only in the construction of the home, but in some of the material used. These defects would affect the value of the installed materials. We therefore find that the principal amount of liability at the time of the Van Ordens' breach was not mathematically and definitely ascertainable.

Ervin Constr. Co. v. Van Orden, 125 Idaho at 704, 874 P.2d at 515.

In 2007, the Court of Appeals decided Ross v. Ross, 145 Idaho 274, 178 P.3d 639. The plaintiff was the son of the defendant, his widowed mother. The plaintiff complained that the defendant had been unjustly enriched by the plaintiff's contributions on, to, or for and in behalf of a ranch property located in Idaho County. Title had been taken in the parents' name. Use was enjoyed by all family members until the defendant banned the plaintiff from the property.

The court noted the previously enunciated principle that prejudgment interest is only allowed where the claim is liquidated or readily ascertainable by mathematical process. (citations omitted). Accordingly, if the claim is liquidated or capable of mere mathematical

ascertainment prejudgment interest may be awarded (citations omitted).

"...damages are unascertainable where some factor necessary to calculate the amount of damages must be determined by a trier of fact. Conversely: A claim is liquidated if the evidence furnishes data which, if believed, make it possible to compute the amount with exactness, without reliance upon opinion or discretion. Examples are claims upon promises to pay a fixed sum, claims for money had and received, claims for money paid out, and claims for goods or services to be paid for at an agreed rate. Seubert Excavators, Inc. v. Eucon Corp., 125 Idaho 744, 750 n.2, 874 P.2d 555, 561 n.2 (Ct. App. 1993), aff'd, 125 Idaho 409, 871 P.2d 826 (1994).

Ross v. Ross, 145 Idaho at 277, 178 P.3d 642.

The district court found that the plaintiff (Ross) had provided three checks in specified amounts, made a liquidated payment toward the construction of a new house, monies certain paid for heating and air and he asked for compensation for his labor. The plaintiff agreed that he was not entitled to prejudgment interest on the labor since the trial court would have to find the numbers of hours worked and a reasonable rate of compensation.

This should end the matter in the case at bar. Here the amount of unjust enrichment will largely depend on the benefit conferred from services provided, equipment provided etc. Like Ross, the trial court would have to determine reasonable rates, benefit conferred from services provided etc. Silva Dairy is not entitled to prejudgment interest on its unjust enrichment claim.

The Ross court did not stop there. Although the checks and liquidated amounts were readily ascertainable, prejudgment interest was not awarded. The court stated, however,

[P]rejudgment interest is precluded where the amount awardable on one party's claim for a liquidated amount cannot be ascertained because of the other party's unliquidated claim. This will occur, for instance, in cases where the unliquidated claim challenges the value of the performance forming the basis of the liquidated claim. *Pocatello Auto Color, Inc.v. Akzo Coatings, Inc.*, 127 Idaho 41, 47, 896 P.2d 949, 955 (1955)

Ross v. Ross, 145 Idaho at 278, 178 P.3d 644.

In this case, the amount of the claim is not liquidated. It is not capable of mere mathematical ascertainment.

DATED this \_/ 9 day of June, 2015.

MAMES C. MESERVY
Williams, Meservy & Lothspeich
Attorneys for Jack McCall

#### CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, the undersigned, hereby certify that on the 29 day of June, 2015, I caused the foregoing document to be served as follows:

Robert J. Maynes Steven L. Taggart Maynes Taggart PLLC P. O. Box 3005 Idaho Falls, ID 83405	☐ Via US Mail, Postage Paid ☐ ∀ia Facsimile — (208) 524-6095 ☐ Hand-Delivered - Court Folder ☐ Other
Nathan M. Olsen Petersen Moss Hall & Olsen 485 E Street Idaho Falls, ID 83402	☐ Via US Mail, Postage Paid ☐ Via Facsimile — (208) 524-3391 ☐ Hand-Delivered - Court Folder ☐ Other

/ JAMES C. MESERVY

30

DISTRICT-COURT TWIN FALLS CO., IDAHO FILED

2015 JUN 19 PM 2: 49

BY\_\_\_\_CLERK

S DEPUTY

Nathan M. Olsen, Esq.
PETERSEN MOSS HALL & OLSEN

485 "E" Street

Idaho Falls, Idaho 83402 Telephone: (208) 523-4650 Facsimile: (208) 524-3391

Attorneys for Defendants-Counterclaimants, and Defendant, Max Silva

Robert J. Maynes, ISB No. 6905 Steven L. Taggart, ISB No. 8551 MAYNES TAGGART PLLC

P. O. Box 3005 Idaho Falls, ID 83403 Telephone: (208) 552-6442 Facsimile: (208) 524-6095

Email: mayneslaw@hotmail.com Email: staggart101@gmail.com

Attorneys for Defendants-Counterclaimant Silva Dairy, LLC

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE FIFTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT OF THE

STATE OF IDAHO, IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF TWIN FALLS

GREEN RIVER RANCHES, LLC,

Consolidated Case No. CV-2013-1263

Plaintiff,

vs.

SILVA LAND COMPANY, LLC, et al.,

Defendants.

JACK McCALL,

Plaintiff,

VS.

MAX SILVA, an individual; and SILVA DAIRY, LLC, an Idaho limited liability company,

Defendants.

DEFENDANTS/COUNTERCLAIMANTS'
JOINT PRE-TRIAL BRIEF

JACK McCALL,

Plaintiff,

vs.

SILVA LAND COMPANY, LLC, et al,

Defendants.

Defendants/Counterclaimants, Silva Dairy, LLC, ("Silva Dairy") and Max Silva ("Mr. Silva"), through counsel of record, provide the following Pretrial Brief outlining the matters that will be determined by the Court during its trial set for June 24-26, 2015.

Last June, the Court determined that Mr. McCall was liable for the 30 month management of his dairy herd from April 2010 through August of 2012. The amount, if any, was set for this trial. Mr. McCall has raised various offsets and asserted that Max Silva owes for pasture usage. Also, the Court will consider the matter of the \$10,000 check from Mr. McCall to Mr. Silva.

The Court's Final Pre-trial Order (dated May 20, 2015) specifies the following issues for trial:

- 1) What is the amount, if any, owed by McCall to Silva Dairy, LLC for a herd management fee?
- 2) Has Silva Dairy converted some of the dairy feed supplied by McCall, and if so, what is the value of that?
- 3) Has McCall made payments to or for the benefit of Silva Dairy (in an amount near \$55,0000 that should be offset against Silva Dairy's herd management herd?
- 4) What is the total amount of monies owed by Max Silva for pasturing cattle on McCall's property?

The Court has also asked to consolidate in the issue with respect to the \$10,000 check that Mr. McCall provided to Mr. Silva.

This brief addresses the various issues that the Court will consider at trial issue by issue by providing the relevant law and an overview of the testimony and evidence that will be offered at trial by Silva Dairy and Mr. Silva.

ISSUE #1: What is the amount, if any, owed by McCall to Silva Dairy, LLC for a herd management fee?

#### A. Summary of Issue

From April of 2010 to August of 2012, Silva Dairy, LLC managed Mr. McCall's dairy herd that varied from 22 head to nearly 300. Management of the McCall herd included substantial labor, providing of farm machinery, fuel, various kinds of feed and additional services. Mr. McCall paid for facility rent for the dairy facility he leased but has not paid for these items.

#### B. Applicable Law

The oft cited Idaho Supreme Court case of *Peavey v. Pellandini*, 551 P.2d 610, 97 Idaho 655 (1976) actually addresses a very similar situation in that the parties had not agreed upon a price for the defendant's "feeding" of plaintiff's cattle. Under *Peavey*, the Court held that a lack of an agreed upon price was not fatal to the contract, but rather enforced under an "implied-infact" contract, also referred to as "quantum meruit." Citing *AmJur* the Court held that:

If there is no special agreement as to the amount of compensation and the services are not intended to be gratuitous, the law implies a promise by the employer to pay what services reasonably are worth, which is determined largely by the nature of the work and the customary rate of pay for such work in the community and at the time the work was performed. These are matters for the jury to determine, under proper evidence and instructions.

Id. 97 Idaho at 659, 551 P.2d 555.

The Court has two potential common law remedies available to determine the management fee, "quantum meruit," and "unjust enrichment," each of which has a different DEFENDANTS/COUNTERCLAIMANTS' JOINT PRE-TRIAL BRIEF Page 3 of 15

approach on the valuation of the fee.

#### 1. Quantum Meruit

The *Peavey* court indicates that quantum meruit provides an appropriate recovery under a contract "implied in fact." 97 Idaho at 658, 551 P.2d at 613.

A contract implied in fact exists where there is no express agreement but the parties' conduct evidences an agreement.

Id.

The justification for quantum meruit is further explained in *Baker v. Boren*, 934 P.2d 951, 129 Idaho 885 (Idaho App. 1997):

The remedy of quantum meruit is based upon the principle that one who provides services should receive the compensation he or she deserves. It is used to compensate a person who has performed services at the request of another, and recovery is based on an implied-in-fact contract.

Id. 129 Idaho at 994, 934 P.2d at 960.

The determination of recovery under quantum meruit is explained as follows:

The measure for recovery under quantum meruit is the reasonable value of services rendered, not the actual benefit realized and retained... Determining the reasonable value of service under quantum meruit is an objective measure and is proven by evidence demonstrating the nature of the work and the customary rate of pay for such work in the community at the time the work was performed.

Farrell v. Whiteman, 152 Idaho 190, 195, 268 P.3d 458, 463 (2012)(citations omitted)

#### 2. Unjust Enrichment

Unjust enrichment is another equitable theory that can be applied even where there is no implied in fact agreement. As explained by the Idaho Supreme Court:

Unjust enrichment, as a fictional promise or obligation implied by law, allows recovery where the defendant has received a benefit from the plaintiff that would be inequitable for the defendant to retain without compensating the plaintiff for the value of the benefit.

Great Plains Equipment, Inc. v. Northwest Pipeline Corp., 132 Idaho 754, 767, 979 P.2d 627, 640 (1999).

Idaho distinguishes the calculation of the recovery under unjust enrichment from that of quantum meruit:

Though some courts do not differentiate between the measure of recovery under unjust enrichment and quantum meruit, this Court has carefully done so... Recovery under a quantum meruit theory is measured by the reasonable value of the services rendered or of goods received, regardless of whether the defendant was enriched. Recovery under an unjust enrichment theory, on the other hand, is limited to the amount by which the defendant was unjustly enriched.

Barry v. Pacific West Const., Inc., 140 Idaho 827, 834, 103 P.3d 440, 447 (2004)(citations omitted).

#### 3. Prejudgment Interest Available

In Idaho, prejudgment interest is available when the amount of liability can be calculated by a mathematical process. As stated by the Idaho Court of Appeals:

It is well settled law in Idaho that prejudgment interest may be awarded where the amount of liability is liquidated or capable of ascertainment by a mere mathematical process. Bouten Const. Co. v. H.F. Magnuson Co., 133 Idaho 756, 762, 992 P.2d 751, 757 (1999); Farm Development Corp. v. Hernandez, 93 Idaho 918, 920, 478 P.2d 298, 300 (1970). Idaho Code § 28-22-104 allows for an award of prejudgment interest for money due by express contract or when it becomes due. In a breach of contract action, interest may be awarded from the date of the breach when the amount upon which the interest is to be based is mathematically and definitely ascertainable. Ervin Constr. Co. v. Van Orden, 125 Idaho 695, 704, 874 P.2d 506, 515 (1993); Barber v. Honorof, 116 Idaho 767, 770, 780 P.2d 89, 92 (1989).

Sainsbury Const. Co., Inc. v. Quinn, 137 Idaho 269, 47 P.3d 772, 777-78 (Idaho App., 2002) (emphasis added).

The language of Idaho Code § 28-22-104 is instructive:

28-22-104. LEGAL RATE OF INTEREST. (1) When there is no express contract in writing fixing a different rate of interest, interest is allowed at the rate of twelve cents (12¢) on the hundred by the year on:

- 1. Money due by express contract.
- 2. Money after the same becomes due.
- 3. Money lent.
- 4. Money received to the use of another and retained beyond a reasonable time without the owner's consent, express or implied.
- 5. Money due on the settlement of mutual accounts from the date the balance is ascertained.

6. Money due upon open accounts after three (3) months from the date of the last item.

(emphasis added).

#### C. Evidence & Testimony at Trial

At trial, Silva Dairy, LLC will provide evidence as to what was done to manage the McCall herd, including what labor was provided, what equipment was utilized, what expenses were incurred and the basis of the calculations included in Mr. Plew's report. Mr. Plew's report shows that the management services in the amount of \$359,986.59 were delivered in relationship to the McCall herd over the 30 month period, with prejudgment interest of \$132,065.24, for a total amount requested of \$492,051.83.

Such is justified in that Silva Dairy, LLC provided dairy herd management services, including labor, equipment, some feed and additional services, "at the request" of Jack McCall. Having provided such services, i.e. through an "implied-in-fact contract", Silva Dairy is therefore "entitled" to compensation for such services. Under this theory, Silvas are entitled to the "reasonable value" of their services depending on the "nature of the work" and the then "customary rate for pay for such work in the community." This value will be shown at trial. In the alternative, the Court could find that Mr. McCall was unjustly enriched by the value of services for which he did not pay.

ISSUE #2: Has Silva Dairy converted some of the dairy feed supplied by McCall, and if so, what is the value of that?

#### A. Summary

Mr. McCall has asserted that Silva Dairy, LLC converted some of his feed. Silva Dairy hotly disputes this assertion.

#### B. Applicable Law

"Generally, conversion is defined as a distinct act of dominion wrongfully asserted over another's personal property in denial of or inconsistent with [his] rights therein." Carpenter v. Turrell, 148 Idaho 645, 650, 227 P.3d 575, 580 (2010) (quoting Peasley Transfer & Storage Co. v. Smith, 132 Idaho 732, 743, 979 P.2d 605, 616 (1999)).

There are three elements to make out a claim of conversion: "(1) that the charged party wrongfully gained dominion of property; (2) that property is owned or possessed by plaintiff at the time of possession; and (3) the property in question is personal property." *Taylor v. McNichols*, 149 Idaho 826, 846, 243 P.3d 642, 662 (2010).

#### C. Evidence & Testimony at Trial

The key issues here are factors #1 and #2. There is no evidence that Silva Dairy, LLC ever wrongfully gained dominion over any of Mr. McCall's feed. Moreover, there is a question of whether Mr. McCall ever had any excess feed in the first place. Such issues will be clarified at trial.

ISSUE #3: Has McCall made payments to or for the benefit of Silva Dairy (in an amount near \$55,0000 that should be offset against Silva Dairy's herd management herd?

#### A. Summary

Mr. McCall is asserting an offset for expenses related to various dairy facility repairs on the facility he leased and feed that he paid for, allegedly for the benefit of Silva Dairy, LLC.

#### B. Applicable Law

"An 'offset' may be defined as a claim that serves to counterbalance or to compensate for another claim." Steinmeyer v. Warner Cons. Corp., 116 Cal.Rptr. 57, 42 Cal.App.3d 515 (Cal. App. 1 Dist., 1974) citing Webster's (unabr.) New Int. Dict. (3d ed. 1967) p. 1567; Lalime v. Desbiens (1947) 115 Vt. 165, 168, 55 A.2d 121, 123; Leonard v. Charter Oak Life Ins. Co. (1895) 65 Conn. 529, 537, 33 A. 511, 513.).

DEFENDANTS/COUNTERCLAIMANTS' JOINT PRE-TRIAL BRIEF Page 7 of 15

#### C. Evidence & Testimony at Trial

Mr. McCall asserts that he can offset Silva Dairy, LLC's herd management fees by requesting reimbursement for various expenses he paid. The evidence will show that Mr. McCall's asserted expenses are more than offset by amounts paid by Silva Dairy, LLC separate from the herd management fees.

ISSUE #4: What is the total amount of monies owed by Max Silva for pasturing cattle on McCall's property?

#### A. Summary

The Court has previously determined that Max Silva is personally liable for the pasturing of heifers on subleased property referred to as the "Snyder Winery." At the previous trial held in this matter, Silva claimed that Silva Dairy's heifers were pastured for four months, and Mr. McCall has claimed cows branded "MS" were Max Silva's cows and were pastured on the Snyder property for six months. There was never any agreement on the amount of the pasture fee. After trial, relying entirely upon some hand written notes of a Ray Broner McCall has now claimed that Silva owes him nearly \$70,000 in grazing fees from 2011 and 2012. The Court has indicated that it will consider at trial whether Max Silva is liable for these alleged additional fees. As will be shown at trial, at best, McCall is owed less than \$1,000 in pasture rent.

#### B. Applicable Law

The elements of an "implied in fact" contract are described as follows in Idaho Jury
Instructions:

An implied-in-fact contract is a contract where the terms and existence of the contract are demonstrated by the conduct of the parties, with the request of one party and the performance by the other often being inferred from the circumstances attending the performance. To find an implied-in-fact contract, the facts must be such that the intent of the parties to make a contract can be inferred from their conduct. An implied-in-fact contract is given the same legal effect as any other contract.

To establish an implied-in-fact contract, the plaintiff has the burden of proof on each of the following propositions:

- 1. The circumstances imply a request by the defendant for performance by plaintiff; and
- 2. The circumstances imply a promise by the defendant to compensate the plaintiff for such performance; and
- 3. The plaintiff performed as requested.

#### C. Evidence & Testimony at Trial

1. McCall cannot prove that Silva owes any of the alleged "additional" pasture fee claims.

McCall offers only the handwritten notes of his hired hand Ray Broner to suggest that Silva owes him in upwards of nearly \$70,000 in grazing fees. However, upon review the so called Broner notes are nothing more than scribbles on various pieces of note paper that at best indicate a particular day Silva Dairy's cows were on a couple of McCall's properties. There is no indication of duration, number of cows, rates, or any other pertinent information that resembles a pasture agreement. There is admittedly nothing in the Broner notes pertaining to the Snyder Winery agreement, which Mr. Broner had nothing to do with. In addition, Ray Broner admits that he did not know whether the brand "MS" represents "Max Silva Livestock" or something else. As he has consistently testified, Silva will provide indisputable evidence that "MS" in fact stands for "Manuel Silva & Sons" which is a dba for "Silva Dairy, LLC." Further, Jack McCall was provided a brand inspection sheet indicating as such, and further indicating that Max Silva is an "agent" for Silva Dairy, LLC. Further, Silva will present evidence that the bank considered the MS cows to be collateral for Silva Dairy's loans. Simply put, the "MS" branded cows have nor will ever be Max Silva's cows. "Max Silva Livestock" doesn't exist, and is a fiction created by Mr. McCall.

In truth, a document entitled "Silva Dairy 2012 Grazing Summary" created by McCall's entity "Clear Creek Properties, Inc." suggests that in fact Silva Dairy owed nothing on a grazing fee, and in fact, McCall may owe the dairy. (Silva Exhibit O) The document calculates the DEFENDANTS/COUNTERCLAIMANTS' JOINT PRE-TRIAL BRIEF Page 9 of 15

grazing fee to be 15 cents per cow per day, with a 70% "weight adjustment." The "total bill owed" as of August 28, 2012 (the day that McCall pulled his dairy herd from Silva's facility) was \$10,555.76. However, Silva Dairy is provided a \$12,041.76 "Credit for spreading compost at Green River Ranches" of \$12,041.76. It then suggests that McCall *paid* for this custom work by Silvas in part with the grazing offset and a check. There is a listed "amount owed" of \$1,156. But it is not clear whether that "amount owed" is McCall's for the custom work or Silvas for a grazing fee. However, it is much more likely that it is what McCall owed in that the "total bill owed" for grazing was more than offset by the custom work. In short, at the very least Silva Dairy owed McCall nothing on a grazing fee in August 28, 2012, when the parties essentially terminated their relationship.

What is particularly troubling is that McCall has purposefully withheld this key document from the Court. In so doing, he has acted in bad faith and misled Court. Clearly, McCall has no foundation or support for his \$70,000 pasture claim. As such, Silva fully intends to move for his fees and costs under IC § 12-123 at the close of trial.

2. The amount Silva owes on the Snyder Winery lease is minimal at best.

Given the bad faith demonstrated by McCall, the Court should reconsider whether Silva should be individually liable for the Snyder Winery sublease. In addition, the evidence will clearly suggest that the cows do not belong to "Max Silva Livestock" which doesn't even exist. Nevertheless, the amount owed on the sublease is minimal, well under \$1,000. McCall entered into a lease with Snyder Winery for 33 acres of pasture on May 1, 2012 through December 31, 2012. (Silva Exhibit H) The amount of the lease for entire duration was \$4,950, or \$618.75 per month. The pasture was divided into equally sized "middle" and "north pastures." McCall's heifers were pastured on one of the pastures, and Silvas' on the other pasture. McCall and Silva never agreed to the "amount" of the pasture rent. However, such amount can easily be inferred

based on the Snyder Winery lease. Silva in effect entered into a "sublease" for half of the Snyder pasture. Thus, this fee would be half of the monthly total, or \$309.38 per month.

Silva and McCall disagree on the amount of time that Silvas' heifers were on the pasture. However, again that amount of time can inferred from the conduct of the parties. As is undisputed, McCall terminated his relationship with Silvas when he removed his cows from the dairy at the end of August, 2012. Thus, at best Silvas' cows would have on the Snyder Winery for four months. Thus, at the very most the pasture rent would be \$1,237.52 (over the four months).

However, the Court should also consider the services that Silvas' provided at the Snyder Winery pasture as an offset or as payment toward the pasture rent. The Silvas managed both pastures at the Snyder Winery, including the pasture with McCall's heifers. Such management included the following:

- 1½ hour each day of the lease wherein one of the Silvas visited the pasture to turn the water on.
- 3 hours per month to gather herds for vaccination (paid for my Silvas).
- 3 hours spreading fertilizer on the pasture (one time.)
- \$25 cost to rent chutes.

Thus, over a four month period, Silvas provided no less than 129 hours of labor on the pasture. Even at minimum wage, this is \$935.35. Silvas also incurred \$25 cost, as well as fuel and vaccination expenses (the trip to the pasture was a 4 mile drive each way). It is entirely possible that the labor and costs incurred in taking care of the pasture, even divided in half to account for McCall's portion, well exceeded the amount of the sublease. If the Court were to conservatively calculate those costs to be \$1,200 (\$600 of which would be allocated to McCall), McCall is owed a grand total of \$637.35 for pasture rent.

This minimal amount that McCall is owed at best for pasture rent is a far cry from the nearly \$70,000 that he is now claiming and which he has provided virtually no factual support.

This is yet another example of the frivolousness of McCall's claims. Silva intends to pursue his fees and costs under IC § 12-123 for having to defend against these foundation-less claims.

ISSUE #5: What is the validity of Jack McCall's claim against Max Silva on the \$10,000 check?

#### A. Summary

Jack McCall filed an action in the magistrate court claiming that Max Silva owed him \$10,000 which McCall had provided to Silva in August of 2010 to pay for Silva Dairy's retainer to Harry DeHaan to handle the dairy's Chapter 12 Bankruptcy. Pursuant to this Court's May 28, 2015, Memorandum Decision on appeal, the Court has determined that Silva is personally responsible for repayment of the \$10,000, but there remains a question as to whether there was an implied agreement that the \$10,000 was to applied to or "repaid" via what McCall owed for facility rent or as a management fee. After Silva filed his Motion to Consolidate this case with the others, McCall's counsel Jim Meservy e-mailed the Court on June 5, 2015, consenting to the consolidation. As explained below, the overwhelming evidence will show that McCall counted the \$10,000 payment as part of the facility rent for 2010, and yet again McCall has in bad faith misled both this Court and the magistrate court.

#### B. Applicable Law

Again, the Court will consider whether there is an "implied in fact" contract. The elements of an "implied in fact" contract are described as follows in Idaho Jury Instructions:

An implied-in-fact contract is a contract where the terms and existence of the contract are demonstrated by the conduct of the parties, with the request of one party and the performance by the other often being inferred from the circumstances attending the performance. To find an implied-in-fact contract, the facts must be such that the intent of the parties to make a contract can be inferred from their conduct. An implied-in-fact contract is given the same legal effect as any other contract.

To establish an implied-in-fact contract, the plaintiff has the burden of proof on each of the following propositions:

- 1. The circumstances imply a request by the defendant for performance by plaintiff; and
- 2. The circumstances imply a promise by the defendant to compensate the plaintiff for such performance; and
- 3. The plaintiff performed as requested.

#### C. Evidence & Testimony at Trial

In April of 2010, McCall and Silva Land, LLC entered into an agreement wherein McCall agreed to rent Silva Land's facility for his dairy herd at no less than \$10 per month per milking cow. In August of 2010, Silva approached McCall about obtaining \$10,000 so the dairy could retain Harry DeHaan to file a Chapter 12 bankruptcy. There was never any discussion about the repayment terms of this payment. However, the check given to Max Silva indicated "facility rent" in the memo line, and was booked as facility rent.

In August of 2010, McCall owed Silva Land \$5,880 in rent. By the end of 2010, McCall owed Silva Land \$14,220 in rent. McCall prepared a "Profit & Loss" statement for his 2010 taxes which indicates that by the end of 2010 McCall had paid \$12,500 in "facility rent" including the \$10,000 check to Max Silva. Thus, even including the \$10,000 payment, McCall was still \$1,750 short on the facility rent in 2010. Additionally, McCall testified at the previous trial that he had fully paid facility rent to Silvas. However, that statement is only true if the \$10,000 payment was counted toward the facility rent.

Unquestionably, the \$10,000 payment to Silva was labeled, identified and treated as facility rent by Mr. McCall in 2010. It was virtually the only facility rent paid in 2010 with the exception of a \$2,200 payment in December of 2010. At the time McCall made the payment, it

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> McCall actually testified that he thought the agreement was \$10.50 per cow per month, as reflected in the "Lease Agreement" that he signed.

DEFENDANTS/COUNTERCLAIMANTS' JOINT PRE-TRIAL BRIEF
Page 13 of 15

trial that he had fully paid facility rent to Silvas. However, that statement is only true if the \$10,000 payment was counted toward the facility rent.

Unquestionably, the \$10,000 payment to Silva was labeled, identified and treated as facility rent by Mr. McCall in 2010. It was virtually the only facility rent paid in 2010 with the exception of a \$2,200 payment in December of 2010. At the time McCall made the payment, it covered \$5,880 then due. By the end of November of that year, it covered the remaining amount owed. McCall made no other payments for facility rent that year, thus further reinforcing the intent that the payment was to be allocated toward facility rent.

It is very troubling that at the same time McCall was claiming he had paid his facility rent in the District Court case – which included the \$10,000 payment to Silva – he had also filed a separate lawsuit in the magistrate court claiming that he was "owed" the \$10,000 payment plus statutory interest. This is nothing short of duplicitous conduct by McCall. As such, Silva will move for attorneys fees and costs under IC § 12-123 at the close of trial.

PETERSEN MOSS HALL & OLSEN

Nother M. Olsen

MAYNES TAGGART PLLC

Steven L. Taggart

Date: 6/19/20/5

Date: 6/19/2015

DEFENDANTS/COUNTERCLAIMANTS' JOINT PRE-TRIAL BRIEF Page 14 of 15

#### CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I HEREBY CERTIFY that on June 19, 2015, I faxed a true and correct copy of the foregoing document to the designated parties as follows:

James C. Meservy, Esq. Williams, Meservy & Lothspeich, LLP P.O. Box 168 Jerome, ID 83338 Fax No. 208-324-3135 Clerk of the Twin Falls County Court 425 Shoshone St. N. Twin Falls, ID 83301 Fax No. 208-736-4155

Nathan M. Olsen, Esq. Petersen Moss Hall & Olsen 485 "E" Street Idaho Falls, ID 83402 Fax No. 208-524-3391

BY:

Rosalie Wanlass

DISTRICT COURT I WIN FALLS CO., IDAHO FILED

2015 JUN 19 PM 3: 69

BY\_\_\_\_CLERK

DEPUTY

Robert J. Maynes, ISB No. 6905 Steven L. Taggart, ISB No. 8551 MAYNES TAGGART PLLC P. O. Box 3005

Idaho Falls, ID 83403 Telephone: (208) 552-6442 Facsimile: (208) 524-6095

Email: mayneslaw@hotmail.com Email: staggart101@gmail.com

Attorneys for Defendants-Counterclaimant Silva Dairy, LLC

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE FIFTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT OF THE

STATE OF IDAHO, IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF TWIN FALLS

GREEN RIVER RANCHES, LLC,

Plaintiff,

VS.

SILVA LAND COMPANY, LLC, et al.,

Defendants.

JACK McCALL,

Plaintiff,

vs.

MAX SILVA, an individual; and SILVA DAIRY, LLC, an Idaho limited liability company,

Defendants.

JACK McCALL,

Plaintiff,

VS.

SILVA LAND COMPANY, LLC, et al,

Defendants.

STIPULATION OF THE PARTIES AS TO ADMISSION OF EXHIBITS

Consolidated Case No. CV-2013-1263

STIPULATION OF THE PARTIES AS TO ADMISSION OF EXHIBITS Page 1 of 3

Jack McCall, Silva Dairy, LLC and Max Silva, by and through counsel, after consultation, hereby stipulate to the authenticity and admission of the exhibits proposed by all parties. But, all parties expressly preserve the right to challenge the foundation, the weight and the relevance of any exhibit.

DATE: June 19, 2015

WILLIAMS, MESERVY & LOTHSPEICH, LLP

gines C. Meservy

MAYNES TAGGART PLLC

Steven L. Taggart

Peterşen moss hall & Olsen

Nathan M. Olsen

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I HEREBY CERTIFY that on June 19, 2015, I faxed a true and correct copy of the foregoing document to the designated parties as follows:

James C. Meservy, Esq. Williams, Meservy & Lothspeich, LLP P.O. Box 168 Jerome, ID 83338 Fax No. 208-324-3135 Clerk of the Twin Falls County Court 425 Shoshone St. N. Twin Falls, ID 83301 Fax No. 208-736-4155

Nathan M. Olsen, Esq. Petersen Moss Hall & Olsen 485 "E" Street Idaho Falls, ID 83402 Fax No. 208-524-3391

BY:

Karalie Wanderss



2015 JUL 16 PM 3: 16

DEFT THE THE PARTY OF THE PARTY

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE FIFTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT OF THE STATE OF IDAHO, IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF TWIN FALLS

JACK MCCALL,

Plaintiff and Counderdefendant.

VS.

MAX SILVA AND SILVA DAIRY LLC.

Defendants and Counterclaimants.

Case No. CV 2013-1263 (consolidated) Case No. CV 2013-4728 (consolidated)

#### **MEMORANDUM OPINION**

# **INTRODUCTION**

This matter came before the Court for trial on June 24-26, 2015 in the consolidated cases in CV 2013-1263 and in the consolidated case of CV 2013-4728. Closing argument was presented to the Court on July 1, 2015 orally and the cases were taken under advisement as of that date. This memorandum constitutes the Court's Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law pursuant to I.R.C.P. 52.

The Court has previously entered Findings and Conclusions in a Memorandum filed in August 2014 in the first trial between some of these parties. With certain exceptions, which will be noted herein, the Court adheres to and adopts those findings in this trial. This trial was limited to four issues:

- Was there an agreement between Jack McCall and Max Silva whereby Max Silva agreed to repay McCall \$10,000?
- 2. Has Silva Dairy converted some of McCall's dairy feed and if so, in what amount?
- 3. What is the amount owed by McCall to Silva dairy for a herd management fee, less any offsets for payments made by McCall and the amount of his conversion claim?
- 4. What is the amount of money owed by Max Silva to McCall for pasture rent?

#### **CLAIMS AND UNDISPUTED FACTS**

Certain facts in this case are undisputed. McCall rented the Silva Dairy #1 facility from Silva Land, LLC, an entity owned by all of the Silva family, for his milking herd. There is no claim in this case that McCall owes any monies for this rental. McCall employed Silva Dairy, LLC to manage his herd of milking cows and Silva Dairy, LLC did in fact manage the herd at the Silva Dairy #1 complex between late April or early May 2010 thru August 2012. Silva Dairy claims that it is owed a management fee for this time period. There is not written agreement between the parties concerning the management fee, nor for that matter, any other business relation between the parties. However it is undisputed that McCall was required to provide the feed for his cattle. He claims that Silva Dairy converted some of that feed by using it to feed some of its cattle who were also at the dairy. Silva Dairy admits that some of McCall's feed was in fact fed to its cattle. McCall knew that this was occurring. Silva Dairy claims that it used its own feed to replace that feed belonging to McCall and also fed some of McCall's cattle with feed that McCall did not provide for himself.

Cattle were placed on pasture owned by McCall, Clear Creek mortgage (of which he was a principal) and on some rented ground known as the Snyder Winery property. McCall claims that the cattle belonged to Max Silva, individually and that he has not been paid for these services. Max Silva contends that they belonged to Silva Dairy and any monies owed are the Dairy's responsibility. It is undisputed that McCall loaned \$10,000 by check written to Max Silva to be used by Silva Dairy to hire a bankruptcy attorney. McCall also claims that he expended over \$55,000 for the benefit of Silva or Silva Dairy for which he is entitled to reimbursement.

Silva Dairy filed a Chapter 12 bankruptcy proceeding in August 2010. The parties agree that this Court may determine whether Silva Dairy's claim exceeds that of McCall's claims and also agree that there can be no affirmative relief awarded against Silva Dairy because of the bankruptcy filing. The parties further agree that their business relationships terminated in August 2012 that McCall removed his cattle and remaining feed from the dairy and the pastured cattle of Silva were returned to the Silva dairy.

#### **ANALYSIS OF CLAIMS**

#### 1. The management fee claim.

There is no doubt that Silva Dairy is entitled to a management fee from McCall. McCall admits that. The parties never agreed upon the amount of that fee, *albeit* there was testimony that it was discussed. Silva Dairy asserts that the fee should be \$359,986.59.<sup>1</sup> The Court finds that Silva Dairy performed the following services

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Interestingly this claim ballooned from \$245,682.45, the amount claimed in Silva Dairy, LLC's bankruptcy schedules as of May 6, 2013, to the current figure of \$359,986.59, some \$115,000, plus prejudgment interest. No party offered any explanation of how the claim could increase nearly 47% as this litigation progressed.

benefiting McCall: general oversight of cows; feeding milking, close-up, dry cows and hospital cows; feeding calves; providing some breeding services; administering medicine; consulting with the nutritionist; hoof trimming; preg checking; monitoring milkers; inputting computer information into the DHI program; performing some repairs to the barn and fences, and scraping and harrowing corals. It also provided a feed truck and corral cleaning equipment.

Over the 28 month period the number of milking cows owned by McCall and managed by Silva Dairy ranged from 147 to 307 with an average number of 275. Silva Dairy claims that it incurred fuel and maintenance costs over the 28 months and that it is entitled to reimbursement for these sums. It contends that it is reasonable to apportion approximately 1/3 of those costs to McCall's cattle, and the remaining 2/3 to the Silva Dairy cattle on the property.<sup>2</sup> Their accountant computed the daily "value" per cow for these costs by taking the total fuel costs and maintenance of the entire Silva operation (both dairies and Silva land) and dividing those sums by the average number of cows milked each day. The total fuel costs claimed are nearly \$79,000. The share of fuels costs attributable to McCall under Silva dairy's theory of the case is therefore slightly over \$26,000. The Court finds that the total fuel costs are attributable to both of Silva's dairy operations and to that of Silva Land. The Court also finds that the claim for fuel costs has no factual support for the <u>actual</u> costs of tending to McCall's herd.

The claim for repair costs attributable to McCall's herd exceeds \$41,000. There was no precise explanation in the record how this figure was derived. The Court infers that it was derived in the same manner as the fuel cost claim, *i.e.* by taking the gross

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> The ratio was actually computed for each of the 28 months and is the percentage of McCall cattle on the property.

repairs from the combined statements of Silva Land and Silva Dairy and apportioning that calculation against the percentage of McCall's cows, in the same manner as the fuel costs were calculated.

Over the relevant time period the Silva brothers took draws of \$13,200 per month, totaling \$369,600. Again, Silva Dairy attributes approximately 1/3 of this sum to managing McCall's cattle. This sum is exclusive of calf raising charges. The total of prorated fuel labor costs equals \$188,408.94 for the 28 month period. In addition, Silva Dairy seeks prejudgment interest at 12% calculated on a monthly basis thru out the period of 28 months. The Court heard testimony that all of the Silva brothers worked on Silva Dairy #1 during the period, but that was been no testimony presented as to the actual hours spend by each brother over the 28 month period (albeit they testified that they worked "long hours, seven days per week").

Silva Dairy fed McCall's calves for 17,200 days (April 2010 to August 2012 X number of calves) at a claimed cost of \$2.25 per day. This claim totals \$38,700 and according to Silva Dairy was not paid by McCall. The evidence is disputed as to whether this is a reasonable sum. McCall asserts that because he provided calf hutches, and because he was paying rent to Silva Land for the facility, that a "custom rate" of \$2.25 is unreasonable. The Court agrees. The Court finds that \$1.75 per calf day, or \$30,100 is the reasonable value of these services.

Silva Dairy claims it spent \$60,957.75 of its own feed to feed McCall's "close-up" cows and \$71,919.90 to feed McCall's "dry cows." Silva Dairy claims these costs were

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> As will be discussed infra, it is totally unclear whether the parties contemplated what the management fee would include. McCall does dispute that Silva Dairy cared for the calves, but argues that the rate charged is unreasonable. The Court concludes that a reasonable "general" management fee does not include the time and expenses, if any, relating to calf raising.

not paid by McCall. McCall testified that he in fact provided the feed for these cows. Silva Dairy's claims are supported by Scott Plew's testimony that a charge of \$4.75/day for feeding close-up cows and \$2.75/day for feeding dry cows. These figures are supported by his knowledge of dairy operations based upon actual costs. Silva Dairy also claims prejudgment interest on these sums.

McCall asserts that a reasonable fee is \$1000 per month, or \$28,000 (May 2010 to August 2012, 28 months X \$1,000). This claim is based upon some testimony (which Silva disputes) of a conversation "agreeing" on this fee, and testimony of two experienced dairy operators (Onaindia and Rietsma) that one herdsman paid \$3000 per month could have reasonable performed all of the services necessary for a herd of less than 300 milking cows. Thus, he claims 1/3 of that labor fee is more appropriate. McCall asserts that by using custom rates for calves and dry cows and close-up cows that Silva is "doubling up" on their fuel and labor charges. The Court agrees with this general assertion. Custom charges should reflect variable costs such as feed and labor. However, as indicated supra, the question is "what does the general management fee cover—Silva's labor time for all of the herd, or their labor time and expenses, exclusive of calf raising?".

Silva Dairy has the burden of proving its damages. This Court has not been supplied with the <u>actual</u> labor relating to any of the milking cows, the <u>actual</u> fuel or repair costs attributable to McCall's herd, and any net figures adjusting the custom rates for feed for the close-up and dry cows. The Court has been supplied reasonable calculations related to its claim for the calves, subject to the adjustments described above. The fuel and repair claims have not been proven. The labor cost of

approximately \$4400 per month has not been proven. Based upon the testimony of Onaindia and Rietsma, the Court finds that \$1500/mo. X 28, or \$42,000 is a reasonable labor charge and that \$30,100 is a reasonable charge for the calves which should be awarded in addition to the \$1500 per month "general" labor charges.

Although it is a close question as to the believability of Silva and McCall as to who supplied the feed for the close-up and dry cows, the Court finds that Silva is in a better position to state the source of the feed inasmuch as Tony Silva actually fed the cattle. The Court will find in favor of Silva on this issue. The Court will also find that \$60,957.75 to feed McCall's "close-up" cows and \$71,919.90 to feed his dry cows is reasonable and supported by the evidence.

These four sums, \$204,977.65 will be awarded to Silva Dairy. The Court will not award prejudgment interest on this sum. Although this sum is a "fixed" sum, there was no agreement to pay any monies on a monthly basis, no agreement as to the amount of the management fee, and no evidence that these parties ever contemplated paying interest for Silva Dairy's services. Silva Dairy never billed McCall for any of these sums. In fact, as McCall put it, they would "settle up on the back end." This fixed sum has not been determined until this time. The Court finds that prejudgment interest should not and shall not be awarded under the facts of this case.

#### 2. The Pasture Claim.

McCall claims that he is owed \$52,386.90 for pasture rent for the period of June 2011 thru September 2012. Here again the parties had no agreement as to the value of pasturing animals. In its findings in the August 2014 Memorandum the Court found that Max Silva personally contracted to place certain heifers on property controlled or

owned by McCall. This finding was based on the testimony presented at that time that the "MS" brand was on the cattle, leading this Court to find that those cattle belonged to Max Silva, personally.

Having now heard additional testimony, the Court reverses that finding. The "MS" brand stands for "Manuel Silva and Sons" and is the brand used on Silva Dairy cattle. A brand is presumptive, but not conclusive, proof of ownership. At the last trial there was limited evidence about the source and number of the heifers. At this trial it is uncontroverted that the pastured cattle came from the Silva Dairy herd and McCall knew that fact. Detailed evidence was presented concerning the dates of arrival of the cattle to McCall (Ray Broner's testimony). McCall provided detailed testimony about his damage calculation. The Court finds that testimony credible. The Court further finds that this claim is against Silva Dairy, not Max Silva individually. There are several reasons why the Court reaches this conclusion. First, as noted, the brand has been explained—i.e. it does not stand for "Max Silva." Second, there is clear testimony that the cattle came from the Silva Dairy herd. Third, some of the cattle were pastured on Clear Creek's property and that company offset a bill for Silva Dairy (spreading compost) against the pasture obligation. McCall, as a principal in Clear Creek, reimbursed that company with full knowledge of the Silva Dairy offset, leaving this Court to conclude that the pasture agreement was truly with the Dairy, not Max Silva.

In summary, the Court finds that the pasture obligation is that of Silva Dairy. The claim of \$52,386.90 is reasonable and should be offset against Silva Dairy's management claim. Prejudgment interest shall not be awarded for the same reasons given regarding Silva Dairy's management fee claim.

#### 3. The \$10,000 loan issue.

On August 18, 2010 McCall's wife wrote a check to Max Silva for \$10,000 to be used as a retainer for a bankruptcy attorney for Silva Dairy. The memo line on the check references "facility rent." McCall sued Max Silva individually in Magistrate Court in CV 13-4728 for \$10,000 plus interest claiming the monies had not been repaid. The Magistrate found on cross motions for summary judgment that this was a loan to Max Silva but that there was no contract between the parties because there was never a time for repayment of the loan. The Court nevertheless awarded judgment against Silva on an unpled unjust enrichment theory, and awarded prejudgment interest of approximately \$4600 and attorney fees and costs of approximately \$6,000, finding that this was a commercial transaction. Silva appealed to this court which reversed and remanded that decision, determining that Idaho law permitted a court to find a contract when no time for repayment is specified finding that the magistrate should consider the reasonable time for repayment. After that opinion issued, the parties stipulated that the magistrate case should be consolidated with this case.

This Court agrees with the Magistrate that this check represents a loan to Max Silva, individually. McCall knew that Silva Dairy would be filing a bankruptcy and it would be unreasonable to expect repayment from a bankrupt entity. The Court also finds that there was a contract for repayment. That term is implied given the relationship of the parties. McCall testified in this trial that he thought the money "would be paid back in 5-10 years and that he didn't intend it as a gift."

Regardless, the Court finds that the actual conduct of the parties resolves this issue. McCall leased Silva Dairy No. 1, owned by Silva Land and owed rent for that.

The notation on the check—"facility rent"—is clear evidence that the parties intended that the \$10,000 be repaid as an offset from monies owed by McCall to Silva Dairy. McCall's own records support this conclusion. His JT Livestock ledger (Max Silva Ex. B) confirms that the check was for rent. His profit loss statement—(Max Silva Exhibit C)—shows a rent deduction in 2010 for \$10,000. His ledger entitled "Max Silva (JT) Payments", McCall Exhibit125, Beta 1176, likewise shows that the \$10,000 advance was treated as facility rent. It is irrelevant whether the loan was actually made for the benefit of Max Silva, Silva Dairy or even Silva Land because that loan has been repaid. The Court finds that the loan was offset by McCall against McCall's lease obligation to Silva Dairy and therefore he cannot recover. Because the loan has been repaid McCall is not entitled to prejudgment interest.<sup>4</sup>

The Court agrees with the Magistrate that this was a commercial transaction and therefore Max Silva may be entitled to an award of attorney fees for defending this matter. However, this subject will be further discussed in the Attorney Fee section of this Memorandum.

#### 5. McCall's \$55,000 claim.

McCall asserts a claim of \$55,207.28 (McCall Exhibit 125) for monies paid on behalf of Silva This claim is for repairs, monies paid for Silva feed, or a pasture "setoff." McCall leased Dairy No. 1. The property should have been a fully functional facility to milk cows and care for related cattle. It was not. Thereafter, the expense of maintaining the facility belonged to McCall. McCall agreed to supply all of the feed

4

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> There is no claim in this case that McCall owes rent to Silva Land. Such a claim would not in any event be before the Court because Silva Land is not part of this case at this juncture. It is absolutely clear to the Court that even if McCall owes additional rent that the \$10,000 obligation itself was paid by the end of 2010.

necessary for his cattle and to pay for the milkers. Silva Dairy agreed to provide general supervision of the herd and perform the tasks identified in subsection 1 of this opinion. McCall did not agree to provide any feed for Silva Dairy's herd.

McCall spent \$3000 to fix holding corals when he first moved cattle to the premises. There is no evidence in this record upon which the Court can conclude that this was an obligation of Silva Dairy. Rather, the Court finds that it was an obligation of Silva Land. Since Silva Land is no longer a party in this action, this claim is denied.

McCall spent \$6,000, \$12,275.90, \$13,416.57 and \$8,375.40 (totaling \$40,067.87) for feed for Silva Dairy's herd. It is truly unclear why McCall paid these sums inasmuch as he claims that he purchased other feed for his cattle in addition to these sums. He explained this in part at trial stating that if Silva Dairy didn't "survive" then he would have no one to care for his cattle. Regardless of whether this claim is analyzed as an implied contract for repayment, unjust enrichment, or quantum meruit, the value to Silva Dairy is the same. Payment of these monies were not a gift and the acquired feed certainly benefited Silva Dairy. The Court finds that Silva Dairy owes McCall \$40,067.87 for this feed which directly benefited the Silva Dairy herd.

McCall expended monies to Automated Dairy Systems between July and December 2010 to repair the milking barn. McCall concedes that monies spent in October and November were not an obligation of Silva Dairy. The monies spend in July and August 2010 were either for repairs or supplies. McCall has not proven to this Court that any of these payments were the obligation of Silva Dairy. All of the Automated Dairy claims are denied.

Silva Dairy spread compost on property belonging to Clear Creek Mortgage in 2011. Some of Silva Dairy's cattle were pastured on some of Clear Creek Mortgage's property and it charged McCall a "yardage" fee. McCall claims Silva Dairy owes McCall \$6,531for ½ of this fee. Here again, the parties never agreed on these charges or even discussed them. There is no doubt that McCall believed he had an obligation to repay Clear Creek, but the Court can find no evidence in this record that Silva Dairy knew of this arrangement until this lawsuit began. Certainly Silva Dairy benefited from McCall's payment of a potential obligation for pasture rent, but the Court denies this claim for the simple reason that McCall "volunteered" this payment and that equity does not justify reimbursement in this case.<sup>5</sup>

In summary, McCall is awarded \$40,067.87 for this category of claims which shall be offset against the management fee award to Silva Dairy.

#### 6. McCall's Conversion Claim.

It is undisputed that neither McCall nor Silva Dairy had any obligation to provide feed for each other's cattle. However, it is also undisputed that feed was intermixed between the herds. Tony Silva, who fed the cattle, admitted this. Mike Vander Pol, Silva Dairy's nutritionist, candidly stated that they intentionally intermixed feed to achieve the right "balance" of nutrients. This was necessary, in part, because of Silva's claim that the McCall hay was "inferior." McCall himself acknowledges that Silva Dairy was using his feed for its cattle. This issue came to a head in the Spring of 2012 when McCall observed that his feed costs were exorbitant. Nevertheless, Silva Dairy

kind.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> As a collateral matter, Silva and Silva Dairy have argued that the pasture claim was "settled" when Clear Creek sent a check to Silva Dairy to \$2,642.48 on July 3, 2012. See Max Silva Ex. M. The Court disagrees. There is nothing about this transaction establishing that the parties treated this as an accord and satisfaction, a compromise, a settlement of mutual accounts, or for that matter a settlement of any

continued to feed McCall's herd, and McCall continued to supply feed, into August 2012 when the business relationship finally ended.

McCall claims that Silva Dairy "converted" several hundred thousand dollars of his feed. Silva Dairy denies this allegation and asserts that McCall's methodology in computing this claim is factually erroneous, and that Silva Dairy in fact used some of its feed grown on the Silva Land farm to feed McCall's cattle. The parties spent an substantial amount of time in this trial attempting to prove their claims by resorting to theoretical calculations of what cattle should have eaten, what they should have been fed, and what the feed was worth over the 28 months that this relationship existed (without providing clear testimony of the market value of feed during any given month). Unfortunately, there is little, if any, hard evidence of the amount of McCall's feed consumed by Silva Dairy cattle, and vice versa. That fact, however, does not preclude the Court from determining whether there is merit to McCall's claim.

At the outset it is important to note that McCall's claim is not truly one of conversion. Technically, conversion requires proof that a defendant took or kept a plaintiff's property without a right to do so. Silva Dairy did not do that. Rather, McCall's claim is that Silva Dairy "failed to return borrowed property." This is a form of conversion that would support McCall's damage claim if proved.

McCall's conversion claim is supported by testimony of McCall himself and witness Onaindia. Both witnesses' approach involves taking the total purchases of feed as shown on McCall's general ledger, determining what the cattle should have eaten either based upon what a nutritionist has recommended (or in Onaindia's case based upon his opinion of what an animal "should" eat (with appropriate market values applied

to the various commodities), and subtracting that number from the total purchases. This sum, they claim, represents the "missing feed" which arguably was misappropriated by Silva Dairy. McCall's calculations are summarized in McCall Ex. 132. As the Court understands it, McCall himself claims that \$1,267,911 of feed was converted. However, his calculations show that \$386,047 of feed remained at the dairy at the termination of the business relationship. Therefore, if the Court were to accept his calculations, his net claim is the difference between these figures, or \$881,864.

There are several problems with McCall's methodology. First, it is clear that his assumption about "what should have been fed" was based upon an erroneous interpretation of the nutritionist's recommendations. Mr. Vanderpols' rebuttal testimony clearly pointed out that the figures used by McCall were not correct. Second, because commodity prices varied over the 28 months at issue, the damage calculation should be based upon the value of feed converted based upon the actual cost of that feed, not based upon values of the commodities as of September 2012. However, the Court finds that although commodity prices varied and the feed projections were technically erroneous, that those differences would certainly not have exceeded 50%. Damages of this type must be proved with reasonable certainty. Recognizing that there are in fact errors in McCall's methodology, the Court nevertheless finds as a fact that Silva Dairy converted at least \$440,000 of McCall's feed during this period according to McCall's calculations (applying a 50% error).

Onaindia's approach is similar to that of McCall. He examined the actual profit and loss ledgers and spot checked invoices, concluding that during the relevant period that McCall spend \$2.4 to \$2.5 million on feed. He then determined based upon his

knowledge of the industry and an examination of the nutritionist recommendations that it should have cost approximately \$1.6 million to feed the cattle during this period, leaving an unexplained "gap" of \$800,000 to \$900,000. He then went further and examined Silva's financial documents and concluded the reported cost of feeding its cattle was understated by approximately the same number. As he explained, even though it is difficult to precisely reconcile all of these numbers, the fact that these figures are in the same ballpark gives credence to his own calculations. The Court finds that Onaindia's testimony is extremely credible. Silva Dairy asserts that his testimony is not credible because his associated company (Bettencourt Dairy) borrowed money thru McCall's company. That fact does not disqualify him from giving credible evidence. The Court does not find that this business relationship affects his credibility. Mr. Onaindia is an experienced banker and dairyman and this Court accepts his opinion as factual and After deducting the ending inventory--\$386,047—and using his lower accurate. number--\$800,000—the Court finds that Silva Dairy converted at least \$413,953 of McCall's feed.

The Court recognizes that Silva Dairy asserts that these calculations are faulty because McCall had other cattle during this period and that some of the claimed gross feed expenditures were for those cattle. (See Silva Dairy Ex. 25, p. 89) McCall unequivocally testified to the contrary and stated that his feed purchases were only for the cattle at Silva Dairy. Even if the Court were to find that some of the \$2.6 million feed purchases were for other cattle, that expenditure is de minimus and would not change the Court's findings. The amount of the conversion claim--\$413,953-- shall be offset against Silva Dairy's management fee claim.

#### CONCLUSION

McCall has established that Silva Dairy converted some of his feed and owes money for pasture and purchase of feed for Silva Dairy. As stated, the Court finds that the conversion claim is in the neighborhood of \$400,000, the pasture claim is \$52,386.90 and the remaining claims total \$40,067.87. McCall's total claims against Silva Dairy are therefore at least \$492,464.77. The Court has found that the management fee claim is \$204,977.65. Because McCall's claims exceed those of Silva Dairy by nearly \$287,487.12, Silva Dairy cannot recover against McCall and its claim shall be dismissed with prejudice <sup>6</sup> Moreover, even though McCall would otherwise be entitled to a judgment against Silva Dairy for \$287,487.12, because Silva Dairy is in a confirmed Chapter 12 bankruptcy case, this Court has no power to enter such a judgment. Therefore McCall's claims against Silva Dairy shall be dismissed with prejudice.

McCall has not proven his claim against Max Silva regarding the \$10,000 loan inasmuch as the Court has found that that loan has been paid. Case CV 2013-4728 shall be dismissed with prejudice.

The Court previously granted Summary Judgment to McCall on his claims against Max Silva pursuant to an order dated February 10, 2015. That Order directed Judgment for \$85,408.22 as of October 31, 2014 plus interest to the date of the Order at 12% per annum and for \$19,362.33 as of October 31, 2014 plus interest to the date of the Order. No judgment was ever entered. Judgment shall now issue in favor of McCall

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> Even if this Court were to accept 100% of Silva Dairy's claim--\$359,986.59---McCall's offset claim still exceeds that of Silva Dairy and thus it still is not entitled to any recovery.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> Technically the Order does not specify interest at 12% from October 31, 2014 to date of Judgment. But at oral argument McCall stated that he did not expect Silva to pay interest at 12% after the date of oral

against Max Silva for \$104,770.55 plus 12% interest from October 31, 2014 to February 9, 2015, *nunc pro tunc*.

#### **ATTORNEY FEES AND COSTS**

All of the claims involved in these cases are commercial transactions within the meaning of I.C. 12-120(3). All parties have requested attorney fees and costs in their pleadings. By its Order dated February 10, 2015 the Court reserved its ruling on the fee claim of McCall against Max Silva. In CV 2013-4728 the Court in its appellate capacity reversed the award of attorney fees to McCall. Presumably all parties will request attorney fees and costs in these various cases. Accordingly the Court elects to address the parties' entitlement to those fees and costs at this time.

A prevailing party is entitled to an award of attorney fees and costs of right if a statute so provides. The only statutory basis for an award of fees in this case is I.C. 12-120(3). The Court's determination of whether a party is a prevailing party is governed by I.R.C.P. 54(d)(1)(B) and applicable case law. In this case the Court finds that no party is entitled to attorney fees or costs.

Silva Dairy, LLC proved entitlement to some of its claim, but that claim was more than offset against McCall's claims. Thus Silva Dairy obtained no relief and is not a prevailing party.

McCall proved a portion of his conversion, pasture, and miscellaneous claims but obtained no judgment against Silva Dairy, LLC. He did not factually prevail on Silva Dairy's claim and knew that he could never prevail against Silva Dairy on his affirmative

argument, but rather at the judgment rate. Therefore the Court will enter Judgment *nunc pro tunc* to February 9, 2014 and the judgment will bear interest at the statutory rate from that time.

claims (except to the point of offset). The Court finds in its discretion that he is not a prevailing party.

Max Silva is a prevailing party in CV 2013-4728 because the claim against him was dismissed. Clearly, McCall is not a prevailing party in that case. McCall is a prevailing party in his claim for the sale of cattle. Max Silva is not. All of these claims were consolidated. The rule provides that the Court "may apportion the costs between and among the parties in a fair and equitable manner after considering all of the issues and claims involved <u>in the action</u> and the resultant judgment or judgments obtained." (Emphasis added). Because these cases were consolidated for trial, <u>the action</u> includes ALL of these cases. The claims and defenses in these matters were so intermixed that it would be virtually impossible to attempt to reasonably apportion fees to Max Silva in the \$10,000 loan case and McCall in the \$104,770.55 case. The amount of money involved is not determinative of the true nature of these parties' claims. Indeed, McCall did not recover what he originally sought in either case.

The Court is mindful that it must determine "who prevailed in the action." In its discretion the Court determines that even though McCall obtained a judgment, that the fees he might be awarded would be offset by the fees incurred by Silva in defending the \$10,000 loan case and the pasture and other claims made against him. Therefore, McCall and Silva will bear their own costs and fees in these two cases.

Further, the Court finds for these same reasons an apportionment or award of costs of right is inappropriate. Both Silva Dairy and McCall employed experts in this case. Assuming that either party files a claim for discretionary costs, the Court would find that such expenditures were necessary in this case, but not exceptional. This type

of expert testimony would be expected in a commercial case of this nature. Therefore all parties will bear their own costs and attorney fees.

An appropriate judgment shall enter.

DATED this

 $oldsymbol{arrho}$ day of July, 2015

Randy J. Stoker District Judge

#### **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I hereby certify that on the $\cancel{\cancel{100}}$ day of July 2015, I caused to be served a true and correct copy of the foregoing, by the method indicated below, and addressed to the following:			
James Meservy P.O. Box 168 Jerome, Idaho 83338	( ) U.S. Mail ( ) Hand delivered ( ) Faxed ( ) Court Folder		
Steven Taggert P.O. Box 3005 Idaho Falls, Idaho 83402	( ) U.S. Mail ( ) Hand delivered ( ) Faxed ( ) Court Folder		
Nathan Olsen 485 E. Street Idaho Falls, Idaho 83402	<ul><li>( ) U.S. Mail</li><li>( ) Hand delivered</li><li>( ) Faxed</li><li>( ) Court Folder</li></ul>		
Brad Dixon 101 S. Capitol Blvd, Ste. 1900 Boise, Idaho 83702 (Courtesy copy)	( ) U.S. Mail ( ) Hand delivered ( ) Faxed ( ) Court Folder		
	Clerk		

DISTRICT COURT TWIN FALLS CO. IDAHO FILED

2015 JUL 16 PM 3: 16

CLERK

## IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE FIFTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT OF THE STATE OF IDAHO, IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF TWIN FALLS

JACK MCCALL.

Plaintiff and Counterdefendant,

VS.

SILVA DAIRY LLC AND MAX SILVA.

Defendants and Counterclaimants.

Case No. CV 2013-1263 (Consolidated) CV 2013-4728 (Consolidated)

**JUDGMENT** 

#### **JUDGMENT IS ENTERED AS FOLLOWS:**

- 1. Silva Dairy's claims against Jack McCall are DISMISSED WITH PREJUDICE.
- 2. Jack McCall's claims against Silva Dairy, LLC are DISMISSED WITH PREJUDICE.
- 3. Jack McCall shall have judgment against Max Silva, individually, for the sum of \$104,770.55 *nunc pro tunc* to February 9, 2015. This Judgment shall bear interest at the statutory rate for judgments as of that date. All other claims against Max Silva are DISMISSED WITH PREJUDICE.
- 4. All parties in this action shall bear their own costs and attorney fees.

DATED this / / day of July, 2015.

Randy J. Stoker

District Judge

#### **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I hereby certify that on the // day and correct copy of the foregoing, by the me following:	of July 2015, I caused to be served a true ethod indicated below, and addressed to the
James Meservy P.O. Box 168 Jerome, Idaho 83338	<ul><li>Ú.S. Mail</li><li>Hand delivered</li><li>Faxed</li><li>Court Folder</li></ul>
Steven Taggert P.O. Box 3005 Idaho Falls, Idaho 83402	<ul><li>( ) U.S. Mail</li><li>( ) Hand delivered</li><li>( ) Faxed</li><li>( ) Court Folder</li></ul>
Nathan Olsen 485 E. Street Idaho Falls, Idaho 83402	( ) U.S. Mail ( ) Hand delivered ( ) Faxed ( ) Court Folder
Brad Dixon 101 S. Capitol Blvd. Ste. 1900 Boise, Idaho 83702 (Courtesy copy)	()U.S.Mail ()Hand delivered ()Faxed ()Court Folder

JUDGMENT - 2

Steven L. Taggart, ISB No. 8551 MAYNES TAGGART PLLC

P. O. Box 3005

Idaho Falls, ID 83403

Telephone: (208) 552-6442 Facsimile: (208) 524-6095

Email: staggart101@gmail.com

Attorneys for D Silva Dairy, LLC

TOISTRICT COURT
TWIN FALLS CO.. IDAHO
FILED

2015 AUG 27 PM 2: 88

BY CLERK

MUDEPUTY

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE FIFTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT OF THE

STATE OF IDAHO, IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF TWIN FALLS

GREEN RIVER RANCHES, LLC,

Plaintiff,

VS.

SILVA LAND COMPANY, LLC, et al.,

Defendants.

JACK McCALL,

Plaintiff,

VS.

MAX SILVA, an individual; and SILVA DAIRY, LLC, an Idaho limited liability company,

Defendants.

JACK McCALL,

Plaintiff,

VS.

SILVA LAND COMPANY, LLC, et al,

Defendants.

TO: THE ABOVE NAMED RESPONDENT JACK MCCALL AND THE CLERK OF THE COURT FOR THE FIFTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT

NOTICE OF APPEAL Page 1

CV – 2013 – 1263 NOTA Notice of Appeal



Consolidated Case No. CV-2013-1263

**NOTICE OF APPEAL** 

#### **NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN THAT:**

- 1. The above named appellant, Silva Dairy, LLC, appeals against the above-named respondent, Jack McCall, to the Idaho Supreme Court from the Judgment rendered by the Honorable District Judge Randy J. Stoker in the above entitled action on July 16, 2015.
- 2. Appellant has a right to appeal to the Idaho Supreme Court, and the judgment described in paragraph 1 above is appealable under and pursuant to Rule 11(a)(1), I.A.R.
  - 3. The preliminary statement of the issues on appeal is as follows:
    - A. Did the district court error in entering judgment against appellant in favor of Mr. McCall in the amount of \$40,067.87 for feed in respect to Mr. McCall's \$55,000 claim?
    - B. Did the district court error in entering judgment against appellant in favor of Mr. McCall in the amount of \$413,953 for conversion of his feed?
    - C. Did the district court error in not awarding Silva Dairy, LLC its attorney fees and costs against Mr. McCall?
  - 4. Has an order been entered sealing all or any portion of the record? No
  - 5. Is a reporter's transcript requested? Yes, for the following trial dates:

    June 24-26, 2015
- 6. In that the above described action involves a number of consolidated cases and their multiple parties and claims, the appellant limits its requests to the following documents to be included in the clerk's record pertaining to the issues on appeal:

Twin Falls County Case No. CV-2013-1263 (consolidated)

01/07/2014 Order to Consolidate Cases and Intervene

06/09/2014 Motion to Amend Complaint or in the Alternative, to Substitute named Defendants for John Does

06/12/2014	McCall's Proposed Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law
06/18/2014	Memorandum in Opposition to Defendant Jack McCall's Motion to Amend Complaint
06/18/2014	Pretrial Brief and Supplemental Memorandum on Motion to Quash
06/20/2014	Reply to Memorandum in Opposition of McCall's Motion to Amend Complaint
08/14/2014	Memorandum Opinion
02/10/2015	Order on Pending Motions and Petitions and Pre-Trial Order
05/20/2015	Final Pretrial Order
06/19/2015	Stipulation of the Parties as to Admission of Exhibits
06/19/2015	Defendants/Counterclaimants' Joint Pre-Trial Brief
06/19/2015	Plaintiff's Pretrial Memorandum
07/16/2015	Memorandum Opinion
07/16/2015	Judgment

#### Twin Falls County Case No. CV-2013-3154 (consolidated into CV-2013-1263)

08/01/2013	Complaint for Claim and Delivery and for Damages
09/06/2013	Answer, Counter-Claim and Third Party Complaint
10/02/2013	Jack McCall, Jean McCall and JT Livestock's Reply to Counterclaim and Answer to Third Party Complaint

7. The appellant requests the following documents offered or admitted as exhibits to be copied and sent to the Supreme Court:

June 24-26, 2015 Trial

SD07 – 2011 Financial Statement

SD 08 – 2012 Financial Statement

SD15 – Self Raised Feed Invoices

SD 17 - Vander Pol Feed Rations for JT Livestock

SD22 - Clint Van Biezen Email

SD23 – The Scolar Company Checks

SD 24 - McCall 2010 Tax Return

SD 25 – McCall 2011 Tax Return

SD 26 – McCall 2012 Tax Return

SD 27 – McCall 2010 Feed Invoices

SD 28 - McCall 2011 Feed Invoices

SD 29 - McCall 2012 Feed Invoices

SD 30 – 2010 McCall Feed Invoices Summary

SD 31 – 2011 McCall Feed Invoices Summary

SD 32 – 2012 McCall Feed Invoices Summary

SD 33 – 2010-2012 Grand Total McCall Feed Invoices

SD 34 – McCall's Expert Disclosure

SD 39 – 2010 Profit & Loss for JT Livestock

SD 40 – 2011 Profit & Loss for JT Livestock

SD 41 – 2012 Profit & Loss for JT Livestock

McCall 132 – Bates Nos. 1509-1544 – Feed Conversion Analysis and Summary

#### 8. I certify:

A. That a copy of this notice of appeal has been served on the reporter of whom a transcript has been requested as named below at the address set out below:

Tracy E. Barksdale P.O. Box 126 Twin Falls, ID 83303-0126 tbarksdale@co.twin-falls.id.us

- B. That a transcript has been requested and the clerk of the district court has been paid the estimated fee for preparation of the reporter's transcript.
  - C. That the estimated fee for preparation of the clerk's record has been paid.
  - D. That the appellate filing fee has been paid.
- E. That service has been made upon all parties required to be served pursuant to Rule 20, I.A.R.

DATE: August 27, 2015

MAYNES TAGGART PLLC

STEVEN L. TAGGART

Attorney for Appellant

#### **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I HEREBY CERTIFY that on August 27, 2015, I mailed a true and correct copy of the foregoing document to the designated parties as follows:

Via U.S. Mail First Class Prepaid
James C. Meservy, Esq.
Williams, Meservy & Lothspeich, LLP
P.O. Box 168
Jerome, ID 83338

Via U.S. Mail First Class Prepaid Nathan M. Olsen, Esq. Petersen Moss Hall & Olsen 485 "E" Street Idaho Falls, ID 83402 Via Hand Delivery
Clerk of the Twin Falls County Court
425 Shoshone St. N.
Twin Falls, ID 83301

Via U.S. Mail First Class Prepaid Tracy E. Barksdale P.O. Box 126 Twin Falls, ID 83303-0126

RY.

Kasalis & Wanlass
Rosalie Wanlass

# In the Supreme Court of the State of Idaho 2015 OCT 14 AM 8: 38

GREEN RIVER RANCHES, LLC,	) <u> </u>
Plaintiff,	CLEAK DEPUTY
v.	
SILVA LAND COMPANY, LLC, et al.,	) )
Defendant.	) )
JACK MCCALL,	) )
Plaintiff-Respondent,	ORDER CONSOLIDATING APPEAL NOS. 43547 AND 43548 FOR
v.	PURPOSES OF RECORD AND TRANSCRIPTS ONLY
SILVA DAIRY, LLC, an Idaho limited liability company,	Supreme Court Docket No. 43547-2015
Defendant-Appellant,	Twin Falls County No. CV-2013-1263
and	
MAX SILVA, an individual,	
Defendant.	
GREEN RIVER RANCHES, LLC, an Idaho Limited liability company,	
Plaintiff-Counterdefendant, ) v.	Supreme Court Docket No. 43548-2015 Twin Falls County No. CV-2013-1263
SILVA LAND COMPANY, LLC, et al.,	
Defendants-Counterclaimants.	:
JACK MCCALL,	
Plaintiff-Respondent, ) v. )	Twin Falls County No. CV-2013-3154
MAX SILVA, an individual;	
Defendant-Appellant, )	

ORDER CONSOLIDATING APPEAL NOS. 43547 AND 43548 FOR PURPOSES OF RECORD AND TRANSCRIPTS ONLY 98

and	)
SILVA DAIRY, LLC, an Idaho limited liability company,	) )
Defendant.	)
JACK MCCALL,	)
Plaintiff-Respondent, v.	Twin Falls County No. CV-2013-4728
SILVA LAND COMPANY, LLC, et al.,	)
Defendant-Appellant.	)
JACK MCCALL, an individual and doing business as JT LIVESTOCK,	) )
Plaintiff-Respondent, v.	Twin Falls County No. CV-2013-4732
MAX SILVA,	)
Defendant-Appellant.	) )

WHEREAS, it appearing that the above entitled appeals should be consolidated for preparation of the Clerk's Record and Reporter's Transcripts *only*; therefore,

IT HEREBY IS ORDERED that appeal Nos. 43547 and 43548 shall be CONSOLIDATED ONLY for preparation of the Clerk's Record and Reporter's Transcripts under appeal No. 43547.

IT FURTHER IS ORDERED that the District Court Clerk shall prepare a CLERK'S RECORD, which shall include the documents requested in these Notices of Appeal, together with a copy of this Order. Furthermore, the Court Reporter shall prepare the REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPTS, which shall include the transcripts requested in these Notices of Appeal.

DATED this \_\_\_\_\_\_ day of October, 2015.

For the Supreme Court

Karel A. Lehrman, Chief Deputy Clerk for

Stephen W. Kenyon, Clerk

cc: Counsel of Record
District Court Clerk
Court Reporter
District Judge Randy J. Stoker

Entercd on JSI

By: \_\_\_\_\_\_\_

ORDER CONSOLIDATING APPEAL NOS. 43547 AND 43548 FOR PURPOSES OF RECORD AND TRANSCRIPTS ONLY 99

1	IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF IDAHO	
2	WIN FALLS COUR	
3	GREEN RIVER RANCHES, LLC, an )  Idaho limited liability company, ) Supreme Court 43547  (AM 8: 12	
	Plaintiff,	
4	vs. ) Consolidated Case No. CLER ) Twin Falls CV-2013-1263	
5	SILVA LAND COMPANY, LLC, et al., ) NOTICE OF LODGING	
6	) Defendants. )	
7	JACK McCALL, )	
8	Plaintiff,	
9	vs.	
10	MAX SILVA, an individual; and )	
11	SILVA DAIRY, LLC, an Idaho ) limited liability company, )	
12		
13	JACK McCALL, an individual and ) doing business as JT LIVESTOCK, )	
14	) Plaintiff, )	
15	) vs. )	
16	) MAX SILVA, an individual, )	
	)	
17	Defendants. )	
18	To: THE CLERK OF THE IDAHO SUPREME COURT	
19	NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that on November 2, 2015, I	
20	lodged a transcript of 604 pages in length for the	
21	above-referenced appeal with the District Court Clerk of Twin	
22	Falls County in the Fifth Judicial District. The transcript	
23	includes: Court trial (day one) dated June 26, 2014; Court	
24	trial (day one) dated June 27, 2014; Motions for Summary	
25	Judgment - Arguments and Orders dated February 9, 2015.	

1	A PDF copy of the transcript will be emailed to
2	sctfilings@idcourts.net.
3	
4	
5	TRACY E. BARKSDALE, RPR, CSR 999
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	2

1	IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF IDAHO
2	COREN DIVER DANCUES LLC an \
3	Idaho limited liability company, ) Supreme Court 43547
	Plaintiff,
4	) Consolidated Case No. vs. ) Twin Falls CV-2013-1263
5	SILVA LAND COMPANY, LLC, et al., ) NOTICE OF LODGING
6	) Defendants. )
7	JACK McCALL,
8	Plaintiff,
9	vs. )
10	MAX SILVA, an individual; and )
11	SILVA DAIRY, LLC, an Idaho ) limited liability company, )
12	) Defendants. )
13	JACK McCALL, an individual and ) doing business as JT LIVESTOCK, )
14	Plaintiff, )
	)
15	vs. )
16	MAX SILVA, an individual, )
17	Defendants.
18	To: THE CLERK OF THE IDAHO SUPREME COURT
19	NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that on November 2, 2015, I
20	lodged a transcript of 718 pages in length for the
21	above-referenced appeal with the District Court Clerk of Twin
22	Falls County in the Fifth Judicial District. The transcript
23	includes: Court trial (day one) dated Wednesday, June 24,
24	2015; court trial (day two) dated Thursday, June 25, 2015;
25	court trial (day three) dated Friday, June 26, 2015.

1	A PDF copy of the transcript will be emailed to
2	sctfilings@idcourts.net.
3	
4	TRACY E. BARKSDALE, RPR, CSR 999
5	TRACI E. BARRSDALE, RPR, CSR 999
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	2

### In the Supreme Court of the State of Idaho

GREEN RIVER RANCHES, LLC,	) OLEAK
Plaintiff,	DEPUTY
v.	) )
SILVA LAND COMPANY, LLC, et al.,	) ORDER TO AUGMENT PRIOR ) APPEAL NO. 42886
Defendant.	) ATTEAL NO. 42880
JACK MCCALL,	) Supreme Court Docket No. 43547-2015
Plaintiff-Respondent,	<ul> <li>Twin Falls County No. CV-2013-1263</li> <li>(consolidated Twin Falls County Nos.</li> <li>CV-2013-3154 / CV-2013-4728 /</li> </ul>
v.	) CV-2013-4732)
SILVA DAIRY, LLC, an Idaho limited liability company,	) ) )
Defendant-Appellant,	
and	)
MAX SILVA, an individual,	)
Defendant.	) }

A Clerk's Record was filed *electronically* with this Court in prior appeal No. 42886, *Green River Ranches, LLC v. Silva Land Company, LLC* (Twin Falls County Nos. CV-2013-1263, CV-2013-4732 and CV-2013-3154); therefore,

IT HEREBY IS ORDERED that the above entitled appeal shall be AUGMENTED to include the Clerk's Record filed *electronically* with this Court in prior appeal No. 42886, *Green River Ranches, LLC v. Silva Land Company, LLC* (Twin Falls County Nos. CV-2013-1263, CV-2013-4732 and CV-2013-3154).

IT FURTHER IS ORDERED that the District Court Clerk shall prepare and file a LIMITED CLERK'S RECORD with this Court, which shall contain the documents requested in these Notice(s) of Appeal, together with a copy of this Order, but shall not duplicate any documents filed in prior appeal No. 42886.

IT FURTHER IS ORDERED that the Court Reporter shall prepare and lodge the REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPTS, which shall include the proceedings requested in the Notice of Appeal in this case. The CLERK'S RECORD AND REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPTS shall be filed with this Court after the settlement period expires.

DATED this \_\_\_\_\_\_ day of December, 2015.

For the Supreme Court

Karel A. Lehrman, Chief Deputy Clerk for

Stephen W. Kenyon, Clerk

Counsel of Record cc:

District Court Clerk

Court Reporter Tracy Barksdale

District Judge Randy J. Stoker

Entered on JSI

## In the Supreme Court of the State of Idaho

GREEN RIVER RANCHES, LLC, an Idaho ) Limited liability company, )	G.Enk
)	BEPUTY
Plaintiff-Counterdefendant, ) v. )	ORDER TO AUGMENT PRIOR APPEAL NO. 42886
SILVA LAND COMPANY, LLC, et al.,	Supreme Court Docket No. 43548-2015 Twin Falls County No. CV-2013-1263
Defendants-Counterclaimants.	
JACK MCCALL,	
Plaintiff-Respondent, ) v. )	Twin Falls County No. CV-2013-3154
MAX SILVA, an individual;	
Defendant-Appellant, ) and )	
SILVA DAIRY, LLC, an Idaho limited ) liability company, )	
Defendant.	
JACK MCCALL,	
Plaintiff, ) v. )	Twin Falls County No. CV-2013-4728
SILVA LAND COMPANY, LLC, et al.,	
Defendants.	
JACK MCCALL, an individual and doing ) business as JT LIVESTOCK,	÷
Plaintiff-Respondent, ) v. )	Twin Falls County No. CV-2013-4732
MAX SILVA,	
Defendant-Appellant. )	

A Clerk's Record was filed *electronically* with this Court in prior appeal No. 42886, *Green River Ranches, LLC v. Silva Land Company, LLC* (Twin Falls County Nos. CV-2013-1263, CV-2013-4732 and CV-2013-3154); therefore,

IT HEREBY IS ORDERED that the above entitled appeal shall be AUGMENTED to include the Clerk's Record filed *electronically* with this Court in prior appeal No. 42886, *Green River Ranches, LLC v. Silva Land Company, LLC* (Twin Falls County Nos. CV-2013-1263, CV-2013-4732 and CV-2013-3154).

IT FURTHER IS ORDERED that the District Court Clerk shall prepare and file a LIMITED CLERK'S RECORD with this Court, which shall contain the documents requested in this Notice of Appeal, together with a copy of this Order, but shall not duplicate any documents filed in prior appeal No. 42886.

IT FURTHER IS ORDERED that the Court Reporter shall prepare and *lodge* the REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPTS, which shall include the proceedings requested in the Notice of Appeal in this case. The CLERK'S RECORD AND REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPTS shall be filed with this Court after the settlement period expires.

DATED this 900

\_ day of December, 2015.

For the Supreme Court

Karel A. Lehrman, Chief Deputy Clerk for

Stephen W. Kenyon, Clerk

cc: Counsel of Record
District Court Clerk
Court Reporter Tracy Barksdale
District Judge Randy J. Stoker

Entered on JSI

3y: <u>CX</u>

### IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE FIFTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT OF THE STATE OF IDAHO, IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF TWIN FALLS

GREEN RIVER RANCHES, LLC, Idaho Limited Liability Company,  Plaintiff/Respondent,  vs.	SUPREME COURT NO. 43547-2015 43548-2015 DISTRICT COURT CASE NO. CV 13-1263 CV 13-3154 CV 13-4732
SILVA LAND COMPANY, LLC, an Idaho Limited Liability Company;	) CLERK'S CERTIFICATE
Defendant.	
JACK MCCALL,	
Plaintiff/Respondent,	
vs.	
SILVA DAIRY, LLC, an Idaho Limited ) Liability Company,	
Defendant/Appellant,	
and	
MAX SILVA, an Individual,	
Defendant.	
GREEN RIVER RANCHES, LLC, an Idaho Limited Liability Company,	
Plaintiff/Counterdefendant,	
vs.	
SILVA LAND COMPANY, LLC, et al.,	

**CLERK'S CERTIFICATE** 

)		
Defendants/Counterclaimants.		
JACK MCCALL,		
Plaintiff/Respondent, )		
vs.		
MAX SILVA, an individual,		
Defendant/Appellant, )		
and )		
SILVA DAIRY, LLC, an Idaho Limited ) Liability Company,		
Defendant.		
JACK MCCALL,		
Plaintiff/Respondent, )		
) vs. )		
SILVA LAND COMPANY, LLC, et al., )		
) Defendant/Appellant, )		
JACK MCCALL, an Individual and ) d/b/a JT LIVESTOCK,		
Plaintiff/Respondent, )		
vs. )		
MAX SILVA,		
) Defendant/Appellant.		

CLERK'S CERTIFICATE

I, KRISTINA GLASCOCK, Clerk of the District Court of the Fifth Judicial District of the State of Idaho, in and for the County of Twin Falls, do hereby certify that the foregoing CLERK'S RECORD on Appeal in this cause was compiled and bound under my direction and is a true, correct and complete Record of the pleadings and documents requested by Appellate Rule 28.

I do further certify that all exhibits, offered or admitted in the above-entitled cause, will be duly lodged with the Clerk of the Supreme Court.

WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand and affixed the seal of the said Court this 5<sup>th</sup> day of February, 2016.

KRISTINA GLASCOCK Clerk of the District Court

Deputy Clerk

## IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE FIFTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT OF THE STATE OF IDAHO, IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF TWIN FALLS

GREEN RIVER RANCHES, LLC, Idaho Limited Liability Company,	) SUPREME COURT NO. 43547-2015 ) 43548-2015 ) DISTRICT COURT CASE NO. CV 13-1263 ) CV 13-3154 ) CV 13-4732
Plaintiff/Respondent,	
VS.	
SILVA LAND COMPANY, LLC, an Idaho Limited Liability Company;	) ) CERTIFICATE OF EXHIBITS
Defendant.	<u>,</u>
JACK MCCALL,	) )
Plaintiff/Respondent,	) )
vs.	) )
SILVA DAIRY, LLC, an Idaho Limited Liability Company,	) ) )
Defendant/Appellant,	) )
and	) )
MAX SILVA, an Individual,	) )
Defendant.	)
GREEN RIVER RANCHES, LLC, an Idaho Limited Liability Company,	) ) )
Plaintiff/Counterdefendant,	) )
vs.	) )
SILVA LAND COMPANY, LLC, et al.,	) )

<b>\</b>		
Defendants/Counterclaimants.		
JACK MCCALL,		
Plaintiff/Respondent, )		
vs.		
MAX SILVA, an individual,		
Defendant/Appellant,		
and )		
SILVA DAIRY, LLC, an Idaho Limited ) Liability Company, )		
Defendant.		
JACK MCCALL,		
Plaintiff/Respondent, )		
vs.		
SILVA LAND COMPANY, LLC, et al.,		
Defendant/Appellant,)		
JACK MCCALL, an Individual and ) d/b/a JT LIVESTOCK, )		
Plaintiff/Respondent, )		
vs.		
MAX SILVA,		
Defendant/Appellant)		

I, KRISTINA GLASCOCK, Clerk of the District Court of the Fifth Judicial District of the State of Idaho, in and for the County of Twin Falls, do hereby certify:

SD07 - 2011 Financial Statement

SD 08 - 2012 Financial Statement

SD15- Self Raised Feed Invoices

SD 17- Vander Pol Feed Rations for JT Livestock

SD22 - Clint Van Biezen Email

SD23 - The Scolar Company Checks

SD 24- McCall2010 Tax Return

SD 25- McCall2011 Tax Return

SD 26- McCall2012 Tax Return

SD 27- McCall2010 Feed Invoices

SD 28 -McCall 2011 Feed Invoices

SD 29- McCall 2012 Feed Invoices

SD 30-2010 McCall Feed Invoices Summary

SD 31-2011 McCall Feed Invoices Summary

SD 32-2012 McCall Feed Invoices Summary

SD 33-2010-2012 Grand Total McCall Feed Invoices

SD 34 - McCall's Expert Disclosure

SD 39-2010 Profit & Loss for IT Livestock

SD 40 - 2011 Profit & Loss for IT Livestock

SD 41-2012 Profit & Loss for IT Livestock

McCall132- Bates Nos. 1509-1544- Feed Conversion Analysis and Summary

In WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand and affixed the seal of the said Court this 3<sup>rd</sup> day of February, 2016.

KRISTINA GLASCOCK Clerk of the District Court

Deputy Clerk

### IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE FIFTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT OF THE STATE OF IDAHO, IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF TWIN FALLS

GREEN RIVER RANCHES, LLC, Idaho Limited Liability Company,  Plaintiff/Respondent,  vs.	SUPREME COURT NO. 43547-2015 43548-2015 DISTRICT COURT CASE NO. CV 13-1263 CV 13-3154 CV 13-4732
SILVA LAND COMPANY, LLC, an Idaho Limited Liability Company;	) CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE
Defendant.	<u>)</u>
JACK MCCALL,	
Plaintiff/Respondent,	
vs.	
SILVA DAIRY, LLC, an Idaho Limited Liability Company,	
Defendant/Appellant,	
and	
MAX SILVA, an Individual,	
Defendant.	
GREEN RIVER RANCHES, LLC, an Idaho Limited Liability Company,	
Plaintiff/Counterdefendant,	
VS.	

SILVA LAND COMPANY, LLC, et al.,		
Defendants/Counterclaimants.		
JACK MCCALL,		
Plaintiff/Respondent,		
vs.		
MAX SILVA, an individual,		
Defendant/Appellant,		
and		
SILVA DAIRY, LLC, an Idaho Limited Liability Company,		
Defendant.		
JACK MCCALL,		
Plaintiff/Respondent,		
VS.		
SILVA LAND COMPANY, LLC, et al.,		
Defendant/Appellant,		
JACK MCCALL, an Individual and d/b/a JT LIVESTOCK,		
Plaintiff/Respondent,		
Vs.		
MAX SILVA,		
Defendant/Appellant.		

I, KRISTINA GLASCOCK, Clerk of the District Court of the Fifth Judicial District of the State of Idaho, in and for the County of Twin Falls, do hereby certify that I have personally served or mailed, by United States Mail, one copy of the CLERK'S RECORD

and REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPTS to each of the Attorneys of Record in this cause as follows:

Nathan Olsen PETERSEN MOSS HALL & OLSEN 485 "E" Street Idaho Falls, ID 83402 Bradley Dixon GIVENS PURSLEY 601 W. Bannock Street P. O. Box 2720

Boise, ID 83701-2720

ATTORNEY FOR APPELLANT ATTORNEY FOR RESPONDENT

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand and affixed the seal of said this 5<sup>th</sup> day of February, 2016.

KRISTINA GLASCOCK Clerkof the District Court

Deputy Clerk